#### January 2025

#### Introduction

The following table sets out the proposed main modifications for the new Lewisham Local Plan. These are proposed, without prejudice to the examining Inspectors' final conclusions, to secure the soundness of the new Local Plan. The table is published to allow those with an interest in the new Local Plan to comment on the soundness of the proposed main modifications (alone).


The proposed main modifications are comprised of substantive changes to the new Local Plan. In that respect they differ from the proposed additional modifications, which relate to relatively minor amendments that correct things such as typographic, grammar, spelling, formatting, and factual errors. The proposed additional modifications are the subject of a separate table, which is also published for the purpose of consultation.

The proposed main modifications are set out in the order that they appear in the new Local Plan. They are identified by their own unique reference number, which is prefixed by the letters MM; and subsequently by their reference point within the new Local Plan, which is the relevant policy, paragraph, table, or figure number. The proposed main modifications are identified in the central column cells. Deletions are shown as strikethrough text, and additions are underlined. The table is completed by a brief commentary that sets out why the modification has been proposed. Where appropriate, the commentary identifies the post hearing session Action that generated the proposed modification. These are identified in brackets – for example (AP7). The post hearing Actions are set out under Examination Document LC39 Consolidated List of Action Points.

Those responding to the consultation may only comment on the soundness of the proposed main modifications. There is no opportunity to comment on other aspects of the new Local Plan that do not correspond with a proposed modification. At the end of the consultation process, the Council will collate all of the response on the proposed main modifications and forward them to the appointed Inspectors for their consideration.

| Main | Section,          | Proposed Modification  | Reason for the Modification   |
|------|-------------------|--|---|
| Mod  | Policy and/ or    |  |   |
| Ref: | Paragraph         | Deleted text in strikethrough  |   |
| 01 1 | 4 41 11 1         | Main Modification in underlined text   |   |
|      |                   | ham's Local Plan   |   |
| MM1  | Paragraph<br>1.25 | <ul> <li>Neighbourhood plans are required to be consistent with the strategic policies in Lewisham's development plan and should only include non-strategic policies, as set out in the NPPF. The Council publishes details of the Borough's emerging and made neighbourhood plans on its website - Lewisham Council - Neighbourhood plans. At the Local Plan's adoption, the Borough's neighbourhood planning position was as follows:         <ul> <li>Bell Green – a neighbourhood area has been designated around the Bell Green gyratory, following an application for a Neighbourhood Area and Forum.</li> <li>Corbett Estate Neighbourhood Forum and Area – in 2015 the Mayor of Lewisham designated the Corbett Estate as a neighbourhood area and has also designated a neighbourhood forum for this area. These have subsequently lapsed.</li> <li>Crofton Park and Honor Oak Park – a referendum on the Crofton Park and Honor Oak Park Neighbourhood Plan was held and the Plan was adopted in May 2022.</li> <li>Deptford Neighbourhood Action neighbourhood forum and area - the Mayor of Lewisham designated the Deptford Neighbourhood Action community group (DNA) as a neighbourhood forum and area in February 2016. These have subsequently lapsed.</li> <li>Grove Park - a referendum on the Grove Park Neighbourhood Plan was held and the Plan was adopted in August 2021.</li> <li>Lee - a referendum on the Lee Neighbourhood Plan was held and the Plan was adopted in February 2024.</li> </ul> </li> </ul> | Modification to identify and list the Borough's neighbourhood planning position. (AP79) |
|      |                   | Sydenham Hill Ridge – a neighbourhood area and forum has been designated.  |   |
|      |                   | oday and Planning Ahead  | T   |
| MM2  | New Section and   | Health and Wellbeing   | Modification to provide readers of the new Local Plan with                              |
|      | Paragraphs        | Securing improved and accessible infrastructure networks that support the continued health and wellbeing of residents and  | greater clarity on the issues of  |
|      |                   | communities remains a key objective for successful and sustainable place-making across Lewisham. This is not limited to  | health and wellbeing.   |

|         |                         | investment in conventional healthcare provision such as hospitals, surgeries, dentists, and clinics, and consequently the new Local  |  |
|---------|-------------------------|--|--|
|         |                         | Plan considers this a common thread throughout all of its content.   |  |
|         |                         | Nevertheless, it is acknowledged that Lewisham's residents and communities have distinct healthcare needs, such as those related to obesity, diabetes, hypertension and stroke and a higher rate of some mental health conditions, which can be addressed and overcome through investment in healthcare facilities. Consequently, place-making will seek to secure an appropriate level of provision for the required social infrastructure to support planned-for growth. |  |
| Chapte  | <br>er 3 – Vision, Stra | ategic Objectives, and the Spatial Strategy  |  |
| MM3     | Figure 3.2              | Figure 3.2: Proposed Growth Strategy plan  | Reconsider reference to  |
| IVIIVIO | 1 19410 3.2             | Amend Figure 3.2 to delete reference (in legend) to Transport Corridor Improvements and replace with Growth Corridor.  | 'Transport Improvement<br>Corridors'-consistency with                                      |
|         |                         |  | Policy OL1 i.e. A21 Corridor and 'other strategic growth corridors.' (AP15)                |
| MM4     | Figure 3.3              | Figure 3.3: Borough-wide Spatial Strategy plan   | Modifications to add   |
|         |                         | Amend Figure 3.3 Spatial Strategy to include Opportunity Areas and Strategic Areas of Regeneration.  | opportunity areas and strategic areas of regeneration to spatial strategy plan Figure 3.3. |
|         |                         | Key:  Opportunity Area  A 2 3 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1  | (AP11 and AP13)  |



|     |               | b. Directing new development and investment to the Borough's strategic Area for Regeneration, and other local areas for regeneration, and coordinating the delivery of this investment to help tackle the environmental, social and economic barriers that affect the lives of people in these areas, and ensure equality of opportunity;   |   |
|-----|---------------|---|---|
|     |               | c. Directing new residential, commercial, community, leisure and cultural development to Lewisham's town and local centres in order to support their vibrancy, vitality, viability and long-term resilience, and through this process:  |   |
|     |               | <ul> <li>i. Enable Lewisham Major Centre to cement its position as a centre of sub-regional significance and be designated as a Metropolitan Centre in a future review of the London Plan;</li> <li>ii. Facilitate the comprehensive regeneration of Catford Major Centre to reinforce its role as the principal civic and cultural hub within the Borough; and</li> <li>iii. Ensure the District Centres at Blackheath, Deptford, Downham, Forest Hill, Lee Green, New Cross and Sydenham retain their distinctive—character distinctive character whilst evolving in their function as key hubs of community, cultural and commercial activity; and</li> <li>iv. In all other locations, small scale residential development (0.25 ha or less) in existing residential areas, will be positively considered where the site is located within PTALs 3-6 or within 800m distance of an existing railway station or town centre boundary. Such proposals will be considered in accordance with the approach towards small housing sites. Proposals involving larger windfall sites will be considered in accordance with relevant local plan policies, specifically in respect of</li> </ul> |   |
|     |               | their impact on the wider townscape character and their ability to access transport and infrastructure networks.  d. Directing new development along the A21 Corridor and other strategic Growth Corridors to support growth, along with using the Healthy Streets Approach to enhance the quality of places and improve connections within and between neighbourhoods;   |   |
|     |               | e. Securing the delivery of Enabling new and improved infrastructure as a catalyst for investment and to unlock the development potential of sites across the Borough. The delivery of the Bakerloo line extension will both support and enable growth in Opportunity Areas and Lewisham's southern areas, including Bell Green and Lower Sydenham;   |   |
|     |               | f. Making the best use of land and space by prioritising the redevelopment of brownfield land of low or negligible ecological value and enabling the sensitive intensification of established residential neighbourhoods and commercial areas, including through the development of small sites;  |   |
|     |               | g. Ensuring all new development proposals follow the design-led approach to make the optimal use of land, respond positively to local distinctiveness (including the historic, cultural, natural and built environment), and helps to secure inclusive, safe, liveable, walkable and healthy neighbourhoods;  |   |
|     |               | h. Protecting, enhancing and connecting Lewisham's network of green infrastructure (including trees, parks and open spaces, water spaces and biodiversity), as well as improving the population's access to it by walking and cycling; and  |   |
|     |               | i. Ensuring that a strategic and coordinated approach is taken by the Council and its partners to respond to the climate emergency and requiring new development to integrate measures for climate change adaptation and mitigation.  |   |
| MM6 | Paragraph 3.6 | This is the overarching policy for the Local Plan and is the starting point for achieving the Vision for Lewisham and responding to the plan's strategic objectives. It sets out the spatial strategy for the Borough. This is the land use and planning framework for the Council and its partners to manage growth and development, as well as to guide new investment up to 2040. The policy provides a key link between the Local Plan and the London Plan. It will help to ensure that Good Growth is delivered in a way that responds to Lewisham's distinctiveness. The Spatial Strategy seeks to achieve this objective by identifying a hierarchy of places across the Borough where good growth will be directed. This includes those places identified on Figure 3.3 as being regeneration nodes, growth nodes, strategic areas of regeneration and growth corridors.  | Main Modification. Provide a clear definition of growth corridors, growth nodes, regeneration nodes, strategic areas of regeneration in the supporting text to Policy OL1. (AP10) |

| MM7  | New               | The Local Plan's Spatial Strategy identifies four places as Regeneration Nodes across the Borough. These are Lewisham and Catford Major Centres, Deptford District Centre, and Bell Green Local Centre. The Regeneration Nodes are shown on Figure 3.3 and identified under Schedule 11 Table 21.11. They are already sustainable and successful places that have the capacity to be the focus of future growth during the plan period. They already have a sustainable mix of residential, main town centre and employment uses and good public transport connections that can be future improved through the delivery of good growth. The Local Plan's Spatial Strategy, planning policies and site allocation seek to direct future good growth and investment towards these places. Their highly sustainable nature provides them with the potential capacity to facilitate the delivery of good growth at higher intensities, at specific locations where the Local Plan and its supporting evidence demonstrate that this is possible. | Main Modification. Provide a clear definition of growth corridors, growth nodes, regeneration nodes, strategic areas of regeneration in the supporting text to Policy OL1. (AP10) |
|------|-------------------|--|---|
| MM8  | New               | The Spatial Strategy's good growth hierarchy continues by directing future development towards the seven Growth Nodes located across the Borough. These are Forest Hill, New Cross, and Lee Green District Centres; Bellingham, Evelyn, and Grove Park Local Centres; and Surrey Canal Strategic Industrial Location. They are shown on Figure 3.3 and identified under Schedule 11 Table 21.11 – with Lee Green District being expressed by two separate buffer zones. The Local Plan seeks to direct a proportionate level of good growth towards these location that reflects their potential capacity as defined by their character and infrastructure.  | Main Modification. Provide a clear definition of growth corridors, growth nodes, regeneration nodes, strategic areas of regeneration in the supporting text to Policy OL1. (AP10) |
| MM9  | Paragraph<br>3.15 | Growth Corridors  3.15 The delivery of Good Growth will require that people are enabled to live car-free lifestyles and travel mainly by walking, cycling and public transport. To make this happen Lewisham will need to be supported by an excellent public transport system along with a network of high quality walking routes and cycleways. The Local Plan identifies several Growth Corridors that extend across the Borough, including the A2/A21 Corridor shown on Figure 3.3. The Corridors follow the existing A-road network and take advantage of the public transport opportunities that are in place along their alignments. These Corridors are identified under Schedule 11 Table 21.11. Good connections to and between town centres, parks and green spaces and residential areas are integral to liveable neighbourhoods. Also, the long-term viability of Lewisham's town centres and other employment locations will rely heavily on their capability to be accessed safely and easily by all.                         | Main Modification. Provide a clear definition of growth corridors, growth nodes, regeneration nodes, strategic areas of regeneration in the supporting text to Policy OL1. (AP10) |
| MM10 | New<br>Paragraph  | Strategic Area of Regeneration  The Local Plan continues to identify a Strategic Area of Regeneration in the South and East of the Borough. The detail approach for this Area is set out under the Lewisham East Area Policy LEA 3 Strategic Area for Regeneration, Grove Park; and Lewisham South Area Policy LSA 2 Strategic Area for Regeneration. The designated Area being shown in full on Figure 17.4. The Area seeks to deliver the Local Plan's Strategic Objectives for an open Lewisham; the delivery of new housing with a focus upon genuinely affordable homes; a thriving local economy that tackles inequalities; a greener borough; responding to the climate emergency; celebrating the Borough's local identity; delivering healthy and safe communities; and securing the timely delivery of infrastructure.   | Main Modification. Provide a clear definition of growth corridors, growth nodes, regeneration nodes, strategic areas of regeneration in the supporting text to Policy OL1. (AP10) |
| MM11 | New<br>Paragraph  | Proposals for good growth being delivered across the Strategic Area for Regeneration will tackle the inequalities and the environmental, economic, and social barriers that contribute to its deprivation and secure its sustained regeneration. This will be achieved through partnership approaches that ensure public and private sector investment is secured. The Local Plan highlights the need that investment is coordinated to successfully deliver regeneration and area improvements in collaboration with the local communities that will serve and benefit.   | Main Modification. Provide a clear definition of growth corridors, growth nodes, regeneration nodes, strategic areas of regeneration in the supporting text to Policy OL1. (AP10) |
| MM12 | Paragraph<br>3.18 | 3.18 It is imperative that everyone in Lewisham is able to share in the opportunities and benefits that growth and regeneration bring. The Council will continue to work with its key stakeholders and development industry partners to deliver the infrastructure Lewisham's neighbourhoods and communities need. This includes maintaining the valued partnerships with neighbouring boroughs.   | Minor modification to highlight the on-going partnerships with neighbouring boroughs.   |

|   | We have prepared an Infrastructure Delivery Plan (IDP) which will be used to inform planning and investment decisions. This will   |   |
|---|--|---|
| Dort Two Managing De                            | help us to secure infrastructure where and when it is needed. Further details are set out in Part 4 of the Local Plan.   |   |
| Part Two – Managing De Chapter 5 – High Quality |  |   |
| MM13 Policy QD1                                 | QD1 Delivering high quality design in Lewisham   | Modifications to identify the   |
| William I only QD I                             | Strategic Policy   | strategic status of the policy and the strategic objectives to  |
|   | Strategic Objectives:  | which it relates. (AP7)   |
|   | A An open Lewisham as part of an open London Borough of Lewisham,  | To remove repetition and  |
|   | D A greener borough,   | acknowledge the National  |
|   | F Celebrating our local identity,  | Design Guide. (AP80)  |
|   | G Healthy and safe communities.  | 2 3 3 9 1 2 4 1 4 5 7 1 5 5 7 1 5 5 7 1 5 5 7 1 5 5 7 1 5 7 |
|   | Using the design-led approach  | To aid the clarity of the new Local Plan then the following   |
|   | A Development proposals must follow a design-led approach that considers supporting guidance provided by the National Design   | minor modifications could be  |
|   | Guide to contribute to delivering high quality, inclusive, safe, healthy, liveable and sustainable neighbourhoods in Lewisham-This                                       | introduced to Policy QD1 Part   |
|   | requires the consideration of design options at the early stage of the development process informed by an understanding of the site                                      | C.  |
|   | and its local context, including through effective engagement with the local community. These design options should then be used to                                      | Modification to Policy OD1 Port   |
|   | determine the most appropriate form of development that responds positively to the local context, along with the optimal use of land                                     | Modification to Policy QD1 Part J (b) to avoid any implication  |
|   | to and support the delivery of the spatial strategy for the Borough.   | that obtaining pre-application  |
|   |  | advice is mandatory.  |
|   | Distinctive and valued places  |   |
|   |  | Modification to clarify   |
|   | B Development proposals must demonstrate an understanding of the site context and respond positively to Lewisham's local   | expectations for public   |
|   | distinctiveness by providing for buildings, spaces and places that reinforce and enhance local character. This includes the special                                      | engagement.   |
|   | and distinctive visual, historical, environmental, social and functional qualities of places that contribute to local character, identity,                               | Additional modification to  |
|   | sense of community and belonging.  | Additional modification to correct typographic error.   |
|   | C To successfully respond to local distinctiveness development proposals must be designed to address:  | conect typographic error.   |
|   | a. Natural features including trees, landscape, ecology, biodiversity, topography, open spaces, waterways, drainage and flood risk;                                      |   |
|   | b. The prevailing or emerging form of development (including urban grain, building typology, morphology and the hierarchy of   |   |
|   | streets, routes and other spaces);   |   |
|   | c. The proportion of development (including height, scale, mass and bulk) within the site, its immediate vicinity and the surrounding                                    |   |
|   | area;  |   |
|   | d. Building lines along with the orientation of and spacing between buildings; e. Strategic and local views, views in and out of a site <del>vistas</del> and landmarks; |   |
|   | f. Townscape features;   |   |
|   | g. The significance of heritage assets and their setting;  |   |
|   | h. Architectural styles, detailing and materials that contribute to local character; and   |   |
|   | i. Microclimate and noise, air and water quality; and  |   |
|   | j. <u>Uses including community facilities</u> , cultural assets and local facilities.  |   |
|   | Places for people  |   |
|   |  |   |

D Development proposals must put people at the centre of the design-led approach, ensuring buildings and spaces are welcoming, inclusive, safe and accessible to people of all backgrounds, ages and abilities. Development should be designed and built to a human scale by responding to the ways in which people move through, engage with and experience their surroundings.

E Development proposals must be designed to facilitate good physical and mental health, support the wellbeing of the population and foster community cohesion by providing:

- a. Buildings and spaces that are inclusive, intuitive to use, comfortable, safe and secure;
- b. A high quality public realm that maintains and wherever possible enhances access to green and open spaces;
- c. Positive and active frontages that generate visual interest and which have a positive relationship with the public realm, particularly at the street-level;
- d. Well-integrated, dedicated space and equipment for relaxation, social interaction and physical activity, including where appropriate space for play and informal recreation; and
- e. A high standard of amenity.

Well-functioning and resilient places

F Development proposals must be well-integrated within their neighbourhood. They must provide a positive and coherent relationship with all land uses and spaces within the site and its surroundings having r4egard regard to:

- a. The compatibility of land-uses and activities within and surrounding the development;
- b. The need to ensure that neighbourhoods are well-connected both by encouraging and enabling movement by walking, cycling and the use of public transport; and
- c. The efficient servicing and effective management of buildings and the public realm, including for delivery and servicing vehicles.

G Development must be appropriately supported by infrastructure. Development proposals will be expected to consider, and be linked to, the provision of future planned levels of infrastructure along with the timing of the delivery of this infrastructure. Where there is insufficient capacity of existing infrastructure to support a development proposal, applicants will be required to work with infrastructure providers to ensure sufficient capacity will exist at the appropriate time, including through the phasing of development.

H Development proposals must be designed to mitigate climate change and integrate adaptation measures to make neighbourhoods and properties more resilient to its impacts, including by maximising opportunities for urban greening, with reference to other Local Plan policies.

Delivering high quality development

I Development proposals must include a Design and Access Statement to demonstrate how the design-led approach has been applied to deliver high quality development.

- J Development proposals will be expected to have regard to and address:
- a. Supplementary Planning Documents and Guidance published by the Council and the Mayor of London respectively, along with other good practice guidance; and
- b. Feedback Any feedback received from the Council including through its Pre-application Advice Service and where appropriate, Lewisham's independent Design Review Panel.

|      |               | K Applicants should work closely with local communities and others likely to be affected by new development to understand the local and distinctive context of the site, as well as to consider design options that respond positively to this context. Development proposals that can demonstrate Public engagement with the local community and other key stakeholders should occur early on and at key stages in the design process for a scheme and be proactive and inclusive. and effective engagement with the local community and other key stakeholders will be considered more favourably than those that cannot.   |  |
|------|---------------|---|--|
| MM14 | Paragraph 5.5 | We will publish The Council will consider additional a package of planning design guidance and design codes to support the implementation of the Local Plan as required and to ensure clarity in our expectations for new development. Key existing design guidance is documents are signposted throughout the Local Plan. They This should be read in conjunction with the National Design Guide, The London Plan, the suite of London Plan Supplementary Planning Guidance, London Plan Guidance and other sources of good practice guidance, where appropriate, including the Government's National Design Guide and that published by Historic England. Development proposals should provide the following ten characteristics of well-designed places, as described in the National Design Guide:  - Context – enhances the surroundings - Identity – attractive and distinctive - Built form – a coherent pattern of development - Movement – accessible and easy to move around - Nature – enhanced and optimised - Public spaces – safe, social and inclusive - Uses – mixed and integrated - Homes and buildings – functional, healthy and sustainable - Resources – efficient and resilient - Lifespan – made to last | Modification to paragraph, introduced to aid clarity.  Modification to provide clear link to the National Design Guide. (AP80)   |
| MM15 | Policy QD2    | QD2 Inclusive and safe design  Non-strategic Policy  Strategic Objectives: G Healthy and safe communities.  A It is imperative that people of all backgrounds, ages and abilities are able to move with ease throughout the Borough, and within buildings and spaces, as well as to feel safe in their surroundings wherever they are. Development proposals must include an An Inclusive Design Statement should be a component of Design and Access Statement when a Design and Access Statement is required, development. An Inclusive Design Statement-should to demonstrate how they the design of a scheme will contribute to delivering inclusive, accessible, safe and secure environments in Lewisham.  B Development proposals must respond positively to the diversity and varied needs of Lewisham's population and promote social cohesion by:  a. Ensuring buildings and spaces are designed to be entered, used and exited safely, easily and with dignity for all;  b. Ensuring buildings and spaces are designed to be inclusive to all with no disabling barriers that inhibit, restrict or prevent convenient access and use, including by occupants of different tenure types;                              | Modifications to identify the strategic status of the policy and the strategic objectives to which it relates. (AP7)  Modification to Part A introduced to aid clarity in relation to inclusive design statements. |

|      |            | c. Incorporating measures that allow for easy adaptation of buildings and spaces to help meet the different and changing needs of users over the lifetime of the development;  d. Delivering a high quality public realm, in line with Policy QD3 (Public realm and connecting places); and  e. Applying 'Secured by Design' principles.  C Where development proposals incorporate perimeter or external gates, the use of these must be justified for reasons of public health and safety. Where gates are considered by the Council to be acceptable in principle, these must be of a high quality design and sensitively integrated into the development. Planning contributions and/or legal agreements may be used to secure the appropriate management of gates, and to help ensure they do not unnecessarily restrict public access to buildings and spaces, including the public realm.  Accessible and inclusive housing  D To help ensure that housing is designed to meet the varied requirements of Lewisham's resident population development |   |
|------|------------|---|---|
|      |            | proposals incorporating new residential units must ensure that: a. At least 10 per cent of dwellings meet Building Regulation requirement M4(3) 'wheelchair user dwellings'; and b. All other dwellings meet Building Regulation requirement M4(2) 'accessible and adaptable dwellings'.  E Development proposals for housing must be designed to maximise tenure integration and be 'tenure neutral', having regard to the National Design Guide or latest equivalent.   |   |
|      |            | F Where development proposals for housing include provision of communal private amenity space or facilities this should be designed and appropriately managed in a way that allows for access by all residents occupying the development, regardless of tenure.   |   |
|      |            | Adapting historic buildings and other heritage assets G Where adaptations to an historic building or other heritage asset is proposed to make the building or space more inclusive and safe, development proposals will be supported where they preserve or enhance the significance of the asset and its setting.  |   |
| MM16 | Policy QD3 | QD3 Public realm and connecting places  Non-strategic Policy  Strategic Objectives:   | Modifications to identify the strategic status of the policy and the strategic objectives to which it relates. (AP7)      |
|      |            | Strategic Objectives:  D A greener borough,  F Celebrating our local identity,  G Healthy and safe communities,  H Securing the timely delivery of infrastructure.  | Modification to clarify how the scale of proposals will be duly considered as part of the decision-taking process. (AP81) |
|      |            | A Development proposals must use the design-led approach to secure a high quality high-quality public realm. They must respond positively to the role of the public realm in contributing to local distinctiveness and supporting inclusive, safe, accessible, attractive and well-connected places and spaces.   | Additional Modifications to correct typographic errors.   |
|      |            | B Development proposals must respond positively to the movement and connective function of the public realm. They should be designed to enable and encourage movement by walking, cycling and the use of public transport, and also seek to reduce vehicular  |   |

dominance and speeds. Proposals must ensure that the public realm provide for coherent relationships with surrounding buildings and land-uses, and good connections within and between neighbourhoods.

C Development proposals must address legibility and permeability of the public realm, both within a site as well as its immediate and wider surroundings, taking account of the movement patterns and desire lines of people within an area. Consideration should be given to the location of street crossings and other measures to promote safe access for all, such as way-finding markers and signage, external lighting, ramps, lifts, dedicated cycle lanes, bridges, underpasses and, where appropriate, railway arches.

D Development proposals must investigate and maximise opportunities to enhance the public realm. They should seek to:

- a. Improve connections to existing or planned transport and community infrastructure, including open space;
- b. Make provision for cycle parking infrastructure and bus stops:
- c. Enhance and where appropriate help to reinstate connections that make a positive contribution to the locality, including those that are of local importance and historic significance;
- d. Integrate wider pavements, or widen pavements where these already exist:
- e. Avoid or remove barriers that unnecessarily impede or restrict movement and accessibility, and adversely impact on public safety; and
- f. Integrate trees and other urban greening measures.

E Development proposals should be designed to establish or reinforce a clearly defined public realm that helps to support the function of different uses within an area and protects local amenity.

F Development proposals should deliver a vibrant public realm that promotes opportunities for relaxation, social interaction and physical activity for people of all ages and abilities. They should seek to create welcoming environments that attract people into public spaces and encourage their enjoyment within them during different times of the day and night, and throughout the year. This includes consideration of how the local microclimatic impacts on people's health and comfort. Development proposals must make provision for public realm that is appropriate and proportionate to the uses(s) use(s) involved along with the location, nature and scale of development, including consideration for:


- a. Public conveniences, including toilets and changing facilities, particularly for families with children and those with specialist needs;
- b. Free drinking water fountains;
- c. Sensitively integrated lighting;
- d. Shading and shelter to protect and provide comfort from direct sunlight, rain and wind:
- e. Public art;
- f. Benches and other types of seating;
- g. Formal and informal play space, addressing the needs of people of different ages and abilities; and
- h. Adaptable space to support events and activities (such as markets, civic and cultural events) and infrastructure to support these, such as connections to power and water.

G Public realm should be sustainability designed and constructed, including by maximising opportunities for urban greening and mitigating the impacts of climate change, with reference to other Local Plan policies. Priority should be given to the use of high quality and durable materials, with permeable or semi-permeable surfaces integrated wherever possible. Public art

H Development proposals, particularly for major development, should investigate opportunities to integrate public art to enhance the legibility of the public realm, enhance the distinctiveness of buildings and spaces, and to help to foster a sense of place. The use of local artists for public art commissions is strongly encouraged.

|      |            | I Public art, including installations, proposed to be integrated as part of a development, or within the public realm, should be appropriately located in a prominent position and be sensitively sited and/or fixed to a building in a manner that:  a. Responds positively to the site context and local character, including historic character and the significance of heritage assets; b. Enhances the legibility of the public realm; and c. Does not adversely impact on amenity.  J Where public art is proposed to be provided, the location, siting and general design of the art, along with long-term management and maintenance arrangements, must be agreed by the Council prior to its installation.  Effectively managing the public realm  K Development proposals must ensure that appropriate management and maintenance arrangements are in place for the public realm. Where provision is made for privately owned public space this should be managed in the same manner as public space, ensuring the space is inclusive and access is not unreasonably restricted. Management Plans will be required for Major development and other proposals with significant elements of public realm. Planning contributions and/or legal agreements may be used to secure the appropriate management of the public realm.  |   |
|------|------------|---|---|
| MM17 | Policy QD4 | QD4 Building heights  Strategic Policy  Strategic Objectives:  B Housing tailored to the community with genuinely affordable homes,  F Celebrating our local identity.  A Tall buildings are substantially taller than their surroundings and cause a significant change to the skyline. Within Lewisham Tall Buildings are defined as buildings which are 10 storeys or 32.8 meters measured from the ground level to the top of the building (including any rooftop equipment), or greater. Development proposals for tall buildings will be assessed against and must comply with London Plan policy D9 (Tall buildings) and the following:  B Tall buildings should only be developed in locations identified as appropriate for tall buildings on the Policies Map (i.e. Tall Building Suitability Zones), Development proposals for tall buildings outside of these zones will generally be resisted.  C Within those locations identified as appropriate for tall buildings, the maximum height of buildings shall not normally be more than:  a. 80.8 meters (25 storeys) to 151.2 meters (48 storeys) in Deptford / North Deptford  b. 52.0 meters (16 storeys) to 112.8 meters (35 storeys) in Lewisham Town Centre  c. 39.2 meters (12 storeys) to 64.8 meters (20 storeys) in Catford  d. 64.8 meters (20 storeys) to 96.8m (30 storeys) in Deptford Creekside  e. 32.8 meters (10 storeys) to 34.8 meters (15 storeys) in New Cross and New Cross Gate  f. 32.8 meters (10 storeys) to 52.0 meters (15 storeys) in Bellingham and Lee Green  g. 39.2 meters (12 storeys) to 52.0 meters (16 storeys) in Lower Sydenham / Bell Green proposed opportunity area. Refer to figures  5.3 to 5.10 for further details.  Although maximum heights are provided for each of the Tall Building Suitability Zones, proposals will still be expected to include robust design justifications for the heights proposed. This will include the testing of possible impacts upon key views. | Modifications to identify the strategic status of the policy and the strategic objectives to which it relates. (AP7)  Modification to provide further clarity of other possible factors that may inform decision-taking on the height of tall new buildings. This consideration to include the possible impact on the specific factor of existing trees. (AP82) |

|            | D Development proposals for tall buildings will only be permitted where they are in a Tall Building Suitability Zone, align with the appropriate height ranges set out above and it is demonstrated that the development:  |   |
|------------|--|---|
|            | a. Will contribute to delivery of, and is not at odds with, the spatial strategy for the Borough; b. Is of an exceptionally good design and architectural quality; c. Is sensitive to the site's immediate and wider context with reference to Figure 5.2 (Tall Building Sensitivity Plan), including the distinctiveness of Thames Policy Area in line with Policy LNA4 (Thames Policy Area and Deptford Creekside); Conservation Areas; Listed Buildings, The Maritime Greenwich World Heritage Site the London View Management Framework, Strategic and Local Views and Landmarks; Areas characterised by consistent building heights and topography. Some sites within more sensitive areas may still be identified as appropriate for tall buildings further to granular analysis of the sites and their immediate context and sensitivities.  This is inclusive of any possible impacts upon existing trees. |   |
|            | d. Will not result in any unacceptable adverse visual, functional, environmental and cumulative impacts, with reference to the requirements of London Plan policy D9 (Tall Buildings); e. Will make a positive contribution to the townscape and skyline; f. Will not adversely impact on strategic and local views, vistas and landmarks, including strategic background views, with reference to Policy QD5 (View management); g. Will preserve or enhance the significance of heritage assets and their setting; and h. Provides a high quality public realm in line with Policy QD3 (Public realm and connecting places). Where appropriate, development will be required to make provision for free to enter, publicly-accessible areas that are incorporated into the building.  |   |
|            | E Development proposals for tall buildings should incorporate sensitively designed measures to ensure public safety at height such as barriers, rails and anti-climb equipment. These must be considered as part of the overall design-led approach and contribute positively to the skyline.  |   |
|            | F Tall buildings must be delivered through a masterplan process in order to ensure that they are appropriately located, designed to a high quality standard and effectively managed over the lifetime of the development. The requirements for masterplans are set out in Policy DM4 (Masterplans and comprehensive development).  |   |
| Figure 5.1 | Figure 5.1: Tall Building suitability plan  Amend the extent of the tall building suitability designation in accordance with the submitted comments – amend plan to address identified error, which appears to show the tall building suitability designation extending beyond the Borough boundary into Bromley.  Show land to porth of Achilles Street as being included within an appropriate location for tall buildings, so that the boundary reflects  | Modification to address factual error – as identified by the London Borough of Bromley.  Minor modification to correspond with employment   |
|            | that shown in Lewisham's North Area Site Allocation 13 Achilles Street.  | land use site allocation.   |
| Figure 5.6 | Figure 5.6 Catford tall building suitability zone  | Modifications to provide clarity  |
|            | Amend the tall building suitability zone for Laurence House to exclude the overflow car park.  | and reflect evidence. Namely,<br>the removal of Laurence House<br>overflow car park from the tall   |
|            | Amend the extent of the tall building suitability zone for Catford Island to identify that the site's periphery has capacity for a maximum of 16 storeys rather than 6 storeys.  | building suitability zone.<br>(AP136)   |
|            | J  | appropriate height ranges set out above and it is demonstrated that the development:  a. Will contribute to delivery of, and is not at odds with, the spatial strategy for the Borough; b. Is of an exceptionally good design and architectural quality; c. Is sensitive to the site is immediate and wider context with reference to Figure 5.2 (Tall Building Sensitivity Plan), including the distinctiveness of Thames Policy Area and Deptord Creekside); Conservation Areas; Listed Buildings. The Maritime Greenwich World Heintage Site the London View Management Framework, Strategic and Local Views and Landmarks; Areas characterised by consistent building heights and topography. Some sites within more sensitive areas may still be identified as appropriate for tall buildings further to granular analysis of the sites and their immediate context and sensitivities.  This is inclusive of any possible impacts upon existing trees.  d. Will not result in any unacceptable adverse visual, functional, environmental and cumulative impacts, with reference to the requirements of London Plan policy De (Tall Buildings); e. Will make a positive contribution to the townscape and skyline; f. Will not adversely impact on strategic and local views, vistas and landmarks, including strategic background views, with reference to Policy QD5 (View management); g. Will preserve or enhance the significance of heritage assets and their setting; and h. Provides a high quality public realm in line with Policy QD3 (Public realm and connecting places). Where appropriate, development will be required to make provision for free to enter, publicly-accessible areas that are incorporated into the building.  E Development proposals for tall buildings should incorporate sensitively designed measures to ensure public safety at height such as barriers, rails and anti-climb equipment. These must be considered as part of the overall design-led approach and contribute positively to the skyline.  Figure 5.1 Figure 5.1: Tall Building suitability designation in accordance |



|      |                   | character of London and contribute to the Borough's local distinctiveness - see Figure 5.11: London strategic views and Lewisham local views. The London View Management Framework Landmark viewing corridors and the London Views Management Framework wider setting consultation areas together make up the Protected Vistas of the London View Management Framework views and These strategic views, including their Protected Vistas, will be managed positively in conformity with the London Plan and the London View Management Framework LPG.  These strategic views, including their Protected Vistas, will be managed positively in line with the London Plan and its associated London View Management Framework.  B Local Landmarks within the Borough are strategically important to Lewisham's distinctiveness. Designated Local Landmarks, along with the vistas towards these, will be managed positively and are listed in Schedule 1 in Part 5.  C Development proposals must should not harm and, wherever possible, should seek to make a positive contribution to the characteristics and composition of London Strategic Views and Lewisham Local Views, including their protected vistas and landmark elements. Development proposals should also seek to preserve or where possible enhance the a viewer's ability to recognise and appreciate the view and the appreciation of landmark elements within these views  D Development proposals affecting London Strategic Views, Lewisham Local Views and Local Landmarks will be assessed having regard to their contribution to preserving and enhancing local distinctiveness and:  a. The need to ensure there is no detrimental impact on the foreground, middle ground and background of the designated view; and b. Compliance with the principles and policies for managing views set out in London Plan Policies HC3 (Strategic and Local Views) and HC4 (London View Management Framework).  E Development proposals should use the design-led approach to explore opportunities to enhance public access to viewing locations within the Bor | will be considered by decision-takers.  |
|------|-------------------|--|---|
| MM21 | Figure 5.11       | Figure 5.11: London strategic views and Lewisham local views  Correctly label the 'Local Views' in Figure 5.11 London strategic views and Lewisham local views in accordance with the Local Views listed in Schedule 1.  Remove the 'Workshop View Locations' and 'Workshop View Orientations' identified in Figure 5.11 London strategic views and Lewisham local views.  | Modifications to ensure factual consistency.  Modification is necessary as the identified workshop views are not sufficiently justified to merit retention within the new Local Plan. The Council is committed to undertake a comprehensive assessment of potentially important Local Views. (AP83) |
| MM22 | Paragraph<br>5.39 | There are also a number of important Lewisham Local Views that warrant protection and positive management. In addition, a number of Local Landmarks have been designated because they add to the distinctive character and quality of the Borough and provide points of visual interest. These Local Views and Landmarks are listed in Figure 5.11 and Part 5 of the Local Plan. The Council is committed to undertaking a comprehensive assessment of potential important Local Views as part of its on-going plan-making responsibilities. It is anticipated that this could include consideration of Views identified in Conservation Area Appraisals, and those identified during evidence gathering and public consultation stages of the local plan-making process. This assessment could be undertaken as part of an update to the Characterisation Study, or as a standalone piece of work to produce a separate Supplementary Planning Document and will involve further engagement with local stakeholders.  | Modification to signal the Council's commitment to review local views. This is in inclusive of revisiting the locally important views that had previously been identified as workshop views. (AP83)   |

| MM23 | Policy QD6        | QD6 Optimising site capacity  Non-strategic Policy  | Modifications to identify the strategic status of the policy and the strategic objectives to which it relates. (AP7)                             |
|------|-------------------|---|--|
|      |                   | Strategic Objectives:  B. Haveing to the community with gon vinely effordable homes   | Modification to provide further  |
|      |                   | B Housing tailored to the community with genuinely affordable homes,  F Celebrating our local identity.   | Modification to provide further clarity.   |
|      |                   | A Development proposals must use the design-led approach to make the best use of land and optimise the capacity of a site, with reference to Policy QD1 (Delivering high quality design in Lewisham).   |  |
|      |                   | B To establish the optimum capacity of a site consideration must be given to the appropriate development density having regard to:  |  |
|      |                   | <ul><li>a. The type and nature of uses proposed;</li><li>b. The site context, with reference to the site's immediate and surrounding area, taking into account:</li><li>i. Location setting;</li></ul>  |  |
|      |                   | ii. Local distinctiveness and character, including heritage assets, with consideration given to the prevailing and/or emerging form and proportion of development in the area;  |  |
|      |                   | c. Public Transport Access Levels, taking into account current levels and future levels expected to be achieved by the delivery of planned public transport infrastructure; and   |  |
|      |                   | d. Capacity of infrastructure to support the land uses and density proposed, having regard to the individual and cumulative impacts of development.   |  |
|      |                   | C Development parameters for specific sites are set out in this Local Plan (Part 3 - site allocations). Where development proposals do not accord with the indicative capacity set out in a site allocation policy, they will only be supported where it is clearly demonstrated the optimal capacity for the site will be achieved, having regard to (A) and (B) above.  |  |
| MM24 | Paragraph<br>5.44 | Development proposals must demonstrate how they will deliver the optimum capacity of a site. The optimum capacity is one that is derived through careful consideration of density taking into account the site's local character, the types of uses proposed, access to public transport and the infrastructure available to support the development. The optimum capacity is not the maximum capacity or density. The indicative capacities identified for the site allocations provide a starting point for this process and are not intended to be a cap on potential development quantum. Development proposals should provide evidence of an options appraisal, undertaken at the early stage of the design process, which demonstrates the approach to achieving the optimum capacity. This requirement may be applied flexibly taking into account the location, nature and scale of development. For instance, for householder and other smaller developments a planning statement may be sufficient. | Modification to provide further clarity as to how the identified indicative site allocation capacities will be considered during decision-taking |
| MM25 | Policy QD7        | QD7 Amenity and agent of change   | Modifications to identify the strategic status of the policy   |
|      |                   | Non-strategic Policy  | and the strategic objectives to which it relates. (AP7)  |
|      |                   | Strategic Objectives: C A thriving local economy that tackles inequalities,   | Modification to provide further  |
|      |                   | G Healthy and safe communities.   | clarity.   |
|      |                   | A Development proposals must clearly demonstrate how noise and other nuisances will be mitigated and managed.   |  |
|      |                   | B Development proposals must comply with the Agent of Change principle in accordance with the London Plan.  |  |

|      |            | C Development proposals must use the design-led approach to protect and wherever possible enhance amenity whilst ensuring no unacceptable adverse impact on amenity, both for users of the development and those properties likely to be affected by the development, by ensuring:  |  |
|------|------------|---|--|
|      |            | <ul> <li>a. Appropriate provision of privacy is made, ensuring development does not result in unreasonable levels of overlooking;</li> <li>b. Adequate provision for outlook, and demonstrate how this has been optimised;</li> <li>c. Adequate levels of ventilation, daylight, sunlight and open aspects including provision of private amenity space where appropriate;</li> <li>d. New noise sensitive development is sited away from existing noise generating uses and activities, or where this is not possible, providing adequate separation and acoustic design measures;</li> <li>e. Existing green and open spaces are maintained as tranquil and quiet areas; can effectively can effectively maintain their existing uses; and</li> <li>f. Development does not prejudice the use of playing fields.</li> </ul> |  |
|      |            | D A Noise Impact Assessment and/or Vibration Impact Assessment must be submitted with applications for developments likely to involve a significant noise or vibration generating use.  |  |
|      |            | Artificial lighting E Development proposals must be designed to mitigate and manage light pollution by ensuring that lighting is:   |  |
|      |            | <ul><li>a. Appropriate for its purpose in its setting; and</li><li>b. Designed and operated to minimise and control the level of illumination, glare, angle and spillage of light, particularly to protect sensitive receptors such as residential properties and natural habitats, including water habitats.</li></ul>   |  |
|      |            | Energy efficient.  F Development proposals adjacent to the River Thames must ensure that artificial lighting will not have an adverse impact on river navigation.   |  |
|      |            | G Development proposals should seek opportunities to enhance the function, safety and appearance of the public realm through sensitively integrated external lighting, where appropriate, having regard to (E) above.   |  |
|      |            | Considerate construction H All new developments must make reasonable efforts to mitigate and manage traffic generation along with noise and other nuisances during the construction phase. Applicants and/or developers are encouraged to register with the Considerate Constructors Scheme or equivalent. Major development proposals must submit a Construction Method and Management Plan.   |  |
| MM26 | Policy QD8 | QD8 High quality housing design   | Modifications to identify the strategic status of the policy |
|      |            | Non-strategic Policy  Strategic Objectives:   | and the strategic objectives to which it relates. (AP7)      |
|      |            | Strategic Objectives:  B Housing tailored to the community with genuinely affordable homes,  F Celebrating our local identity,  G Healthy and safe communities.   | Modifications to provide further clarity.                    |
|      |            | A High quality design is integral to ensuring housing that meets the diverse and changing needs of Lewisham residents over their lifetimes. All development proposals for housing must ensure provision for adequately-sized rooms and living spaces, comfortable   |  |

and functional layouts and well-integrated amenities. This includes development proposals for new-build housing, changes of use, alterations and extensions.

B Development proposals for housing must meet, and wherever possible seek to exceed, the housing standards set out in the London Plan, including the minimum space standards for:

- a. Private internal space, having regard to:
- i. Internal floor area and built-in storage area;
- ii. Bedroom size;
- iii. Ceiling height
- b. Private outside space, having regard to:
- i. Outside space adequate for the intended number of occupants;
- ii. Minimum depth and width of balconies or other private outdoor spaces;
- c. Communal amenity space; and
- d. Children's play space, having regard to Policy CI 3 (Play and informal recreation).
- C Development proposals for housing <u>must should</u> address the qualitative design aspects set out in the London Plan Policy D6 (Housing quality and standards) and corresponding Table 3.2 within the London Plan, covering the detailed considerations for:
- a. Layout, orientation and form;
- b. Outside amenity space; and
- c. Usability and ongoing maintenance.
- D Development proposals for housing must should be designed to be inclusive, accessible and safe to all, with reference to Policy QD2 (Inclusive and safe design).
- E Development proposals for housing must be designed to protect and enhance amenity of building occupants, as well as that of adjoining site users and uses, in line with Policy QD7 (Amenity and agent of change). They must ensure adequate provision of natural light with reference to the latest Building Research Establishment (BRE) good practice guidance, currently BR209: Site layout planning for daylight and sunlight, or suitable equivalent.
- F Development proposals for housing must be designed to be 'tenure blind' and 'tenure neutral' to ensure that houses across all tenures are indistinguishable from one another in terms of quality of design and materials, space standards, access and amenity provision. Further details on 'tenure neutral design are set out in Policy QD2 (Inclusive and safe design).
- G Development proposals for housing must should maximise the provision of dual aspect dwellings and seek to minimise the provision of single aspect dwellings, in particular north-facing dwellings. Proposals for single aspect dwellings, particularly north facing dwellings will be resisted and only be permitted where it can be suitably demonstrated that the development will provide a more appropriate design solution than a dual aspect dwelling, having: Proposals for single aspect dwellings should have particular regard to:
- a. Site or building size, layout and orientation;
- b. Outlook for occupiers;
- c. Microclimate management including for heating, cooling and ventilation; and
- d. Amenity including adequate privacy and protection against exposure to odour, noise, light and air pollution.

|      |                   | H The Small Sites SPD provides guidance on delivering housing on sites of 0.25 hectares or less and how to respond to common site constraints for infill sites within existing residential areas.  |  |
|------|-------------------|--|--|
| MM27 | Paragraph<br>5.67 | Dual aspect dwellings with opening windows on at least two sides have many benefits for the amenity of building occupiers, including for daylight, outlook and natural ventilation. Single aspect dwellings do not offer the same benefits, particularly for natural ventilation, which is problematic in terms of climate change adaptation. Single aspect dwellings should be avoided where they are north facing, contain three or more bedrooms, or exposed to noise and air pollution levels above which significant adverse effects on health and quality of life occur. The design of single aspect dwellings must demonstrate that all habitable rooms and the kitchen are provided with adequate passive ventilation, privacy, daylight and outlook, and that the unit's microclimate will be appropriately managed. Policy QD8 should be read in conjunction with Policy QD6 Optimising Sites. | Modification to provide further clarity.   |
| MM28 | Policy QD9        | QD9 Building alterations, extensions and basement development  Non-strategic Policy  | Modifications to identify the strategic status of the policy and the strategic objectives to                               |
|      |                   |  | which it relates. (AP7)  |
|      |                   | Strategic Objectives:  B Housing tailored to the community with genuinely affordable homes,  F Celebrating our local identity.   | Modifications that seek to provide further clarity and   |
|      |                   | A Development proposals for building alterations, extensions and basements must be designed to a high quality standard and have regard to the Council's Alterations and Extensions SPD.  | positive basis for decision-<br>taking – specifically in relation<br>to securing good-quality<br>development that provides |
|      |                   | B Development proposals for building alterations and extensions will only be supported where they:   | appropriate space standards for end users.   |
|      |                   | <ul> <li>a. Respect and complement the form, proportion, setting, period, architectural characteristics and detailing of the original building and the site;</li> <li>b. Use high quality, durable and matching or complementary materials;</li> <li>c. Maintain and wherever possible enhance, and do not adversely impact on, the architectural integrity of a group of buildings as a whole, or cause an incongruous element in terms of the important features of an area's character; and</li> </ul>  | Tor end users.   |
|      |                   | d. Do not adversely impact on, or result in the loss of, the amenity of neighbouring properties, including back gardens.   |  |
|      |                   | C Innovative and contemporary designs will only be supported where they are of an exceptional design quality and comply with (B) above.  |  |
|      |                   | D Roof extensions on the street frontage of a building, particularly within predominantly residential street, should be avoided in favour of extensions to the rear of the building. Development proposals for roof extensions on the street frontage will only be supported where it is demonstrated that there is a clear design rationale, a design options appraisal has been undertaken that demonstrates an extension to the rear of building is not feasible or appropriate, and other policies are satisfied.  |  |
|      |                   | E Residential extensions should retain an accessible and functional private garden area which is appropriate in size in relation to building and the intended number of occupants of the dwelling. Development proposals should seek to retain 50 per cent of the original garden area.  |  |
|      |                   | F New units or rooms created by a residential alteration or extension must meet and, where possible, exceed the housing quality standards outlined in Policy QD8 (High quality housing design). ensure adequate living and amenity space for all intended occupiers.   |  |
|      |                   | Basement development G Proposals for basement development must include a Basement Impact Assessment.   |  |

|      | 1           |  |   |
|------|-------------|--|---|
|      |             | H Basement development will enly be permitted where it can be suitably demonstrated that the development:  |   |
|      |             | a. Is sensitively integrated into the site, proportionate to host building (including the original building in the case of a basement extension) and avoids harm to local and historical character;  |   |
|      |             | b. Will not adversely impact on the structural stability of the host building, neighbouring properties, infrastructure and the public realm, taking into account local geology;  |   |
|      |             | c. Will not result an increase to flood risk whilst ensuring users of the development will be safe from all sources of flooding. d. Will not adversely impact on the natural environment; and  |   |
|      |             | e. Will not adversely impact on the amenity of neighbouring properties on occupation and use, and minimise impacts on amenity during construction.   |   |
|      |             | I Proposals for residential basement development extending beneath the garden area must demonstrate that:  |   |
|      |             | a. There will be no loss of or harm to trees of value, including amenity and townscape value,; and b. The development will maintain adequate soil depth satisfactory for landscaping, taking into account impacts on and requirements of neighbouring properties.  |   |
|      |             | J Development proposals for basements including habitable rooms for housing, or other sensitive uses, must ensure safe access and egress for all likely users of the development.  |   |
|      |             | K Development proposals for basements including toilets, bathrooms or other waste outlets must install an appropriate pumped device to protect occupiers and the property from sewer flooding.   |   |
|      |             | Light wells K Development proposals for light wells must respect the architectural and historical character of the host building and its wider setting, and not adversely impact on the amenity of neighbouring properties. Light wells should be sensitively integrated and designed to avoid the loss of amenity space, including garden land. Proposals that will result in an excessive or harmful loss of amenity space will be resisted. |   |
| MM29 | Policy QD10 | QD10 Infill and backland sites, garden land and amenity areas  | Modifications to identify the strategic status of the policy      |
|      |             | Non-strategic Policy   | and the strategic objectives to which it relates. (AP7)           |
|      |             | Strategic Objectives:  | ` ,   |
|      |             | B Housing tailored to the community with genuinely affordable homes,   | Modifications to provide further                                  |
|      |             | F Celebrating our local identity.  | clarity as to how local context, specifically possible impacts on |
|      |             | A Well-designed development Development proposals on infill and backland sites, garden land (including back gardens) and   | the visual character and  |
|      |             | amenity areas that are sensitive to local context can play an important role in delivering new housing as set out in Policy HO2  | appearance of the wider   |
|      |             | (Optimising the use of small housing sites). Development proposals will enly be supported where:   | townscape will be considered through decision-taking.             |
|      |             | a. The use is appropriate to the site and will not result in an unreasonable adverse impact on the amenity of neighbouring land uses   |   |
|      |             | and properties, including their rear gardens;  b. The requirements for Neighbourhood Open Space are adequately addressed, where relevant, with reference to Policy GP3 (Open   |   |
|      |             | b. The requirements for Neighbourhood Open Space are adequately addressed, where relevant, with reference to Policy GR3 (Open Space); and  |   |
|      |             | c. The development has a clear urban design rationale, having regard to the Council's Small Sites SPD.   |   |
|      |             |  |   |

|                  | B Where the requirements of (A) above are satisfied, development proposals must:  a. Be of a high quality design and respond positively to the site context and local character, including historical character;  b. Be sensitively integrated into the site, including by responding to the sizes and proportions of adjoining and neighbouring buildings, as well as the spaces between buildings;  c. Retain trees and integrate high quality landscaping, in line with Policy GR5 (Urban Greening and Trees);  d. Ensure safe and convenient access for all users of the development, and e. Make adequate arrangements for servicing the building and site.  Infill sites  C Development proposals within street frontages and on street corners will only be supported where they:  a. Make a positive contribution to local character, including historical character; particularly by responding to the distinctive character of the street and street frontage;  b. Maximise opportunities to repair harmful breaks restore local character and repair street frontages, or the appearance of existing buildings which detract from the positive local character of the street frontage;  c. Are sensitively integrated into the street frontage, including by respecting the proportions and spaces of and between existing buildings; and  d. Retain appropriate garden space for adjacent residential properties.  Backland sites  D Development proposals on backland sites will only be supported where they E de should not introduce gates or other design features that unnecessarily restrict or prevent public access to or through the site unless they are required for security and privacy.  Garden land (including back gardens)  E Garden land makes an important contribution to the character and amenity of Lewisham's neighbourhoods, and often has biodiversity value. The use of garden land for new development should therefore be avoided.  F Development proposals that will result in the loss of garden land, including private back gardens of perimeter blocks, will be strongly resist |  |
|------------------|--|--|
|                  |  |  |
|                  | Amenity areas G Development proposals on amenity areas of landscaped open space adjoining existing residential buildings will only be supported where they:  |  |
|                  | <ul><li>a. Repair, reinstate or re-provide active street frontages;</li><li>b. Retain existing private garden space; and</li><li>c. Apply inclusive and safe design principles, and seek opportunities to enhance natural surveillance.</li></ul>  |  |
| MM30 Policy QD11 | QD11 Shopfronts  | Modifications to identify the  |
|                  | Non-strategic Policy   | strategic status of the policy<br>and the strategic objectives to<br>which it relates. (AP7) |
|                  | Strategic Objectives:  | Willow Reformed St. (1817)   |

|      |                   | C A thriving local economy that tackles inequalities. F Celebrating our local identity.  A Shopfronts (including their signs, canopies and security installations) must be designed to a high quality standard. Development proposals for shopfronts will only be supported where they do not adversely impact on local character, amenity and public safety.  B Development proposals for new shopfronts or alterations to existing shopfronts must: a. Retain, refurbish or reinstate shopfronts, or associated elements of architectural interest where these contribute to the distinctive visual or historic character of a building, townscape or area; b. Be of a proportion, scale and quality that responds to the character of the host building and, where relevant, adjoining properties; c. Use high quality materials and colours that are sensitive to local character; and d. Retain or provide glazed shop windows.  C Within Conservation Areas and residential areas, internally illuminated box fascia signs and projecting signs will not be permitted unless they successfully relate to the design and detailing of buildings and contribute positively to the distinctive character of a group of buildings or street.  D Development proposals for open shopfronts without a stall riser and glazed screen will be resisted.  E Development proposals for shopfront canopies that are fixed in the 'down' position will be resisted. Retractable canopies may be acceptable where they are designed to provide sufficient clearance.  F Shopfront security features, including roller grilles and shutters, must not be visually intrusive, create blank frontages or detract from the character of the host building and townscape. Where such installations are considered necessary development proposals should seek to use internally located, open mesh security shutters and boxes.  G Where proposals require a new shopfront as part of a mixed-use scheme, including re-provision of an existing unit, development will be expected to make provision for shopfronts must apply inclusive a | Modification to provide further clarity on how decision-taking will consider proposals that relate to heritage assets. |
|------|-------------------|--|--|
|      |                   | I For shopfronts in Conservation Areas and Areas of Special Local Character or of Listed or Locally Listed Buildings, original historic shopfront windows and framework features should be retained or restored, where appropriate.  |  |
| MM31 | Paragraph<br>5.89 | Contemporary shopfront designs will be supported in appropriate locations. All new and altered shopfronts should apply inclusive and safe design principles. If a shopfront is replaced or altered, the design should respect the characteristics of the host building and. For shopfronts in Conservation Areas and Areas of Special Local Character or of Listed or Locally Listed Buildings, where appropriate, original historic shopfront windows and framework features should be retained or restored, where appropriate.   | Modification to provide further clarity on how decision-taking will consider proposals that relate to heritage assets. |
| MM32 | Policy QD12       | QD12 Outdoor advertisements, digital displays and hoardings  Non-strategic Policy  | Modifications to identify the strategic status of the policy and the strategic objectives to which it relates. (AP17)  |
|      |                   | Strategic Objectives: F Celebrating our local identity.  | Additional modifications to correct typographic errors.  |

|         |                | A Outdoor advertisements, digital displays and hoardings should contribute to attractive and safe environments. Development proposals for these types of installations will be supported where they are designed to a high quality high-quality standard, appropriately sited, and adequately maintained throughout their operation to ensure:  a. There is no adverse impact on local character, appearance or visual amenity on the site or surrounding area;  b. Heritage assets and their setting and preserved or enhanced;  c. They do not result in the unsightly proliferation or dominance of signage and displays in the vicinity of the site;  d. There is no harm to public amenity, including by way of excessive illumination and visual intrusion of light pollution into adjoining or neighbouring properties and public spaces;  e. There is no adverse impact on public or highway safety; and  f. There is no harmful impact on trees, especially those with Tree Protection Preservation Orders (TPOs). |  |
|---------|----------------|---|--|
| Chapter | r 6 – Heritage |   |  |
| MM33    | Policy HE1     | HE1 Lewisham's historic environment   | Modifications to identify the  |
|         |                | Strategic Policy Strategic Objectives:  | strategic status of the policy<br>and the strategic objectives to<br>which it relates. (AP7) |
|         |                | Strategic Objectives:  A An open Lewisham as part of an open London Borough of Lewisham,  | Modifications to provide clarity   |
|         |                | F Celebrating our local identity.   | on designated and non-   |
|         |                | 1 Celebrating our local identity.   | designated heritage assets.  |
|         |                | A The Council will seek to preserve or enhance the value and significance of Lewisham's historic environment and its setting by: a. Collaborating with stakeholders to identify, assess, monitor and review heritage assets and understand their importance to both the Borough and the nation;   | (AP84)   |
|         |                | b. Celebrating Lewisham's historic environment and ensuring that it is central to reinforcing sense of place and place making; c. Ensuring the significance of the Borough's heritage assets is fully understood, positively valued and that their contribution to sustainable neighbourhoods and communities is recognised, including by preparing a Heritage Strategy;  |  |
|         |                | d. Requiring that heritage meaningfully informs the design of development proposals, and only supporting development that preserves or enhances the significance of heritage assets and their setting;  |  |
|         |                | e. Promoting heritage-led regeneration and urban renewal as a means to retain, reveal or reinstate significant aspects of the Borough's historic environment;   |  |
|         |                | f. Requiring development proposals to demonstrate that all reasonable measures have been investigated to avoid harm to heritage assets; and   |  |
|         |                | g. Using planning powers available to appropriately manage new development in sensitive places and to remedy harmful unauthorised works.  |  |
|         |                | B All development proposals in the historic environment should assess whether the site, building or structure is - or could be - identified as a heritage asset i.e. a building, monument, site, place, area or landscape identified as having a degree of heritage significance meriting consideration in planning decisions. This includes assets that are designated (e.g. Listed Buildings,   |  |
|         |                | Conservation Areas, Registered Parks and Gardens, Scheduled Ancient Monuments) as well as those that are non-designated (e.g. Locally Listed Buildings, Areas of Special Local Character and Archaeological Priority Areas). The Council will consider the  |  |
|         |                | significance of the asset and the impact of the proposals on its special interest. Any harm should be clearly and convincingly justified and will be weighed against the public benefit of the proposal.  |  |
|         |                | C Proposals for works that could impact on a heritage asset are required to be accompanied by a Heritage Statement. This should be compiled with reference to relevant and available sources of historic environment information, including Conservation Area Appraisals, and:  |  |
|         |                | a. Explain the significance of the asset and its setting;   |  |

| MM34 Paragraph 6.      |   | Modifications to provide clarity  |
|------------------------|---|---|
|                        | designated heritage assets through the development process. For example, new information may be revealed by local groups through the consultation process or during preliminary site investigations undertaken by an applicant. This may also apply to those heritage assets of an archaeological nature, which may only become revealed and understood through the development process. In these circumstances we may seek amendments to proposals to ensure that the significance of an asset is appropriately preserved or enhanced.   | on designated and non-<br>designated heritage assets –<br>specifically in relation to<br>archaeological interest.<br>(AP84)   |
| MM35 Paragraph<br>6.10 | Proposals that may cause harm to the significance of heritage assets should be clearly and convincingly justified through the information provided in the Heritage Statement. Where the loss or harm to a heritage asset is suitably justified and planning consent is granted, a programme of building recording of a level appropriate to the significance of the building and the extent of loss may be required. Archaeology revealed through the delivery of development will also be recorded. Such measures will help to mitigate impacts of development and will normally be sought by way of a planning condition.   | Modifications to provide clarity on designated and non-designated heritage assets – specifically in relation to archaeological interest. (AP84)   |
| MM36 Policy HE2        | HE2 Designated heritage assets  Strategic Policy  Strategic Objectives:  A An open Lewisham as part of an open London Borough of Lewisham, F Celebrating our local identity.  Maritime Greenwich World Heritage Site Buffer Zone A Development proposals affecting the Maritime Greenwich World Heritage Site Buffer Zone must protect and preserve the significance, integrity and authenticity of the Site's 'Outstanding Universal Value', including its setting and the views to and from it. All proposals will be considered having regard to the Maritime Greenwich World Heritage Site Management Plan and the official UNESCO Statement of Outstanding Universal Value.  Conservation Areas B Within Conservation Areas development proposals (including alterations and extensions to existing buildings) will only be supported where they:  a. Preserve or enhance the special character and or appearance of the Conservation Area having particular regard to: i. Townscape, buildings, rooflines and the relationships between buildings; ii. Plot coverage and open spaces, including gardens; iii. Scale, form, elevational hierarchy, fenestration pattern, ornamentation and materials; iv. Trees, topography, boundaries and other landscape features; and v. Views, from the public and private realm, including streetscape views and views across rear gardens and public open spaces; | Modifications to identify the strategic status of the policy and the strategic objectives to which it relates. (AP7)  Modification to ensure that approach towards the designated heritage assets closely aligns with the NPPF.  Modification to clarify the position in respects of national planning policy guidance and the public benefits test. (AP86)  Modifications to provide further clarity as to how possible harm, to heritage assets, will be considered through the decision-taking process. (AP87)  Modification to clarify how proposals that could impact on Scheduled Monuments will be |

- b. Do not result in an adverse impact on the special characteristics of a Conservation Area, taking into account if the development in isolation would lead to minor degree of harm but cumulatively the degree of harm would be greater and would adversely affect the character and appearance of the Conservation Area. Any harm to the Conservation Area must be clearly and convincingly justified and demonstrated to be outweighed by public benefit.
- c. Development proposals that would result in substantial harm to the significance of a Conservation Area and its setting will be strongly resisted, in line with national planning policy.
- d. Development does not individually, or cumulatively, harm the character or appearance, or significance of the Conservation Area.
- C Development proposals for bin sheds and bike stores should be located at the side or rear of properties where a front access to the side and rear and sufficient space exists.
- D Development proposals for the retention, refurbishment and reinstatement of features that are important to the significance of a Conservation Area will be supported. The Council will require the retention of architectural and landscaping features, such as front gardens and boundary walls, important to an area's character or appearance, if necessary, by the use of Article 4 Directions.
- E Proposals for the redevelopment of sites, buildings and structures that detract from the special characteristics significance of a Conservation Area will only be supported where they will complement and positively impact on the character and significance of the area.
- F Development proposals involving the demolition of buildings or structures that <u>have been identified to</u> make a positive contribution to the character or appearance of a Conservation Area will be resisted. <u>Assessment of proposals will be in accordance with the NPPF.</u>
- G Development proposals on sites adjacent to a Conservation Area must not have a negative impact on the setting or significance of the Conservation Area.

#### Listed Buildings

- H Development proposals that would result in substantial harm to the significance of a Listed Building and its setting will be strongly resisted, in line with the NPPF. Any harm must be clearly and convincingly justified, and demonstrated to be outweighed by public benefit, in accordance with the NPPF.
- I Development proposals involving Listed Buildings (including alterations, extensions, refurbishment and repairs) will only be supported where:
- a. They relate sensitively to the building, and preserve or enhance its significance;
- b. The setting of Listed Building is preserved or enhanced to better reveal the significance of the asset;
- c. Important views, both of and from the Listed Building are protected; and
- d. Less than substantial harm to the Listed Building is avoided, or where this is not possible, then any harm is clearly and convincingly justified, and demonstrated to be outweighed by public benefit, in accordance with the NPPF.
- J Development proposals within the curtilage of a Listed Building should be sensitively designed and provide an appropriate site-specific response to:
- a. Preserve the integrity of the relationship between the Listed Building and its site and setting; and
- b. Ensure there is no adverse impact on the future viability of the Listed Building.

|      | _                 |   |  |
|------|-------------------|---|--|
|      |                   | Scheduled Ancient-Monuments K Proposals for development or work that is expected to affect a Scheduled Ancient-Monument will be assessed in consultation with Historic England on the disturbance and impact that they may cause, both above and below ground, and applications for planning permission should be submitted in parallel with applications for Scheduled Monument Consent.  L Archaeological investigation will be expected to be undertaken prior to the submission of an application. The results of this investigation should demonstrably inform the proposed development or works. Where consent is granted, conditions may be used to secure further detailed investigations and appropriate mitigation works, along with a programme of recording, interpretation and dissemination of evidence found during the investigations. Development will be expected to preserve significant archaeological remains in situ.                     |  |
|      |                   | M Development proposals that would result in harm to the significance of a monument or its setting will be required to provide clear and convincing justification, and demonstrate that the harm is outweighed by public benefit, in accordance with the NPPF.  |  |
|      |                   | Registered Parks and Gardens and London Squares  N Development proposals will be expected to safeguard the features which form part of the special character or appearance of a Registered Park, Garden or Square. They should also ensure development does not detract from the layout, design, character, appearance and setting of the asset, or harm key views into and out of the space.   |  |
| MM37 | Figure 6.1        | Figure 6.1: Conservation Areas and World Heritage Site Buffer Zone Designated heritage assets  Add Registered Parks and Gardens to Figure 6.1.  | Modification to reflect factual position.  |
| MM38 | New<br>Paragraph  | Evidence demonstrates that many of the buildings in the Borough's conservation areas play an important role in shaping the areas character and evidencing its history and where they do, they will be referred to as buildings that make a positive contribution. The extent to which their contribution is considered as positive will depend not only on their street elevations, but also their integrity as historic structures and the impact they have in three dimensions, as well as specific features such as a distinctive roofscape or skyline, or rear elevations seen from gardens, alleys, and yards. These buildings will be assessed against criteria set out in Historic England guidance and will be identified in the Conservation Area's Appraisal. Buildings that have been systematically assessed and subsequently identified as making a positive contribution to conservation areas will be treated as non-designated heritage assets. | Modification to provide further clarity in relation to national planning policy and the public benefits test. (AP86)  Consequential modifications to subsequent paragraph numbering (see below). |
| MM39 | Paragraph<br>6.31 | Registered Parks and Gardens and London Squares  6.31 The inclusion of a site on the Register of Parks and Gardens or listed as a London Square is a material consideration in determining a planning application. The significance of the site includes the contribution made by boundary walls, buildings and features within it, as well as historic landscaping schemes and planting. Social, cultural and artistic values are likely to be important alongside historic and architectural values. London Squares will be included on the Policies Map. This is inclusive of two previously omitted London Squares, located at Lewisham High Street (East) and Somerset Gardens.  | Consequential modification to reference to the modifications made to the Policies Map under PMSPM3 and PMSPM4.   |
| MM40 | Policy HE3        | HE3 Non-designated heritage assets  Strategic Policy  Strategic Objectives:   | Modifications to identify the strategic status of the policy and the strategic objectives to which it relates. (AP7)   |
|      |                   | Strategic Objectives:  A An open Lewisham as part of an open London Borough of Lewisham,  F Celebrating our local identity.   | Modification to provide further clarity as to how harm, to non-  |

Locally listed buildings and other non-designated assets

A Development proposals will only be supported where they preserve or enhance the significance of a locally listed building or other non-designated heritage asset, and the asset's setting. In particular, proposals for the sensitive retention, refurbishment and appropriate re-use of non-designated assets will be considered favourably.

B <u>Proposals that unjustifiably Where proposals</u> harm the significance of a non-designated heritage asset and <u>or</u> its setting <u>will be</u> <u>refused the scale of any harm or loss and the significance of the heritage asset will be considered and a balanced judgement will be made having regard to the scale of any harm or loss and the significance of the heritage asset.</u>

C Non-designated heritage assets may be identified during the development management process, in line with Policy HE1 (Lewisham's historic environment) where based on sound evidence.

#### Areas of Special Local Character

D Within Areas of Special Local Character development proposals must: a. Preserve the characteristics that contribute to the area's significance, which may include the spatial, architectural, townscape, landscape or archaeological distinctiveness; b. Secure the retention of unlisted buildings where these contribute positively to the local distinctiveness of the area; and c. Ensure development in its setting preserves the area's special local character.

#### Archaeology

E Development proposals affecting archaeological interests will be assessed having regard to the impact on the significance of the archaeological asset and its setting. Development proposals should refer to the Greater London Historic Environment Record (GLHER) to assess the likelihood of archaeological deposits being present on a site. Known areas with high likelihood of archaeological deposits are identified as Archaeological Priority Areas (APAs).

F In order to ensure assets are appropriately identified and managed the Council will:

- a. Require the necessary level of assessment, investigation and recording, in consultation with the Greater London Archaeological Advisory Service (GLAAS) for development proposals that affect, or have the potential to affect Lewisham's archaeological heritage; and
- b. Expect applicants to have sought pre-application advice from GLAAS before designing a programme of archaeological investigation. -
- G Development proposals that have the potential to affect archaeological interests must be accompanied by an Archaeological Statement, which should be submitted as part of the Heritage Statement and refer to any relevant information in the GLHER. With the advice of GLAAS, the Council may require a further site specific survey and/or intervention. This should be set out in a Written Scheme of Investigation which ensures adequate arrangements for:
- a. Investigation, recording, and archiving of assets of archaeological importance, whether of national or local importance;
- b. Seeking opportunities to integrate archaeological evidence into the development, including through design and interpretation material; and
- c. Public engagement, including dissemination of the findings to further understanding of the historic environment.

H Priority should be given to the preservation and management of an archaeological asset and its setting in situ, commensurate with the significance of the asset. If this is not possible, sites should be excavated, deposits removed, a report produced, significant finds archived and the results disseminated, as required by condition.

designated heritage assets, is considered through decision-taking.

Additional Modification to correct typographic error.

|          |                             | I As remains may on occasion come to light unexpectedly, the Council may use conditions to require notification, recording or preservation in the event of remains being found.      |   |
|----------|-----------------------------|--|---|
| MM41     | Figure 6.2:                 | Figure 6.2 Non-designated Heritage Assets heritage assets  | Modification to update factual position.                        |
|          |                             | Remove Registered Parks & Gardens.   | pooluoii.   |
|          |                             | Add Locally Listed Buildings.  |   |
| MM42     | New                         | Archaeological Priority Areas  | Modification to further respond                                 |
|          | Paragraph                   | Where non designated heritage assets of archaeological interest (e.g. Archaeological Priority Areas) are demonstrably of equivalent  | to the Council's response to                                    |
|          | 0 1                         | significance to Scheduled Monuments these should be treated in accordance with the policies for Designated Heritage Assets (as per NPPF (September 2023) Paragraph 200 Footnote 68). | Matter 11 MIQs 11.16 and 11.8. (AP88)                           |
|          |                             |  | ,   |
| MM43     | New                         | Historic England's 2023 review of the Lewisham's Archaeological Priority Areas identified two sites that fell within Tier 1. The   | Modification to further respond                                 |
|          | Paragraph                   | definition of Tier 1 is a defined area which is known, or strongly suspected, to contain a heritage asset of national significance or is   | to the Council's response to<br>Matter 11 MIQs 11.16 and        |
|          |                             | otherwise of very high archaeological sensitivity. Consequently, Tier 1 defines heritage assets to which policies for designated heritage assets would apply.                        | 11.8. (AP88)  |
|          |                             | Homago accosts wearta appry.   | 11.0. (/ 11.00)   |
| MM44     | New                         | The two Tier 1 sites in Lewisham are:  | Modification to provide clarity                                 |
|          | Paragraph                   |  | on the non-designated heritage                                  |
|          |                             | 1. The site of the Royal Dockyard, Deptford which falls within a site called Convoys Wharf, contains the remains of a Scheduled  | assets present within the                                       |
|          |                             | Ancient Monument (SAM) named the Tudor Naval Storehouse and extensive archaeological remains, all of which are contained within a Tier 1 APA.  | Borough that are of archaeological interest, and                |
|          |                             | within a nor 174 74.   | that are potentially of   |
|          |                             | 2. The site of the Roman Bathhouse in Grove Park was identified in the Archaeological Priority Areas Review 2023 as Tier 1.  | equivalent significance to                                      |
|          |                             |  | Scheduled Ancient Monument                                      |
| Ob anta  | .7                          |  | status. (AP88)  |
| MM45     | r 7 – Housing<br>Policy HO1 | HO1 Meeting Lewisham's housing needs   | Modifications to identify the                                   |
| IVIIVI43 | Folicy (10)                 | TIOT Meeting Lewisham's nousing needs  | strategic status of the policy                                  |
|          |                             | Strategic Policy   | and the strategic objectives to                                 |
|          |                             |  | which it relates. (AP7)   |
|          |                             | Strategic Objectives:  |   |
|          |                             | A An open Lewisham as part of an open London Borough of Lewisham,  | Modifications to update factual                                 |
|          |                             | B Housing tailored to the community with genuinely affordable homes.   | position. Inclusive of new footnote.                            |
|          |                             | Lewisham's housing target  |   |
|          |                             | A The Council will work positively and proactively with stakeholders, including development industry partners, to facilitate a   | Modification to reflect the uplift                              |
|          |                             | significant increase in the delivery of new homes to help meet Lewisham's housing needs. Development proposals must make the   | in housing supply work carried                                  |
|          |                             | best use of land and optimise the capacity of housing sites in order to ensure:  | out by the Council and to align                                 |
|          |                             | a. The London Plan ten-year target is exceeded sought through the delivery of at least 16,670 net housing completions during   | with the final proposed housing trajectory. (AP16) Roll forward |
|          |                             | 2019/20 to 2028/29 (equivalent to 1,667 p.a.) and  | housing requirement to provide                                  |
|          |                             | b. The NPPF 15-year target is exceeded through the delivery of at least 27,730-30,376 net housing completions from the anticipated   | a 16-year supply.   |
|          |                             | start date of the local plan Local Plan, 2023/24 to 2037/38-2025/26 to 2039/40 (equivalent to 1,667 net completions p.a. plus  |   |

additional completions during the first five years during the remainder of the Plan period to cater for the current backlog (461 231 p.a.) and the application of a 5% 20% buffer during the first five years (83 380 p.a.)).

Additional Modifications to correct grammar.

B The Council will keep under review the Local Plan's strategic housing target and performance against the delivery of this. Where changes to the London Plan borough-level housing targets are made the local plan review process will be used to ensure Lewisham's Local Plan remains in general conformity with the London Plan.

#### Increasing housing supply

- C A carefully managed uplift in the delivery of new housing development across the Borough, with priority given to genuinely affordable housing\*, will be achieved by:
- a. Directing new housing development to Opportunity Areas, Growth Nodes, Regeneration Nodes, Growth Corridors, town centres and other well-connected and sustainable locations, consistent with the spatial strategy for the Borough set out in Policy OL1 (Delivering an Open Lewisham);
- b. Allocating strategic sites for new housing, including mixed-use development, and supporting development proposals where they comply with the site allocation requirements and resisting proposals that are at odds with these;
- c. Facilitating the sensitive intensification of established residential areas and supporting the development of small sites for housing, in line with Policy HO2 (Optimising the use of small sites);
- d. Undertaking a programme of housing estate maintenance, renewal and regeneration that ensures high quality housing provision, results in no net loss of affordable housing and delivers net gains in affordable housing wherever possible;
- e. Ensuring that all development proposals make the best use of land and optimise the capacity of housing sites, in line with Policy QD6 (Optimising site capacity);
- f. Making the best use of the existing housing stock, including by using available tools to bring vacant units back into use and to regulate the use of homes for short-stay visitor accommodation;
- g. Refusing development proposals that will result in the net loss of housing unless:
- h. It is suitably replaced at existing or higher densities with at least the equivalent amount of new residential floorspace; or
- i. The proposal is for strategic infrastructure that demonstrably meets an identified need and is necessary to support delivery of the spatial strategy for the Borough; and
- ii. Ensuring the phasing of development corresponds with the delivery of infrastructure required to support growth and to speed up the rate of delivery of new homes where planning consent has been granted.

Inclusive and mixed neighbourhoods and communities

- D Development proposals for housing must demonstrate how they will contribute to and support inclusive and mixed neighbourhoods and communities across Lewisham. Details should be set out in the Inclusive Design Statement which must be submitted in accordance with Policy QD2 (Inclusive and Safe Design).
- E Development proposals must deliver an appropriate mix of housing within the site and local area. The appropriate mix should be established on a case-by-case basis having regard to the site's location and character, the nature and scale of development proposed, along with:
- a. The strategic target for 50 per cent of all new homes delivered in the Borough to be for genuinely affordable housing;
- b. The required mix of tenure types for affordable housing, with reference to Policy HO 3 (Genuinely affordable housing);
- c. The need to secure provision of a mix of unit sizes to meet local need, with reference to the target unit size mix for affordable housing set out Table 7.1;
- d. The need for provision of family housing units (3+ bedrooms), with a reasonable proportion of family units to be delivered on major developments of 10 or more dwellings; and
- e. The delivery of liveable and sustainable neighbourhoods that are appropriately supported by community facilities and other infrastructure.

F Development proposals comprising solely of studios and/or 1 bedroom, 1 person units, or those that will result in an overconcentration of 1 or 2 bedroom units on an individual site, will be refused unless it can be suitably demonstrated that the housing provision:

- a. Is located in an area with higher Public Transport Access Levels (i.e. PTAL 3-6); and
- b. Forms part of a larger development, or is located within an area for which a masterplan has been adopted or endorsed by the Council, that includes provision for a mix of unit sizes, including a reasonable amount of family-sized units (3+ bedrooms); or
- c. Is sited in a local area that consists predominantly of family housing units, and would therefore provide for a balance in the mix of unit sizes in the area; or
- d. Is the only housing format deliverable owing to site size, site configuration or other development constraints, and where a studio unit is proposed, it is of an exceptional design quality.

#### Housing choice

G To help ensure that local residents and other people have access to a wide range of suitable housing provision, the Council will:

- a. Seek that development delivers a wide range of genuinely affordable and other housing products, including market housing, to meet the needs of households of different sizes and income levels:
- b. Support development proposals that meet the needs of specific groups including: families with children, older people, people with disabilities, students and vulnerable people;
- c. Promote and support innovative housing designs, such as modular housing, particularly where these address acute or specialist local housing needs;
- d. Support appropriate proposals for self-build or custom build housing, and seek to identify land to help facilitate such development where a need is clearly established; and
- e. Encourage developers and agents to market new housing units for sale or rent to existing local residents and people with a local connection before advertising them more widely to others.

H Development proposals for Build to Rent housing will be assessed in accordance with London Plan policy H11 (Build to Rent). They must demonstrate that all such provision qualifies as Build to Rent by meeting the criteria set out in London Plan. Where the criteria are not met proposals will not be considered as Build to Rent and will be assessed against other relevant Local Plan policies.

Table 7.1 Target unit size mix for affordable housing

| Tenure Type                        | 1 Bed | 2 Bed | 3 Bed | 4 or<br>More<br>Beds |
|------------------------------------|-------|-------|-------|----------------------|
| Social / London<br>Affordable Rent | 19%   | 36%   | 32%   | 13%                  |
| Intermediate                       | 10%   | 40%   | 35%   | 15%                  |

New footnote: In Lewisham 'genuinely affordable housing' means housing at social rent levels or GLA's London Affordable Rent level and below, aiming for target rents. All other housing products below market levels, whether for sale or rent, are defined as intermediate housing and should not be conflated with genuinely affordable housing.

# MM46 Paragraph 7.2

In order to address the identified housing needs for the region, the London Plan sets out 10-year housing targets. It then directs local authorities to include the relevant borough-level targets within their local plans. Boroughs are also required to set a positive planning framework to meet and wherever possible exceed the London Plan housing targets. For Lewisham, the 10-year strategic housing

Modification to reflect the uplift in housing supply work carried out by the Council and to align

|                  | target for the period 2019/20 to 2028/29 is 16,670 net housing completions (equivalent to 1,667 net completions p.a.). The latter being inclusive of a 10-year target (2019/20 -2028/29) for net housing completions on small sites (below 0.25 hectares in size) set out under London Plan Policy H2 Small Sites. This identifies a small sites target for Lewisham of 3,790 new additional homes. The supply of housing during the 10 years is likely to fall short of the target, due to a variety of external factors such as stalled sites, applications being re-submitted for consented schemes and an under supply of 3,471 housing completions during years 2020/21 to 2022/23 compared to the London Plan annual target. To rectify this, the backlog associated with the latter will be catered for during the remainder of the Plan period (2039/40). The London Plan does not set housing targets past this period however it provides a direction for borough local plans where targets are needed beyond 2029. In light of this direction and local evidence on land availability, it is considered appropriate for the Local Plan to 'roll-forward' the borough's London Plan annual housing target. This will also ensure that the NPPF requirement to identify land for homes is satisfied. Accordingly the 5-year target from the anticipated start date of the plan Local Plan in 2023/24 2025/26 – is 11,060-11,390 and the 15-year target is 27,730-30,376 net housing completions. This is equivalent to 1,667 net completions p.a. plus additional completions during the first five years the remainder of the Plan period to cater for the current backlog (462-231 p.a.) and to provide a 5% 20% buffer during the first five years (83-380 p.a.). | with the final proposed housing trajectory. (AP16)  |
|------------------|---|---|
| MM47 Paragraph 7 | The Local Plan identifies specific site allocations which have the potential capacity to deliver 24,413 26,017 net new homes over the lifetime of the Plan. When combined with other large, consented sites, a large windfall site and the trend-based windfall delivery rates in the Borough, there will be sufficient capacity to provide a supply of 5.00 deliverable years and to exceed the 10 year London Plan target and the NPPF housing target over a five and 15-year period. However, the phasing of development will be an important consideration. There is a critical need for strategic transport infrastructure, particularly the Bakerloo line extension, to unlock the development potential of areas and to optimise the capacity of sites. A Housing Trajectory is included in an Appendix to the Local Plan which sets out details on the expected phasing of housing delivery over the plan period.  50 In line with NPPF (2021-September 2023) paragraph 74 a 5% 20% buffer has been applied to ensure choice and competition in the market for land as there has been under delivery of housing over the previous three years.  | Modification to reflect the uplift in housing supply work carried out by the Council and to align with the final proposed housing trajectory.                   |
| MM48 Policy HO2  | Strategic Policy  Strategic Objectives:  A An open Lewisham as part of an open London Borough of Lewisham, B Housing tailored to the community with genuinely affordable homes, G Healthy and safe communities.  A The development of small sites will play an important role in increasing housing supply in Lewisham and supporting provision for a wide range of high quality and affordable homes.  The London Plan identifies a small sites target for Lewisham of 3,790 new additional homes to be delivered during the period until 2029. This has been carried forward, in the housing trajectory, to the end of the plan-period.  Figure 7.1 Small-site housing opportunities identifies where this could happen. However, sites that fall outside of identified opportunity areas may also be suitable for small site intensification. Conversely small sites located within the identified opportunity areas do not necessarily have an automatic right to be developed as such.  Opportunities should be taken to optimise the capacity of small sites for new housing development across the Borough, including through:  a. Redevelopment of vacant and underused brownfield sites, and ancillary buildings such as garages; b. Housing conversions;  | Modifications to identify the strategic status of the policy and the strategic objectives to which it relates. (AP7)  Modifications to provide further clarity. |

|      | 1          |   |                                 |
|------|------------|---|---------------------------------|
|      |            | c. Housing alterations and extensions; and  |                                 |
|      |            | d. Infill and backland development.   |                                 |
|      |            |   |                                 |
|      |            | B To help facilitate the appropriate development of small sites for housing the Council has prepared the Small Sites SPD and the        |                                 |
|      |            | Alterations and Extensions SPD. Development proposals should have regard to this guidance, where relevant, and demonstrate how          |                                 |
|      |            |   |                                 |
|      |            | it has been used through the design-led approach.   |                                 |
|      |            |   |                                 |
|      |            | C Development proposals for housing on small sites will only be supported where they help to facilitate the delivery of the spatial     |                                 |
|      |            | strategy for the Borough, contribute to the London Plan small site target through the delivery of 379 units on small sites per annum    |                                 |
|      |            | and:  |                                 |
|      |            |   |                                 |
|      |            | a. Are appropriately located for residential use;   |                                 |
|      |            | b. Are of a high quality design with accommodation that meets the relevant standards for living and amenity space, with reference to    |                                 |
|      |            | Policy QD8 (High quality housing design);   |                                 |
|      |            | c. Respond positively to local character, including historical character and heritage assets;   |                                 |
|      |            |   |                                 |
|      |            | d. Protect and enhance biodiversity and green infrastructure, and further maximise opportunities for urban greening;                    |                                 |
|      |            | e. Make provision for affordable housing, in line with Policy HO3 (Genuinely affordable housing);                                       |                                 |
|      |            | f. Protect and do not result in unreasonable adverse impacts on local amenity;  |                                 |
|      |            | g. Do not result in the loss of community infrastructure, with reference to Policy CI1 (Safeguarding and securing community             |                                 |
|      |            | infrastructure); and  |                                 |
|      |            | h. Do not prejudice the delivery of site allocations in the Local Plan.   |                                 |
|      |            |   |                                 |
|      |            | D Neighbourhood forums are strongly encouraged to identify locations and allocate sites appropriate for housing development,            |                                 |
|      |            | including small sites, within neighbourhood plans.  |                                 |
|      |            | including small sites, within heighbourhood plans.  |                                 |
|      |            | Housing conversions   |                                 |
|      |            | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·   |                                 |
|      |            | E In order to maintain a supply of housing suitable for families, development proposals for the conversion of a single family dwelling, |                                 |
|      |            | or self-contained unit with 3+ bedrooms, into smaller self-contained residential units (including flats) will only be supported where:  |                                 |
|      |            |   |                                 |
|      |            | a. The gross internal floor space of the original dwelling is 130 sq. metres or greater;  |                                 |
|      |            | b-a. A family sized unit (3+ bedrooms) is re-provided, unless it is demonstrated that the property is not suitable for family           |                                 |
|      |            | accommodation due to environmental or other site constraints;   |                                 |
|      |            | eb. All housing units benefit from a layout and configuration that is practical for residential occupation, with reference to other     |                                 |
|      |            | policies on high quality design;  |                                 |
|      |            |   |                                 |
|      |            | d c. In the situation garden land or other dedicated private outdoor amenity space is available, the extent of and access to this       |                                 |
|      |            | private amenity space is maintained for the existing family unit, and wherever possible, made accessible to residents in other units;   |                                 |
|      |            | and   |                                 |
|      |            | e d. The development will not result in an adverse impact on local character.   |                                 |
|      |            |   |                                 |
|      |            | F Development proposals for the conversion of properties into Houses in Multiple Occupation will be considered against Policy HO8       |                                 |
|      |            | (Housing with Shared Facilities - Houses in Multiple Occupation).   |                                 |
|      |            |   |                                 |
| MM49 | Policy HO3 | HO3 Genuinely affordable housing  | Modifications to identify the   |
|      |            |   | strategic status of the policy  |
|      |            | Strategic Policy  | and the strategic objectives to |
|      |            | <u>Suaragio i siloj</u>   | which it relates. (AP7)         |
|      |            | Strategic Objectives:   | willon it lolates. (Al 1)       |
|      |            |   |                                 |
|      |            | A An open Lewisham as part of an open London Borough of Lewisham,   |                                 |

B Housing tailored to the community with genuinely affordable homes.

A The strategic target is for 50 per cent of all new homes delivered in Lewisham to be genuinely affordable\*. The Council will seek the maximum amount of genuinely affordable housing to be delivered on new housing developments. Development proposals that deliver high quality affordable housing through the Fast Track Route, as detailed in HO3. Part F, to achieve a minimum 35 per cent affordable housing will be considered favourably. Development proposals involving new housing will only be supported where the site capacity has been optimised and delivery of affordable housing maximised.

B The affordable housing requirement will apply to all forms of conventional housing in the C3 Use Class, unsecured student accommodation and, where appropriate, specialist and supported accommodation. Affordable housing requirements for Purpose Built Student Accommodation are set out in Policy HO7 (Purpose Built Student Accommodation).

C The affordable housing requirements for major developments (large sites) will apply in circumstances where development has already been permitted and 10 or more dwelling units will be delivered taking into account:

- a. New residential units that are proposed which would result in an uplift in the overall number of units on the site of the extant permission; and
- b. Development that is proposed on an adjacent site which by virtue of its layout, design and use is functionally related to the extant permission and would result in an uplift in the overall number of units across the sites.

D Applicants will be expected to make all reasonable efforts to secure grant funding to deliver an increase in affordable housing beyond the level that would otherwise be achievable. The Council will work positively with development industry partners to help identify opportunities to secure grant funding to deliver more genuinely affordable housing in Lewisham. Development proposals will be considered favourably where they demonstrably deliver the maximum viable amount of affordable housing whilst meeting the minimum threshold level of affordable housing without public subsidy, as set out in HO3. Part F, and secure grant funding to deliver provision of genuinely affordable housing additional to this level.

Large sites (major developments)

E Development proposals for new housing, including mixed-use developments, with site capacity to accommodate 10 or more dwelling units must deliver the maximum amount of genuinely affordable housing, taking into account:

- a. Their contribution to the Borough's strategic affordable housing target, based on habitable rooms, subject to viability;
- b. The need for provision of a mix of secure housing tenures, with the affordable component sought to be provided on the basis of a tenure split of 70 per cent genuinely affordable (social rent or London Affordable Rent) and 30 per cent intermediate (London Living Rent or shared ownership):
- c. The preferred housing size mix for affordable housing, as set out in Table 7.1;
- d. Availability of public subsidy; and
- e. Other planning benefits that may be achieved, having particular regard to the delivery of the spatial strategy for the Borough.

F A threshold approach to viability will be applied to major development proposals, in accordance with London Plan policy H5 (Threshold approach to applications), taking into account the different routes to affordable housing delivery (i.e. Fast Track Route and Viability Tested Route). In Lewisham, the threshold level of affordable housing on gross residential development is set at:

- a. A minimum of 35 per cent;
- b. A minimum 50 per cent on public sector land; and
- c. A minimum of 50 per cent for Strategic Industrial Locations and Locally Significant Industrial Sites where development would result in a net loss of industrial capacity.

Modification to Part M in relation to the use of the word "exceptional". (AP24)

Modification to ensure that future planning guidance documents are referenced in the supporting text not the Policy.

Modification to Table 7.3 to provide clarity that D (of the formulae) refers to a percentage of profit not an amount.

Additional Modifications to aid clarity and to correct typographic errors.

G Where the Viability Tested Route is used, and in other circumstances where a Viability Assessment is submitted to support the level of affordable housing provision made by a development proposal, this must be based on a standard residual valuation approach, with the benchmark existing use value of the land taken as the Existing Use Value (EUV) Premium or the potential for Alternative Use Value. In order to ensure transparency in the planning process the Viability Assessment must be undertaken in line with the Mayor's Affordable Housing and Viability SPG or future equivalent.

H To maximise affordable housing delivery and address economic uncertainties that may arise over the lifetime of a development proposal the use of 'review mechanisms' will be required, where appropriate, and implemented in line with the Mayor's Affordable Housing and Viability SPG.

In order to promote inclusive and mixed communities all new affordable housing provision should be delivered on-site. Off-site provision for major development proposals will only be considered in exceptional circumstances, where it can be demonstrated to the satisfaction of the Council that:

- a. It is not practical or feasible to provide affordable housing on-site due to site development constraints:
- b. A higher level of affordable housing can be secured through provision on an alternative site;
- c. Off-site provision is necessary to better meet priority housing need, such as for affordable family housing; and
- d. The provision will better support inclusive and mixed communities.

Small sites (minor developments)

J Development proposals for new housing delivering between 2 and 9 dwelling units must make provision for affordable housing. They should seek to deliver affordable housing on-site wherever feasible Where it can be demonstrated to the satisfaction of the Council that off-site contributions are acceptable these will be secured through planning obligations, with payments in lieu calculated using Table 7.2 (Small sites affordable housing requirements) and the formula set out in Table 7.3 (Small sites affordable housing payments in lieu). Further details will be set out in the Planning Obligations SPD.

Table 7.2 Small sites affordable housing requirements

| Total number of units | Equivalent number of on-site affordable units to be used to calculate payment in lieu <sup>1</sup> |
|-----------------------|--|
| 2                     | 0.70   |
| 3                     | 1.05   |
| 4                     | 1.40   |
| 5                     | 1.75   |
| 6                     | 2.10   |
| 7                     | 2.45   |
| 8                     | 2.80   |
| 9                     | 3.15   |

Table 7.3 Small sites affordable housing payments in lieu

Formula for calculating payments in lieu

 $X = ((A-B) \times C) - ((A \times C) \times D)$ 

X =the payment in lieu (£)

A = the market value of a square metre of floorspace in the development

B = the value of affordable housing per square metre of floorspace (reflecting the mix between genuinely affordable and intermediate products)

C = the number of square metres required to meet the affordable housing requirement

D = additional costs to the developer (the difference between profit applied to market housing and affordable housing, and marketing costs of private housing) the percentage profit applied to the market housing less the percentage profit applied to the affordable housing, plus the percentage marketing costs applied to the market housing [together reflecting the additional costs of to the developer of delivering a scheme of 100% market housing]\*.

Inclusive and mixed neighbourhoods and communities

K In order to secure inclusive and mixed neighbourhoods and communities the Council may seek to alter the tenure and/or mix of affordable housing provision on a case-by-case basis. In establishing the most appropriate level of provision for a site, development proposals will be considered having regard to the existing levels of housing tenure and mix in the area (including extant permissions),

L All new affordable housing developments must be of a high quality high-quality design having regard to other Local Plan policies. They must be designed to be 'tenure neutral' in accordance with the National Design Guide, so that affordable units are indistinguishable from market units in terms of quality of design and materials, space standards, access and amenity. Where mixed tenure schemes are proposed these must ensure all residents of the development have access to amenities and communal spaces including play spaces, with reference to Policy QD2 (Inclusive and safe design).

#### Vacant Building Credit

M The application of the Vacant Building Credit (VBC) is not appropriate in Lewisham. The use of VBC will only be considered in limited circumstances, where applicants suitably demonstrate there are exceptional robustly evidenced reasons why it is appropriate and the following criteria are met:

- a. The building is not in use at the time the application is submitted;
- b. The building is not covered by an extant or recently expired permission:
- c. The site is not protected for an alternative land use; and
- d. The building has not been made vacant for the sole purpose of redevelopment, as demonstrated by evidence showing that the building has been vacant for a minimum continuous period of five years and has been actively marketed for at least two years therein, at realistic local area prices.
- <sup>1</sup> The equivalent number of on-site affordable units is based on the 35 per cent affordable housing requirement of the Fast Track Route of the threshold approach set out in London Plan policy H5 (Threshold approach to applications), i.e. where 1 dwelling unit is equivalent to 0.35 affordable units.
- <sup>1</sup> Developer profit is typically applied at between 17-20% of GDV on private housing and 6% on the affordable housing, so the increased profit arising from converting a unit from private to affordable housing would be 11% to 14% (i.e. 17% or 20% less 6%).

New footnote: In Lewisham 'genuinely affordable housing' means housing at social rent levels or GLA's London Affordable Rent level and below, aiming for target rents. All other housing products below market levels, whether for sale or rent, are defined as intermediate housing and should not be conflated with genuinely affordable housing.

|      |                   | New footnote: For example, if the profit applied to the market housing is 17.5% and the profit applied to the affordable housing is 6%, and  |  |
|------|-------------------|--|--|
|      |                   | the marketing costs are 3%, the percentage to be applied at D would be (17.5% - 6%) + 3% = 14.5%.  |  |
|      |                   |  |  |
| MM50 | Paragraph<br>7.27 | Contributions towards affordable housing will also be required on small sites for new development delivering between 2 and 9 dwelling units. The Local Plan Viability Assessment (2022) demonstrates that this requirement will not adversely impact on the development viability of small sites across the Borough. Development proposals should seek to deliver affordable housing on-site wherever feasible. Off-site provision will be secured through planning obligations with any payments in lieu calculated using Table 7.2 and 7.3. Further details will be set out in the future Planning Obligation SPD.   | Additional Modification to ensure that future planning guidance documents are referenced in the supporting text, not the Policy. |
| MM51 | Policy HO4        | HO4 Housing estate maintenance, renewal and regeneration   | Modifications to identify the  |
|      |                   | Non-strategic Policy   | strategic status of the policy<br>and the strategic objectives to<br>which it relates. (AP7)                                     |
|      |                   | Strategic Objectives:  | , ,  |
|      |                   | A An open Lewisham as part of an open London Borough of Lewisham,  | Modification to clarify the  |
|      |                   | B Housing tailored to the community with genuinely affordable homes,   | application of the Decent  |
|      |                   | G Healthy and safe communities.  | Homes Standard. (AP89)   |
|      |                   | A The maintenance, renewal and regeneration of Lewisham's housing estates will play an important role in helping to ensure that neighbourhoods and communities benefit from high quality living environments, housing is maintained at a decent standard and new genuinely affordable housing is delivered locally.  |  |
|      |                   | B Development proposals involving housing estate renewal and regeneration must be carried out in consultation with existing residents and the local community, in line with the London Mayor's Good Practice Guide to Estate Regeneration, in order to ensure:   |  |
|      |                   | a. There is no net loss of affordable housing, and an uplift in genuinely affordable housing is delivered wherever possible; b. A range of high quality, genuinely affordable housing options are made available in the Borough; c. Existing and new <u>public and affordable</u> residential units achieve the Decent Homes standard; d. The development is of a high quality design and provides for demonstrable physical improvements to the housing estate and local area environment, consistent with other Local Plan policies; and e. Strong and inclusive communities can be better fostered and supported, with spaces and facilities that enhance opportunities for social interaction and integration.   |  |
| MM52 | Paragraph<br>7.42 | 7.42 The Decent Homes programme provides one mechanism for housing improvement improving the Borough's public and affordable housing stock and has been successfully delivered across the Borough Lewisham. The Council remains committed to improving the quality the Borough's public and affordable housing to make sure it continues to provide safe and decent homes. However, funding is limited and this type of investment may not always be feasible or viable for some houses or estates. For example, the existing condition or orientation of buildings can act as a practical constraint to refurbishment works. Nonetheless the Council has a strong record in this regard, and through Lewisham Homes, the proportion of residential units meeting the Decent Homes standard increased from 41 per cent to 94 per cent in the 10-year period from 2007. | Modification to clarify the current and future application of the Decent Homes Standard. (AP89)                                  |
| MM53 | Policy HO5        | HO5 Accommodation for older people   | Modifications to identify the strategic status of the policy   |
|      |                   | Non-strategic Policy   | and the strategic objectives to  |
|      |                   | Strategic Objectives:  | which it relates. (AP7)  |
|      |                   | A An open Lewisham as part of an open London Borough of Lewisham,  |  |
|      |                   | B Housing tailored to the community with genuinely affordable homes,   |  |
|      | 1                 |  | 1  |

|                 | G Healthy and safe communities.   |  |
|-----------------|---|--|
|                 | O Frountry and dare defining materials.   |  |
|                 | A The housing needs of older people will be met mainly through conventional residential accommodation in the C3 Use Class that is designed in a way that allows for easy adaptation to the different needs of users over their lifetime. This includes new build development and the appropriate retrofitting of housing units. Specialist older person's accommodation and care home accommodation should supplement conventional housing to meet the needs of Lewisham's older resident population. |  |
|                 | Specialist older persons accommodation B Development proposals for specialist older persons accommodation will only be supported where they:  |  |
|                 | <ul> <li>a. Respond positively to the objectives in Lewisham's Housing Strategy;</li> <li>b. Make provision for a mix of tenure types including affordable housing with reference to Policy HO3 (Genuinely affordable housing);</li> </ul>  |  |
|                 | c. Make provision of accessible housing, in line with Policy QD2 (Inclusive and safe design); d. Are sited at well-connected locations that are well-served by public transport and provide for good access to community facilities and services (including health and social care) appropriate to the intended occupiers; e. Are of a high quality design with fit for purpose accommodation and facilities suited to occupiers, staff and visitors, giving consideration to:                        |  |
|                 | i. The level of independence of occupiers and corresponding level of managed care provision or support; ii. Private, communal and public amenity space; and   |  |
|                 | iii. Access, parking and servicing arrangements, including for all types of vehicles expected to access the development.  |  |
|                 | Care home accommodation C Development proposals for care home accommodation in the C2 Use Class will only be supported where they are appropriately located and of a high quality design, having regard to Policy HO6.B.d-e. In addition, development proposals must ensure that 100 per cent of habitable rooms are wheelchair accessible.   |  |
|                 | Loss of specialist older persons and care home accommodation  D Development proposals that will result in the net loss of floorspace for specialist older persons or care home accommodation will be refused unless it can be demonstrated that:  |  |
|                 | a. There is a long-term surplus of this type of accommodation in Lewisham (i.e. there is no unmet local need); or b. Adequate replacement provision will be provided on-site or elsewhere within the Borough; or c. The existing accommodation is not suitable to support the intended occupants in its current condition and/or is incapable of being maintained at an acceptable modern standard.   |  |
|                 | E Where the loss of specialist older persons or care home accommodation is acceptable in line with (D) above, development proposals must secure the re-provision of an equivalent amount of floorspace for residential use, ensuring no net loss of affordable housing, and provide new affordable housing, where appropriate.  |  |
| MM54 Policy HO6 | HO6 Supported and specialised accommodation   | Modifications to identify the  |
|                 | Non-strategic Policy  | strategic status of the policy<br>and the strategic objectives to<br>which it relates. (AP7) |
|                 | Strategic Objectives:  A An open Lewisham as part of an open London Borough of Lewisham,  | Modification to clarify the scale  |
|                 | B Housing tailored to the community with genuinely affordable homes,  | of supported and specialised   |

|      |                   | G Healthy and safe communities.   | accommodation need during   |
|------|-------------------|---|---|
|      |                   | A Development proposals for supported and specialised accommodation will only be supported where they:  | the plan period. (AP90)   |
|      |                   | a. Meet an Contribute towards meeting the identified need within the Borough for the type of accommodation proposed approximately 500 units of supported accommodation for the period until 2040; b. Make provision for a mix of tenure types including affordable housing, where appropriate, with reference to Policy HO3 (Genuinely affordable housing); c. Support mixed and inclusive neighbourhoods and communities without leading to a harmful overconcentration of supported or specialised accommodation. This will be assessed where the character of the area has changed or local amenity has been adversely impacted as a result of:  |   |
|      |                   | <ul> <li>i. Visual amenity;</li> <li>ii. Increased noise;</li> <li>iii. Vehicular traffic generation, along with car and cycle parking pressure;</li> <li>iv. Inadequate provision of waste management and recycling facilities;</li> <li>v. Additional pressure on community facilities; and</li> <li>vi. Anti-social behaviour and public safety.</li> </ul>  |   |
|      |                   | d. Are appropriately located in areas that are well-connected to services and facilities that are likely to be required by the occupiers; e. Are of a high quality design, giving consideration to the:   |   |
|      |                   | <ul> <li>i. Intensity of use that is appropriate to the size of the development;</li> <li>ii. Integration of accommodation and facilities for the specific use or group it is intended for;</li> <li>iii. Suitable arrangements for managed care or supervision, security and community safety; and</li> <li>iv. Protection of the amenity of adjoining and neighbouring uses.</li> </ul>   |   |
|      |                   | B Development proposals that will result in the net loss of floorspace for supported or specialised accommodation will be refused unless it can be suitably demonstrated that:  |   |
|      |                   | a. The specific type of accommodation is no longer required for the particular use and/ or group, or other relevant groups in need of supported housing; or b. Adequate replacement provision will be provided; or c. The existing accommodation is not suitable for the support or care of the intended occupants in its current condition and format and/or is incapable of being maintained at an acceptable standard.   |   |
|      |                   | C Where the loss of accommodation is acceptable in line with (B) above, development proposals must secure the re-provision of an equivalent amount of floorspace for residential use, including an element of affordable housing, where appropriate.  |   |
| MM55 | Paragraph<br>7.54 | 7.54 In line with the Corporate Strategy, which aims for the Borough to be a welcoming place of safety for all, and also building on Lewisham's role as a 'Borough of Sanctuary', the Local Plan broadly supports development proposals involving the retention, refurbishment and delivery of supported and specialised accommodation. Applications should clearly set out how the development will assist in meeting an identified need for the use proposed. The Lewisham SHMA confirms that the delivery of new supported and specialised accommodation remains a priority for housing stakeholders. It identifies a specific need for an additional 453 C2 residential care units, and an ongoing need for a smaller number of specialist housing units for people with additional needs. It is anticipated that this latter area of need will be met through the delivery of conventional housing stock that is appropriately adapted. It is vital that the accommodation is appropriate for the use and group(s) for which it is intended, so that the specific type of managed care or supervision required can be delivered effectively. Applicants are encouraged engage with relevant health and social care | Modification to clarify the scale of supported and specialised accommodation need during the plan period. |

|        |            | providers or stakeholders through the design-led approach. In order to secure mixed and inclusive neighbourhoods and communities, development proposals should not result in a harmful overconcentration of similar uses within an area.  |   |
|--------|------------|---|---|
| MM56 F | Policy HO7 | HO7 Purpose built student accommodation  Non-strategic Policy   | Modifications to identify the strategic status of the policy and the strategic objectives to which it relates. (AP7)  |
|        |            | Strategic Objectives:  A An open Lewisham as part of an open London Borough of Lewisham,  B Housing tailored to the community with genuinely affordable homes,  G Healthy and safe communities.  A Development proposals for Purpose Built Student Accommodation (PBSA) will enly be supported where it is demonstrated they robustly demonstrate through evidence that:  a. They help to meet an identified need for this type of housing (giving priority to the local student population), will not compromise delivery against the Borough's strategic housing target and principal need for conventional housing, and will not result in a harmful   | Modifications to replace the word "only" and includes a new more explicit reference to securing "mixed and balanced" communities. Specifically see NPPF Para 63 – contribution towards objective of creating mixed and balanced communities. (AP31) |
|        |            | overconcentration of PBSA taking into account:  i. The amount of PBSA within the Borough and the area within which the development is proposed, having regard to past delivery and consented but undelivered PBSA; and ii. The proportion of PBSA provided in relation to the overall mix of housing within the development, and where relevant a masterplan or site allocation.  | Modification to cross reference to the London Plan's fast track approach and provide consistency with supporting text paragraph 7.59. (AP32)  |
|        |            | b. The accommodation is secured for use by students, as demonstrated by an agreement with one or more specific higher education provider(s); and c. The maximum level of accommodation is secured on-site as affordable student accommodation to ensure that it contributes to creating mixed and balanced communities, in line with national planning policy and the London Plan. The London Plan's fast-track approach will be applied to proposals where it is appropriate.  |   |
|        |            | a. At well-connected sites that are easy to access by walking, cycling and public transport; b. Within or at the edge of town centres, or other locations that benefit from good provision of shops, services, leisure and community facilities appropriate to the student population; and c. Giving priority to sites located in proximity to the education facility the development is intended to serve, or other higher education institutions in the Borough.  |   |
|        |            | C Development proposals for PBSA must be of a high quality design, giving particular consideration to:  a. Adequate functional living space and layout with good-sized bedrooms and well-integrated communal areas and facilities, with a recommended benchmark of 1 square metre of internal and 1 square metre of external communal amenity space per student bed; b. Inclusive and safe design, including provision for wheelchair accessible accommodation, spaces and facilities; c. Amenity of occupiers and neighbouring properties including consideration for outlook, daylight and sunlight, noise and ven tilation; d. Adequate on-site cycle parking facilities; and e. Accommodation that is suitable for year-round occupation. |   |

|      |            | D All development proposals for PBSA must be accompanied by a site management and maintenance plan, to be secured by planning condition.  |  |
|------|------------|---|--|
|      |            | E Development proposals involving the loss of PBSA will be refused unless it can be suitably demonstrated that:   |  |
|      |            | a. There is no local need or demand for student accommodation to serve the existing or another higher education institution in the area; or b. Adequate replacement accommodation will be provided in an appropriate location accessible to the higher education institution it serves.   |  |
|      |            | F Where the loss of PBSA is acceptable in line with (E) above, development proposals must secure the re-provision of an equivalent amount of floorspace for residential use, including an element of affordable housing, where appropriate.   |  |
| MM57 | Policy HO8 | HO8 Housing with shared facilities (Houses in Multiple Occupation)  | Modifications to identify the  |
|      |            | Non-strategic Policy  | strategic status of the policy<br>and the strategic objectives to<br>which it relates. (AP7) |
|      |            | Strategic Objectives:   | <b></b>  |
|      |            | A An open Lewisham as part of an open London Borough of Lewisham,  B Housing tailored to the community with genuinely affordable homes,   | Modifications to replace the word "only" and includes a new                                  |
|      |            | G Healthy and safe communities.   | more explicit reference to securing "mixed and balanced"                                     |
|      |            | A Development proposals for housing with shared facilities (i.e. Houses in Multiple Occupation) (HMOs) in the Sui Generis Use Class will only be permitted where they:  | communities. Specifically see NPPF Para 63 – contribution towards objective of creating      |
|      |            | a. Do not result in the loss of existing housing suitable for family occupation, which includes but is not limited to the following considerations:   | mixed and balanced communities. (AP33)   |
|      |            | <ul><li>i. Location within a residential street or area;</li><li>ii. Size and layout, including number and size of individual rooms and bedrooms;</li><li>iii. Amenity space, such as access to a private garden;</li></ul>   |  |
|      |            | b. Contribute to inclusive and mixed neighbourhoods and do not result in a harmful overconcentration of HMOs. This will be assessed where the character of the area has changed or local amenity has been adversely impacted as a result of: i. Visual amenity, including impacts arising from poorly maintained properties; ii. Increased noise; |  |
|      |            | iii. Vehicular traffic generation, along with car and cycle parking pressure;   |  |
|      |            | iv. Inadequate provision of waste management and recycling facilities; v. Additional pressure on community facilities; and  |  |
|      |            | vi. Anti-social behaviour and public safety.  |  |
|      |            | c. Are appropriately located in areas that are well-connected to local services by walking, cycling and public transport; and d. Are well-designed and provide high quality accommodation that satisfies the relevant standards for HMOs, including units that  |  |
|      |            | provide adequate functional living spaces and layouts.  |  |
|      |            | B Development proposals for small HMOs in the C4 Use Class (i.e. 3 to 6 unrelated people) within any area covered by an Article 4 Direction will only be permitted where:   |  |

|      |            | a. The gross original internal floorspace of the original dwelling is 130 square metres or greater; and b. The requirements of (A)(b-d) above are satisfied.   |  |
|------|------------|--|--|
|      |            | C Development proposals that result in the loss of an HMO, or the self-containment of any part of an HMO, will be refused unless it can be suitably demonstrated that:   |  |
|      |            | a. The existing building does not meet the appropriate standards for an HMO and has no realistic prospect of meeting the standards; and  |  |
|      |            | b. Adequate replacement provision can be secured within the Borough, having regard to the requirements of (A) above, with no net loss in HMO floorspace; or  |  |
|      |            | c. Any replacement use includes housing provision that meets an acute local need, particularly genuinely affordable housing, with at least the equivalent amount of residential floorspace re-provided   |  |
|      |            | Large-scale purpose-built shared living accommodation  D Development proposals for large-scale purpose-built shared living accommodation in the Sui Generis Use Class will only be permitted where it is suitably robustly demonstrated through evidence that:   |  |
|      |            | a. There is an identified local market demand for the type of housing proposed and the development will not lead to a proliferation of this type of development in an area and the Borough which will compromise the delivery of conventional housing; b. Private units within the development are demonstrably not self-contained homes in the C3 Use Class or capable of being used as self-contained homes; |  |
|      |            | c. There is well-integrated provision of communal facilities and services sufficient to meet the requirements of the intended number of occupiers;   |  |
|      |            | d. The development is appropriately located and of a high quality design, having regard to the requirements of (A) above; e. The development will be under single management, suitably managed and maintained over its lifetime, as evidenced by a management plan;  |  |
|      |            | f. All units are available to rent, with minimum tenancy lengths of no less than 3 months; and g. A cash-in-lieu contribution is made towards affordable housing in the C3 Use Class-: and   |  |
|      |            | h. The development contributes towards creating mixed and balanced communities.  |  |
| MM58 | Figure 7.2 | Figure 7.2: Article 4 Direction on HMOs  | Modification to update factual position.   |
| MM59 | Policy HO9 | Amend map to show that the whole borough is covered by an HMO Article 4. HO9 Self-build and custom-build housing   | Modifications to identify the  |
|      | ,          | Non-strategic Policy   | strategic status of the policy<br>and the strategic objectives to<br>which it relates. (AP7) |
|      |            | Strategic Objectives:  |  |
|      |            | A An open Lewisham as part of an open London Borough of Lewisham,  B Housing tailored to the community with genuinely affordable homes.  |  |
|      |            | A The Council will keep a register of those seeking to acquire serviced plots in the Borough for their own self-build and custom-build house building. It will also seek to identify plots to help meet identified need for self-build and custom-build housing particularly where this will improve access to affordable housing.   |  |
|      |            | B Development proposals for self-build or custom-build housing will only be supported where they:  |  |
|      |            | a. Make the optimal use of land and support the delivery of the spatial strategy;  |  |

|         |                   | <ul> <li>b. Make Where appropriate make provision for affordable housing in line with Policy HO3 (Genuinely affordable housing); and c. Are appropriately located and of a high quality design, with reference to other Local Plan policies.</li> <li>C Development proposals for self-build and custom-build housing must demonstrate how the design-led approach has been used. They should clearly identify whether there are any elements of the design that may require adaptation to secure the delivery of new housing.</li> </ul>  |  |
|---------|-------------------|--|--|
| MM60    | Policy HO10       | Non-strategic Policy  Strategic Objectives:  A An open Lewisham as part of an open London Borough of Lewisham, B Housing tailored to the community with genuinely affordable homes, G Healthy and safe communities.  A There is an identified need in Lewisham up to 2031 for 6 additional permanent gypsy and traveller pitches. To meet this need in full a site allocation policy is included in this Local Plan.  B Development proposals for gypsy and traveller accommodation, including sites and pitches, must be of a high quality layout and design and make adequate provision for:  a. Basic amenities including running water, sewerage/drainage, energy and waste management; b. Safe and reasonably convenient access to and from the site; c. Access, parking and servicing arrangements for all vehicles likely to use the site, including emergency services; d. A site location that is well-integrated into the locality with reasonable access to local shops, services and community facilities including education, health and social care; and e. Facilities to serve occupiers of the development, including where appropriate pitches, hardstanding, amenity blocks, and amenity space and play areas.  C Development proposals for gypsy and traveller accommodation must not pose a risk to public health and safety, and not adversely impact on the amenity of site occupants and neighbouring properties. | Modifications to identify the strategic status of the policy and the strategic objectives to which it relates. (AP7)   |
| MM61    | Paragraph<br>7.85 | The London Plan states that a London-wide gypsy and traveller needs assessment will be undertaken in the future. The Council will monitor any changes to the London Plan to inform a future review of the Local Plan. This technical work is already underway and forms part of the review of the London Plan. An assessment of gypsy and traveller accommodation needs, for London boroughs, is expected to report during the first half of 2025 and will inform the preparation of the new London Plan during 2025-30. It is anticipated that process will identify a new target for Lewisham. Once a new target has been identified/established the Council will undertake a review of the Policy HO10 within the Local Plan. That review process will respond with suitable site allocations to the scale of identified need within Lewisham. In the interim, development proposals for new gypsy and traveller sites, pitches and/or plots will be assessed against this policy.  | Modification to commit to a review subject to a new Gypsy and Traveller accommodation target being agreed and identified through the wider London Plan-making process. |
| Chapter | · 8 – Economy ar  | nd Culture   |  |
| MM62    | Policy EC1        | EC1 A thriving and inclusive local economy   | Modifications to identify the  |
|         |                   | Strategic Policy   | strategic status of the policy<br>and the strategic objectives to<br>which it relates. (AP7)   |
|         |                   | Strategic Objectives:  |  |

|      |            | A An open Lewisham as part of an open London Borough of Lewisham,  |                                  |
|------|------------|--|----------------------------------|
|      |            | C A thriving local economy that tackles inequalities.  |                                  |
|      |            |  |                                  |
|      |            | A The Council will work positively with stakeholders and its delivery partners to build a thriving and inclusive local economy that                |                                  |
|      |            | provides everyone with access to high quality education, training and good job opportunities. This will be achieved by:                            |                                  |
|      |            |  |                                  |
|      |            | a. Promoting and strengthening Lewisham's role in the London economy including by supporting business sectors of local                             |                                  |
|      |            | importance, such as the cultural, creative and digital industries, along with expanding the role of green industries to enable the                 |                                  |
|      |            | transition to a low carbon, circular economy;  |                                  |
|      |            | b. Ensuring the timely delivery of infrastructure to support business growth and development and to better enable local residents and              |                                  |
|      |            | businesses to access economic opportunities across the Borough and further afield;   |                                  |
|      |            | c. Safeguarding industrial land and making provision for vibrant and attractive employment locations, including town centres, that are             |                                  |
|      |            | well-connected and suited to the needs of modern business; d. Requiring that new employment development is of a high quality                       |                                  |
|      |            | design and contributes positively to the local area;   |                                  |
|      |            | e. Retaining and securing new low-cost and affordable workspace and ensuring it is appropriately managed; and                                      |                                  |
|      |            | f. Ensuring residents benefit from good access to high quality jobs as well as education, skills and employment training opportunities.            |                                  |
|      |            |  |                                  |
| MM63 | Policy EC2 | EC2 Protecting employment land and delivering new workspace  | Modifications to identify the    |
|      | ,          |  | strategic status of the policy   |
|      |            | Strategic Policy   | and the strategic objectives to  |
|      |            | <u>Guatogra Fortoy</u>   | which it relates. (AP7)          |
|      |            | Strategic Objectives:  | willow trolated. (xii 1)         |
|      |            | C A thriving local economy that tackles inequalities.  | Modifications to clarify how     |
|      |            | 277 amving local cooliemy and addition in equalities.  | new industrial employment        |
|      |            | A Land within Lewisham's employment land hierarchy, as set out in Lewisham's Employment Land Hierarchy, is safeguarded for                         | land provision will be delivered |
|      |            | Class E(g) office and light industrial, Class B2 industrial, Class B8 storage and distribution and related Sui Generis uses.                       | and managed.                     |
|      |            | Development proposals must ensure that land-uses are commensurate with the type and function of land within this hierarchy.                        | and managed.                     |
|      |            | Development proposals must ensure that fall a uses are commensurate with the type and fall a fall a matter that mentiony.                          | Modifications to Table 8.1 to    |
|      |            | B There is a forecast need for 21,800 square metres of net additional office employment floorspace in the Borough up to 2038.                      | clarify factual position and     |
|      |            | Development proposals must contribute to meeting this the Borough's employment need in totality by:  | ensure consistency in naming     |
|      |            | Development proposals must contribute to meeting <del>this</del> the borough s employment need <u>in totality</u> by.                              | of sites.                        |
|      |            | a. Within Strategic Industrial Locations (SIL) and Locally Significant Industrial Sites (LSIS), retaining and wherever possible                    | or sites.                        |
|      |            | delivering net gains in industrial capacity <u>over the life of the Plan</u> , including <del>by intensifying the use of land</del> <u>through</u> | Modification to clarify how      |
|      |            | intensification, to deliver a minimum of   | proposals involving the change   |
|      |            | i. 1,000sqm of Class B8 floorspace, and  | of use of existing conditioned   |
|      |            | ii. 4,000sqm of Class B2 floorspace  | commercial and industrial uses   |
|      |            | b. Facilitating the delivery of new modern workspace through the comprehensive regeneration of Mixed-use Employment                                | will be considered. (AP45)       |
|      |            |  | will be considered. (AP45)       |
|      |            | Locations (MEL);   | Modification to delete           |
|      |            | c. Maximising opportunities to deliver new and enhanced workspace, including through appropriate mixed-use development in                          | Modification to delete           |
|      |            | town and edge-of-centre locations and non-designated employment sites;   | unnecessary text referencing     |
|      |            | d. Outside of SIL, and avoiding new development that consists solely or predominantly of Class B8 storage or warehousing uses                      | other Local Plan policies.       |
|      |            | unless:  | NA - Jifi 4i 4                   |
|      |            | i. The site is currently solely or predominantly in storage and warehousing use; and   | Modification to ensure           |
|      |            | ii. Redevelopment proposals comprise of intensification of storage and warehousing uses and/or employment generating uses                          | consistency in use of times,     |
|      |            | appropriate to the site; and   | dates, and years across the      |
|      |            | iii. The capacity is required to meet the needs of the Central Services Area as set out in London Plan Paragraph 6.4.7.                            | Local Plan. (AP43)               |
|      |            |  |                                  |

- e. Ensuring development does not result in a net loss of maintains the Borough's viable industrial capacity, including existing Class B2 and Class B8 capacity, whether this is existing or consented but not built, having regard to other Local Plan policies.
- C Outside of designated employment areas the appropriateness of development proposals for new Class E(g) office and light industrial, Class B2 industrial, Class B8 storage and distribution and similar Sui Generis Uses will be assessed having regard to the nature and scale of the development and:
- a. Its contribution to the delivery of the spatial strategy for the Borough;
- b. Compatibility of the proposed use(s) with the adjoining and neighbouring land uses, including consideration of impacts on local amenity;
- c. Whether the employment provision is for temporary use; and
- d. Compliance with other Local Plan policies.

D Planning conditions will be used to protect new commercial and industrial development from changes of use.

E Where new business floorspace is conditioned for a specific use, changes to another commercial or industrial use appropriate for the site and employment area will only be permitted where there is no reasonable prospect of the unit(s) being retained for the conditioned use. This must be evidenced by a robust and recent marketing exercise covering a minimum continuous period of twelve-months one year at a reasonable rental or sale value for the local area. Proposals seeking to change the use of existing business floorspace, to another commercial or industrial use will be considered against Local Plan Policies EC5 – EC8. Proposals that result in an increase in industrial capacity will be supported. All such changes of use must comply with other Local Plan policies.

Table 8.1 Lewisham's Employment Land Hierarchy

| Table 8.1 Lewisham's Employment Land Hierarchy |      |   |   |  |  |
|--|------|---|---|--|--|
| Туре   | Ref  | Location  | Function  |  |  |
| Strategic<br>Industrial<br>Location            | SIL  | Bromley Road Surrey Canal Road (including Bermondsey Dive Under)  | London's largest concentrations of industrial, logistics and related capacity for uses that support the functioning of the regional economy. Protected for a wide range of commercial, industrial and related uses, in accordance with the London Plan.   |  |  |
| Locally<br>Significant<br>Industrial Site      | LSIS | Apollo Business Centre Bermondsey Dive Under (part comprised of railway arches) Blackheath Hill Childers Street West Clyde Vale Endwell Road Evelyn Court Evelyn Street | Lewisham's main local concentrations of commercial and industrial uses, which perform a niche role to support the functioning of the sub-regional and local economy. They provide workspace for micro, small and medium sized businesses, including in the cultural, creative and digital industries. Protected for commercial and industrial uses, with priority given to light industrial uses. |  |  |

|      |                   | Mixed-use<br>Employment<br>Location   | MEL   | Lewisham Way Lower Creekside Malham Road / 118 Stansted Road Manor Lane Molesworth Street Perry Vale Stanton Square Trundleys Road Willow Way Worsley Bridge Road Arklow Road Childers Street East Convoys Wharf Grinstead Road Oxestalls Road | Larger redundant and/or underused industrial sites where plan-led, mixed-use redevelopment is permitted to support regeneration and enable the delivery of new, modern workspace.  |  |   |
|------|-------------------|---|---|--|--|--|---|
|      |                   | Non-designated  | N/A   | Plough Way Sun and Kent Wharf Surrey Canal Triangle Dispersed throughout   | Smaller commercial and industrial sites scattered across   |  |   |
|      |                   | employment site   |   | Borough  | Lewisham, mainly serving local economic catchments, which collectively form an important component of the Borough's industrial land capacity.  |  |   |
| MM64 | New<br>Paragraph  | references in relation The Plan acknowled Central Activity Zone   | to the C<br>ges that<br>, specific<br>ministra              | apital's Strategic Indust industrial opportunities cally those for sustainab   | Services Area'. These are industrial property market areas the rial Locations. These include the land and uses located at a located within market areas could serve to support the function (last mile' distribution/logistics, 'just-in-time' servicing (see, office supplies, repair, and maintenance), waste manage   | Surrey Canal Road.<br>ction of London's<br>uch as food service                                     | Modification to clarify how new industrial employment land provision will be delivered and managed. |
| MM65 | Paragraph 8.9     | released from SIL an<br>Lewisham Employme<br>Locations) and E7 (Ir<br>EC5 (Strategic Indus<br>The LSIS sites identi | d within<br>ent Land<br>ndustrial<br>trial Loc<br>fied as h | LSIS, but only where the Study (2019), the Local intensification, co-locat ations) and EC6 (Locally aving the capacity and   | r the co-location of employment and other compatible uses is is facilitated through the plan-led process. Informed by fi Plan helps give effect to London Plan policies E5 (Strategion and substitution). Further requirements are set out in Lov Significant Industrial Sites) along with corresponding site suitability for co-location uses are: Apollo Business Centre or Creekside, Perry Vale, Stanton Square, Trundley's, Willow                    | ndings of the<br>ic Industrial<br>ocal Plan policies<br>allocation policies.<br>, Blackheath Hill, | Modification to provide clarity on which LSIS can deliver colocation.                               |
| MM66 | Paragraph<br>8.10 | possible to meet the commercial and indu industrial, Class B2 in is vacant or cleared, use(s) (excluding me         | need ide<br>strial ca<br>ndustria<br>the exist<br>anwhile   | entified in Policy EC2. In pacity on a site which is I, Class B8 storage and ing capacity should be eases). The existing cap   | of industrial capacity in the Borough and that net gains are dustrial capacity in Lewisham will be calculated on the bas currently in active employment use and covers Class E(g) distribution and related Sui Generis uses. Where a propose established on the basis of the last active authorised commacity figure should also take into account any unimplement process (which would effectively be discounted from the expression of the last active.) | sis of the existing office and light ed development site ercial and industrial ted authorised      | Modification to clarify how new industrial employment land provision will be delivered and managed. |

|      |                   | Whilst the integration of mezzanines are broadly supported by the Local Plan as a way to make a more optimal use of land, mezzanine space will be excluded from calculations of industrial capacity for the purpose of this policy.  |  |
|------|-------------------|--|--|
| MM67 | Paragraph<br>8.12 | 8.12 Lewisham's Employment Land Study (2019) has informed the strategic employment floorspace requirement that the Local Plan will address over the plan period. It suggests that there is additional need for some 21,800 square metres of office floorspace up to 2038. This type of floorspace is normally associated with Use Classes E(g)(i) and E(g)(ii). However, the study emphasises that office development is not a homogenous product, pointing to the wide range of workspace typologies now available (such as serviced offices, incubators, accelerators and co-working space). Further, continuing shifts in modern commercial practices mean sectors that might traditionally have been thought of as office sectors can be found occupying 'hybrid' space in re-purposed industrial premises. In short, there is increasing fluidity in the workspace market and some office uses do not fit neatly into the Use Class Order categories. Therefore, the Council will take a broader view to planning for its future employment floorspace needs. We will promote the full complement of Class E(g) uses, including E(g)(iii) light industrial uses, to ensure that the Local Plan does not unn ecessarily constrain employment development. Development proposals Proposals for Class B2 industrial and B8 storage or distribution uses should be located within, and will be directed towards the Borough's SIL. and elsewhere where specified by the Local Plan. It is anticipated that proportionately, the majority of new industrial employment uses that will locate to the SILs will be defined under B2 or B8 use class. These locations may also be appropriate for compatible and complementary industrial employment uses defined under Class E(g)(iii), such as research and development of products and processes; Class E(g)iii industrial processes; and related sui generis industrial type uses outlined London Plan Policy E4. It is anticipated that within the SILs such uses will be complimentary and in the minority. In contrast, the Borough's LSIS will provide an appropriate hom | Modification to clarify how industrial land intensification and employment uses will be delivered across the employment land hierarchy. (AP47) |
| MM68 | New<br>Paragraph  | Following the publication of the Local Plan, the Greater London Authority published the London Industrial Land Supply Study 2020. This builds upon the London Industrial Land Supply Study 2015 and seeks to provide a comprehensive review and update of the London industrial land supply baseline. This document is strategic in scope and outputs. In order to provide a local perspective, the Council commissioned the Lewisham Industrial Employment Land Report 2023. This Study provides a more detailed, Lewisham specific assessment of data relating to demand, supply, gains, and losses. Its outputs demonstrates that the apparent losses identified by the high-level London Industrial Land Supply Study 2020 are entirely in accordance with the planned-for position. In parallel, it also demonstrates that industrial capacity across the Borough remains higher than anticipated. Nevertheless, there is no further capacity for release and that consequentially plan-making and decision-taking should seek to prevent any losses in industrial provision.   | Modification to clarify how new industrial employment land provision will be delivered and managed.  |
| MM69 | New<br>Paragraph  | The Lewisham Industrial Employment Land Report 2023 continues by exploring the scale and nature of industrial demand over the plan-period. It identifies the types of industrial and premises that will be needed by businesses. It is particularly noteworthy in describing how industrial activities are evolving. This evidence confirms the importance that new industrial activities that "service the services" have, particularly in their relationship with the Capital's Central Activities Zone, which lies to the north and north west of Lewisham. This provides opportunities for new forms of industrial uses to come forward, establish themselves and positively contribute to place-making. The intelligent management of Class E Uses – specifically those defined under Class E (g) – will make a positive contribution towards meeting shared objectives for industrial land and uses.   | Modification to clarify how new industrial employment land provision will be delivered and managed.  |
| MM70 | New<br>Paragraph  | For the purpose of monitoring the delivery of new and improved employment land and use, the Local Plan sets out a framework under Table 19.1. This identifies a series of indicators that relate specifically to the economy. These will be monitored and reported   | Modification to clarify how new industrial employment land   |

|      |            | on annually in the Lewisham Planning Service Authority Monitoring Report. Data on the overall stock of industrial floorspace will be monitored using the Valuation Office Agency's Business Floorspace data. Changes in industrial floorspace at a site level and by use-class will be monitored using data from planning consents and completions. This will enable monitoring of change in the stock of industrial floorspace by type at each of the designated employment areas and for non-designated employment areas as a whole. This monitoring will be shared with the GLA.  | provision will be delivered and managed.  |
|------|------------|--|---|
| MM71 | Policy EC3 | EC3 High quality employment areas and workspace  Non-strategic Policy  | Modifications to identify the strategic status of the policy and the strategic objectives to which it relates. (AP7)                                |
|      |            | Strategic Objectives: C A thriving local economy that tackles inequalities.  A Development proposals for Class E business (as defined under Class E (G) (i – iii)), B2 industrial and B8 storage or distribution uses and related Sui Generis industrial employment uses must be of a high quality design with well-integrated and purpose built business space. They must demonstrate how the design-led approach has been used to improve the site's suitability for business activity having regard to the type and use of space. Development proposals must:  a. Optimise the use of land and maximise opportunities to increase job densities; b. Make Where it is practicable, make provision for an appropriate level of internal fit out beyond shell and core, including: | Modifications to provide additional clarity and flexibility specifically in relation to industrial employment that are sui generis. (AP52 and AP53) |
|      |            | <ul> <li>i. Connection-ready high speed broadband;</li> <li>ii. Installation of mechanical and electrical services;</li> <li>iii. Toilets and kitchenette;</li> <li>iv. Internal surface finishing and blinds;</li> <li>iv. Basic fire and carbon monoxide detection; and</li> <li>v. Shopfronts and glazing, where appropriate.</li> </ul>  |   |
|      |            | c. Make provision for flexible workspace that can be adapted to the needs of different employment uses, particularly where there is not a specified end user; d. Ensure the layout and design of development provides adequate operational space including for site access and servicing; e. Improve the attractiveness and environmental quality of the site and employment area, including high quality public realm, where appropriate; and f. Ensure a coherent and positive relationship with adjoining and neighbouring land uses and protect local amenity, with reference to other Local Plan policies.  |   |
|      |            | B Development proposals for new Class E(g), B2, B8 and similar Sui Generis uses over 2,500 square metres (gross external area) must include a reasonable proportion of flexible workspace or smaller units suitable for micro, small and medium sized enterprises.   |   |
|      |            | C Within the Forest Hill Cultural Quarter, Endwell Road LSIS and Ashby Mews non-designated employment site, development proposals for new self-contained live-work units will only be permitted where it is demonstrated that they will not adversely impact on the character, function and effectiveness of the Cultural Quarter and LSIS to accommodate commercial and industrial uses, and will not result in a net loss of industrial capacity. Development proposals for new live-work units outside of these locations will be refused.  |   |
| MM72 | Policy EC4 | EC4 Low-cost and affordable workspace  Strategic Policy  | Modifications to identify the strategic status of the policy and the strategic objectives to which it relates. (AP7)                                |

Strategic Objectives:

A An open Lewisham as part of an open London Borough of Lewisham,

C A thriving local economy that tackles inequalities.

A Development proposals incorporating workspace should ensure that provision is made for suitable types and sizes of units, at an appropriate range of rents, particularly to meet the <u>specific</u> needs of <u>micro</u>, <u>small and medium sized businesses</u>, <u>including start-ups specific-social</u>, <u>cultural</u>, <u>or economic development uses</u>. This approach towards affordable and relatively low-cost workspace is in <u>alignment with the London Plan</u>.

B Where there is existing affordable and relatively low-cost workspace this should be retained or re-provided. The exception being when on-site retention demonstrably harms opportunities for investment and the delivery of industrial employment intensification. Development proposals should use the design-led approach to explore options for retaining, re-purposing or creating new affordable and low-cost workspace that is designed to a high specification and will remain suitable for local businesses, including small businesses and those in the cultural, creative and digital industries. Low-cost Affordable and relatively low-cost workspace should be let at reasonable local market rates to encourage take-up of units and support business development, particularly by addressing financial barriers in access to workspace.

C Development proposals that incorporate an element of affordable workspace at rents maintained below the market rate for social, cultural or economic uses will be considered favourably.

D New major commercial development proposals for Class E(g) office and light industrial, Class B2 industrial, Class B8 storage and distribution and similar Sui Generis uses must should when demonstrably viable make provision for affordable workspace. Developments must provide at least 10per cent of the rentable floorspace (Net Internal Area) as affordable workspace at 50 per cent of market rents. Affordable workspace should be provided on-site. Exceptions to this approach will be considered where proposals demonstrate, through robust technical evidence, that the provision of new on-site affordable and low-cost workspace will harm the delivery of industrial employment intensification. This is inclusive of proposals located within Strategic Industrial Locations that specifically seek to improve and increase industrial capacity. — Off-site provision will only be acceptable where it is demonstrated to the satisfaction of the Council that on-site provision is not feasible or off-site provision will achieve greater economic benefits. Off-site provision will be secured through planning obligations with payments in lieu calculated using the formula set out in Table 8.2 (Affordable workspace payments in lieu). Payment in lieu contributions will be used to support the provision of affordable workspace in Lewisham. Further details will be set out in the Planning Obligations SPD.

Table 8.2: Affordable workspace payments in lieu

#### Formula for calculating payments in lieu

Step 1:  $C = A \times B$ 

Step 2:  $E = D \times C$ 

Step 3:  $G = E \times F$ 

Step 4: H = G - E

Step 5: J = 1 / I

Step 6;  $K = H \times J$ 

A = Total lettable employment floorspace (square metres)

B = Percentage of floorspace to be discounted

C = Amount of floorspace subject to discount

D = Market rent per square metre before discount

E = Market rent for discounted floorspace before discount

F = Percentage discount

G = Rent after discount

Additional Modification to ensure that future planning guidance documents are referenced in the supporting text not the Policy. (AP56)

Modification to provide flexibility to the application/consideration of Policy EC4 Part D in decision-taking.

Modifications to ensure that the policy is more closely aligned with the London Plan to ensure that any unintended consequences are avoided. (AP55)

Modification to clarify how temporary provision, delivered through meanwhile uses, will be positively considered. (AP57)

Additional modification to correct typographic error.

| H = Value of discount I = Investment Yield J = Income Multiplier K = Capital value of discount  E Where new affordable workspace is provided this must be secured for a specified period agreed by the Council In order to ensure that workspace is appropriately managed it must be provided in one of the following ways:  a. Leased and managed by an affordable workspace provider approved by the Council, with an agreed Workspace Management Plan; b. Managed directly by the owner, where it is demonstrated to the satisfaction of the Council that they have the necessary experience and expertise, with an agreed Workspace Management Plan; and c. Leased by the owner to an end user approved by the Council that requires non-managed workspace.  F Development proposals that do not provide the required amount of affordable workspace must submit a Viability Assessment. The assessment will be subject to an independent appraisal paid for by the applicant. Proposals must provide the maximum viable amount of affordable workspace, the level of which will be determined by the Viability Assessment and capped at the requirement set out in (D) above. The Council will apply viability review mechanisms where development proposals do not provide the amount of workspace required by the policy. |   |
|--|---|
| that workspace is appropriately managed it must be provided in one of the following ways:  a. Leased and managed by an affordable workspace provider approved by the Council, with an agreed Workspace Management Plan; b. Managed directly by the owner, where it is demonstrated to the satisfaction of the Council that they have the necessary experience and expertise, with an agreed Workspace Management Plan; and c. Leased by the owner to an end user approved by the Council that requires non-managed workspace.  F Development proposals that do not provide the required amount of affordable workspace must submit a Viability Assessment. The assessment will be subject to an independent appraisal paid for by the applicant. Proposals must provide the maximum viable amount of affordable workspace, the level of which will be determined by the Viability Assessment and capped at the requirement set out in (D) above. The Council will apply viability review mechanisms where development proposals do not provide the amount of   |   |
| Plan; b. Managed directly by the owner, where it is demonstrated to the satisfaction of the Council that they have the necessary experience and expertise, with an agreed Workspace Management Plan; and c. Leased by the owner to an end user approved by the Council that requires non-managed workspace.  F Development proposals that do not provide the required amount of affordable workspace must submit a Viability Assessment. The assessment will be subject to an independent appraisal paid for by the applicant. Proposals must provide the maximum viable amount of affordable workspace, the level of which will be determined by the Viability Assessment and capped at the requirement set out in (D) above. The Council will apply viability review mechanisms where development proposals do not provide the amount of   |   |
| assessment will be subject to an independent appraisal paid for by the applicant. Proposals must provide the maximum viable amount of affordable workspace, the level of which will be determined by the Viability Assessment and capped at the requirement set out in (D) above. The Council will apply viability review mechanisms where development proposals do not provide the amount of  |   |
|  |   |
| G Where there is existing affordable workspace this should be retained. Development proposals requiring planning permission that involve the loss of existing affordable workspace (including consented but undelivered workspace) will be refused unless the equivalent amount of affordable workspace is replaced on-site or re-provided elsewhere in Lewisham. Affordable workspace that is replaced or re-provided must be of at least the same quality as the existing provision and secured on equivalent terms, or alternative terms agreed by the Council. In applying this policy consideration will be given to affordable workspace that has been secured on a temporary basis as a meanwhile use. Proposals for meanwhile uses that secure affordable or relatively low cost workspaces, on a temporary basis, will be assessed on their ability to contribute towards meeting demand.   |   |
| H Affordable workspace will be secured through the use of planning obligations and/or legal agreements. Further details will be set out in the Planning Obligations SPD.   |   |
| which is of a lower specification than prime space. This type of space is often located at the back of town centre sites, under railway arches and in smaller or constrained industrial sites. It accommodates traditional business sectors and, in Lewisham, has a key local role in supporting the cultural, creative and digital industries. Low-cost workspace has typically been scattered across town centres  | Modifications to ensure that the policy is more closely aligned with the London Plan to ensure that any unintended consequences are avoided.  |
|  | G Where there is existing affordable workspace this should be retained. Development proposals requiring planning permission that involve the loss of existing affordable workspace (including consented but undelivered workspace) will be refused unless the equivalent amount of affordable workspace is replaced on-site or re-provided elsewhere in Lewisham. Affordable workspace that is replaced or re-provided must be of at least the same quality as the existing provision and secured on equivalent terms, or altern ative terms agreed by the Council. In applying this policy consideration will be given to affordable workspace that has been secured on a temporary basis as a meanwhile use. Proposals for meanwhile uses that secure affordable workspace that has been secured on a temporary basis, will be assessed on their ability to contribute towards meeting demand.  H Affordable workspace will be secured through the use of planning obligations and/or legal agreements. Further details will be set out in the Planning Obligations SPD.  8.23 As set out in the London Plan, low-cost workspace refers to secondary and tertiary space that is available at open market rents, which is of a lower specification than prime space. This type of space is often located at the back of town centre sites, under railway arches and in smaller or constrained industrial sites. It accommodates traditional business sectors and, in Lewisham, has a key local role in supporting the cultural, creative and digital industries. Low-cost workspace has typically been scattered across town centres and areas such as New Cross and Deptford. Clusters are also present along the Overground line corridor, for example, around Forest Hill and Brockley stations. However, the availability of low-cost workspace is increasingly limited given the Borough's diminishing employment land supply, rising market rates for commercial space and competing pressure on employment sites from higher value land uses. Consequently, the new Local Plan seeks to explore opportunities for new |

| MM74 | Paragraph<br>8.27 | 8.27 Affordable workspace should normally be provided on-site. The policy sets out three options for delivering workspace to ensure it is appropriately secured and managed. Applicants should engage with workspace providers and representative groups, such as the Lewisham Workspace Providers Forum, early in the design-led approach. This will help to ensure the design is suited to the requirements of the end user(s) and can also assist with the identification of providers. Applications should include evidence of an agreement to lease the affordable workspace along with a Workspace Management Plan, where appropriate. In most circumstances, proposals will be required to maintain, or retain existing affordable or low-cost that is already present on site. Exceptions to this approach will be considered, subject to the proposal robustly demonstrating that it is necessary to replace existing provision in order to deliver higher intensity industrial employment uses on-site. Such proposals will be supported by technical evidence that demonstrate how industrial employment intensification will be delivered on the site, and the economic benefits that it will bring to the Capital, the Central Activity Zone and the Borough. Proposals for new commercial development that deliver improved and higher intensity industrial employment uses will be positively considered, subject to meeting other Local Plan policy requirements. Flexibility may be applied for equivalent off-site contributions in exceptional circumstances, including payments in lieu, where it is demonstrated to the satisfaction of the Council that on-site provision is not feasible or off-site provision will provide greater economic benefits. Payment in lieu contributions, which will be secured using the formula set out in Table 8.2 (Affordable workspace payments in lieu). Further details will be set out in the future Planning Obligations SPD. | Modifications to ensure that the policy is more closely aligned with the London Plan to ensure that any unintended consequences are avoided.  Additional Modification to ensure that future planning guidance documents are referenced in the supporting text not the Policy.  |
|------|-------------------|--|--|
| MM75 | Paragraph<br>8.28 | 8.28 Development proposals that do not provide the required amount of affordable workspace must submit evidence of a Viability Assessment with the planning application. This must be undertaken by a suitably qualified professional, such as a member of the Royal Institution of Chartered Surveyors (RICS). The applicant's Viability Assessment will be subject to an independent appraisal paid for by the applicant. The assessment will be used to confirm the maximum viable amount of affordable workspace that should be provided, with the level capped at the amount set out in Policy EC4 Part D. Where the required level of affordable workspace is not provided the Council will apply early and late stage viability review mechanisms. This is to respond to economic uncertainties that may arise over the period of the development, and to ensure maximum public benefit is gained from it. The Council will consider proposals for new B2 industrial and B8 storage uses that seek to secure a lesser scale of new affordable workspace provision. This will be subject to them robustly demonstrating, through supporting technical evidence, that the provision of new on-site affordable workspace will harm the delivery of industrial employment intensification. Such proposals will be required to submit technical evidence that demonstrates how industrial intensification will be delivered across the site, and specifically how the on-site presence of affordable workspace would prejudice its timely implementation.  | Modifications to ensure that the policy is more closely aligned with the London Plan to ensure that any unintended consequences are avoided.   |
| MM76 | Policy EC5        | EC5 Strategic Industrial Locations (SIL)  Non-strategic Policy  Strategic Objectives: C A thriving local economy that tackles inequalities.  A Development proposals within SIL will be supported where the uses fall within the industrial-type activities specified by the London Plan Policy E4 Land for industry, logistics and services to support London's economic function.  B Development proposals within or adjacent to SILs must not adversely impact on the function or effectiveness of the SIL to accommodate commercial and industrial uses or their ability to function on a 24-hour basis.  C Development proposals within SIL should protect and seek to make provision for business activities and uses that support the function of London's Central Activities Zone (CAZ). Proposals that deliver sustainable 'last mile' distribution/ logistics, 'just-in-time' servicing (such as food service activities, printing, administrative and support services, office supplies, repair, and maintenance), waste management and recycling, and land to support transport functions will be supported.   | Modifications to identify the strategic status of the policy and the strategic objectives to which it relates. (AP7)  Modification to provide further clarity on the uses that will be supported across the employment land hierarchy and will be supported within SIL. (AP59) |

|                 | D The reconfiguration of the Surrey Canal Road SIL is facilitated through the Local Plan. Land at the Bermondsey Dive-Under is designated SIL to provide substitute industrial capacity for the release of SIL at Apollo Business Centre, Trundleys Road and Evelyn Court. These sites released from SIL are re-designated as LSIS where the co-location of employment and other compatible uses will be supported in line with Policy EC6 (Locally Significant Industrial Sites) and relevant site allocation policies.   |   |
|-----------------|--|---|
| MM77 8.31       | 8.31 Lewisham's SILs make up a significant proportion of the Borough's industrial capacity and are key areas for business activity and local jobs. They are also well-positioned to play a more integral role in supporting the London CAZ which is a driver of the regional economy. Both SILs benefit from their proximity to central London and the wider south-east of England, including good transport connections. In addition, Lewisham features growth sectors which complement the CAZ, such as the cultural, creative and digital industries. Development proposals should protect and seek to make provision for industrial employment activities and uses that support the CAZ. This includes industrial capacity for uses defined under B2 industrial, B8 storage, logistics and last mile distribution, 'just-in-time servicing' and other related functions as SIL are the most appropriate locations in the borough for these types of activities. Where it is demonstrably appropriate, this may also include the industrial employment uses that are defined under Class E (g) (ii) and (iii), and industrial employment activity that is classified as sui generis. Lewisham's SILs are inappropriate locations for the main town centre uses that are defined under Class E. Such uses will be directed towards the opportunities found in Lewisham's existing and emerging town centres.   | Modification to provide further clarity on the uses that will be supported across the employment land hierarchy. (AP59)   |
| MM78 Policy EC6 | Non-strategic Policy  Strategic Objectives: C A thriving local economy that tackles inequalities.  A LSIS will be protected for Class E(g) office and light industrial, Class B industrial, Class B8 storage and distribution and related Sui Generis uses, with priority being given to office and light industrial uses. Development proposals should ensure that there is no net loss of maintain the Borough's industrial capacity within these locations and seek to deliver net gains wherever possible.  B Development proposals within or adjacent to LSIS must not adversely impact on the function or effectiveness of the LSIS to accommodate commercial and industrial uses. Lewisham's LSIS are normally inappropriate locations for proposals seeking to deliver new main town centre uses, as defined under Class E (a) — (f).  C Within LSIS, development proposals for self-storage and large format storage and warehousing uses and facilities will only be permitted where: a. The requirements of Policy EC2.B(d) (Protecting employment land and delivering new workspace) are satisfied; or b. There is a demonstrable local need or market demand for the use proposed; c. The use cannot be reasonably located in a SIL, as evidenced by a detailed site selection exercise; and d. The development will include provision of a reasonable proportion of flexible workspace or units for micro, small or mediu m-sized businesses.  D The co-location of employment and other compatible uses will only be permitted at selected LSIS in order to secure the long-term viability of LSIS and to help facilitate their renewal and regeneration. Development proposals involving the co-location of uses must not compromise the function of the LSIS in line with (B) above. Further development requirements are set out in the relevant site allocation policies. for the following sites: a. Apollo Business Centre b. Blackheath Hill e. Childers Street North d. Cylede Vale | Modifications to identify the strategic status of the policy and the strategic objectives to which it relates. (AP7)  Modification to ensure consistency in the approach towards managing the provision and delivery of new industrial employment land.  Modification to provide further clarity on the uses that will be supported across the employment land hierarchy.  Modification to remove repetitive reference to LSIS sites. (AP60 and AP61) |

|      |                   | e. Evelyn Court   |  |
|------|-------------------|---|--|
|      |                   | f. Lower Creekside  |  |
|      |                   |   |  |
|      |                   | g. Manor Lane (Part)  |  |
|      |                   | h. Perry Vale   |  |
|      |                   | i. Stanton Square   |  |
|      |                   | j. Trundleys Road   |  |
|      |                   | k. Willow Way   |  |
|      |                   | I. Worsley Bridge Road  |  |
|      |                   | E Development proposals for the co-location of uses on LSIS sites listed in EC6 Part D above which result in the net loss of industrial capacity will be strongly resisted and only permitted in exceptional circumstances, where the proposal:   |  |
|      |                   | a. Suitably demonstrates that the loss is necessary for reasons of feasibility or to secure strategic infrastructure, with reference to   |  |
|      |                   | Policy EC6 Part G, and the amount of industrial capacity has been maximised as much as reasonably practical, including through  |  |
|      |                   | evidence of a development options appraisal considered through the design-led approach;   |  |
|      |                   | b. Will not compromise the function of the LSIS or preclude the delivery of the spatial strategy for the Borough;   |  |
|      |                   | c. Delivers wider public benefit(s) to overcome the loss of industrial capacity; and  |  |
|      |                   | d. Makes provision of at least 50 per cent affordable housing on the residential element of the development.  |  |
|      |                   | d. Makes provision of at least 50 per cent anordable housing on the residential element of the development.   |  |
|      |                   | F On LSIS where the co-location of uses is not permitted by Policy EC6.D development proposals which are not for Class E(g) office  |  |
|      |                   | and light industrial, Class B industrial, Class B8 storage and distribution and similar Sui Generis uses will only be supported where   |  |
|      |                   | they:   |  |
|      |                   |   |  |
|      |                   | a. Are not residential uses;  |  |
|      |                   | b. Are complementary and ancillary to the principal function of the LSIS in accommodating commercial and industrial uses or   |  |
|      |                   | infrastructure necessary to support the delivery of the spatial strategy, with reference to Policy EC6.G;   |  |
|      |                   | c. Will support the long-term viability of the LSIS as an employment location, including through provision of services and facilities that meet the needs of modern business;   |  |
|      |                   | d. Will not adversely impact on the function of the LSIS or prejudice the continued operation of commercial and industrial uses on the  |  |
|      |                   | site, within the LSIS and in neighbouring employment areas, including those outside of the Borough;   |  |
|      |                   | e. Do not result in an overconcentration of similar uses in the LSIS and its immediate or wider surrounds; and  |  |
|      |                   | f. Will not compromise the delivery of strategic requirements for industrial capacity, having regard to the proposal's individual and   |  |
|      |                   | cumulative impact.  |  |
|      |                   | G Within LSIS, development proposals for strategic infrastructure will be permitted where it is demonstrated that:  |  |
|      |                   | O Within 2010, development proposals for strategic infrastructure will be permitted where it is demonstrated that.  |  |
|      |                   | a. The infrastructure is necessary to support the delivery of the spatial strategy for the Borough, taking into account the Council's Infrastructure Delivery Plan;   |  |
|      |                   | b. The use is appropriate to the industrial location and will not adversely impact on the function of the LSIS or prejudice the   |  |
|      |                   | continued operation of commercial and industrial uses on the site or within the employment area; and  |  |
|      |                   | c. The loss of industrial capacity has been minimised as much as reasonably practical and efforts have been made to replace any   |  |
|      |                   | such losses.  |  |
|      |                   |   |  |
| MM79 | Paragraph<br>8.34 | 8.34 In order to make the optimal use of land there will need to be a managed process of industrial land renewal and intensification of capacity. The Lewisham Employment Land Study (2019) provides that the Borough's future requirements are primarily for office and light industrial employment uses. To ensure LSIS help to meet these identified needs we will resist development proposals that consist solely or predominantly of self-storage or large format warehousing and storage facilities (normally included in the B8 Use | Modification to provide further clarity on the uses that will be supported across the employment land hierarchy. |
|      |                   | Class) unless sufficiently justified Storage and warehousing uses can help to support the wider regional economy, particularly the  | (AP59)   |

|      |                   | logistics sector which is vital to the long-term viability of London's CAZ. The other exception to this approach is for proposals that are seeking to develop sites within LSIS for the industrial employment storage uses that are defined under Class E (g) (ii) and (iii). They also provide valuable space for smaller businesses and those requiring additional off-site provision. Development proposals must demonstrate there is an identified need or local market demand for the warehousing or storage use and that there are no suitable or available sites in SIL, where this type of development can be more appropriately located. Proposals should include evidence of market research showing there is demand for the use and where possible, a specified occupier. This will help to prevent speculative developments for which there is unlikely to be an end-user. They should also submit a detailed site survey investigating availability of suitable sites both within and in proximity to Lewisham, including in neighbouring Boroughs and the London southeast sub-region. All development proposals for storage and warehousing must deliver a reasonable proportion of flexible workspace or units to meet needs of micro, small and medium sized business, the amount of which will be considered on a case-by-case basis.   |   |
|------|-------------------|---|---|
| MM80 | Paragraph<br>8.37 | 8.37 Whilst LSIS is protected for office and industrial <a href="mailto:employment">employment</a> uses <a href="mailto:the tata">that are operationally ancillary to primarily industrial employment activities</a> can help to support the attractiveness and viability of an employment site. Such uses may include small-scale workplace crèches, cafes, business services, community facilities and public amenity spaces. The acceptability of development proposals for such uses will be considered on a case-by-case basis. <a href="mailto:ln all cases">ln all cases</a> , proposals for such uses within LSIS must be ancillary and subservient to a clearly related primary industrial employment activity. For example, it may be permissible to allow a coffee roasting factory to have a limited public facing sales counter for product made on-site. Proposals for commercial operations that are entirely defined under Class E (a) – (f) as main town uses will not normally be considered appropriate for LSIS. Fundamentally, LSIS should remain attractive and viable places for industrial business activities.   | Modification to provide further clarity on the uses that will be supported across the employment land hierarchy. (AP59)   |
| MM81 | Policy EC7        | Non-strategic Policy  Strategic Objectives: C A thriving local economy that tackles inequalities.  A The comprehensive redevelopment of Mixed-use Employment Locations (MELs) will be supported in order to facilitate their renewal and regeneration and to secure provision for a range of commercial uses, including new modern workspace with priority given to Class E(g) office and light industrial employment uses. Those MELs that are, through their redevelopment, creating new communities may also provide opportunities for an appropriate and proportionate mix of main town uses, as defined under Class E(a) – (f), in order to meet the needs of their residents. All development proposals within MELs must be delivered in accordance with relevant site allocation policies and a site-wide masterplan. Development proposals must provide demonstrable improvements in the overall physical and environmental quality of the MEL and ensure that new development is well-integrated with adjoining and neighbouring land uses.  B Development proposals must not adversely impact on the function or effectiveness of MELs to accommodate business uses. Development proposals must maximise the amount of Class E(g) office and light industrial uses through site redevelopment, provide a demonstrable and significant uplift in the number of jobs and make provision for high quality workspace, taking into account the operational requirements of differing land uses, in line with the Agent of Change principle and ensuring that the workspace is appropriately integrated within the MEL and its surrounding area.  C Where the comprehensive development of an MEL, or a site within the MEL, has been delivered through the masterplan process all future proposals involving the redevelopment or change of use of land and floorspace must:  a. Retain, and wherever possible seek to increase, the proportion of industrial capacity across the MEL, as originally approved in the | Modifications to identify the strategic status of the policy and the strategic objectives to which it relates. (AP7)  Modification to clarify how proposals for MELs that incorporate an element of main town centres will be considered through decision-taking. |
|      |                   | a. Retain, and wherever possible seek to increase, the proportion of industrial capacity across the MEL, as originally approved in the masterplan and planning consent; and   |   |

|      |                   | b. Ensure there is no net loss of existing industrial capacity.  |  |
|------|-------------------|--|--|
| MM82 | Paragraph<br>8.39 | 8.39 Mixed-use Employment Locations (MELs) consist largely of older, poorer quality and redundant industrial land and buildings. To help facilitate regeneration in the north of the Borough the Local Plan makes provision for the plan-led consolidation and redevelopment of MELs. This will assist in tackling deprivation and inequality by improving the quality of the environment, delivering new housing (including affordable housing), jobs and training opportunities, and securing investment in infrastructure such as for public open space, community facilities and transport. The redevelopment of some of the MELs will create entirely new communities. In addition to their industrial employment capacity, these MELs will also provide opportunities for an appropriate and proportionate mix of new main town centre uses, as defined under Class E (a) – (f), that will serve their future residents.   | Consequential renumbering of paragraph and insertion of acronym.  Modifications to identify the strategic status of the policy and the strategic objectives to which it relates.   |
| MM83 | Paragraph<br>8.42 | 8.42 Development proposals must be delivered through the masterplan process, with reference to Policy DM3 (Masterplans and comprehensive development). Proposals must demonstrate how they will maximise provision of industrial <u>employment</u> capacity whilst addressing the role MELs have in delivering a complementary mix of uses. This should include evidence of the site layout and design options explored through the design-led approach, along with consideration given to a different workspace typologies (for example, light industrial space or office space suitable for co-working). It also includes securing an appropriate and proportionate mix of main town centre uses, as defined under Class E (a) – (f), where they are identified as being necessary to serve the new communities being created.   | Modifications to clarify how main town centre uses will contribute towards the creation of new sustainable communities and places as part of delivering the MELs.  |
| MM84 | Policy EC8        | Strategic Policy  Strategic Objectives: C A thriving local economy that tackles inequalities.  A Non-designated employment sites are those that contain or consist principally of Class E(g) office and light industrial, Class B industrial, Class B8 storage and distribution and similar Sui Generis uses, and which are located outside of SIL, LSIS and ME L. These sites make an important contribution to Lewisham's local economy by providing workspace for businesses and job opportunities. Development proposals should protect and not result in the net loss of viable industrial capacity on these non-designated employment sites.  B To ensure the continued viability of non-designated employment sites, development proposals for employment-led, mixed-used development will be supported where they are located within a well-connected area with high Public Transport Access Levels, or the site forms part of a cluster of commercial, industrial and/or other employment generating uses, and the development: a. Maximises the amount of industrial capacity; b. Provides demonstrable improvements in the site's suitably for continued employment use, having particular regard to Policy EC3 (High quality employment areas and workspace); c. Does not compromise the employment generating function of the site and any adjoining or nearby sites, particularly where they form part of a complementary cluster of uses; d. Ensures appropriate protection of amenity both for the users of the development and neighbouring properties, with reference to the Agent of Change principle; and e. Secures the provision of affordable housing for any residential element introduced, including through building conversions, in line with Policy HO3 (Genuinely affordable housing). | Modifications to identify the strategic status of the policy and the strategic objectives to which it relates. (AP7)  Additional Modification to ensure consistency in use of times, dates, and years across the Local Plan. |
|      |                   | C On all other non-designated employment sites (i.e. those which fall outside the location requirements in (B) above) development proposals must not result in the net loss of viable industrial capacity, unless it can be demonstrated that the building or site is not suitable for continued business use having regard to:  |  |

|      |                   | a. Feasible alternative commercial, industrial and/ or employment generating uses; b. The condition of the existing building(s) and reasonable options for the refurbishment and/or reconfiguration of floorspace to enable continued occupation by employment generating uses; c. Site constraints including layout, access and compatibility with neighbouring uses; d. Long-term vacancy; and e. Evidence of recent and continuous marketing, covering a minimum period of 24 months two-years and at an appropriate rental or sale value.  D On sites where the introduction of a residential element is acceptable in line with (C) above, development proposals must make provision for affordable housing, in line with Policy HO3 (Genuinely affordable housing). E Development proposals involving the net loss of industrial capacity must make a financial contribution towards training or other employment related initiatives, in line with Policies EC10 (Workplace training and job opportunities) and DM2 (Infrastructure funding and planning obligations). |  |
|------|-------------------|---|--|
| MM85 | Policy EC9        | Non-strategic Policy  Strategic Objectives: C A thriving local economy that tackles inequalities.   | Modifications to identify the strategic status of the policy and the strategic objectives to which it relates. (AP7) |
|      |                   | A Development proposals involving railway arches will be supported where:  a. The principal use is for an appropriate commercial, industrial, community, cultural or similar Sui Generis use, or b. An operational use associated with the railway or public highway; and c. The use will not cause harm to the amenity of  |  |
|      |                   | neighbouring uses and properties.  B Existing lower-cost or affordable workspace within railway arches should retained or re-provided, where this is compatible with upgrading the railway arch and it forms part of a wider comprehensive redevelopment, in line with Policy EC4 (Low-cost and affordable workspace).  |  |
|      |                   | C Development proposals involving railway arches must be of a high quality design. Positive frontages must be provided in town and edge-of-centre locations and elsewhere wherever possible. Proposals must also investigate and maximise opportunities to improve accessibility by walking and cycling, including connections through arches where feasible and appropriate.   |  |
|      |                   | D Proposals involving the comprehensive redevelopment of sites that include, or are adjacent to, railway arches must address the use of the arches through the design-led approach, and where relevant the masterplan process.  |  |
|      |                   | E Development proposals involving railway arches must demonstrate they will not have an adverse impact on the public highway and railway network or preclude the delivery of planned transport infrastructure. Network Rail, Transport for London and the Highway Authority should be consulted on development and design options, where appropriate and/or required, through the design-led approach.  |  |
| MM86 | Paragraph<br>8.52 | 8.52 All development proposals should help to improve the quality of the environment, make a positive contribution to local character and protect the amenity of neighbouring properties. Where railways sever or impede local area connections, proposals will be expected to fully investigate opportunities to open up arches and introduce walking and cycle routes to improve accessibility. In the case of major applications, including the comprehensive redevelopment of sites, railway arches should be considered through the site masterplan process, even if the arches are not included within the development site boundary. This will help to ensure land and   | Consequential renumbering of paragraph and modification to provide further clarity.                                  |

|      |             | space is put to its optimal use and supports delivery of the spatial strategy. Applicants will be expected required to consult engage Network Rail and Transport for London on development and design options in order to ensure there is no adverse impact on the public highway and rail network, or preclude, prejudice, or delay the delivery of planned transport infrastructure, including the Bakerloo line extension.  | Modification to clarify requirement for engagement with Network Rail and TfL. (AP64)                                 |
|------|-------------|--|--|
| MM87 | Policy EC10 | EC10 Workplace training and job opportunities  Non-strategic Policy  Strategic Objectives:   | Modifications to identify the strategic status of the policy and the strategic objectives to which it relates. (AP7) |
|      |             | A An open Lewisham as part of an open London Borough of Lewisham,  C A thriving local economy that tackles inequalities.   |  |
|      |             | A The Council will work with stakeholders, including the Mayor of London, the London Economic Action Partnership, and Lewisham Deal Partners to support the Local Plan objectives for delivering a thriving and inclusive local economy.   |  |
|      |             | B Development proposals should make reasonable efforts to actively source local businesses, recruit local workers and provide workplace training, skills development, apprenticeships and other education and training opportunities for Lewisham residents. Consideration should be given to opportunities during the construction and end-user phases of development. Development proposals that demonstrate there are suitable arrangements in place to secure local labour and workplace training will be considered favourably.   |  |
|      |             | C Major development proposals must make provision for workplace training in Lewisham. A financial contribution will be required using the formula set out in Table 8.3. This will be secured by conditions or planning contributions and used to support the Council's local labour scheme and associated projects.  |  |
|      |             | D In line with other Local Plan policies, development proposals involving a net loss of industrial capacity in designated employment locations and non-designated employment sites will be resisted, unless such loss is part of a plan-led process of employment land consolidation. Where a development proposal will result in a net loss of industrial capacity, a financial contribution will be required for workplace training, using the formula set out in Table 8.3. This will be secured by conditions or planning contributions and used to support the Council's local labour scheme and associated projects. |  |
|      |             | Table 8.3 Financial contributions for workplace training   |  |
|      |             | Formula for calculating financial contributions for major residential development  X = A x B   |  |
|      |             | X = Total financial contribution (£) A = Financial contribution of £715 per dwelling B = Number of dwellings   |  |
|      |             | Formula for calculating financial contributions for major commercial  development  X = A x B   |  |
|      |             | C = Total financial contribution (£) A = Financial contribution of £715 per job generated by the development. B = Estimated number of jobs provided by the development   |  |

|                  | The estimated number of jobs will be calculated on the basis of gross new employment floorspace provided by the development, taking into account the relevant land use(s) and applying the (former) HCA Employment Densities Guide (2nd Edition) or equivalent guidance agreed by the Council.  Formula for calculating financial contributions for the loss of industrial capacity  X = A x (B - C)  X = Total financial contribution (£)  A = Financial of £715 per job lost as a result of the development.  B = Estimated number of existing jobs  C = Estimated number of jobs provided by the development  The estimated number of jobs lost will be calculated on the basis of the net loss of existing employment floorspace resulting from the development, taking into account the relevant existing land use(s) and applying the |  |
|------------------|---|--|
|                  | (former) HCA Employment Densities Guide (2 <sup>nd</sup> Edition) or equivalent guidance agreed by the Council.   |  |
| MM88 Policy EC11 | EC11 Town centres at the heart of our communities  Strategic Policy   | Modifications to identify the strategic status of the policy and the strategic objectives to which it relates. (AP7) |
|                  | Strategic Objectives:  A An open Lewisham as part of an open London Borough of Lewisham,  C A thriving local economy that tackles inequalities,  F Celebrating our local identity.  | W. 1.511 11 15 16 16 16 16 16 16 16 16 16 16 16 16 16  |
|                  | A Town centres are and should remain at the heart of Lewisham's neighbourhoods and communities as focal points for retail, commercial, cultural, leisure, community and civic activities. Town centres will be managed positively in order to ensure they are inclusive, diverse, attractive and vibrant places that are made more resilient and adaptable to future challenges and opportunities, including those presented by new technology and changes in consumer behaviour, such as online shopping.  |  |
|                  | B Development proposals should support and help to secure the long-term vitality and viability of Lewisham's town centres by: a. Optimising the use of land within and around them; b. Maintaining and enhancing their distinctive features and characteristics where these make a positive contribution to the neighbourhood, including their built form, environmental, historic and cultural character; c. Ensuring they are inclusive, safe and healthy places by creating environments that are barrier-free and accessible to all, with high quality buildings, spaces and public realm that are designed to:   |  |
|                  | i. Reduce vehicular dominance and promote and enable movement by walking, cycling and the use of public transport; ii. Encourage street level activity along with opportunities for social interaction and relaxation, including through provision of publicly accessible open space; and iii. Maximise opportunities to deliver new or enhanced green infrastructure, including by urban greening and river restoration;   |  |

|      |                   | <ul> <li>d. Delivering an appropriate mix and balance of residential and main town centre uses in order to attract visitors and ensure people have good access to a competitive range of services and facilities, as well as to support businesses and grow the local economy through provision of a wide range of workspaces and premises; and</li> <li>e. Ensuring town centres function as vibrant places of daytime, evening and night-time activities.</li> </ul>   |  |
|------|-------------------|--|--|
| MM89 | Paragraph<br>8.59 | 8.59 Town centres are at the heart of Lewisham's neighbourhoods and communities. They all have distinctive features that reflect and help to reinforce the Borough's character and diversity. Town centres are also a key part of the local economy and important hubs of daytime, evening and night-time activity. The delivery of the spatial strategy for the Borough is dependent on a well-functioning network of town centres. Development proposals must therefore demonstrate how they will support their long-term vitality and viability. Proposals that impact upon heritage assets found within or adjoining the Borough's town centres will be considered against the Local Plan's Heritage policies. | Consequential renumbering of paragraph and modification to provide further clarity on the matter of heritage assets. |
| MM90 | Policy EC12       | EC12 Town centre network and hierarchy  Strategic Policy   | Modifications to identify the strategic status of the policy and the strategic objectives to which it relates. (AP7) |
|      |                   | Strategic Objectives:  A An open Lewisham as part of an open London Borough of Lewisham,  C A thriving local economy that tackles inequalities,  F Celebrating our local identity.   | Modification to provide further clarity.   |
|      |                   | A Development proposals must support and reinforce Lewisham's town centre network and hierarchy. They must demonstrate how the development will maintain and enhance town centre vitality and viability commensurate with the role and function of the centre, in accordance with Table 8.2, along with responding positively to its distinctive character.  | Additional modification to footnote, to provide further clarity  |
|      |                   | B A 'town centres first' approach will be used to assess development proposals for main town centre uses, in line with the London Plan and the NPPF. The Sequential Test will be applied to ensure that main town centre uses are directed to locations within town centres or, if no suitable town centre sites are available or expected to become available within a reasonable period, to appropriate edge-of-centre locations.  |  |
|      |                   | C The Sequential Test will not apply to Class F1 learning and non-residential institutions and Class F2 local community uses, however development proposals for these uses are encouraged to locate in town centres and other well-connected areas.  |  |
|      |                   | D Development proposals for new main town centre uses in out-of-centre locations will be refused unless provision has been made for these uses within the Local Plan, including site allocations, or it is clearly demonstrated that no suitable town or edge-of-centre sites are available or expected to become available within a reasonable period.  |  |
|      |                   | E Retail Impact Assessments (RIAs) will be required to be submitted with development proposals for main town centre uses of 500 square metres gross floorspace or more at edge-of-centre and out-of-centre locations, unless provision for main town centre uses has been made in a site allocation. RIAs should be proportionate to the nature and scale of development.  |  |
|      |                   | Development proposals will be refused unless an RIA demonstrates that:  a. There is an identified need and market demand for the amount and type of floorspace proposed; and  b. The proposal, either by itself or in combination with other existing, committed or planned development, will not adversely impact on the vitality and viability of Lewisham's town centre network and hierarchy and its wider catchment.  |  |

F Development proposals within town centres should contribute to the delivery of and support the appropriate distribution of retail uses to help ensure the Borough's future need for 8,400 additional gross square metres of retail floorspace up to 2035 is met. Proposals for new major Use Class E(a) retail development\* should prioritise Lewisham and Catford Major Centres in the site selection process before considering other appropriate locations, including District Centres.

G Development within Lewisham town centre and its surrounds will be proactively managed in order to secure its future reclassification as a Metropolitan centre in the London Plan. Development proposals should direct new investment and facilitate the delivery of strategic infrastructure to ensure the centre can effectively serve a local and wider sub-regional catchment, with reference to Policy LCA2 (Lewisham Major Centre and surrounds).

Table 8.4 Lewisham's Town Centre Network and Hierarchy

| Classification       | Location   | Role/function   |
|----------------------|--|---|
| Major town centre    | Lewisham<br>Catford  | These are Lewisham largest and most well-connected centres with a high PTAL score meaning their catchment goes beyond Lewisham into neighbouring boroughs. They provide the highest proportion of comparison retail compared to convenience retail alongside hosting a range of uses such as offices, civic functions, culture, leisure, entertainment and services.  |
| District town centre | Blackheath Deptford Downham Forest Hill Lee Green New Cross Gate Sydenham  | Smaller than major centres and spread more evenly across Lewisham, these centres are well connected, serving several local communities. Typically they provide convenience retail with some comparison retail at a smaller scale alongside culture and leisure (e.g. café, restaurants and swimming baths), services (e.g. banks) and local office functions. Some centres, however, have developed specialist shopping functions such as independent boutique shopping for instance. At the same time, others are known for their role within the creative sector or having a lively night-time economy. |
| Local centre         | Bellingham Brockley Cross  – Lee Station Crofton Park Downham Way Evelyn Street Staplehurst Road Hither Green Lane Honour Oak / Brockley Rise Grove Park Ladywell Lewisham Way New Cross Road Kirkdale | Found within various areas of Lewisham with decent transport links, these smaller centres serve the surrounding neighbourhood and complement the larger major and district centres. They consist of a small cluster of shops typically offering convenience retail (e.g. small supermarket up to around 500sqm) and services (e.g. Pharmacy and Hairdressers) together with a community anchor (e.g. Public House, Church or Cinema) attracting visitors.   |

|      |                   | Shopping parade  There are over 80 parades dispersed across the Borough  Scattered throughout Lewisham, they have been included for their role in complementing other centres higher up the hierarchy. Consisting mainly of smaller scale convenience retail (e.g. corner shops) they play a role in providing day-to-day access to some necessities within a short walking distance of residents nearby.  *New Footnote - Class E (a) for the display or retail sale of goods, other than hot food, principally to visiting members of the state of the parades o | ne public  |
|------|-------------------|--|--|
| MM91 | Paragraph<br>8.70 | 8.70 With the levels of growth planned in the Borough up to 2040, it is important that neighbourhoods and local communa appropriately supported with good provision of shops, services and community facilities. The Lewisham Retail Impact Ass and Town Centre Trends Report (2021) ('Town Centre Trends Report') includes an assessment of retail floorspace needs Borough. The study has taken into account information on the impacts of Brexit and Covid-19. It indicates that there is me term scope for new retail development within Lewisham, over and above existing commitments (i.e. consented but unded developments). The report projects that an additional 8,397 gross square metres of floorspace will be required up to 2035 overall total comprises +10,641 square metres for convenience goods, -3,651 for comparison goods and +1,407 for food beverage retail. Given the evolving nature of modern retail operations, the Council is committed to maintaining up to date evidence on this matter. Consequently, the Council is prioritising the review of the Lewisham Retail Impact Assessment Centre Trends Report, inclusive of a household survey, as part of its on-going plan-making and decision-taking responsite anticipated that a review of the Lewisham Retail Impact Assessment and Town Centre Trends Report will take place during and will inform the Council's own future plan-making in addition to the new London Plan. The site allocations included the Local Plan set parameters for specific sites in order to ensure that new development contributes to meeting these identeeds. They make provision for 'main town centre uses', which include retail uses, in order to respond to the flexibility protein target will be reviewed in the Authority Monitoring Report.   | the need for up to date technical evidence that informs plan-making and decision-taking; specifically prioritising the review of the Town Centre Trends Report and its component Household Survey.  Trends Report and its component Household Survey.  Trends Report and its component Household Survey. |
| MM92 | Policy EC13       | Strategic Policy  Strategic Objectives:  A An open Lewisham as part of an open London Borough of Lewisham, C A thriving local economy that tackles inequalities, F Celebrating our local identity.  A Development proposals should optimise the use of land and floorspace within town centres and at edge-of-centre local at Delivering new mixed-use schemes on individual sites and through comprehensive redevelopment of multiple sites, we appropriate; b. Investigating opportunities for the reuse and reconfiguration of existing space, or the provision of new additional space below commercial units; and c. Avoiding designs that comprise of single-storey development.  B Within town centres and edge-of-centre locations, mixed-use development proposals (including the expansion, reuse reconfiguration of existing floorspace) will be considered having regard to:   | where above or   |

|      |             | <ul> <li>a. The role and function of the centre;</li> <li>b. Impact on town centre vitality and viability;</li> <li>c. Compatibility of the proposed use with adjoining and neighbouring uses, both in terms of land use and character; and</li> <li>d. Compliance with other policies.</li> <li>C Subject to (A) and (B) above, where a development proposal includes a residential use the development must:</li> <li>a. Not adversely impact on the function, appearance and character of the town centre, including its shopping and other frontages; and</li> <li>b. Provide adequate access arrangements for all of the building occupiers including separate secured access for the residential element.</li> <li>D Development proposals affecting an existing commercial unit must ensure any ancillary floorspace that is integral to business operations and viability of the unit is not compromised or lost.</li> </ul>   |  |
|------|-------------|--|--|
| MM93 | Policy EC14 | EC14 Major and District Centres  Non-strategic Policy  Strategic Objectives: A An open Lewisham as part of an open London Borough of Lewisham. C A thriving local economy that tackles inequalities. F Celebrating our local identity.  A Development proposals within and at the edge of a Major or District centre must demonstrate how they will support the vital ity and viability of the town centre and make a positive contribution to its local character, with reference to Policy EC11 (Town centres at the heart of our communities).  Primary Shopping Areas B Primary Shopping Areas C Development proposals should support the retail function of the PSA. New Class E(a) retail uses are encouraged to locate in the PSA in order to support and enhance town centre vitality and viability through the provision of a complementary cluster of retail uses.  C Development proposals for Class E and main town centre uses which do not contribute to the retail function of the PSA at the ground floor level must submit a Shopping Area Impact Statement. The statement must demonstrate that the development, whether individually or cumulatively with others, will support the retail function of the PSA and will not result in an unacceptable adverse impact on it by:  a. Contributing to the vitality, viability, vibrancy and character of the PSA, including by ensuring that a range of consumer goods remain available within it, taking into account the role and function of the centre in the hierarchy; b. Providing an appropriate main town centre use at the ground floor level that will attract visitors and generate footfall within the PSA; c. Providing a positive frontage along with an active ground floor frontage in order to ensure that there is no excessively harmful break between retail uses and the continuity of the active frontage; and | Modifications to identify the strategic status of the policy and the strategic objectives to which it relates. (AP7)  Modification to provide further clarity on how the percentage of retail provision will be assessed in relation to the wider definition of main town centre uses identified under Class E. (AP70 and AP71)  Additional Modification to provide further clarity on the retail main town centre uses as defined under Class E(a). The modification includes consequential corrections to grammar. |

|      |             | D In Lewisham Major centre, development proposals should support the role and function of the centre by contributing to the target for the PSA to maintain a minimum of 50 per cent of retail uses units as defined under Class E (a) as a proportion of all units. Development proposals that will result in the percentage of retail uses in the PSA falling below this threshold target will only be supported where the retail function of the PSA will not be adversely impacted, with reference to (C) above.   |  |
|------|-------------|---|--|
|      |             | E Planning conditions may be used to secure Class E(a) uses that contribute to the retail function of the PSA. Where retail development is conditioned for that use, development proposals seeking a change to another appropriate main town centre use, including full flexibility for Class E commercial, business and service uses, must demonstrate that there is no reasonable prospect of the unit being used for continued retail use. This must be evidenced by a robust and recent marketing exercise covering a min imum continuous period of 6-months at a reasonable market value for rent or sale to the local area. Shopping Area Impact Statements must be submitted with applications for changes to a non-retail use where appropriate, with reference to (C) above. |  |
|      |             | The wider town centre area  F Within a Major or District town centre development proposals for main town centre uses (as defined under Class E (a) – (g) (i)) will be supported. In accordance with national policy, it is anticipated that Class E retail uses will be directed to Primary Shopping Areas, with other Class E main town centre uses locating to appropriate locations beyond. Proposals will be supported where:   |  |
|      |             | a. The use will not result in a harmful overconcentration of similar uses, having regard to Policy EC17 (Concentration of uses); and b. They provide a positive frontage including an active ground floor frontage or if it can be suitably demonstrated that this is not possible a window display or other appropriate positive frontage at the ground floor; and. c. They comply with other Local Plan policies.   |  |
|      |             | G Development proposals for residential uses on the ground floor level or below, both within the PSA and the wider town centre area, are inappropriate and will be strongly resisted. This includes proposals for the conversion of units currently in a main town centre or complementary commercial, cultural or community use.   |  |
| MM94 | Policy EC15 | EC15 Local Centres  Non-strategic Policy  | Modifications to identify the strategic status of the policy and the strategic objectives to |
|      |             | Strategic Objectives:   | which it relates. (AP7)  |
|      |             | A An open Lewisham as part of an open London Borough of Lewisham,   |  |
|      |             | C A thriving local economy that tackles inequalities, F Celebrating our local identity.   |  |
|      |             | A Development proposals within and at the edge of a Local Centre must demonstrate how they will support the vitality and viability of the centre and make a positive contribution to its local character, with reference to Policy EC11 (Town centres at the heart of our communities).   |  |
|      |             | B Development proposals incorporating small and moderately sized units for retail use are encouraged to locate in Local Centres. Where such provision already exists, this should be protected wherever possible in order to ensure a balanced mix of main town centre uses.  |  |
|      |             | C Major development proposals within a Local Centre must make provision for a reasonable amount of small or moderately sized units suitable for micro, small and independent businesses. Where an end-user has been secured for immediate occupation on the development's completion and requires a larger unit or floor plate, this should be flexibly designed to enable sub-division into smaller units in the future.   |  |

|      |             | D Development proposals involving the change of use from Class E to other main town centre uses within Local Centres will only be supported where it is demonstrated that:  a. The replacement use is an appropriate main town centre, community, cultural or employment generating use; and b. An active frontage is provided at the ground floor level or if it can be suitably demonstrated that this is not possible a window display or other positive frontage.  E Development proposals for the change of a main town centre use to a residential use at the ground floor level or below will only be permitted where:  a. It is demonstrated through a robust and recent marketing exercise of a minimum continuous period of two-years at a reasonable local market value for rent or sale, that the unit has been vacant during this time and there is no reasonable prospect of retaining it in an appropriate main town centre, community or employment generating use; and b. There will be no adverse impact on local area character and the continuity of the shopping frontage in the Local Centre.  F Planning conditions may be used to secure Class E(a) uses that contribute to the retail function of the Local Centre. Where retail development is conditioned for that use, development proposals seeking a change to another appropriate main town centre use, including full flexibility for Class E commercial, business and service uses, must demonstrate that there is no reasonable prospect of the unit being used for continued retail use. This must be evidenced by a robust and recent marketing exercise covering a minimum continuous period of 6-months at a reasonable market value for rent or sale to the local area. |  |
|------|-------------|--|--|
| MM95 | Policy EC16 | EC16 Shopping parades, corner shops and other service points  Non-strategic Policy  Strategic Objectives:  | Modifications to identify the strategic status of the policy and the strategic objectives to which it relates. (AP7) |
|      |             | C A thriving local economy that tackles inequalities.  |  |
|      |             | A Retail uses located at shopping parades, corner shops and other service points (i.e. outside of Major, District and Local Centres) provide important day-to-day provision of consumer goods within Lewisham's neighbourhoods and should be protected wherever possible.  |  |
|      |             | B Development proposals involving the change of use from Class E to other main town centre or appropriate employment generating uses at shopping parades, corner shops and other service points will only be supported where it is demonstrated that:  |  |
|      |             | a. Similar alternative provision is available within the local area which can be reached safely, easily and conveniently by walking, normally within 400-800 metres; and b. An active frontage is provided at the ground floor level, or if it can be suitably demonstrated this is not possible a window display or other positive frontage.  |  |
|      |             | C At shopping parades, corner shops and other service points development proposals for the change of a main town centre use to a residential use at the ground floor level or below will only be permitted where:  |  |
|      |             | a. It is demonstrated through a robust and recent marketing exercise of a minimum continuous period of one-year at a reasonable local market value for rent or sale, that the unit has been vacant during this time and there is no reasonable prospect of retaining the unit in an appropriate main town centre, community or employment generating use; and b. There will be no adverse impact on local area character and the continuity of the frontages within the shopping parade or other frontages.  |  |

|                  | D Where a development proposal involving a main town centre use is located outside of a Major, District or Local Centre and satisfies the Sequential Test, the Council may use planning conditions to secure an element of Class E(g) retail uses. This in order to ensure provision of essential daily consumer goods in the area where there is an identified need. |  |
|------------------|---|--|
| MM96 Policy EC17 | EC17 Concentration of uses  Non-strategic Policy  | Modifications to identify the strategic status of the policy and the strategic objectives to |
|                  | Strate die Objective et   | which it relates. (AP7)  |
|                  | Strategic Objectives: C A thriving local economy that tackles inequalities,   | Modification to provide up-to-   |
|                  | G Healthy and safe communities.   | date factual clarity of uses being managed. (AP75 and  |
|                  | A Development proposals must not result in a harmful overconcentration of the following Sui Generis uses:  a. Hot food takeaways;   | AP76)  |
|                  | b. Betting offices, casinos and bingo halls; c. Payday loan shops;  |  |
|                  | d. Pawnbrokers;   |  |
|                  | e. Nightclubs and dance halls; and f. Drinking establishments.  |  |
|                  | B The harmful overconcentration of uses will be assessed on the basis of the number of similar uses within a 400 metre radius of the development and where:   |  |
|                  | a. The vitality and viability of the town centre will be adversely impacted, taking into account the function of the centre in the hierarchy; and   |  |
|                  | b. The character of the area has changed or local amenity has been adversely impacted as a result of:   |  |
|                  | <ul><li>i. Increased noise, odour, fumes and other nuisances;</li><li>ii. Traffic generation including from taxis, private hire and servicing vehicles;</li></ul>   |  |
|                  | iii. Inadequate provision of waste management and recycling facilities; iv. Anti-social behaviour and public safety.  |  |
|                  | C Development proposals for uses listed in (A) must submit sufficient information to allow for an assessment of the concentration of uses, in line with the criteria in (B) above.  |  |
|                  | Hot food takeaways  D In addition to complying with (A) and (B) above, development proposals for hot food takeaways will only be permitted where:   |  |
|                  | a. They are located at least 400 metres away from the boundary of an existing or proposed primary or secondary school; and b. The proportion of hot food takeaway premises in the shopping frontage complies with the thresholds set out in Table 8.3.  |  |
|                  | Food and drink services   |  |
|                  | E Development proposals for uses involving the service of food and drink must make adequate arrangements to protect and manage local amenity, in line with Policy QD7 (Amenity and agent of change).  |  |
|                  | F Where development proposals for uses involving the service of food and drink are acceptable in principle, a condition will be sought requiring the operator to achieve and operate in compliance with the Healthier Catering Commitment Standard.   |  |
|                  | Table 8.5 Shopping frontage thresholds for takeaways  |  |
|                  | Location Threshold Applied  |  |

|      | T   |   | 100   |  |   |
|------|---|---|---|--|---|
|      |   | Primary or Secondary School   | 400m away from school boundary  |  |   |
|      |   | Major/ District Centre  | Proportion of A5 uses hot food takeaways  |  |   |
|      |   |   | does not exceed 5% of units   |  |   |
|      |   | Local Centre  | Proportion of A5 uses hot food takeaways  |  |   |
|      |   |   | does not exceed 10% of units  |  |   |
|      |   | Shopping Parade (20 units or  | Maximum of 2 units in A5 hot food takeaway  |  |   |
|      |   |   |   |  |   |
|      |   | more)   | use   |  |   |
|      |   | Shopping Parade (19 units or less)  | Maximum of 1 unit in A5 hot food takeaway   |  |   |
|      |   |   | use   |  |   |
|      |   |   |   |  |   |
|      |   |   |   |  |   |
| MM97 | New Figure<br>Concentration<br>of Uses 400m<br>Buffer Zones |   | 8.12, that identifies schools, take away uses and the anagement Policies Document Policy DM18 Figure  Key:  400m buffer around schools  |  | Modification to provide further clarification. (AP74)   |
| MM98 | Paragraph<br>8.96   | above. A 400 metre radius, establish range from which to assess impacts. benchmark against which other simi | ent proposals will lead to a harmful over-concentrated as a straight line distance from the proposed deverthis measure is generally accepted as 5-minutes war provision could be easily accessed in the localitying significant deprivation, where the impacts of som | velopment site, is considered an appropriate valking distance and provides a useful v. Development proposals must have | Modification to clarify the requirement for submitting health impact assessments in support of proposals for hot- |
|      |   | partioular regard to aleas expellentil  | ig significant deprivation, where the impacts of some   | io asos may be more promounced.  |   |

|                  | Development proposals for hot-food takeaways and betting shops must submit a desktop Health Impact Assessment in line with Policy DM6 (Health Impact Assessments).   | food takeaways and betting shops.  |
|------------------|--|--|
| MM99 Policy EC18 | EC18 Culture, creative industries and the night-time economy  Strategic Policy   | Modifications to identify the strategic status of the policy and the strategic objectives to which it relates. (AP7) |
|                  | Strategic Objectives: A An open Lewisham as part of an open London Borough of Lewisham, C A thriving local economy that tackles inequalities, F Celebrating our local identity.  |  |
|                  | Cultural and creative industries   |  |
|                  | A The cultural and creative industries (including education and training facilities that support and are associated with these industries) contribute to the diversity and distinctiveness of Lewisham's neighbourhoods and play an important role in the local economy. Development proposals should support the continued growth and development of these industries by:   |  |
|                  | <ul> <li>a. Protecting existing cultural venues and uses, including by applying the Agent of Change principle;</li> <li>b. Making provision for new cultural venues, workspace and performance space in town centres and other appropriate locations, particularly in major development proposals and large-scale regeneration schemes;</li> <li>c. Designing public realm with spaces that can be adapted to support civic and cultural events, including outdoors;</li> <li>d. Considering the use of vacant properties and land for temporary pop-up or meanwhile uses for cultural and creative activities, having regard to Policy DM5 (Meanwhile uses); and</li> <li>e. Enabling the provision of high quality, fast and reliable digital infrastructure, in line with Policy TR7 (Digital connectivity).</li> </ul> |  |
|                  | B Development proposals involving the loss of cultural venues that have heritage, economic, social or cultural value should be avoided. They will only be permitted where:   |  |
|                  | a. The loss of the venue will not result in a significant adverse impact on the role and function of a Cultural Quarter or town centre; b. There is authoritative marketing evidence which demonstrates that there is no reasonable prospect of the building or space being used for a similar or alternative cultural use, covering a minimum continuous period of two-years. This must include evidence of efforts made to market the venue to the local community, relevant cultural organisations and business groups; c. The use is not a meanwhile use; and d. Where the proposal involves the demolition or loss of a building it complies with other Local Plan policies including on heritage assets and the historic environment.  |  |
|                  | C Where a cultural venue is a public house, or comprises space within a public house, development proposals involving the loss of a venue will be assessed in accordance with Policy EC18 (Public houses).   |  |
|                  | D The Lewisham North Creative Enterprise Zone (CEZ) is designated in the Local Plan. Development proposals should support and contribute to enhancing the cultural and creative industries within the CEZ, in line with Policy LNA3 (Lewisham North Creative Enterprise Zone).   |  |
|                  | Cultural quarters E Lewisham benefits from the presence of Cultural Quarters comprising local clusters of complementary cultural, community and commercial activities. The following Cultural Quarters are designated in the Local Plan:   |  |

|       |       | a Dantford Crackaida:  |                                       |
|-------|-------|--|---------------------------------------|
|       |       | a. Deptford Creekside; b. New Cross; and   |                                       |
|       |       | c. Forest Hill.  |                                       |
|       |       | C. I Glest I IIII.   |                                       |
|       |       | F Cultural, community and commercial uses will be encouraged and supported within Cultural Quarters, having regard to other Local Plan policies. Development proposals should retain or make appropriate re-provision to accommodate existing cultural, community and commercial uses where these make a positive contribution to a Cultural Quarter. Development proposals that will adversely impact on the distinctive character and function of a Cultural Quarter, including through the loss of viable cultural venues, will be refused. |                                       |
|       |       | G Temporary activities and meanwhile uses (such as festivals, markets, exhibitions, performances and other cultural events) will be supported within Cultural Quarters, with reference to Policy DM5 (Meanwhile Uses). Evening and night-time economy  |                                       |
|       |       | H Evening and night-time economic activities should make a positive contribution to the neighbourhoods within which they are located by:   |                                       |
|       |       | a. Supporting the local economy through provision of a wide range of employment generating uses and jobs along with opportunities to carry out business beyond normal daytime hours;   |                                       |
|       |       | b. Enhancing the vitality and viability of town centres and other employment areas; and c. Reinforcing local character and identity, and creating more inclusive communities, through provision of a locally distinctive and expanded leisure, cultural and entertainment offer.   |                                       |
|       |       | I Development proposals for evening and night-time economic activities should be directed to appropriate town centre locations, giving priority to the following designated areas of night-time activity:  |                                       |
|       |       | a. Areas with more than local significance:  |                                       |
|       |       | i. Major centres of Catford and Lewisham; ii. District centres of Blackheath, Deptford, and New Cross Gate; and iii. Local centre of New Cross Road.   |                                       |
|       |       | b. Areas with local significance: i. District centres of Deptford and Forest Hill.   |                                       |
|       |       | J Development proposals for evening and night-time economic activities located outside of town centres will only be supported where the use(s) will complement and not adversely impact on the town centre network and hierarchy.  |                                       |
|       |       | K Development proposals for evening and night-time economic activities must demonstrate that they:   |                                       |
|       |       | <ul> <li>a. Will protect, manage and not result in an unreasonable adverse impact on local amenity;</li> <li>b. Will not result in a harmful overconcentration of uses, with reference to Policy EC17 (Concentration of uses);</li> <li>c. Are located in well-connected places with easy to reach Night Service transport options; and</li> <li>d. Can be safely accessed during all hours of operation.</li> </ul>   |                                       |
| MM100 | 0 1   | 8.100 Placeholder - supporting text to be included (covering strategic approach and protection / loss of cultural venues)  | Modification to correct an            |
|       | 8.100 | 8.100 Lewisham enjoys a rich and diverse range of cultural venues. This is notably exemplified, but not exclusive to, the Borough's public houses, some famous, that have and continue to serve as live-music venues. The Local Plan seeks to protect, promote, and  | omission in the submitted Local Plan. |

|       |             | enhance the Borough's cultural and creative industries and their associated assets and infrastructure. This is inclusive of the   |   |
|-------|-------------|---|---|
|       |             | Borough's public houses, in circumstances where that use/ place is a cultural venue.  |   |
| MM101 | Policy EC19 | EC19 Public houses  Non-strategic Policy  | Modifications to identify the strategic status of the policy and the strategic objectives to          |
|       |             | Strategic Objectives:  A An open Lewisham as part of an open London Borough of Lewisham, C A thriving local economy that tackles inequalities.  F Celebrating our local identity.  A Public houses are unique and integral features of Lewisham's neighbourhoods and cultural identity, and perform important community, social and economic functions locally. There will be a presumption in favour of the retention of public houses in Lewisham. Development proposals involving the loss of a public house that has heritage, economic, social or cultural value to the community, including through change of use or redevelopment, will be refused unless there is robust and authoritative evidence, in accordance with the advice set out under Appendix 5, to demonstrate that:  a. Legitimate efforts have been made to preserve the facility as a public house, including through evidence of regular maintenance and upkeep, good management and through business diversification;  b. The public house is not financially viable and there is no reasonable prospect of the premises remaining in this use, or an alternative community use, in the foreseeable future as evidenced through attempts at different business models and management, and an active marketing exercise of a minimum continuous period of three-years; and  c. All feasible options for the re-provision of the public house have been fully investigated, and where these are not considered deliverable sufficient justification is provided.  B Development proposals affecting a public house, including its operational and ancillary amenity space, will be refused unless there is robust and authoritative evidence to demonstrate that the viability of the pub, and its current and future operation, will not be compromised and development will not detract from the character and appearance of the building, including any features of historic or cultural significance. | which it relates. (AP7)  Modification to provide an appropriate cross-reference to Appendix 5. (AP78) |
| MM102 |             | C Development proposals involving the replacement or re-provision of a public house must ensure the replacement facility is of a high quality design and responds positively to local character, taking into account the need to preserve or enhance the significance of heritage assets and their setting. The development proposal must provide an appropriate amount and configuration of floors pace to enable the continued viability of the public house, and should not result in a net reduction of floorspace unless this can be sufficiently justified. This includes dedicated performance space or amenity space that has been or can reasonably be used for cultural or community uses.  D Where the change of use of a public house is considered acceptable by the Council, development proposals must retain the  |   |
|       | Policy EC20 | building and other associated features where these makes a positive contribution to local character, including by their historic, streetscape and townscape value.  E Development proposals for new public houses will be supported where they contribute to liveable neighbourhoods by improving people's access to these community facilities and comply with other Local Plan policies.  EC20 Markets  | Modifications to identify the   |
|       |             | Non-strategic Policy  | strategic status of the policy  |

|       |             |   | and the strategic objectives to                              |
|-------|-------------|---|--|
|       |             | Strategic Objectives: C A thriving local economy that tackles inequalities.   | which it relates. (AP7)                                      |
|       |             |   |  |
|       |             | A Development proposals must protect and seek to enhance existing markets and market spaces. New markets or market spaces will be encouraged and supported where they complement Lewisham's town centre network and hierarchy, and will make a positive contribution to the culture and vibrancy of the Borough.  |  |
|       |             | B Development proposals for new markets or market space should be directed to appropriate town centre locations. Where new market space is proposed outside of centres, and where the Local Plan has not made provision for main town centre uses there (for example, through site allocation policies), proposals will only be supported where it is demonstrated through the sequential approach that they: |  |
|       |             | a. Will not adversely impact on the vitality and viability of the town centre network and hierarchy;<br>b. Make beneficial use of vacant or underused sites;  |  |
|       |             | c. Are located in well-connected areas with good Public Transport Access Levels; d. Can be appropriately accommodated on streets or other areas of the public realm, where appropriate; and e. Are temporary in nature.   |  |
|       |             | C Development proposals that are likely to affect existing markets within town centres will be considered having regard to:   |  |
|       |             | a. The priority given to retaining markets as part of the town centre offer and ensuring appropriate re-provision of market space, where there is a demonstrable demand; and b. The impact on town centre vitality and viability with particular consideration given to:  |  |
|       |             | i. Provision of a range of premises and floorspace to support small and start-up businesses; ii. Local character, including distinctive character of the market; and iii. Public realm and townscape.   |  |
|       |             | D Development proposals for markets and market space must demonstrate that there will not be an unreasonable adverse impact on local amenity, and not have a detrimental impact on public health and safety and the public realm.   |  |
| MM103 | Policy EC21 | EC21 Visitor accommodation  | Modifications to identify the strategic status of the policy |
|       |             | Non-strategic Policy  | and the strategic objectives to which it relates. (AP7)      |
|       |             | Strategic Objectives: C A thriving local economy that tackles inequalities.   | ,  |
|       |             | A Development proposals for serviced visitor accommodation must be appropriately located at sites within or at the edge of town centres, or other sites that are well-connected by public transport.  |  |
|       |             | B Development proposals for serviced visitor accommodation should ensure a range of high quality provision in the Borough catered to the varying needs of visitors. Proposals will only be supported where they:  |  |
|       |             | a. Are proportionate to their location in terms of size, scale and function; b. Do not result in a harmful overconcentration of serviced visitor accommodation in the area; c. Comply with the relevant London Plan parking standards;  |  |

- d. Ensure adequate access, drop-off/pick-up and servicing arrangements;
- e. Are of a high quality design, ensure adequate space and amenity for occupants and provide sufficient choice for people who require an accessible bedroom;
- f. Make appropriate arrangements for long-term adaptability and sustainability; and
- g. Demonstrate that an agreement is in place to secure an operator prior to the commencement of the development.

C Development proposals for serviced visitor accommodation must be designed with positive frontages, including active ground floor frontages. Where the development incorporates ancillary uses and facilities these should be made available for public use, where appropriate, and access not unreasonably restricted.

D Development proposals for visitor accommodation must not result in the net loss of housing, including through the conversion or change of use of dwelling units.

E The use of a residential property for 'temporary sleeping accommodation' (short-term let or holiday let) must not exceed 90 nights within a calendar year.

F Development proposals for the conversion or change of use of serviced visitor accommodation to housing must demonstrate that the relevant Local Plan policies for housing will be satisfied including on design quality, space standards and provision of affordable housing.

#### Chapter 9 - Community Infrastructure

#### MM104 | Policy CI1

CI1 Safeguarding and securing community infrastructure

#### Strategic Policy

#### Strategic Objectives:

A An open Lewisham as part of an open London Borough of Lewisham.

G Healthy and safe communities,

H Securing the timely delivery of infrastructure,

I Ensuring high quality education, health and social care.

A The Council will work in partnership with stakeholders and its delivery partners to identify needs for community infrastructure over the plan period, and to secure the timely delivery of high quality high-quality infrastructure and services to meet these needs. Local needs for community infrastructure in the Borough will be considered taking into account the Infrastructure Delivery Plan along with the relevant corporate plans and strategies of the Council and other key stakeholders, including for: health and social care; education and childcare; youth and family services; play, sport and recreation; libraries and local history services; and burial space. Meanwhile and temporary uses should not be assessed under this policy and are dealt with under Policy DM5.

B Major development proposals will be expected to, and all other development proposals should, plan positively to meet local needs for community infrastructure. Where a site allocation policy sets out requirements for community infrastructure, development proposals will be required to demonstrate how the delivery of this infrastructure will be secured through the masterplan process, with reference to Policy DM3 (Masterplans and comprehensive redevelopment). Elsewhere, development proposals must demonstrate how any additional demands for community infrastructure generated by the development will be appropriately addressed, particularly in those areas where there is an identified need for additional provision, as set out in the Infrastructure Delivery Plan. Consideration should be given to the delivery of new or enhanced infrastructure on-site or, where appropriate, off-site contributions which support the expansion of capacity of existing facilities or improvements to them. The scale of financial contributions will be considered and negotiated on a case-by-case basis considering appropriately evidenced development viability.

Modifications to identify the strategic status of the policy and the strategic objectives to which it relates. (AP7)

Modifications to clarify how proposals relating to existing provision, and their possible loss, will be considered. Inclusive of how proposals for financial contributions will be considered and used. (AP95)

Modification to clarify how development viability will inform the scale of any financial contributions being sought. (AP96)

Modification to sign-post the Lewisham Infrastructure Delivery Plan.

Additional Modifications to correct typographic errors.

|       |               | C Development proposals on sites which have an existing community facility or use (whether in use or vacant) will be supported where they safeguard and enhance community infrastructure that provision. Proposals will also be supported where they demonstrably provide replacement provision of an existing community facility on a like for like basis. All proposals should take account of the latest Lewisham Infrastructure Delivery Plan. Development proposals that will result in the loss of an existing community facility, or land and buildings formerly in community use, will only be permitted where it is suitably demonstrated that:  a. There is no current or future need for the existing use or an alternative community use and there is adequate alternative provision elsewhere to meet the needs of the neighbourhood and wider community, taking into account the Infrastructure Delivery Plan. Applications Proposals that result in a loss or decrease in scale or quantum of an existing community use must be supported by evidence of an active marketing campaign for community uses covering a minimum continuous period of twelve-months-one year at a reasonable local market value for rent or sale. In these circumstances a financial contribution will be sought; or b. There are realistic proposals for replacement provision of an equivalent or improved standard that will continue to meet the needs of the neighbourhood and wider community. The proposal is part of a public service transformation programme that seeks the disposal of the use in order to enable or sustain the delivery of service improvements and related investment in community infrastructure. Proposals must include full details of the relevant transformation programme and its objectives must be submitted along with evidence that there will be not net loss of provision across the programme. Proposals that result in a loss of provision must be justified; or c. The development is directly associated with a public service transformation programme and necessary to enable or sus | Additional Modification to ensure consistency in use of times, dates, and years across the Local Plan.   |
|-------|---------------|--|--|
| MM105 | Paragraph 9.4 | Development proposals involving the loss or transfer of community infrastructure within the Borough must be justified by a detailed needs assessment. The starting point for the assessment should be the IDP. Applications must provide a sufficient level of detail for the Council to assess local area impacts on infrastructure provision, taking into account the location and nature of community uses involved. Proposals are strongly encouraged to refer the relevant key plans and strategies of the Council, its delivery partners and other stakeholders. Whilst the IDP is subject to regular review it may not always reflect the latest available information for certain types of infrastructure. Unless the development proposal is demonstrably associated with a public service transformation programme, in line with Policy CI1-C(e) Part C (b) above, or suitable replacement provision will be secured, applications must be also accompanied by evidence of an active marketing exercise covering a minimum continuous period of twelve months one year to help demonstrate that the site or facility is not suitable for a similar or alternative community use.   | Consequential modifications to clarify how proposals relating to existing provision, and their possible loss, will be considered. Inclusive of how proposals for financial contributions will be considered and used. (AP95)  Additional Modification to ensure consistency in use of times, dates, and years across the Local Plan. |
| MM106 | Paragraph 9.6 | In limited and exceptional circumstances, where it is suitably demonstrated that the requirements of (C) Policy CI1 Part C above cannot be satisfied we may consider payment-in-lieu contributions. Such circumstances may arise when it is not possible to deliver provision viably or practicably on site. In these circumstances, we will require a statement clearly justifying why it is not feasible to re-provide the infrastructure, whether on-site as part of the redevelopment or off-site in kind. Where a loss is judged to be acceptable by the Council, payments will be negotiated on a case-by-case basis having regard to the type of provision in question, with  | Consequential modifications to clarify how proposals relating to existing provision, and their possible loss, will be considered. Inclusive of how   |

|         |               | contributions being invested in community infrastructure improvements within the Borough. Further details will be set out in an update to the Council's Planning Obligations SPD.  | proposals for financial contributions will be considered and used. (AP95) |
|---------|---------------|--|---|
| MM107   | Policy Cl2    | Cl2 High quality community infrastructure  | Modifications to identify the strategic status of the policy              |
|         |               | Non-strategic Policy   | and the strategic objectives to which it relates. (AP7)                   |
|         |               | Strategic Objectives:  |   |
|         |               | A An open Lewisham as part of an open London Borough of Lewisham,  | Modification to clarify how   |
|         |               | G Healthy and safe communities,  | decision-taking will consider   |
|         |               | H Securing the timely delivery of infrastructure,  | proposals involving existing  |
|         |               | I Ensuring high quality education, health and social care.   | community infrastructure provision. (AP97)                                |
|         |               | Development proposals for new community infrastructure (including the alteration, extension or reconfiguration of existing community infrastructure), will be supported where the facility:  |   |
|         |               |  |   |
|         |               | a. Is appropriately located for the intended use; b. Is easily accessible by public transport, walking and cycling;  |   |
|         |               | c. Is designed to maximise the flexibility and adaptability of space to accommodate a range of community uses;   |   |
|         |               | d. Includes provision of well-integrated facilities that support the effective functioning and viability of the community use, such as   |   |
|         |               | meeting spaces, broadband connection, kitchen facilities, toilets and dedicated storage space;   |   |
|         |               | e. Is made available for use by the public as much as reasonably practical, and does not unreasonably restrict access to the wider   |   |
|         |               | community; and   |   |
|         |               | f. Will not result in a significant adverse impact on the amenity of neighbouring uses and properties.   |   |
|         |               | B Development proposals must make the best of use of land, including the public sector estate. Innovative approaches to community infrastructure provision (such as the co-location of services, shared use of facilities and development of multi-use facilities) will be encouraged and supported where other Local Plan policies are satisfied. |   |
|         |               |  |   |
|         |               | C Development proposals for new community infrastructure must ensure that the facility will be appropriately managed and maintained over its lifetime.   |   |
|         |               | D Development proposals seeking to secure improvements to existing community infrastructure, through its alteration, extension,  |   |
|         |               | and reconfiguration will be supported; subject to the scheme being in accordance with other relevant Local Plan policies.  |   |
| MM108   | Paragraph 9.8 | Community facilities should be easily accessible by walking, cycling and public transport. Development proposals are encouraged to   | Modification to clarify how   |
|         |               | give priority to town and local centres in the site selection process. These locations generally benefit from higher Public Transport  | decision-taking will consider   |
|         |               | Access Levels. Community facilities can also support the vitality and viability of town centres by encouraging visitors and linked trips.  | proposals involving existing  |
|         |               | However other locations may be appropriate for new provision recognising that some community uses may not be ideally located in  | community infrastructure  |
|         |               | centres owing to space constraints, site availability or other requirements associated with the facility. All proposals must demonstrate   | provision. (AP97)   |
|         |               | that the site location is appropriate having regard to local need for the use, accessibility and compatibility with neighbouring land  |   |
|         |               | uses. Development proposals seeking improvements to existing community infrastructure should take the opportunity to consider  |   |
|         |               | measures that improve, where necessary, the facility's accessibility to walking, cycling and public transport networks. This must be considered in accordance with the wider objective of reducing the reliance on car-based travel.   |   |
| MM109   | Dorograph 0.0 |  | Modification to clarify the   |
| MINITOS | Paragraph 9.9 | All development proposals for community infrastructure must investigate and, wherever feasible, incorporate design features that allow for flexibility and adaptability of the facility over its lifetime. Consideration should be given to designs that can accommodate a   | Modification to clarify the requirement for submitting                    |

|                  | wide range of community uses. Service providers and developers are encouraged to engage with each other to identify opportunities for innovative designs that enable the co-location or shared use of facilities. This can help to support the viability of community infrastructure through frequent usage (ensuring the facility is not underused during non-peak hours) and make it more adaptable to the changing needs of the community over the long term. Development proposals for education facilities, health and social care facilities, community facilities and leisure facilities must submit a desktop Health Impact Assessment in line with Policy DM6 (Health Impact Assessments).  | health impact assessments in<br>support of proposals for a<br>variety of sensitive uses,<br>including education, health,<br>community and leisure.  |
|------------------|--|---|
| MM110 Policy CI3 | Cl3 Sports, recreation and play  Non-strategic Policy  Strategic Objectives:   | Modifications to identify the strategic status of the policy and the strategic objectives to which it relates. (AP7)  |
|                  | A An open Lewisham as part of an open London Borough of Lewisham,  D A greener borough,  G Healthy and safe communities,  H Securing the timely delivery of infrastructure.  | Modification to clarify the approach of enhancing capacity of existing provision to meet future needs. (AP98)   |
|                  | A Development proposals should help to ensure that people of all ages and abilities have access to a wide range of opportunities for sports, recreation and play. They should maximise opportunities to provide new or improved community infrastructure, along with public realm enhancements, so that sports and recreation facilities and play spaces can be reached safely and easily throughout the Borough. Proposals for new provisions, and enhancements to existing facilities will be designed to ensure that it is publicly accessible and inclusive so that it positively contributes to providing a wide range of multifunctional environmental, social, public health and economic benefits. Where it is appropriate and reasonable, proposals will contribute towards improvements and enhancements that increase the accessibility and capacity of existing local (to the proposal) facilities.  | Modification to clarify that the Policy is seeking to secure inclusive and accessible provision that contributes towards public health and social cohesion improvements. (AP100 and AP104)                                    |
|                  | Sports and recreation facilities.  B Existing sports and recreational facilities should be retained. Opportunities to increase or enhance the accessibility and capacity of existing facilities, to meet future needs arising from growth, will be explored, assessed and where appropriate implemented. Development proposals involving the loss of such facilities will only be permitted where they comply with London Plan Policy S5 (Sports and recreation facilities). Applications will be assessed taking into account the Infrastructure Delivery Plan along with the Council's Playing Pitch Strategy, Physical Activity and Healthy Lifestyle Strategy and other strategies as appropriate. Play and informal recreation.  C Development proposals that are likely to be occupied or used by children and young people must increase opportunities for play and informal recreation, inclusive of the provision of new informal recreation spaces. Where located in areas with identified deficiencies in play space, new housing development must provide demonstrable improvements in the quantity and quality of play space. | Modification to clarify and provide consistency in references to informal recreation spaces. (AP101)  Modification to clarify the approach towards securing offsite provision and how it aligns with the London Plan. (AP102) |
|                  | D Development proposals for new housing must incorporate well-designed and high quality formal play provision of at least 10 square metres per child. Provision should be delivered on-site and made accessible to all children in the development without being segregated by housing tenure. Off-site provision will only be acceptable in exceptional circumstances, where it can be suitably demonstrated that on-site provision is not feasible and there is existing play space, or new provision that will be secured, in proximity to the development that can be accessed safely and easily by residents of the age group it is intended for. Proposals for off-site provision, including new, or improvements to existing facilities, must demonstrate that they will meet the needs of the development, whilst continuing to meet those of existing residents. Where it can be demonstrated to the satisfaction of the Council that off-site provision is acceptable this will be secured through planning obligations and/or legal agreement, with payments in lieu calculated using the formula in Table 9.1.                 |   |

|       | 1                 |  |   |
|-------|-------------------|--|---|
|       |                   | Off-site provision, including the creation of new facilities or improvements to existing provision, secured by an appropriate financial contribution, may be acceptable where it can be demonstrated that it addresses the needs of the development whilst continuing to meet the needs of existing residents  |   |
|       |                   | Table 9.1: Play space payments in lieu   |   |
|       |                   | Formula for calculating payments in lieu  X = ((A x B) - C)) x D  X = Financial contribution (£)  A = Number of children generated by the development (child yield calculated taking into account bedroom size(s) and tenure mix)  B = 10 square metres per child (play space requirement)  C = Square metres of play space proposed by the development  D = Average cost per square metre of play space  E All play space and provision for informal recreation must be sensitively integrated into the site and neighbourhood, and be  |   |
|       |                   | a. Ensure that public access is encouraged and not unreasonably restricted, and made free-to-use wherever secured as part of new housing development; b. Provide a stimulating and pleasant environment that promotes social cohesion, including by enabling users of different ages and abilities to interact as well as to move around and play independently; c. Provide opportunities for respite, such as benches or seating areas; d. Enable informal supervision through passive surveillance; e. Integrate natural features such as trees, landscaped play areas and other greening measures; f. Maximise the use of permeable surfaces and Sustainable Drainage Systems; and g. Where possible, Site site outdoor communal amenity and play spaces at the street level or ground floor level of development avoiding the use of rooftops and mezzanines |   |
|       |                   | F Where large-scale public realm is provided as part of a development proposal, this should incorporate incidental play space to make the public realm more playable. Incidental play space should supplement formal play provision elsewhere in the Borough and provide additional opportunities for physical activity.  G Development proposals including new or enhanced play space must ensure the provision will be appropriately managed and   |   |
|       |                   | maintained over its lifetime.  H Development proposals that will result in the loss of play space, whether existing or consented but not built, will be refused unless:  |   |
|       |                   | a. Replacement provision of at least an equivalent amount and improved quality will be provided, either on -site or in proximity to it, within a reasonable walking distance for the intended age group; or b. It can be suitably demonstrated that there is no ongoing or future demand for the play space, with reference to the Lewisham Play Strategy.   |   |
| MM111 | Paragraph<br>9.15 | In line with the London Plan, new housing developments must provide formal play space of at least 10 square metres per child in order to address child occupancy and requirements generated by the development. Provision should be proportionally based on the number of children expected to occupy the development and an assessment of future needs. Play space should be delivered on -site. Off-site provision will only be considered in exceptional circumstances. Applicants will be required to provide evidence to  | Modification to clarify the approach towards securing offsite provision and how it aligns with the London Plan. (AP102) |

|       |                   | demonstrate that delivery on-site is not feasible, including a design options appraisal, and that the needs generated by the development will be adequately met. Off-site provision will be more appropriate for older children and young people as they are able to travel slightly longer distances by walking and cycling. As well, suitable play provision for this group might not be compatible within the development and could be better provided elsewhere, for example, as with skateboard parks. In all cases, proposals for off-site provision, whether that is for entirely new provision, or provided through financial contributions that improve existing facilities, must demonstrate that they will meet the needs of the development proposal, whilst continuing to meet those of existing residents. This approach is in alignment with the London Plan. Off-site provision will be secured by planning obligations or legal agreements, using the formula set out in Table 9.1 (Play space payments in lieu). Additional details on the play space standard and off-site provision are set out in the Council's Planning Obligations SPD and relevant London Plan guidance, currently the Play and Informal Recreation SPG.   |  |
|-------|-------------------|--|--|
| MM112 | Paragraph<br>9.16 | All new play space should be delivered through the design-led approach with careful consideration given to the requirements of the intended users. Play space and other outdoor communal amenity space should be integrated at the street or ground floor level, The where possible as the siting of play provision on rooftops and mezzanine levels should be avoided as this podiums - may impede safe access and lead to provision being sited where result in microclimate conditions that are not suitable comfortable. Rooftop and mezzanine podium play space will only be acceptable where there is they have a clear design rationale and the provision is of an exceptional high quality. Developments should maximise opportunities to integrate natural or semi-natural features into play space, including tree planting and landscaped play areas. Naturalised spaces provide for stimulating and pleasant environments, enhance access to nature, and also help to reduce the need for hardstanding which is important for climate change adaptation and mitigation.  | Modification to provide further clarity.   |
| MM113 | Policy CI4        | Non-strategic Policy  Strategic Objectives: A An open Lewisham as part of an open London Borough of Lewisham, G Healthy and safe communities, I Ensuring high quality education, health and social care.  A Development proposals for day nurseries and childcare facilities (including child minding, playgroups and related activities) must:  a. Be located where they can be safely and easily accessed by walking, cycling and public transport; b. Not have an unacceptable adverse impact on the road network and ensure adequate arrangements for car parking including access, egress, cross-site movement and drop-off areas, with consideration given to needs of disabled users; c. Protect, manage and not adversely impact on local amenity; d. Respond positively to local character; and e. Secure provision of fit-for-purpose facilities to accommodate the intended use and all likely users, including suitable outside play space where appropriate.  B The use of residential floorspace for day nurseries and childcare facilities will entry be supported where it is robustly demonstrated through evidence that: a. The development will not result in the loss of a dwelling and the residual residential floorspace meets the requirements and standards for self-contained housing, as set out elsewhere in the Local Plan; b. The community use is ancillarly to the residential use; c. There is a demonstrable local need or market demand for the use proposed; and d. There are no suitable and available non-residential premises to accommodate the use. | Modifications to identify the strategic status of the policy and the strategic objectives to which it relates. (AP7)  Additional Modifications to ensure that the Policy reads positively. (AP103) |

| MM114   | Policy CI5              | CI5 Burial Space  Non-strategic Policy  | Modifications to identify the strategic status of the policy and the strategic objectives to                             |
|---------|-------------------------|---|--|
|         |                         | Strategic Objectives:  D A greener borough,  H Securing the timely delivery of infrastructure.  | which it relates. (AP7)  Modification to provide further detail information.   |
|         |                         | A The Council will help to ensure that provision is made for the different burial needs of Lewisham's communities by protecting existing cemeteries and working with stakeholders to appropriately maintain these, along with seeking opportunities to enhance the capacity of existing facilities for new burial space, where appropriate.   |  |
|         |                         | B Development proposals involving the provision of new burial space or related facilities must demonstrate that the provision:  |  |
|         |                         | a. Adequately meets the requirements of the various groups within the Borough, including those groups for whom burial is the only option;   |  |
|         |                         | b. Is appropriately located and within reasonable proximity to the community it is intended to serve; <u>c. Identifies and appropriately responds to the potential for archaeology on the site, with reference to the borough's Archaeological</u>  |  |
|         |                         | Priority Areas and the Greater London Historic Environment Record;  e. d. Identifies and appropriately responds to potential flood risk and water quality issues, including through the incorporation of mitigation measures; and e. Will not adversely impact on open spaces and biodiversity, with reference to other Local Plan policies.  |  |
| MM115   | New<br>Paragraph        | Two of the Borough's cemeteries are identified as Tier 2 Archaeological Priority Areas: a) Hither Green Cemetery and b) Brockley Cemetery (formerly Ladywell and Deptford Cemeteries). Other Burial Grounds are included in other Archaeological Priority Areas. (See Policy HE3 E & F).  | Modification to provide further factual and detailed information.  |
| Chapter | ·<br>· 10 – Green Infra | structure   |  |
|         | Policy GR1              | GR1 Green infrastructure and Lewisham's Green Grid  Strategic Policy  | Modifications to identify the strategic status of the policy and the strategic objectives to which it relates. (AP7)     |
|         |                         | Strategic Objectives: A An open Lewisham as part of an open London Borough of Lewisham,   |  |
|         |                         | D A greener borough,  E Responding to the climate emergency, G Healthy and safe communities, H Securing the timely delivery of infrastructure.  | Modification to provide further clarity in securing provision that is inclusive and addresses issues of social cohesion. |
|         |                         | A Lewisham's network of green and open spaces, waterways and green features are a fundamental component of the natural environment. This <u>publicly accessible</u> network makes an important contribution to the Borough's local distinctiveness including its character and heritage, <u>and towards ensuring that the Borough continues to be open and inclusive of all</u> . It is also integral to supporting sustainable neighbourhoods and <u>socially cohesive</u> communities. <u>It does this</u> by providing a wide range of multifunctional environmental, social, <u>public health</u> and economic benefits. Development proposals must protect and seek to enhance provision of green infrastructure across the Borough, including by improving or creating new links between its different elements. <u>Development resulting in the loss of irreplaceable habitats (such as Ancient Woodland or veteran trees) will normally be refused unless there are <u>demonstrable exceptional reasons</u>, and a suitable compensation strategy exists.</u> | (AP104)  |

|       |            | B Development proposals must investigate and maximise opportunities to enhance existing green infrastructure and create new provision on site, with reference to the All London Green Grid. Consideration should be given to the site context including its setting within the wider landscape. Development proposals must make suitable arrangements for the long-term management of green areas and planting. This includes provision of sufficient space where large canopy trees can be retained and new trees established without pressure for their future removal. Proposals should explore and where possible deliver opportunities that improve connections between the Borough's green and open spaces, with the specific objective of improving inclusive access that brings communities together and contributes towards healthier lifestyles. Where appropriate and necessary, proposals will be supported by a health impact assessment that considers the possible social causes of the inequalities being addressed through the improvements to green infrastructure. |  |
|-------|------------|---|--|
| MM117 | Policy GR2 | GR2 Open space  Strategic Policy  | Modifications to identify the strategic status of the policy and the strategic objectives to which it relates. (AP7)             |
|       |            | Strategic Objectives: A An open Lewisham as part of an open London Borough of Lewisham, D A greener borough, E Responding to the climate emergency, G Healthy and safe communities, H Securing the timely delivery of infrastructure.   | Modification to provide further clarity in securing provision that is inclusive and addresses issues of social cohesion. (AP104) |
|       |            | A Open spaces are integral components of Lewisham's Green Grid. A publicly accessible open space network makes a key contribution towards ensuring that the Borough continues to be open and inclusive of all. The network also responds to the challenge of climate change and will be protected from inappropriate development, in accordance with Lewisham's open space hierarchy.   | Additional Modifications to correct grammatical and typographical errors.  |
|       |            | Designated Open Spaces.  B Metropolitan Open Land (MOL) and Local Green Space (LGS) are afforded the same level of protection as Green Belt.  Development proposals on MOL and LGS will be considered in accordance with the London Plan and national planning policies that apply to Green Belt land.  |  |
|       |            | C Proposals for new development should explore and where appropriate maximise opportunities to introduce new additional publicly accessible open space to meet the needs arising from development. Proposals will give priority to delivering green space, as well as improving connections to existing or planned new open spaces, particularly in areas of open space deficiency. Major developments must incorporate new publicly accessible open space unless it can be clearly demonstrated that this is not feasible, in which case off-site contributions, or delivery may be sought. Proposals will achieve this by:  |  |
|       |            | <ul> <li>i. Prioritising the delivery of new publicly accessible open space on-site, ensuring that its provision is integrated into the development's design from the outset.</li> <li>ii. Ensuring that the design, scale, and nature of new open space is informed by and meets the needs of the end users of the wider development. Where it is possible and can be delivered simultaneously, proposals should also consider measures that address existing deficiencies (as identified under (Figures 10.5 and 10.6)). The latter will only be sought in circumstances where on-site needs and wider deficiencies are aligned and can be met without prejudice to the viability of the proposal.</li> <li>iii. Delivering new open space that is genuinely publicly accessible and inclusive for both those who will use the development site and those who may visit it. Access arrangements must be accessible to all possible users. New provision should be</li> </ul>  |  |

- sited and located to optimise inclusive access. Proposals that could marginalise the use of the open space will be resisted.
- iv. In circumstances where it is demonstrably not possible to deliver new additional publicly accessible open space provision on-site, proposals must explore the delivery of appropriate improvements on existing open space provision, in the locality, that deliver an increase in their capacity to accommodate the need (in full) being generated by the development. Such proposals will be supported by appropriate and proportionate technical evidence that demonstrates how and when this will be delivered.
- C D Development proposals involving the loss of Strategic Open Space will be strongly resisted and only permitted in the following exceptional circumstances:
- a. Replacement provision of at least an equivalent amount (i.e. no net loss) and better quality will be provided. The replacement provision must:
- i. Be located within the Borough and in reasonable proximity to the existing open space, with equivalent or better access by walking, cycling and public transport;
- ii. not result in an increase in public open space deficiency; and
- iii. Be publicly accessible:
- b. The development will provide a wider public benefit which clearly outweighs the loss of the existing open space;
- c. There will be no adverse impact on biodiversity, with reference to Policy GR3 (Biodiversity and access to nature);
- d. Suitable replacement provision will be made for outdoor sports facilities or playing fields, with reference to London Plan policy S5 (Sports and recreation facilities), as well as allotments and community gardens where appropriate; and
- e. Where the development involves part of an area of open space the quality of any remaining open space will not be eroded by the development.
- DE Where development proposals satisfy the requirements of GR3. Part C above, the full quantity of replacement open space must be secured prior to the commencement of the development. Planning conditions and/or legal agreements will be used to ensure the open space is appropriately secured.
- E F Neighbourhood forums are encouraged to undertake detailed assessments to identify appropriate sites to designate as Local Green Space in neighbourhood development plans.

Neighbourhood Open Space

- **E** G Development proposals involving the reconfiguration of Neighbourhood Open Space will only be supported where:
- a. There is no net loss of open space, including play space, and net gains are achieved wherever possible;
- b. There is no detrimental impact on the environmental function of the open space, including support for nature conservation;
- c. There will be demonstrable improvements in the quality of open space and public access to it; and
- d. The reconfiguration is delivered through comprehensive development, in line with a site wide masterplan, and will ensure a viable future for the open space.
- € H Development proposals resulting in the net loss of Neighbourhood Open Space will be only be permitted where it is demonstrated that:
- a. The development will provide a wider public benefit which clearly outweighs the loss of the open space;
- b. The development cannot feasibly be delivered without the loss of part or all of the open space;

- c. A design options appraisal has been used to ensure the minimal amount of open space will be lost and that any remaining open space is of a higher quality, with greater multifunctional use (for example play space, habitat creation or climate change adaptation measures);
- d. There will be improvements to the quality of the remaining open space; and
- e. Appropriate provision is made for existing play space and market space with reference to CI 3 (Play and informal recreation) and EC 20 (Markets).

#### Ancillary uses

- H I Development proposals for ancillary uses on open space that help to improve the quality of open space and promote access to a wide range of users will be supported where they:
- a. Are demonstrably ancillary to the use of land as open space;
- b. Are necessary to facilitate or support the appropriate use of the open space;
- c. Do not have a detrimental impact on the environmental function of the open space, including support for nature conservation;
- d. Respond positively to local character, including by maintaining or enhancing the visual quality of the open space and its setting;
- e. Are of a scale and function that is proportionate to the nature of the open space; and
- f. Are of a high quality high-quality design, including by following inclusive and safe design principles, and do not detract from the amenity provided by the open space.

Enhancing the quality and function of open spaces

I Development proposals, should maximise opportunities to introduce new publicly accessible open space, giving priority to green space, as well as improve connections to existing or planned new open spaces, particularly in areas of open space deficiency. Major developments must incorporate new publicly accessible open space unless it can be clearly demonstrated that this is not feasible, in which case off-site contributions may be required.

IJ With reference to Policy GR4 (Lewisham Links) development must maintain and wherever possible enhance access to and connections between the network of open spaces within and outside the Borough. Priority should be given to measures that encourage walking, cycling and other active travel modes along routes that link open spaces such as the South East London Green Chain, Waterlink Way, the Thames Path and other local elements of the All London Green Grid.

JK Development proposals located adjacent to open space must respond positively to the character of the open space as well as protect, and wherever possible enhance, the biodiversity value and visual amenity provided by it.

Table 10.1 Lewisham's open space hierarchy

| Categorisation       | Description                         | Primary function                           |
|----------------------|-------------------------------------|--|
| Metropolitan Open    | MOL and LGS are the highest         | MOL are extensive areas of land            |
| Land and Local Green | order of open space in the          | bounded by urban development around        |
| Space                | Borough. As set out in the NPPF,    | London that fulfils a similar function to  |
|                      | they are afforded the same level of | Green Belt.                                |
|                      | protection as Green Belt. MOL is    |  |
|                      | designated on the Policies Map.     | LGS are green spaces which are             |
|                      | LGS is designated in                | demonstrably special to a local            |
|                      | Neighbourhood Plans.                | community and hold a particular local      |
|                      |                                     | significance because of their beauty,      |
|                      |                                     | historic significance, recreational value, |

|       |  |  |  | tranquillity, or richness of wildlife and biodiversity.  |  |  |
|-------|--|--|--|--|--|--|
|       |  | Strategic Open Space   | Open spaces that are significant to<br>the Borough's open space and<br>wider green infrastructure network.<br>Strategic Open Spaces are<br>designated on the Policies Map.   | Green spaces including: Parks and gardens, natural and semi-natural green space, green corridors, allotments and community gardens, outdoor sports facilities and playing fields and formal amenity green space.   |  |  |
|       |  | Neighbourhood Open<br>Space  | Open spaces that make an important contribution to the liveability of neighbourhoods. These can include but are not limited to green spaces. Neighbourhood Open Spaces is not designated on the Polices Map.   | Provision for children and young people, informal amenity space and civic and market squares and hard-surfaced areas designed for pedestrians.   |  |  |
| MM118 | 10.6 Space plan will I new oper take deverage. The | ces Assessment (2020) corperiod, based on a fixed quote required to maintain the development to meet local a spaces, such as Local or to improve their function allopments maximise opportessible open space must su | nsiders the amount of open space that uantity standard. This suggests that a standard over the long-term. Due to the needs, such as for housing and work District level parks. It is therefore vitall and quality, and that inclusive public a unities to integrate new publicly acceptability a desktop Health Impact Assession Spaces Strategy will support the impact and public the support the impact and services are supported in the support the impact and services are supported in the support the supported in the support the supported in the support the supported in th | is and open spaces will invariably rise. The tis needed to support the projected future fu | opulation over the ccessible provision to accommodate create new larger measures are that major and other for publicly t Assessments). | Modification to clarify the requirement for submitting health impact assessments in support of proposals for new publicly accessible open space. (AP104) |
| MM119 | 10.7 police was man region Land Plant Ope          | cies to protect these spaces prepared in 2022, which prage open and green space and planning policy and grand Strategic Open Spaces. These strategic open spaces open spaces are not designated.                     | commensurate with their categorisating to covides evidence to inform appropriate swithin the borough. The open space aidance but they have been adapted the are designated on the Policies Mapaces and their boundaries have been  | Plan sets out a hierarchy of open spaces. It also in the hierarchy (Table 7.1). An additional eland-use designations and policies to prote categorisations broadly reflect those set of oreflect Lewisham's local circumstances. Now whilst Local Green Space is designated in landormed by numerous evidence base studies local Plan recognises the important contributeds.   | al open space study ect and sensitively ut in national and Metropolitan Open Neighbourhood es. Neighbourhood                           | Modification to clarify how the delivery of open space will contribute towards inclusive and accessible places. (AP104)                                  |

| MM120 | Paragraph<br>10.8  | Following a comprehensive assessment, two parcels of Metropolitan Open Land are being de-designated. These are 0.37 hectares at Lewisham Town Centre, formerly part of Charlotten Gardens, and 0.49 hectares at St Dunstan's College Playing Fields. The assessment of the two sites being de-designated concluded that the exceptional circumstances demanded by national planning policy have been met. Furthermore, the de-designation of the two parcels of Metropolitan Open Land is consistent with the approach set out in the London Plan. Given the very specific exceptional circumstances related to each of the two situations, the Mayor of London raises no objection to the proposed boundary changes. The extent of Metropolitan Open Land is shown under Figure 10.3.   | Modification to provide clarity and information on the proposed changes to the extent of the Borough's Metropolitan Open Land. (AP106)                      |
|-------|--------------------|--|---|
|       |                    |  | The above modification is linked to those being made to the Policies Map PMSPM6/9.  |
| MM121 | Paragraph<br>10.9  | 10.9 The Lewisham Open Spaces Assessment provides an overview of existing open space provision across the Borough and in neighbouring authorities. It includes an assessment of public access to these open spaces and identifies areas where there are deficiencies in access to certain types of provision. Whilst all development proposals should investigate and maximise opportunities to enhance open space this is particularly important in areas of deficiency. Where it is appropriate and deliverable, proposals for new development should also consider open space improvements that address existing deficiencies. This may include measures, where they are appropriate and deliverable, that increase the capacity of existing open spaces. We will expect all development proposals to refer to and engage with the Open Spaces Assessment, or any subsequent update, through the design-led approach. Furthermore, proposals should refer the deficiency maps developed by Greenspace Information for Greater London (GiGL). These are regularly updated taking into account the latest available information on open spaces, and use a method of accurately mapping areas of deficiency in public access based on actual walking distances along roads and paths. Where appropriate, health impact assessment prepared in support of development proposals will consider improvements to open space access that specifically seek to address the causes of localised health and social inequalities. | Modifications to clarify and address, health, well-being, social cohesion, and inequality matters raised during the course of the hearing sessions (AP104). |
| MM122 | Paragraph<br>10.10 | 10.10 New development can help to enhance access to open space even where it is not feasible to deliver new public open space on site. Through the design-led approach development proposals should seek to deliver public realm enhancements to create new routes or improve connections to existing or planned new open spaces, particularly in areas of deficiency. New provision should be sited and located, within the proposal site, to optimise inclusive public access. Proposals that marginalise or restrict the use of open space will be resisted. Planning contributions towards open space provision may be sought, particularly where development is likely to generate additional demands on existing provision such through the introduction of more residential units and households. Contributions may include measures that support public access to open space along with the functional quality and amenity value of it.  | Modifications to clarify and address, health, well-being, social cohesion, and inequality matters raised during the course of the hearing sessions (AP104). |
| MM123 | Paragraph<br>10.11 | 10.11 The NPPF enables neighbourhood forums to designate Local Green Space through the neighbourhood plan process. Local Green Space is afforded the same level of protection as Green Belt. Forums are well placed to identify high quality green spaces that are valued by the local community and whose protection will support the Local Plan. Forums are encouraged to undertake detailed assessments to justify the designation of Local Green Space using a robust methodology. Assessments should be published as part of the evidence base to support the plan-making and examination process. The Council remains committed to developing positive working relationships with neighbourhood forums and the Borough's other formally constituted community groups to deliver good quality, inclusive and accessible open space. The Council will positively consider proposals, from these groups, that demonstrably deliver such improvements. The Council will consider opportunities to work with other local community groups, such as those that focus upon maintaining and improving specific open spaces, when they arise. Such opportunities will be considered on their merits and the demonstrable benefits and value that they could secure for local communities.   | Modifications to clarify and address, health, well-being, social cohesion, and inequality matters raised during the course of the hearing sessions. (AP104) |
| MM124 | Policy GR3         | GR3 Biodiversity and access to nature  Strategic Policy  | Modifications to identify the strategic status of the policy and the strategic objectives to which it relates. (AP7)  |

|       | T                  |  |  |
|-------|--------------------|--|--|
|       |                    | Strategic Objectives:  |  |
|       |                    | A An open Lewisham as part of an open London Borough of Lewisham,  | Modifications to provide further   |
|       |                    | DA greener borough,  | clarity relationship with national   |
|       |                    | E Responding to the climate emergency,   | legislation.   |
|       |                    | G Healthy and safe communities.  | A 1 11/2 1 A 11/2 1/2  |
|       |                    | A The Council will work positively with stakeholders, including the Lewisham Biodiversity Partnership, to promote and secure the conservation, restoration and management of habitats as well as the protection of species. It will prepare a Local Nature Recovery  | Additional Modifications to provide further clarity.                                     |
|       |                    | Strategy (LNRS) as part of a strategic approach to nature conservation and to deliver Biodiversity Net Gain within the Borough.  |  |
|       |                    | B Sites of Importance for Nature Conservation (SINCs) are safeguarded in the Local Plan. Development proposals must protect and maximise opportunities to enhance the wildlife value of SINC sites. They must also protect and conserve <u>irreplaceable habitats</u> , protected and priority habitats and species that sit outside of the SINC network, with reference to the London Environment Strategy.   |  |
|       |                    | C Development proposals must seek to avoid harm to biodiversity including within SINC sites and Local Nature Reserves. In line with London Plan policy G3 (Biodiversity and access to nature), where it is demonstrated that harm is unavoidable, and where the benefits of the development proposal clearly outweigh the impacts on biodiversity, the following mitigation hierarchy must be applied to minimise impacts:   |  |
|       |                    | <ul> <li>a. Avoid damaging the significant ecological features of the site;</li> <li>b. Minimise the overall spatial impact and mitigate it by improving the quality or management of the rest of the site; and</li> <li>c. Deliver off-site compensation of better biodiversity value.</li> </ul>   |  |
|       |                    | D Development proposals that have the potential to impact on designated international or national nature conservation sites located outside of the Borough must ensure that impacts are assessed in accordance with the relevant legislative requirements. Proposals will be considered having regard to national planning policies and legislation.   |  |
|       |                    | E <u>In accordance with national legislation (Environment Act 2021), Development development</u> proposals should seek to secure Biodiversity Net Gain (BNG). The BNG benchmark <u>as set out in the national legislation</u> is a minimum 10 per cent increase in habitat value for wildlife compared with the pre-development baseline, calculated using an appropriate Biodiversity Metric. Biodiversity should be fully integrated into the design-led approach with consideration given to the site context and its wider landscape setting.  |  |
|       |                    | F All major development proposals and other development proposals that are likely to have a direct or indirect adverse impact on a SINC, Local Nature Reserve or other site with biodiversity interests must submit an Ecological Assessment carried out by a chartered ecologist. Major development proposals adjacent to a SINC should consult the Lewisham Biodiversity Partnership to assist with the assessment of potential impacts on the site and opportunities to enhance the site's biodiversity value.  |  |
|       |                    | G Planning conditions and/or legal agreements may be used to secure Management Plans where these are considered necessary to support nature conservation objectives.   |  |
|       |                    | H Development proposals that help to reduce deficiencies in the population's access to nature will be considered favourably.   |  |
| MM125 | Paragraph<br>10.13 | 10.13 Growth and regeneration can be compatible with nature conservation objectives when development is considered in a holistic way. Considerations for nature conservation must therefore be fully integrated into the design-led approach. Development proposals must seek to avoid harm to and protect biodiversity as well as maximise opportunities to enhance the value of habitats wherever possible. For example, this could include, where appropriate, integrated bird, bat and bee bricks, hedgehog highways and reptile refugia/log piles. Applicants should must refer the latest Lewisham Biodiversity Action Plan, currently A Natural Renaissance for Lewisham (2021), which sets out information on the vision and opportunities for the Borough including consideration for potential requirements for important species features such as swift bricks which are not included in the Biodiversity Net Gain calculation. | Modifications to provide further clarity and account for changes in paragraph numbering. |

| MM126 Figure 10.7 | Figure 10.7: Designated nature conservation sites   | Modifications to reflect factual position.   |
|-------------------|---|--|
|                   | Amend figure to ensure that the designation of SINCs within the hierarchy is correct and to include proposed new SINCs.   |  |
| MM127 Policy GR4  | GR 4 Lewisham Links   | Modifications to identify the  |
|                   | Strategic Policy  | strategic status of the policy<br>and the strategic objectives to<br>which it relates. (AP7) |
|                   | Strategic Objectives:   |  |
|                   | A An open Lewisham as part of an open London Borough Lewisham,  | Additional Modification to   |
|                   | D A greener borough,  | provide further clarity – by   |
|                   | E Responding to the climate emergency,  | referencing other Policies   |
|                   | F Celebrating our local identity,   | within the Local Plan.   |
|                   | G Healthy and safe communities,   |  |
|                   | H Securing the timely delivery of infrastructure  | Additional Modification to correct typographic error.  |
|                   | A The Council will promote and work with stakeholders to deliver the Lewisham Links a connected network of high quality high-   |  |
|                   | quality walking routes and cycleways, public open spaces, green spaces, nature sites and other visitor destinations across the  |  |
|                   | Borough. Part 3 Lewisham's Neighbourhoods and Places illustrates the Lewisham Links for each of the character areas of  |  |
|                   | Lewisham.   |  |
|                   | B Development proposals must provide for public realm enhancements to support the delivery of the Lewisham Links where they are   |  |
|                   | located adjacent to an existing or proposed route of the Lewisham Links, or where an existing or proposed route is located within the site. High quality public realm must be provided with reference to Policy QD3 (Public realm) and TR3 (Healthy streets and part of healthy neighbourhoods). The specific nature of public realm enhancements will be considered on a case-by-case basis and may include: |  |
|                   | a. New or enhanced footpaths or cycleways;  |  |
|                   | b. Road realignment;  |  |
|                   | c. Street crossings or other safety measures;   |  |
|                   | d. Cycle parking including space for cycle hire;  |  |
|                   | e. External lighting;   |  |
|                   | f. Landscaping;   |  |
|                   | g. Tree planting or other green infrastructure;   |  |
|                   | h. Drinking water fountains;  |  |
|                   | i. Public conveniences; and   |  |
|                   | j. Way-finding signage.   |  |
|                   | C To support the effective implementation of the Lewisham Links development proposals must have regard to the Council's Parks   |  |
|                   | and Open Spaces Strategy.   |  |
| MM128 Policy GR5  | GR5 Urban greening and trees  | Modifications to identify the  |
|                   | No. of the Control of the Paris   | strategic status of the policy   |
|                   | Non-strategic Policy  | and the strategic objectives to  |
|                   |   | which it relates. (AP7)  |
|                   | Strategic Objectives:   |  |
|                   | D A greener borough,  | Modification to introduce more   |
|                   | E Responding to the climate emergency,  | positive policy wording.   |

|       |            | G Healthy and safe communities.  |  |
|-------|------------|--|--|
|       |            | O Fleating and Sale Communities.   |  |
|       |            | A Development proposals must demonstrate how the design-led approach has been used to maximise opportunities for urban greening. This includes the integration of high quality and species diverse landscaping, street trees, wildlife habitat, green roofs and walls and Sustainable Drainage Systems. They must be designed with consideration given to the site context and the wider landscape setting as well as the layout, design, construction and long-term management of buildings and spaces.   |  |
|       |            | B Development proposals must respond positively to landforms including by retaining or enhancing landscape features of historic, ecological and visual amenity value.  |  |
|       |            | C Major development proposals must increase green cover on site to achieve the recommended target Urban Greening Factor (UGF) in the London Plan, unless it can be suitably demonstrated that this is not feasible. The target UGF score is 0.4 for predominantly residential development and 0.3 for predominantly commercial development (excluding B2 and B8 uses). Existing green cover retained on-site will count towards the target score. Planning contributions may be sought where the target UGF is not achieved.   |  |
|       |            | D Development proposals should maximise the use of green roofs and walls. Major development proposals will be expected to demonstrate that the feasibility of integrating these measures has been fully investigated, and minor development proposals are strongly encouraged to incorporate them. Green roofs and walls will be supported where they are appropriately designed, installed and maintained. Development proposals should have regard to the latest industry good practice guidance to help ensure that green roofs and walls are designed to maximise environmental benefits and will function effectively over the lifetime of the development. |  |
|       |            | E Development proposals must seek to retain existing trees as well as the associated habitat with regard for the urban forest, with reference to Policy GR3 (Biodiversity and access to nature). They should also maximise opportunities for additional tree planting particularly in urbanised locations such as streets and town centres. Development proposals must demonstrate that they will:   |  |
|       |            | <ul> <li>a. Provide for the sensitive integration of all trees whilst ensuring any new or replacement on-site provision is of a high ecological quality (including appropriate species, stem girth and life expectancy) and contributes positively to the microclimate;</li> <li>b. Protect veteran trees and ancient woodland;</li> <li>c. Retain trees of quality and associated habitat, wherever possible, with appropriate arrangements to secure their protection</li> </ul>   |  |
|       |            | throughout demolition, construction, and external works, to the occupation stage of development; d. Avoid the loss of, and mitigate against adverse impacts on, trees of significant ecological, amenity and historical value; e. Ensure building foundations are sufficient to be climate change resilient in proximity to trees; and f. Ensure adequate replacement tree planting where the retention of trees is not reasonably practical, with replacement provision that  |  |
|       |            | meets the requirements of (a) above.   |  |
|       |            | F Development proposals should avoid, and the Council will refuse, the removal of must look to retain protected trees (ie. those covered by a Tree Protection Preservation Order and trees within Conservation Areas) and. Developments that will have a should avoid imposing detrimental impacts on the health of protected trees and the visual amenity provided by them they provide. The Council may identify and seek to protect trees that are of a significant amenity, heritage, ecological or other value through development management processes.  |  |
|       |            | G Major development proposals, and where appropriate other development proposals, will be required to submit a Landscape Design Strategy and Arboriculture Survey to demonstrate that landscaping and other urban greening measures are appropriate to the site, can be implemented effectively and will be suitably managed over the lifetime of the development.   |  |
| MM129 | Policy GR6 | GR6 Food growing   | Modifications to identify the strategic status of the policy |

|         |                               | Non-strategic Policy  | and the strategic objectives to which it relates. (AP7)  |
|---------|-------------------------------|---|--|
|         |                               | Strategic Objectives:  A An open Lewisham as part of an open London Borough of Lewisham,  D A greener borough,  G Healthy and safe communities.   | Modifications to provide further clarity.  |
|         |                               | A Allotments and community gardens will be protected in order to support sustainable food growing locally and to enhance opportunities for leisure, social interaction and education.   |  |
|         |                               | B Major development proposals for housing and proposals for community facilities are encouraged to include provision of space for community gardening and food growing. Where such existing provision exists and a site is to be redeveloped, this should be retained or re-provided.   |  |
| MM130   | Policy GR7                    | GR7 Geodiversity  Non-strategic Policy  | Modifications to identify the strategic status of the policy and the strategic objectives to                           |
|         |                               | Strategic Objectives:  D A greener borough.   | which it relates. (AP7)  |
|         |                               | A The Council will protect the Borough's geodiversity assets and seek to promote understanding of them by:  |  |
|         |                               | a. Designating a Regionally Important Geological Site at Beckenham Place Park; b. Designating Locally Important Geological Sites at Old Gravel Pit, Blackheath and Buckthorne Cutting, Crofton Park; and c. Ensuring development proposals make a positive contribution to the protection and enhancement of designated geodiversity sites and other sites of geological interest.  |  |
| Chapter | <u> </u><br>r 11 – Sustainabl | e Design & Infrastructure   |  |
|         | Policy SD1                    | SD1 Responding to the climate emergency  Strategic Policy   | Modifications to identify the strategic status of the policy and the strategic objectives to which it relates. (AP7)   |
|         |                               | Strategic Objectives: E Responding to the climate emergency.  | Additional Modifications to ensure that the Policy wording   |
|         |                               | A Lewisham Council has declared a climate emergency. In response to this a strategic and coordinated approach will be taken to ensure that the Borough contributes significantly to mitigating climate change and is made more resilient to its environmental, social and economic impacts. Local actions are both necessary and integral to supporting wider regional and national actions to address global climate change. | is unambiguous – specifically in terms of how developments contribute towards the delivery of sustainable development. |
|         |                               | B To help ensure contribute towards ensuring that the Borough develops in a way that is environmentally sustainable all development proposals must:   |  |
|         |                               | a. Help Lewisham to become a net zero-carbon Borough as part of a net zero-carbon London;<br>b. Protect and maximise opportunities to enhance the network of green and open spaces, as well as improve linkages to and between them;  |  |

|       |            | c. Conserve sites of importance for biodiversity, protect habitats and species within the local ecological network, and deliver biodiversity net gain; d. Implement measures to reduce flood risk and ensure resilience against the impact of flooding, and seek to improve the quality of water bodies; e. Not adversely impact on the amenity of the local population and habitats; and f. Help Contribute towards London to achieve achieving waste net self-sufficiency by applying the waste hierarchy and circular economy principles.  C A plan, manage and monitor approach will be used to support the successful transition to a net zero-carbon Borough. This   |  |
|-------|------------|--|--|
|       |            | approach will help to ensure that the Local Plan reflects current national and regional planning policy requirements and standards for carbon management, along with the Council's latest technical studies and strategies to deliver low and zero carbon outcomes.  |  |
| MM132 | Policy SD2 | SD2 Sustainable design and retrofitting  | Modifications to identify the  |
|       | ,          | Strategic Policy   | strategic status of the policy<br>and the strategic objectives to<br>which it relates. (AP7) |
|       |            | Strategic Objectives:  |  |
|       |            | E Responding to the climate emergency,   | Additional Modification to   |
|       |            | G Healthy and safe communities.  | ensure that the Policy reads   |
|       |            | A Development proposals must submit a Sustainable Design Statement. This should clearly set out how the design-led approach has been used to ensure the integration of sustainable design principles, including consideration of the construction and operation phases of development. The statement should be proportionate to the nature and scale of development proposed with a sufficient level of detail to demonstrate that the relevant policy requirements have been satisfied. For major development proposals the Sustainable Design Statement should refer and complement other detailed statements including for:  a. Landscape design and urban greening;  b. Nature conservation;  c. Energy use and heat risk management;  d. Air quality;  e. Flood risk and water management;  f. Ground conditions; and  g. Waste reduction and the circular economy. | positively. (AP113)  |
|       |            | B Proposals for new self-contained major and minor residential development should seek to achieve the BRE Home Quality Mark.   |  |
|       |            | C Proposals for new non-residential development of 500 square metres gross floorspace or more, including mixed-use development, must achieve an 'Excellent' rating under the BREEAM New Construction (Non-Domestic Buildings) 2018 scheme, or future equivalent, unless it can be demonstrated that this is not feasible.  |  |
|       |            | Sustainable retrofitting D The use of sustainable retrofitting measures will be encouraged and supported in order to improve the energy efficiency and environmental performance of housing and other buildings, as well as the quality of living spaces for their occupants. Retrofitting measures should be integrated using the 'whole house' or 'whole building' approach, which requires an understanding of how a building has been constructed, its context and all the factors affecting energy use.   |  |
|       |            | E Development proposals for major residential domestic refurbishment must achieve a certified 'Excellent' rating under the BREEAM Domestic Refurbishment 2014 scheme, or future equivalent, unless it can be demonstrated that this is not feasible.   |  |
|       |            |  |  |

|            |   | · · · ·   | ler the BREEAM Non-Do                                    |  | use development, will be required to achieve a e, or future equivalent, unless it can be        |  |
|------------|---|---|--|--|---|--|
|            |   |   | <del>Plan policies</del> <u>place-makir</u>              |  | buildings and other development will only be y satisfied, including on those that relate to the |  |
| MM133      | Policy SD3  | SD3 Minimising greenhouse   | gas emissions  |  |   | Modifications to identify the  |
| IVIIVI TOO | T sliley CDG  | Strategic Policy  | gae emilodione   |  |   | strategic status of the policy<br>and the strategic objectives to<br>which it relates. (AP7) |
|            |   | Strategic Objectives:   |  |  |   |  |
|            |   | E Responding to the climate   | <u>emergency,</u>  |  |   | Modification to clearly identify   |
|            |   | G Healthy and safe communi  | <u>ties.</u>   |  |   | and reference the London Plan  |
|            |   | •   |  | •  | must be designed to reduce greenhouse gas nce with the London Plan energy hierarchy.            | policy approach and target for on-site reduction of carbon emissions.                        |
|            |   | Regulations 2013, as require  | reduction of carbon emised by the London Plan <u>Pol</u> | sions <u>, of at least 35% beyond</u><br>licy SI 2 Minimising greenhou |   | Modification to relocate table into associated supporting text.                              |
|            |   | b. Calculate and minimise en unregulated emissions).  | nissions from any part of t                              | he development that are not o  | overed by Building Regulations (e.g.  |  |
|            |   | C Where it is clearly demonst contributions to meet the ider  |  | rbon target cannot be achieve  | d on-site, development proposals must make  |  |
|            |   | a. A cash-in-lieu contribution  | to Lewisham's carbon of                                  | fset fund: and/or  |   |  |
|            |   | b. Appropriate off-site measures where these can be demonstrated to be deliverable.   |  |  |   |  |
|            |   | D Major development proposa emissions.  | als are encouraged to ass                                | ess embodied carbon emission   | ons and maximise opportunities to reduce these  |  |
|            |   | E Details of the approach use the Sustainable Design State  |  | rbon target must be clearly se   | t out in an Energy Strategy submitted as part of  |  |
|            |   | F Development proposals should minimise energy demand of the building(s) in-use by seeking to achieve the London Energy Transformation Initiative (LETI) targets for projected Energy Use Intensity (EUI), as set out in Table 11.1 |  |  |   |  |
|            | Table 11.1: Targets for Energy Use Intensity by Building Type |   |  |  |   |  |
|            |   | Building type   | Reduce energy  | Reduce space heating   |   |  |
|            |   | 2559 3,75   | consumption to:  | demand to:   |   |  |
|            |   | Minor residential   | 35 kWh/m²/year   | 15 kWh/m²/year   |   |  |
|            |   | Major residential   | 35 kWh/m²/year   |  |   |  |
|            |   | Commercial office   | 55 kWh/m²/year   |  |   |  |

|   | Education   | 65 kWh/m²/year                   |                                 |  |  |
|---|---|----------------------------------|---------------------------------|--|--|
|   |   |                                  |                                 | Gross Internal Area (GIA) and excluding be referred for further information.   |  |
| MM134 Table 11.1  | Table 11.1: Targets for Energ   | gy Use Intensity by Buildin      | g Type <sup>114</sup>           |  | Modification to relocate table from Policy into supporting text.   |
|   | Building type   | Reduce energy consumption to:    | Reduce space heating demand to: |  | nom Folicy into supporting text.   |
|   | Minor residential   | 35kWh/m²/year                    | 15kWh/m²/year                   |  |  |
|   | Major residential   | 35kWh/m²/year                    |                                 |  |  |
|   | Commercial office   | 55kWh/m²/year                    |                                 |  |  |
|   | Education   | 65kWh/m²/year                    |                                 |  |  |
|   | renewable energy contribution   |                                  |                                 | oss Internal Area (GIA) and excluding<br>be referred for further information.  |  |
| MM135 Policy SD4  | Strategic Policy Strategic Objectives:                                  |                                  |                                 |  | Modifications to identify the strategic status of the policy and the strategic objectives to which it relates. (AP7) |
|   | Strategic Objectives:  E Responding to the climate                      | Modifications to provide clarity |                                 |  |  |
|   | G Healthy and safe commun   | by relocating Policy text to     |                                 |  |  |
|   | H Securing the timely deliver   | supporting text. (AP114)         |                                 |  |  |
| A Development proposals must be designed in response to a site-specific assessment of the most effective and efficie supply options, taking into account the Council's Energy Masterplan. For large-scale schemes such as major developr applicants should engage at an early stage with the relevant energy suppliers and bodies to establish future energy are infrastructure requirements necessary to support the development.  B Development proposals should prioritise connection to decentralised heat networks. Major development proposals make Feasibility Assessment for connecting to, and if possible extending or interconnecting, existing or planned future heat located on or in proximity to the site. Proposals for minor new-build development, conversions and building alterations demonstrate that they have investigated and maximised opportunities to connect to existing heat networks. |   |                                  |                                 |  |  |
|   | C Where a decentralised heat to enable a cost-effective cormasterplans. |                                  |                                 |  |  |
|   | D Major development propos<br>heat source must be selected              |                                  | •                               | ommunal low-temperature heating system. The  |  |
|   | it is demonstrated that other   | options in the heating hier      | archy have been fully investi   | t heating systems will only be acceptable where gated and are not feasible, and there will be no odelling to assess impacts on nearby receptors. |  |

|       |                    | F Where CHP and ultra-low NOx gas boiler systems are acceptable in line with (E) above, proposals should be designed in a way that enables, and does not preclude, the decarbonisation of the site wide communal network in the future.  G Major housing development proposals must, and all minor housing proposals should, submit an estimated heat unit supply price (£/kWh), annual standing charges and projected annual maintenance costs for their proposed Energy Strategy. This should include information detailing any assumptions the calculations are based on. Where it can be suitably demonstrated that other Local Plan policy requirements can be satisfied without the submission of this information at the planning application stage, the Council will seek to secure this through planning obligations or through Building Control arrangements.  H Where site-wide communal and district heating systems are operational, heat and energy service providers are encouraged to enter into customer charters with domestic and small business customers.   |  |
|-------|--------------------|--|--|
| MM136 | Paragraph<br>11.19 | All proposals for major residential and commercial development must submit a Feasibility Assessment to fully evaluate connecting to an existing or planned future heat network. The assessment should evaluate technical and financial viability and consider a range of factors included but not restricted to: capability of the network to supply part of the heat demand, location of development and distance to network pipes, physical barriers and other developments in the areas that may also be required to connect. For the purpose of this policy, a planned future network is one that is considered by the Council likely to be operational within 3 years of the grant of planning permission. All proposals for minor new-build development, extensions and alterations should also seek to secure connection to heat networks. Major development proposals must include an Energy Statement as part of the Sustainable Design Statement. This should provide sufficient information to demonstrate that feasibility has been fully investigated, having regard to the latest London Plan Supplementary Planning Guidance. Consideration of feasibility should take into account potential connections to networks both within and outside the Borough. Where it can be suitably demonstrated that other Local Plan policy requirements can be satisfied without the submission of this information at the planning application stage, the Council will seek to secure this through planning obligations or through Building Control arrangements. | Modification to provide clarity – by relocating policy text to supporting text. (AP116)                              |
| MM137 | Paragraph<br>11.23 | Where site-wide communal and district heating systems are operational, heat and energy service providers are encouraged to enter into customer charters with domestic and small business customers. Heat networks and communal heating systems must be designed, constructed, commissioned and operated in accordance with CIBSE/ABE Code of Practice CP1 or equivalent. All developments that are required to connect to an existing or planned heat network in accordance with policy must demonstrate in the Energy Strategy how the scheme will comply with the above Code of Practice.  | Modification to provide clarity – by relocating policy text to supporting text. (AP117)                              |
| MM138 | Policy SD5         | Strategic Policy  Strategic Objectives: E Responding to the climate emergency, G Healthy and safe communities, H Securing the timely delivery of infrastructure.  A Development proposals must minimise the adverse impacts on the urban heat island through the design of buildings and spaces, as well through the use of materials and urban greening measures.  B All new development must be designed to reduce the potential for internal overheating and reliance on air conditioning systems in accordance with the London Plan cooling hierarchy. Development proposals will only be supported where there is sufficient evidence to demonstrate that priority has been given to the implementation of feasible measures at the higher level of the hierarchy.  | Modifications to identify the strategic status of the policy and the strategic objectives to which it relates. (AP7) |

|       |            | C Major development proposals must submit an Energy Statement to demonstrate how they will meet the requirements of (A) and (B) above.  D Major development proposals incorporating public realm, including amenity and open space, should be designed to create a comfortable environment in the public realm through the provision of shade and other passive cooling measures, giving priority to urban greening measures.  |  |
|-------|------------|--|--|
| MM139 | Policy SD6 | SD6 Improving air quality  Strategic Objectives:  Erasponding to the climate emergency, G Healthy and safe communities, H Securing the timely delivery of infrastructure.  A Poor air quality is a significant public health issue in Lewisham. All new development must use the design-led approach and integrate on-site measures to improve air quality and prevent or minimise the population's exposure to poor air quality, having regard to Lewisham's Air Quality Management Plan.  B Development proposals must:  a. Seek to improve air quality and be at least air quality neutral; b. Not lead to a further deterioration of existing poor air quality; c. Not create any new areas that exceed air quality leading poor air quality.  C Not create un acceptable risk of high levels of exposure to poor air quality.  C Air Quality Assessments must be submitted with proposals for:  a. Major development; b. Minor development; b. Minor development within an Air Quality Management Area and/or Air Quality Focus Area if the development is likely to adversely impact on air quality or introduce new sensitive receptors to exposure to an area of existing poor air quality; and c. Community infrastructure or other uses (including public open space) that are likely to be used by large numbers of particularly vulnerable groups, such as older people and children and young peoply  D Development proposals must demonstrate how they will comply with the Non-Road Mobile Machinery Low Emission Zone requirements and reduce emissions from the demolition and construction of buildings following the Mayor's 'The Control of Dust and Emissions for Construction and Demolition' SPG, or subsequent guidance.  E Development proposals will be considered having regard to their individual and cumulative impacts on air quality. Proposals that do not meet the requirements of (A) and (B) above will be refused unless it can be suitably demonstrated that adverse impacts can be mitigated to an acceptable level. Mitigation should be provided on-site. In exceptional circumstance | Modifications to identify the strategic status of the policy and the strategic objectives to which it relates. (AP7) |
| MM140 | Policy SD7 | SD7 Minimising and managing flood risk   | Modifications to identify the strategic status of the policy   |

|                  | Strategic Policy  | and the strategic objectives to which it relates. (AP7)                                      |
|------------------|---|--|
|                  | Strategic Objectives:  E Responding to the climate emergency, G Healthy and safe communities, H Securing the timely delivery of infrastructure.   | Willoff References. (All 1)  |
|                  | A The Council will work in partnership with stakeholders to implement the Thames Estuary 2100 Plan. To minimise and manage flood risk development proposals must:   |  |
|                  | <ul> <li>a. Apply a sequential approach to the location of new development to avoid flood risk to the population and property whilst taking account of the long-term impact of climate change,</li> <li>b. Not increase flood risk and reduce the risk of flooding from all sources;</li> <li>c. Make space for water by providing an undeveloped setback from rivers and other watercourses;</li> <li>d. Where appropriate, carry out a condition survey of flood defence and other watercourse infrastructure and if necessary, provide for maintenance, repairs or remediation to secure its functional integrity over the lifetime of the development. This includes raising the Thames Tidal Defences in accordance with the TE2100 plan, in the case of riparian ownership of land; and</li> <li>e. Be designed to remain safe and operational under flood conditions.</li> </ul> |  |
|                  | B A site specific Flood Risk Assessment (FRA) will be required for all development proposals within Flood Zone 2, 3a and 3b, all major development in Flood Zone 1, and elsewhere in the Borough where development may be at risk of other sources of flooding. The FRA must provide sufficient information for the Council to assess whether the requirements of the Sequential and Exception Tests have been satisfied. The FRA must:   |  |
|                  | <ul> <li>a. Be proportionate with the degree of flood risk posed both to and by the development;</li> <li>b. Take account of all potential sources of flooding both on and off-site;</li> <li>c. Make an appropriate allowance for the hazard posed by climate change over the lifetime of the development; and</li> <li>d. Have regard to the recommendations of the latest Lewisham SFRA and the Lewisham Local Flood Risk Management Strategy.</li> </ul>  |  |
|                  | C Where development proposals satisfy the Sequential and Exception Tests they must be designed to should ensure that:   |  |
|                  | <ul> <li>a. The most vulnerable land uses are directed to areas of the site that are at lowest risk of flooding;</li> <li>b. There is no net loss of flood storage capacity and adequate provision is made for flood storage and compensation, with priority given to on-site provision;</li> <li>c. There is no detrimental impact on the natural function of the floodplain and floodwater flow routes across the site; and</li> <li>d. Appropriate mitigation measures are incorporated to address any residual flood risk, including safe access and egress for all likely users of the development.</li> </ul>   |  |
|                  | D Development proposals must provide a site-specific Flood Emergency Response Plan to manage actual and/or residual flood risk, where appropriate.  |  |
| MM141 Policy SD8 | SD8 Sustainable drainage  | Modifications to identify the  |
|                  | Strategic Policy  | strategic status of the policy<br>and the strategic objectives to<br>which it relates. (AP7) |
|                  | Strategic Objectives:  E Responding to the climate emergency,  G Healthy and safe communities,  | Modification to provide clarity inclusive of relocating policy                               |

|                   |  | I  |
|-------------------|--|--|
|                   | H Securing the timely delivery of infrastructure.  | text to supporting text. (AP120)   |
|                   | A Development proposals should be located and designed having regard to the London Sustainable Drainage Action Plan, the Council's Surface Water Management Plan and Local Flood Risk Management Strategy;   | (AF 120)   |
|                   | B Development proposals must achieve greenfield runoff rates and ensure that surface water runoff is managed as close to its source as possible, in line with the London Plan drainage hierarchy. Proposals for major development and development in a Critical Drainage Area must submit a Drainage Strategy.   |  |
|                   | C Sustainable Drainage Systems (SuDS) should be integrated into development wherever possible with priority given to green and blue over grey measures. All SuDS must meet the Department for Environment, Food and Rural Affairs Non-Statutory Technical Standards and be designed in accordance with the latest Construction Industry and Research Association (CIRIA) SuDS Manual or equivalent. Development proposals must demonstrate that SuDS will function effectively over the lifetime of development. |  |
|                   | DC SuDS Sustainable Drainage Systems (SuDS) involving infiltration must not have an adverse impact on groundwater sources. Where infiltration is proposed, developers should liaise with the Environment Agency should be consulted to consider the suitability of SuDS having regard to the impact of drainage into the groundwater aquifer.  |  |
|                   | E D Where it is clearly demonstrated that a greenfield runoff rate cannot be achieved or SuDS cannot be implemented due to reasons of feasibility, development proposals must:   |  |
|                   | a. Ensure that surface water runoff (both in terms of volume and flow) has been reduced as much as reasonably practical; and b. Investigate and integrate measures to improve water quality.   |  |
|                   | F E Development proposals should be designed to include permeable surfaces wherever possible. Proposals for impermeable surfacing, including on front gardens, driveways, and car parks, will be refused unless it can be suitably demonstrated that this is unavoidable.  |  |
|                   | F Development industry partners are responsible for making proper provision for surface water drainage to ground, water courses or surface water sewer. Proposals for new surface water provision will not be allowed to drain to the foul sewer, as this is the major contributor to sewer flooding.  |  |
| MAAAAA D. II. ODO |  | NA PER STATE OF THE STATE OF TH |
| MM142 Policy SD9  | SD9 Lewisham's waterways  Strategic Policy   | Modifications to identify the strategic status of the policy and the strategic objectives to which it relates. (AP7)   |
|                   | Strategic Objectives:  | ,  |
|                   | D A greener borough, E Responding to the climate emergency,  | Modification to provide a cross-<br>reference to the London Plan.  |
|                   | G Healthy and safe communities,  | reference to the London Flan.  |
|                   | H Securing the timely delivery of infrastructure.  |  |
|                   | A Waterways provide multifunctional social, economic and environmental benefits that support sustainable neighbourhoods and communities. The London Plan identifies the Capital's waterway network under its Figure 9.6 London's Network of Waterways (the Blue Ribbon Network). Development proposals should identify and respond positively to the unique attributes of waterways, giving particular consideration to their:   |  |
|                   | a. Environmental function and ecological qualities;  |  |

|            |             | h Contribution to the Percusah's network of onen energy  |  |
|------------|-------------|--|--|
|            |             | b. Contribution to the Borough's network of open spaces;   |  |
|            |             | c. Recreational and amenity value;   |  |
|            |             | d. Distinctive features that help to shape and reinforce the Borough's physical, cultural and historical character;  |  |
|            |             | e. Support for the visitor economy; and  |  |
|            |             | f. Potential to facilitate water transport, for both passengers and freight.   |  |
|            |             | B Development proposals on sites containing or adjacent to a main river, ordinary watercourse or other water space must:   |  |
|            |             | a. Demonstrate how the objectives of the Thames River Basin Management Plan, Vision for the Tidal Thames, London River Restoration Action Plan, Marine Plan for the South East and other relevant local guidance, including the River Corridors Improvement Plan SPD and Ravensbourne Catchment Improvement Plan, have been taken into account, where appropriate; b. Ensure that there is no adverse impact on the natural functioning of the watercourse, including by maintaining an undeveloped buffer zone with an adequate set back distance from the watercourse, as agreed with the Council and the Environment Agency; c. Investigate and maximise opportunities to enhance or restore river channels, flood flow pathways, floodplains and other natural flood management features with the objective of returning them to their natural state wherever possible; d. Incorporate measures to enhance the ecological, amenity, recreational and historic value of water spaces, including by enhancing public access to these spaces; and |  |
|            |             | e. Contribute to a safe riverside environment by making appropriate provision for riparian life-saving equipment such as grab chains, access ladders, life buoys, along with information signage and CCTV.   |  |
|            |             | C Development proposals should seek to improve water quality and must ensure that there is no deterioration in the quality of a watercourse or groundwater, in line with the European Water Framework Directive 2000.  |  |
|            |             | D The Lewisham section of the Thames Policy Area is designated in the Local Plan, as reflected in the Policies Map <u>and Figure 15.5</u> . All new development within the Thames Policy Area will be expected to respond positively to the distinctive character and qualities of the River Thames and its surrounds, in line with Policy LNA 4 (Thames Policy Area and Deptford Creekside).  |  |
|            |             | E Convoys Wharf is included within London's network of safeguarded wharves. The Council will continue to safeguard Convoys Wharf taking into account the Ministerial safeguarding Direction for the wharf and extant planning consents. Development proposals involving water transport at Convoys Wharf will be supported where they comply with London Plan policy SI15 (Water transport), along with other relevant policies.   |  |
|            |             | F Development proposals for water and marine based residential, commercial, community, recreational and transport uses (including moorings and jetties) alongside or within a waterway will only be supported where they:  |  |
|            |             | <ul> <li>a. Are demonstrably a water-dependent use;</li> <li>b. Are appropriately located and designed, taking into account the nature and scale of the proposed use;</li> <li>c. Respond positively to, and do not adversely impact on, the open character, historic setting and views of the waterway, its frontage (including foreshore) and the surrounding area;</li> <li>d. Do not have a detrimental impact on river navigation and flood defence infrastructure;</li> </ul>  |  |
|            |             | e. Do not have a detrimental impact on the environment, including water quality in line with (B) above;  f. Do not impede or compromise existing public access points to the waterway, and extend or enhance access wherever possible; and  g. Ensure adequate access and servicing arrangements for all intended users of the development.  |  |
| NANA4 4 2  | Policy SD10 | SD10 Water supply and westowater   | Modifications to identify the                                |
| IVIIVI 143 | Policy SD10 | SD10 Water supply and wastewater   | Modifications to identify the strategic status of the policy |
|            |             | Strategic Policy   |  |
|            | 1           | 1 <del></del>  | <u> </u>   |

|       |                    | Strategic Objectives:  | and the strategic objectives to which it relates. (AP7) |
|-------|--------------------|--|---|
|       |                    | E Responding to the climate emergency, G Healthy and safe communities, H Securing the timely delivery of infrastructure.   |   |
|       |                    | A Development proposals for new water supply and wastewater facilities or the expansion of existing facilities will be supported where the development:  |   |
|       |                    | a. Makes provision for infrastructure that is required within the Borough as demonstrated by an asset management or similar investment plan;   |   |
|       |                    | <ul><li>b. Will not result in an unacceptable adverse impact on the environment, human health, public safety, amenity and local character; and</li><li>c. Will be constructed in a timely and sustainable manner.</li></ul>  |   |
|       |                    | B Development proposals should have regard to Drainage and Wastewater Management Plans (DWMPs) and must demonstrate that the local water supply and public sewerage networks have adequate capacity both on and off-site to serve demand arising from the development; or where such capacity does not exist and there are no programmed works, arrangements are made to ensure adequate provision prior to occupation. A Water Supply and/or Drainage Strategy may be required to demonstrate that suitable arrangements are in place to serve the development.   |   |
|       |                    | C Development proposals should ensure the separation of surface and foul water systems, including by rectifying misconnections. Proposals should prioritise mains foul drainage and avoid the use of non-mains drainage for foul water disposal, particularly in Source Protection Zones. Where non-mains drainage is proposed for foul water the most sustainable drainage options must be implemented, as supported by a Drainage Strategy.  |   |
|       |                    | D Development proposals should be designed to be water efficient, reduce water consumption and minimise pressure on the combined sewer network by integrating SuDS and complying with the London Plan requirements for water efficiency. Planning conditions will be applied to ensure that water efficiency standards are met.  |   |
|       |                    | E Development proposals within a Source Protection Zone will only be supported where there is no risk of contamination to groundwater sources, or if a risk is identified, it is suitably demonstrated that adequate mitigation measures will be implemented.  |   |
|       |                    | F Development proposals for piled foundations must ensure that disturbances to the ground will not lead to adverse impacts on water quality, including turbidity in the water supply. Development proposals on or in proximity to sites with contaminated land must not introduce new pathways for contamination materials to reach groundwater.   |   |
| MM144 | Paragraph<br>11.68 | New residential development must meet the London Plan standard for mains water consumption, which reflects the Optional Requirement set out in Part G of the Building Regulations. This is set out under London Plan Policy SI 5 Water infrastructure. All new residential developments (including replacement dwellings) will meet the Building Regulation optional higher water efficiency standard of 110 litres per person per day, using the 'Fittings Approach' in table 2.2 as set out in Building Regulations part G2. Planning conditions will be applied to new residential development to ensure that the water efficiency standards are met. Major non-residential development must meet BREEAM excellent standard for the 'Wat 01' water category, to achieve at least a 12.5% improvement over defined baseline performance standard. In addition, major developments and high or intense water use developments (such as hotels) should include a grey water and rain water harvesting system, and applications must provide robust justification where this is not considered feasible. Planning conditions will be used to ensure water efficiency targets are met. | Modification to provide further clarity.                |

| MM145 | Policy SD11 | SD11 Ground conditions  | Modifications to identify the  |
|-------|-------------|---|--|
|       |             | Strategic Policy  | strategic status of the policy<br>and the strategic objectives to<br>which it relates. (AP7) |
|       |             | Strategic Objectives:   | ,  |
|       |             | E Responding to the climate emergency,  |  |
|       |             | G Healthy and safe communities.   |  |
|       |             | A Development proposals that will enable contaminated sites to be brought back into beneficial use will be supported where the requirements of B-D below are satisfied.   |  |
|       |             | B Development proposals must demonstrate that any risks associated with land contamination, including to human health, public safety and the environment will be adequately addressed in order to make the development safe.  |  |
|       |             | C Development proposals on land which is suspected of being contaminated or potentially contaminated, or if a sensitive use is proposed, must submit a Preliminary Risk Assessment (Phase 1 Study) to identify the level and risk of contamination on the site and adjacent land, and where necessary:  |  |
|       |             | a. Undertake a Site Intrusive Investigation (Phase 2 Study) to provide a detailed assessment of contamination and risks to all receptors;   |  |
|       |             | b. Prepare a Risk Management and Remediation Strategy appropriate to the individual site circumstances; and c. Submit a Verification Plan and Closure Report prior to the occupation of the development.  |  |
|       |             | D Development proposals involving the storage or use of hazardous substances, or development of a site in the vicinity of a hazardous installation, will only be permitted where it is demonstrated that appropriate safeguards are in place to ensure there is no unacceptable risk to human health, public safety and the environment.  |  |
|       |             | E Planning conditions may be applied to ensure that remedial measures will be implemented and the development is safe prior to occupation.  |  |
| MM146 | Policy SD12 | SD12 Reducing and sustainably managing waste  | Modifications to identify the  |
|       |             | Strategic Policy  | strategic status of the policy<br>and the strategic objectives to                            |
|       |             | Strategic Objectives:   | which it relates. (AP7)  |
|       |             | E Responding to the climate emergency,  | Additional Modification to   |
|       |             | H Securing the timely delivery of infrastructure.   | introduce an acronym.  |
|       |             | A The Council will ensure that waste is sustainably managed in ways which protect human health and the environment. A circular economy approach will be promoted in Lewisham in order to conserve and make a more efficient use of resources, to achieve increases in the re-use and recycling of materials and reductions in waste going for disposal. Development proposals must apply the waste hierarchy and follow circular economy principles, in line with Policy SD 13 (Design to support the circular economy).  |  |
|       |             | B To help London achieve waste net self-sufficiency, meet the Borough's strategic waste apportionment target and other requirements, including the London Mayor's recycling and composting targets, the Council will work in partnership with stakeholders including the South East London Joint Waste Planning Group. The following are strategically safeguarded waste sites in Lewisham: a. South East London Combined Heat and Power (SELCHP) energy recovery facility, New Cross b. Recycling Centre (HTL Waste Management Services), New Cross c. Reuse & Recycling Centre (London Borough of Lewisham), New Cross. |  |

|       |             | C Development proposals that will result in the loss of an existing waste site through a change of use will only be permitted where adequate replacement waste processing capacity is secured in accordance with London Plan policy SI9 (Safeguarded waste sites).   |  |
|-------|-------------|--|--|
|       |             | D Development proposals for new waste management facilities will only be permitted where:  |  |
|       |             | a. They are required within the Borough to meet an identified strategic need, having regard to the proximity and self-sufficiency principles;  |  |
|       |             | b. It is demonstrated that the waste management capacity at existing safeguarded waste sites has been maximised, and there are no opportunities for appropriately increasing capacity at these sites to meet the identified need;  |  |
|       |             | c. They are located within a Strategic Industrial Location (SIL), or involve alterations or extensions to an existing facility located outside a SIL, and have high quality supporting infrastructure necessary for the intended use;  |  |
|       |             | d. They achieve a positive carbon outcome or demonstrate that steps are in place to meet the minimum greenhouse gas performance target, in line with London Plan policy SI8 (Waste capacity and net waste self-sufficiency); and   |  |
|       |             | e. They will not result in any adverse impacts on human health, the natural environment and local amenity, having regard to relevant legislation and other development plan policies.  |  |
|       |             | E Development proposals for waste management facilities must be located and designed with reference to the Agent of Change principle. They should be fully enclosed on all sides and have a roof along with fast-acting doors, and must be designed with these measures where the development is likely to have a significant impact on local amenity. |  |
|       |             | F Where development proposals involve alterations to an existing waste management facility, they must demonstrate how they have maximised opportunities to improve the environmental performance of the facility as well as to reduce and mitigate its impact on local amenity.  |  |
| MM147 | Policy SD13 | SD13 Design to support the circular economy  | Modifications to identify the strategic status of the policy |
|       |             | Strategic Policy   | and the strategic objectives to which it relates. (AP7)      |
|       |             | Strategic Objectives:  | Willeli Riciator (All 1)                                     |
|       |             | C A thriving local economy that tackles inequalities, E Responding to the climate emergency.   |  |
|       |             | A Development proposals should apply circular economy principles in order to conserve resources and improve resource efficiency, with reference to London Plan policy SI7 (Reducing waste and supporting the circular economy).  |  |
|       |             | B Major development proposals should aim to be net zero-waste. Development proposals that meet the threshold for being referable to the Mayor of London must submit a Circular Economy Statement, as part of the Sustainable Design Statement, in line with London Plan policy SI7.  |  |
|       |             | C Development proposals must sustainably manage both the type and volume of recyclable materials and waste arising from the development during the construction and operational phases.  |  |
|       |             | D Development proposals must be designed to ensure adequate on-site provision for the sorting of recyclable material, composting of organic material and the disposal of general waste during the occupation stage. They must make provision for:  |  |
|       |             | a. Dedicated internal and external storage facilities, with flatted residential development including temporary storage space for each unit and communal storage for waste materials pending collection;   |  |
|       |             | b. Safe and convenient access to storage facilities, both for building occupiers and collection services;  |  |

- c. Well sited and designed development that avoids and mitigates adverse impact on the amenity of building occupiers and neighbouring site users and uses; and
- d. Separate provision for commercial and household waste where mixed-used development is proposed.

E All proposals for new multi-storey development, including flatted residential development, must also make provision for sensitively designed storage and collection systems at each floor unless it is suitably demonstrated that other designs can appropriately service the development. Proposals should avoid the use of forecourts or ground floor internal waste storage where this may adversely impact on the amenity of the building's occupiers and surrounding properties

F Where public realm is included as part of a development proposal appropriate provision for recycling and waste disposal facilities will be required. Provision should be sensitively located and designed with accessible and clearly legible facilities to enable the public to easily distinguish between options for sorting of recyclable material, disposal of general waste and where appropriate, composting of organic material.

#### Chapter 12 – Transport and Connectivity

MM148 | Policy TR1

TR1 Sustainable transport and movement

Strategic Policy

Strategic Objectives:

A An open Lewisham as part of an open London Borough of Lewisham,

E Responding to the climate emergency,

G Healthy and safe communities,

H Securing the timely delivery of infrastructure.

A The integration of land use and transport, along with an effective public transport network, are essential to delivering in clusive, safe, healthy, liveable, walkable and sustainable neighbourhoods in Lewisham. Development proposals must make the most effective use of land and optimise the capacity of sites by taking into account connectivity and access to existing and planned future public transport. Priority should be given to reducing car use and both promoting and enabling movement by walking, cycling and the use of public transport.

B Development proposals should seek to improve and must not adversely impact on the effective functioning and safe use of Lewisham's transport network and public realm, including walking and cycling transport infrastructure.

C The land, buildings, space and supporting infrastructure required for the construction and operation of Lewisham's network of strategic and other transport infrastructure will be safeguarded, including for the schemes identified in Table 12.1. Development proposals will be required to provide adequate protection for, not prejudice, and respond positively to the need to facilitate the delivery of, the Borough's network of transport infrastructure as set out in the Council's Infrastructure Delivery Plan. Land, buildings, space and supporting infrastructure required for the construction and operation of Lewisham's network of strategic and other transport infrastructure will be safeguarded under planning policy, including the schemes identified in Table 12.1. Phase 1 of the Bakerloo Line Extension is safeguarded by the Secretary of State under the Town and Country Planning (Development Management Procedure) (England) Order 2015. The safeguarded area is shown on the proposed policy maps.

D To encourage and enable modal shift away from car use to more sustainable transport modes, as well as to tackle local deprivation by ensuring equality of access to opportunities, the Council will work positively and in partnership with stakeholders to secure improvements to the public transport network including:

Modifications to identify the strategic status of the policy and the strategic objectives to which it relates. (AP7)

Modification to update and reflect the factual position on the matter of safeguarding. (AP34)

Modifications that clarify how development proposals will consider planned for and safeguarded transport infrastructure improvements. (AP34 and AP35)

- a. Improvements at Lewisham's stations, including enhancements to accessibility and interchange between modes, such as step-free access;
- b. Bus priority and bus stop infrastructure;
- c. The use of the River Thames for passenger-based transport; and
- d. Expansion of cycle hire.

Table 12.1: Indicative list of strategic transport schemes

| Scheme   | Timeframe       |
|--|-----------------|
| Public Transport                               |                 |
| Bakerloo Line Extension                        | Medium          |
| Lewisham Station and interchange               | Short to medium |
| Brockley Station and interchange               | Medium          |
| Surrey Canal Station                           | Short to medium |
| Metroisation' of London Overground services    | Short to medium |
| Healthy Streets and Active Travel              |                 |
| A2 New Cross Road / Amersham Gyratory          | Short           |
| removal  |                 |
| A21 Healthy Streets Corridor ('Lewisham Spine) | Short to medium |
| A205 (South Circular) Re-routing (Catford)     | Short           |
| Ringway Corridor (Southend Land and Whitefoot  | Medium          |
| <del>Lane)</del>                               |                 |
| Healthy Neighbourhoods                         | Short to medium |

E Development proposals should use the design-led approach to maximise opportunities to remove barriers to access and introduce measures that encourage and enable movement by walking and cycling. They must address the movement and connective function of the public realm along with its place qualities with reference to Policies QD3 (Public realm and connecting places) and TR3 (Healthy streets as part of healthy neighbourhoods).

#### Assessing and mitigating transport impacts

F Transport Assessments, Transport Statements and/or Travel Plans must be submitted with applications for Major development and other development proposals that are likely to impact on the capacity and functioning of the transport network (including walking and cycling transport infrastructure, deliveries and servicing, and the Bakerloo line extension). These should be commensurate with the nature and scale of development proposed and provide a sufficient level of information for the Council, Transport for London and other relevant authorities to assess applications, and for development proposals to adequately address impacts at the local, networkwide and strategic level where relevant.

G Development proposals that do not comply with (B) and (C) above, or otherwise prohibit or prevent the necessary and safe functioning of Lewisham's transport infrastructure and network, will be refused unless it can be demonstrated that adverse impacts will be avoided or appropriately mitigated. Proposals must deliver direct mitigation measures, with suitable alternative provision that is agreed by the relevant transport authorities and service providers, including Transport for London, and/ or planning contributions, where appropriate.

H Development proposals will be assessed having regard to the cumulative impact of development including within Lewisham and neighbouring local authority areas.

|       |            | development proposals, pla<br>cycling infrastructure to ca<br>Consideration will be giver<br>committed for any future so<br>avoid excessive strain on t | anning permission will be<br>ter for this demand, in ling<br>to both existing and plothemes. The Council we<br>the transport network and | be contingent on the provision of<br>the with London Plan Policy T4<br>lanned transport infrastructure, t<br>fill use measures to ensure that | emand expected to be generated by new the necessary public transport and/or walking and (Assessing and mitigating transport impacts). taking into account timeframes and funding development is appropriately phased in order to cture demands arising from the development odated. |  |
|-------|------------|---|--|---|---|--|
| MM149 | New Table  | Indicative list of key planne   | ed and pipeline strategi   | c transport schemes   |   | Modifications to amend table to provide clarity on planned   |
|       |            | Planned Transport and H   | ighways projects:  |   | 7   | and pipeline projects; and to                                |
|       |            | Bakerloo line extension   | Medium   | Public transport  |   | relocate table within supporting                             |
|       |            | Surrey Canal Road<br>Station  | Medium   | Public transport  |   | text at point following Paragraph 12.3. (AP35)               |
|       |            | 'Metroisation' of London<br>Overground services   | Medium   | Public transport  |   |  |
|       |            | A21 Healthy Streets Corridor ('Lewisham   | Short to medium  | Healthy streets and active travel   |   |  |
|       |            | Spine)  |  |   | _   |  |
|       |            | Pipeline Transport and Hi   |  |   |   |  |
|       |            | Brockley Station and interchange  | <u>Medium</u>  | Public transport  |   |  |
|       |            | Lewisham Station and interchange  | <u>Medium</u>  | Public transport  |   |  |
|       |            | A2 New Cross Road /<br>Amersham Gyratory<br>removal   | <u>Medium</u>  | Public transport  |   |  |
|       |            | Ringway Corridor  | <u>Medium</u>  | Healthy streets and active  |   |  |
|       |            | (Southend Land and Whitefoot Lane)  |  | <u>travel</u>   |   |  |
|       |            | Project Timings: Short = wi   | thin five years; Medium  | n = within five-twenty years; and   | Long = beyond twenty years  |  |
| MM150 | Policy TR2 | TR2 Bakerloo line extension   | on   |   |   | Modifications to identify the strategic status of the policy |
|       |            | Strategic Policy  |  |   |   | and the strategic objectives to which it relates. (AP7)      |
|       |            | Strategic Objectives:   |  |   |   | , ,  |
|       |            | A An open Lewisham as p   | •  |   |   | Modifications to update and                                  |
|       |            | C A thriving local economy  |  | <u>es,</u>  |   | reflect the factual position on                              |
|       |            | E Responding to the clima G Healthy and safe commi  |  |   |   | the matter of safeguarding. (AP38 and AP39)                  |
|       |            | H Securing the timely deliv   |  |   |   | (AF36 alla AF39)   |
|       |            |   |  |   | access as well as supporting growth and stakeholders to secure the timely delivery of the   | Additional Modification to introduce an acronym.             |

|       |            | BLE in accordance with the London Plan. It will also seek to maximise the opportunities associated with the BLE by directing new investment to significantly improve accessibility across the Borough, tackle deprivation and optimise the development potential of sites in proximity to the BLE.  B Development proposals must demonstrate that they will help to facilitate and not preclude the delivery of the BLE, with reference to Policy TR1 (Sustainable transport and movement). They must take into account taking into account Ministerial safeguarding Directions and relevant Mayor of London / Transport for London infrastructure requirements and/ or feasibility studies associated with BLE, and should consult with relevant transport bodies at the early stage of the planning process;  C Development proposals on sites located within 400 metres of a proposed Bakerloo line station or safeguarded area of the BLE safeguarded area, under the Secretary of State for Transport's BLE safeguarding directions, must (unless exempted in those directions) demonstrate that the proposed development will not preclude or delay the delivery of the BLE, will not lead to excessive cost in the delivery or operation of the Bakerloo Line, and must will be compatible with the BLE during delivery and operation (for example, in relation to vibration from the tunnels), both during construction and in operation. Foundation and basement design will be particularly critical for over tunnel alignments, ground level needs at stations and for other work sites. Development proposals must also be designed to optimise the accessibility provided by the introduction of the BLE into the local area. This may include provision for new or improved public realm and transport infrastructure enhancements. Major development sites within 960m of a proposed BLE station must submit an assessment on accessibility, public realm and enhancements to public transport.  D Development proposals should optimise the use of land and capacity of sites taking into account the BLE and |  |
|-------|------------|---|--|
| MM151 | Policy TR3 |   | Modifications to identify the strategic status of the policy and the strategic objectives to which it relates. (AP7) |
|       |            | A An open Lewisham as part of an open London Borough of Lewisham,  E Responding to the climate emergency,   |  |
|       |            | G Healthy and safe communities, H Securing the timely delivery of infrastructure.   |  |
|       |            | A The Healthy Streets Approach will be promoted and implemented in Lewisham in order to support the delivery of inclusive, healthy, safe, liveable, walkable and sustainable neighbourhoods. Priority will be given to approaches and measures that encourage and enable movement by walking, cycling and public transport, so they become people's preferred choice of travel in Lewisham.   |  |
|       |            | B Development proposals must demonstrate how they have applied the London Plan Healthy Streets Approach and Indicators through the design-led approach. All major development proposals must submit a Healthy Streets Assessment as part of the Transport Assessment. Proposals will be supported where they have engaged positively with the Healthy Streets Approach to deliver public realm and other improvements that support walking, cycling and the use of public transport. Cycle infrastructure must be designed in accordance with the London Cycling Design Standards.  |  |
|       |            | C The Healthy Streets Approach will have particular importance within town centres, along and around Growth Corridors and oth er  |  |

|       |            | deprivation. Development proposals must have regard to relevant guidance that supports corridor improvements, including the Council's A21 Development Framework.  |  |
|-------|------------|---|--|
|       |            | D Development proposals must safeguard and contribute to maintaining and enhancing the Borough's network of walking routes and cycleways, including the Thames Path, South-East London Green Chain, Waterlink Way and other components of the Lewisham Links. Opportunities to enhance connections between existing and proposed future routes should be investigated and implemented wherever appropriate and feasible, including the expansion of cycle hire along these routes. Development proposals that adversely impact on the safety, quality and convenience of the Borough's network of walking routes and cycleways, and associated infrastructure (including dedicated cycle parking provision), will be refused unless appropriate mitigation measures are provided. |  |
|       |            | E High quality public realm is integral to the delivery of the Healthy Streets Approach. In line with Policy QD 3 (Public realm and connecting places) development proposals must be designed to maximise the contribution that public realm makes to encourage and enable active modes of travel. This includes measures to reduce vehicle dominance and enhance access, permeability and connectivity to and within sites by maintaining or integrating safe and legible routes for walking and cycling along with removing barriers to movement, such as gates, guardrails and stepped kerbs.  |  |
|       |            | F To support the Healthy Streets Approach development proposals should provide end-of-trip facilities for cyclists that are easy to access and designed in accordance with the London Cycling Design Standards. These facilities should be provided at a level that is commensurate with the nature and scale of development and the required level of cycle parking, in line with the parking standards of Policy TR4 (Parking).   |  |
|       |            | G To help facilitate liveable and sustainable neighbourhoods in Lewisham, the Council will work with stakeholders and local communities to investigate the feasibility of, and implement where appropriate, traffic management and other measures to improve the quality and amenity of residential areas. This may include interventions to reduce, re-route or calm vehicular traffic (particularly around schools and other community facilities) and/or lower speed limits in localities, as well as to enhance the quality and safety of the walking and cycle environment.  |  |
|       |            | H Development proposals must be designed to ensure that the public realm is not adversely impacted by installations, including advertising columns, and seek opportunities to remove redundant installations wherever possible.   |  |
|       |            | I To help facilitate modal shift the Council will work positively with its partners and stakeholders to raise awareness of active travel and to enhance opportunities for the public to access related training and funding.  |  |
| MM152 | Policy TR4 | TR4 Parking   | Modifications to identify the  |
|       |            | Non-strategic Policy  | strategic status of the policy<br>and the strategic objectives to<br>which it relates. (AP7)         |
|       |            | Strategic Objectives: H Securing the timely delivery of infrastructure.   | Modifications to provide further clarity. (AP40)   |
|       |            | A A carefully managed approach to parking, and particularly car parking, will be taken to support the delivery of inclusive, healthy, safe, liveable and sustainable neighbourhoods. In line with the London Plan, car-free development should be the starting point for all development proposals in places that are, or are planned to be well-connected by public transport with developments elsewhere designed to be car-lite,   | Modification to remove requirement that is already in place through the Building Regulations. (AP41) |
|       |            | B Development proposals for car-free development will be supported where they are located in highly accessible and well-connected locations. Elsewhere, car-free development will be supported where it can be suitably demonstrated that:  | regulations. (All 41)  |

- a. The development is appropriately located at a well-connected location with good walking and cycling access to local amenities and services; or
- Additional Modification to remove superfluous text before acronym.
- b. The development is appropriately located within an Opportunity Area, Growth Node, Regeneration Node, Growth Corridor or town centre where the Local Plan makes provision for significant public realm enhancements that will bring about attractive conditions for walking and cycling and improve access to local amenities and services; and or
- c. There is an existing Controlled Parking Zone (CPZ), or a future CPZ can be established through planning contributions; or d. There is sufficient capacity on the public transport network or potential for active travel interventions or implementation of Low Traffic Neighbourhoods in the local area to cater to the additional demand arising from the development, taking into account existing and planned transport infrastructure;
- C Development proposals must not exceed the maximum car parking standards set out in the London Plan, including for:
- a. Residential parking;
- b. Office parking;
- c. Retail parking; and
- d. Hotel and leisure uses parking (including consideration of coach parking).
- D Development proposals must make adequate provision for residential and non-residential disabled persons parking, recognising that car-free development has no general parking but should still provide disabled persons parking. All such provision must be in accordance with the relevant London Plan standards and the requirements for design and management of parking bays.
- E Development proposals must make provision for high quality and fit-for-purpose cycle parking in accordance with the London Cycle Design Standards. They must meet and wherever possible seek to exceed the minimum cycle parking standards set by London Plan (which for Lewisham are the 'higher minimum' standards). This includes sufficient provision of short and long-stay spaces along with spaces to accommodate larger cycles including adapted cycles for disabled people and cargo bikes.
- F Major development proposals, development proposals located within town centres and employment areas, or other developments likely to generate a significant number of visitors should integrate dedicated space for cycle hubs to accommodate provision of cycle parking including for cycle hire schemes and space for cargo bikes wherever feasible. Proposals for the comprehensive development of sites, including through masterplans, must demonstrate how they have maximised opportunities to deliver this provision in order encourage and enable cycling.
- G The Council will consider the need for Controlled Parking Zones CPZs to manage additional or new demand arising from development across the Borough, and will implement these where appropriate.
- H In order to manage the parking demand associated with new development the Council will consider on a case-by-case basis whether it is appropriate to require that the development is Permit Free (except for Blue Badge holders). Proposals for new car-free development must be Permit Free.
- I Where car parking is appropriate, a minimum of 20 per cent of total car parking spaces provided on-site must have active provision of charging points for electric or Ultra-Low Emission vehicles, with passive provision for all remaining spaces. In the case that a development has a Travel Plan in place, the levels of usage of electric or Ultra-Low Emission vehicles should be monitored, with new charging points installed as demand increases.
- J Parking Design and Management Plans (PDMPs) must be submitted with development proposals that include parking. PDMPs must also be submitted for development proposals without parking but which are likely to generate a significant demand for parking or impact on existing parking provision.

|       |                  | K J Development proposals for residential and commercial uses will be expected to investigate opportunities to implement rapid charging points, having regard to the Council's Low Emissions Vehicle Charging Strategy.  L K Surface-level car parking should be designed to be permeable, with reference to Policy SD8 (Sustainable drainage).  |  |
|-------|------------------|--|--|
| MM153 | New<br>Paragraph | Car clubs can serve to support car-free development. They are best implemented in association with reductions in the overall number of parking spaces being provided in an area. Simply adding car club cars to areas with lots of parking is not an effective way to reduce car ownership or use and only serves to increase the dominance of vehicles on the streets in new developments.  Consequently, car clubs should be deployed as a means to reduce the overall volume of parking, specifically in locations where they can provide for occasional car use for households that are prevented from owning their own car, where parking levels are very low, and they should include electric vehicle charging points.  | Modification to provide further clarity on the implementation of car clubs as a mechanism to support car-free development. |
| MM154 | Policy TR5       | TR5 Deliveries, servicing and construction  Non-strategic Policy  Strategic Objectives: C A thriving local economy that tackles inequalities, H Securing the timely delivery of infrastructure.  A Development proposals should facilitate sustainable freight, where possible, through water, rail, and road including for 'last-mile distribution'. They must also facilitate and enable safe, clean and efficient delivery and servicing for all of the development's intended occupiers and uses, including emergency services. Delivery and servicing requirements should be considered at the early stage of the design-led approach, particularly in mixed-use development, in order to ensure practical site layouts and building designs that protect local amenity and avoid or mitigate adverse impacts to the highway network.  B Provision of adequate space and facilities for deliveries and servicing should be made off-street, with on street loading bays or other facilities only used where it is demonstrated this is necessary due to feasibility. Major and other larger developments should make provision for well-integrated facilities to allow for deliveries to be received outside of peak hours and for secure, temporary storage of parcels or goods.  C Development proposals for commercial and industrial uses should ensure that parking provision for servicing and delivery is commensurate with the specific operational needs of the development. The level and type of parking provision will be considered on a case-by-case basis with reference to Policy TR4 (Parking). Proposals must provide evidence to demonstrate that the provision is appropriate to location, nature and scale of commercial or industrial use.  D Major developments and other development proposals that are likely to generate a significant number of vehicle movements from deliveries, servicing or construction will be required to submit a Delivery and Servicing Plan and/or Construction Logistics Plan as part of the development from the construction to occupation stages, and demonstrat | Modifications to identify the strategic status of the policy and the strategic objectives to which it relates. (AP7)       |
|       |                  | be required to prepare and implement a site-wide strategy for deliveries, servicing and construction. This should support the design-led approach and help to enable coordination and integration of servicing requirements across the site(s). Development proposals, particularly those including commercial uses, are encouraged to optimise the use of land by making provision for shared storage, micro-consolidation and distribution facilities appropriate to use(s), scale and location of development.  |  |

| MM155 | Policy TR6 | TR6 Taxis and private hire vehicles  Non-strategic Policy  Strategic Objectives: H Securing the timely delivery of infrastructure.  A Development proposals for offices, taxi ranks, and other operational space associated with taxis and private hire vehicle businesses (including minicabs) will only be supported where:  a. They are appropriately located, with priority given to sites within or in close proximity to town centres and at or near stations; b. The development will not result in a harmful overconcentration of similar uses in the locality;  | Modifications to identify the strategic status of the policy and the strategic objectives to which it relates. (AP7)  Modification to positively respond to comments raised by Transport for London. |
|-------|------------|--|--|
|       |            | c. It is suitably demonstrated that there will be no adverse impact on amenity and the highway network, including existing on-street parking provision; d. Walking routes and cycleways will not be adversely impacted, particularly where these are used for access to bus stops, station entrances and other public transport services; e. The development, is of a high quality design; f. Offices and ranks are accessible and safe during operational hours, including through the use of appropriate lighting and CCTV; and g. Parking spaces delivered on-site to meet the operational use of a building (including offices, hotels, community facilities and major |  |
|       |            | public facilities) have active charging points for all designated taxi spaces, in line with the London Plan.  B Development proposals will be assessed having regard to the cumulative impact of facilities for taxis and private hire vehicles in the locality and the London Plan strategic target to increase mode share for walking, cycling and public transport to 80% of all trips by 2041, and 90% in inner-London.  |  |
| MM156 | Policy TR7 | TR7 Digital connectivity  Strategic Policy  Strategic Objectives:  | Modifications to identify the strategic status of the policy and the strategic objectives to which it relates. (AP7)   |
|       |            | A An open Lewisham as part of an open London Borough Lewisham, C A thriving local economy that tackles inequalities, E Responding to the climate emergency, H Securing the timely delivery of infrastructure.  |  |
|       |            | A Digital connectivity infrastructure is necessary for access to services and other opportunities, inclusive communities and growth and diversification of the local economy. The Council will work with stakeholders to address barriers to digital access and secure the provision of high quality, fast and reliable digital infrastructure across Lewisham.  |  |
|       |            | B In line with London Plan Policy SI6 (Digital connectivity infrastructure) development proposals will be required to take appropriate measures to enable full-fibre, or equivalent infrastructure, connectivity to all end users within new development, along with meeting the expected demand for mobile connectivity generated by the development. Proposals must demonstrate that the development will be 'connection-ready' on first occupation.   |  |
|       |            | C Development proposals must demonstrate how they will improve digital connectivity on sites located in areas where full-fibre broadband, or equivalent infrastructure, is not currently available or on sites that are otherwise poorly served by broadband   |  |

| coverage, including in designated employment areas and town centres. Proposals must have regard to Lewisham's Infrastructure Delivery Plan and other relevant information on broadband coverage.  Infrastructure and equipment design D Development proposals for digital connectivity infrastructure and associated equipment will only be supported where it can suitably demonstrated that:   |  |
|--|--|
| D Development proposals for digital connectivity infrastructure and associated equipment will only be supported where it can   | be   |
|  |  |
| a. The infrastructure and equipment is the minimum needed to meet operational requirements, having regard to future demandrated improvements; b. Opportunities for infrastructure and equipment sharing have been fully investigated and taken into account; c. There will be no significant adverse impact on the visual amenity of the occupiers of the host building, where relevant, and neighbouring occupiers; d. There will be no adverse impact on open space and biodiversity; e. If located on a main road or walking route, a minimum residual footway is provided; f. Detrimental impact on the external appearance of the host building or structure, street scene or space on which the equipment located will be avoided or appropriately mitigated; and g. The infrastructure and equipment is sensitively located and appropriately designed, and does not detract from local area character, having particular regard to:  | d  |
| <ul> <li>i. The need to preserve or enhance the significance of heritage assets, including Listed Buildings and conservation areas;</li> <li>ii. The use of design treatments to ensure the least possible visual impact, including colour, landscape and other intervention help screen or conceal cabling and other apparatus; and</li> <li>iii. The cumulative impact of telecommunications installations on a structure, site or area.</li> <li>E In order to minimise visual impact all digital connectivity infrastructure and equipment should be removed as soon as reason.</li> </ul>   |  |
| practicable once it is not required for use, and older equipment should be upgraded wherever feasible.   |  |
| Part Three – Lewisham's Neighbourhoods and Places Chapter 13 – Lewisham's Neighbourhoods and Places  |  |
| MM157 Paragraph 13.8 Each site allocation includes information on the development capacity of a site for different types of land uses. The proce   | ess for Modification following   |
| identifying sites and the methodology used for setting capacity figures are set out in the "Lewisham Local Plan: Site Allocation Background Paper" add footnote – this should be referred for further information. The site capacities are indicative only and should read prescriptively for the purpose of planning applications, where the optimal capacity of a site must be established on a case case basis using the design-led approach, and having regard to relevant planning policies. Table 13.1 summarises the overall minimum scale of delivery outcomes expected by the site allocations, both borough-wide and by character area. This demonst that the Local Plan has identified sites with to provide a supply of 5.00 deliverable years and sufficient capacity to meet and extended the Borough's 15-year housing targets as well as exceeding the needs identified in our latest Employment Land and Retail Castudies 125. This will allow for flexibility and enable the delivery of sufficient homes and non-residential floorspace, should some site allocations not be brought forward for development or their delivery delayed. | comments raised during not be Regulation 19 consultation.  Modification to reflect the uplift in housing supply work carried out by the Council and to align with the final proposed housing |
| New footnote Correct at time of Submission in November 2023  |  |
| MM158 Table 13.1 Table 13.1 Site allocations – indicative delivery outcomes over 20 year Plan period   | Modification to reflect the uplift in housing supply work carried out by the Council and to align with the final proposed housing trajectory.  |

|                |                             | Character<br>Area                  | Homes<br>(net units)  | Employment<br>(gross floorspace<br>m²)   | Main town<br>centre uses<br>(gross floorspace m²)  |                                       | Modifications to update housing trajectory.                                     |
|----------------|-----------------------------|------------------------------------|---|--|--|---------------------------------------|---|
|                |                             | Central                            | <del>7,085</del>  | 73,714   | 131,499  |                                       |   |
|                |                             |                                    | <u>7,794</u>  | <u>53,617</u>  | 141,208  |                                       |   |
|                |                             | North                              | <del>-12,921</del>  | <del>110,597</del>   | 124,866  |                                       |   |
|                |                             |                                    | <u>13,093</u>   | <u>108,987</u>   | <u>125,835</u>   |                                       |   |
|                |                             | East                               | <del>-1,244</del>   | <del>5,140</del>   | <del>13,325</del>  |                                       |   |
|                |                             |                                    | <u>1,444</u>  | <u>3,710</u>   | <u>14,220</u>  |                                       |   |
|                |                             | South                              | <del>2,262 – 4,736</del>  | 23,793   | 38,648   |                                       |   |
|                |                             |                                    | <u>2,718 – 4,849</u>  | <u>23,329</u>  | <u>41,299</u>  |                                       |   |
|                |                             | West                               | 901   | <del>23,985</del>  | 10,612   |                                       |   |
|                |                             |                                    | <u>968</u>  | <u>28,461</u>  | <u>10,235</u>  |                                       |   |
|                |                             | Total for 20-                      | <del>24,413 – 26,887</del>  | <del>237,228</del>   | 318,950  |                                       |   |
|                |                             | year Plan<br>period                | <u>26,017 -28,148</u>   | <u>218,103</u>   | 332,796  |                                       |   |
|                |                             | Net additional                     |   | 62,634   | 32,394   |                                       |   |
|                |                             | m <sup>2</sup> excluding consented |   | <u>44,829</u>  | <u>49,961</u>  |                                       |   |
|                |                             | developments                       |   |  |  |                                       |   |
| Chapter 14 – L |                             | 's Central Area                    |   |  |  |                                       |   |
|                | 9 Key Spatial<br>Objectives | TO ACHIEVE TO PRIORITY) AR         |   | KEY SPATIAL OBJEC  | CTIVES (NOT LISTED IN ORDI   | ER OF                                 | Modification to Lewisham Central Area Key Spatial Objections to clarify reflect |
|                |                             | upg                                | rade to improve pul   |  | nsion and Lewisham interchar<br>vithin the Borough and to help ເ<br>ea.  |                                       | health objectives.  Modification to include                                     |
|                |                             | meti<br>com<br>hea                 | opolitan centre and<br>munity and cultura<br>rt. Enhance routes                           | d employment hub. St<br>I destination, building o  | ntre into a well-connected modering the centre's role as a con the presence of a thriving mand through the centre by the chopping centre.  | arket at its                          | reference to heritage objectives. (AP124)                                       |
|                |                             | 3 Sec<br>rege                      | ure the re-routing c<br>eneration of Catford  | of the South Circular ( <i>i</i><br>d Major Centre into Lor  | A205) to enable the compreher ndon's greenest town centre, a 's main civic and cultural hub.   | ind                                   |   |
|                |                             | 4 Tra<br>Hea<br>and<br>qua<br>alo  | nsform the A21 Cor<br>Ithy Streets Approa<br>the use of public to<br>lities of the A21 Co | ridor, South Circular (<br>ach with public realm in<br>ransport safer and mon<br>prridor by integrating no | A205) and the A20 by applying mprovements that make walking re convenient. Enhance the place whigh quality housing development for the parks and building the contre retail parks and the contre retail | g the<br>ng, cycling<br>ace<br>opment |   |

|       |             | Strengthen the role of Staplehurst Road and Hither Green Lane Local Centres, and other commercial areas nearby, to secure their long-term vitality and viability and to make the neighbourhood more liveable. Improve connectivity within and through Hither Green by addressing severance caused by railways and major roads, including public realmenhancements at the Hither Green station approaches and their surrounds.  6 Reinforce and enhance the character of established residential areas, local centres and parades including the contribution made by designated and non-designated heritage assets, whilst delivering new homes and area improvements through their sensitive intensification.  7 Protect and enable the renewal of industrial land at Bromley Road. Improve the quality of the townscape around Bellingham local centre, particularly at Randlesdown Road and Bellingham station approach.  8 Enhance the environmental quality and amenity value of the Ravensbourne and Quaggy Rivers. Improve public access to the rivers with new and improved routes, focussing on Waterlink Way and Silk Mills Path.  9 Protect and enhance open and green spaces, including waterways. Deliver the Lewisham Links, a connected network of high quality walking routes and cycleways that link these spaces and other key destinations.  10 Improved health across the borough through supporting the longevity of the existing University Hospital Lewisham estate through a phased masterplan approach and site wide decarbonisation strategy. Facilitate connectivity to the surrounds including the Ravensbourne River, public realm along Lewisham High Street and nearby open spaces. |  |
|-------|-------------|---|--|
| MM160 | Figure 14.2 | Figure 14.2: Central Area key diagram  Amend Figure 14.2 to identify the location of the University Hospital Lewisham  Amend Figure 14.2 to the boundary of the Bromley Road SIL and Local Centre; the SIL boundary should include 4 Randlesdown Road whilst the row of retail units/takeaways should lie outside of the SIL boundary.  | Modification to respond to comments from health authority.  Further modifications to reflect |
| MM161 | Policy LCA1 | factual position.  Modifications to identify the strategic status of the policy   |  |
|       |             | Strategic Policy Strategic Objectives:  | and the strategic objectives to which it relates. (AP7)                                      |
|       |             | A An open Lewisham as part of an open London Borough of Lewisham,  C A thriving local economy that tackles inequalities,  |  |
|       |             | D A greener borough, E Responding to the climate emergency,   |  |
|       |             | F Celebrating our local identity, G Healthy and safe communities,   |  |
|       |             | H Securing the timely delivery of infrastructure.   |  |

|       |             | A Development proposals must contribute to the delivery of Good Growth with reference to Policy OL1 (Delivering an Open Lewisham) and in doing so, demonstrate how they have responded positively to and will support the achievement of the key spatial objectives for the Central Area.  |  |
|-------|-------------|--|--|
|       |             | B Development proposals must help to ensure the Central Area benefits from a high quality network of walking routes and cycleways that connect neighbourhoods and places, including green spaces and waterways, with reference to Policy GR4 (Lewisham Links).   |  |
|       |             | C Staplehurst Road and Hither Green Lane are designated as Local Centres reflecting the role they play in the provision of local services and community facilities, along with their accessible location near Hither Green station. To help secure the long-term viability of the Local Centres, development proposals should:                           |  |
|       |             | a. Address severance caused by the railway and improve access to and along the station approaches and the Local Centres through public realm enhancements. that make walking routes, cycleways and station entrances (including at Springbank Road) safer and more legible;  |  |
|       |             | b. Enhance the character of the Local Centres through shopfront improvements, tree planting and, where appropriate, refurbishing or redeveloping buildings that detract from local character; and  |  |
|       |             | c. Facilitate the renewal of non-designated employment sites in proximity to the station to secure a complementary mix of commercial and other uses.   |  |
|       |             | D The distinctive character of the residential hinterland within Catford, Lewisham and Hither Green will be reinforced. To help meet the Borough's future needs, particularly for housing, sensitively designed and high quality development on small sites will be supported where this responds positively to the area's local and historic character. |  |
|       |             | E The river valley network is a defining feature of the Central Area which development proposals must respond positively to by:  |  |
|       |             | a. Ensuring that development is designed to improve the ecological quality of the Ravensbourne and Quaggy rivers, including by naturalising the rivers, wherever opportunities arise;  |  |
|       |             | b. Ensuring the layout and design of development gives prominence to the rivers and the river valley, and enhances their amenity value, including by better revealing them;  |  |
|       |             | c. Facilitating the provision of new and enhanced connections to and along the rivers and river valleys, including by extending and improving the Waterlink Way. Walking routes and cycleways that enhance access to the river from the town centres of Lewisham and   |  |
|       |             | Catford, and the A21 Corridor, will be strongly supported; and d. Designing development with reference to the River Corridor Improvement Plan.   |  |
| MM162 | Policy LCA2 | LCA 2 Lewisham major centre and surrounds  | Modifications to identify the strategic status of the policy |
|       |             | Strategic Policy   | and the strategic objectives to which it relates. (AP7)      |
|       |             | Strategic Objectives:  | ` ,  |
|       |             | A An open Lewisham as part of an open London Borough of Lewisham,  |  |
|       |             | B Housing tailored to the community with genuinely affordable homes, C A thriving local economy that tackles inequalities,   |  |
|       |             | D A greener borough,   |  |
|       |             | E Responding to the climate emergency,   |  |
|       |             | F Celebrating our local identity,  |  |
|       |             | G Healthy and safe communities, H Securing the timely delivery of infrastructure.  |  |
|       |             |  |  |

A Continued investment in Lewisham Major Centre to enable its future designation as a Metropolitan Centre of sub-regional significance in London is a strategic priority. To realise this objective and secure the centre's long-term vitality and viability, development proposals must contribute to a coordinated process of transformational improvement to the town centre and its environment. They should deliver a complementary mix of main town uses, along with new housing, whilst ensuring that the centre's predominant commercial role is maintained and enhanced.

B Development proposals will be required to help facilitate, and must not prejudice, the delivery of transport infrastructure necessary to ensure the centre can effectively serve, and benefit from, a wider sub-regional catchment and to support Opportunity Area objectives. This includes the Bakerloo line extension, Lewisham station interchange, land required for bus services, as well as new and improved walking routes and cycleways. Further requirements are set out in Policy TR1 (Sustainable transport and movement) and the site allocation policies for the Central Area.

C Development proposals should respond positively to the evolving urban character of the centre and its surrounds. They must be designed with reference to their relationship with existing clusters of tall and taller buildings, the prevailing townscape and skyline, having regard to Policy QD4 (Building heights). Development must be designed to provide an appropriate transition in bulk, scale, massing, height and character from residential neighbourhoods around the centre, the edges of the centre and the centre's commercial core, with generous setbacks provided along the Ravensbourne and Quaggy rivers, main roads and other corridors for movement.

D Development proposals must contribute to enhancing the public realm by applying the Healthy Streets Approach. They should promote and enable movement by walking, cycling and the use of public transport in order to make the town centre a significantly more accessible, safer, healthier and attractive place. This will require that a clear hierarchy of streets is established within the town centre and its surrounding neighbourhoods, along with a cohesive and legible network of walking routes and cycleways running through and/or connecting key commercial destinations, public transport nodes, public open spaces and residential areas. Particular consideration will need to be given to movements to and from Lewisham station interchange, connecting Silk Mills Path and residential neighbourhoods to the north, and Lewisham Gateway and the wider town centre area to the south.

E Development proposals must be designed to improve access and permeability in the town centre and its surrounding area, particularly where sites are to be delivered through comprehensive redevelopment. This includes new or enhanced east-west routes through the Lewisham Shopping Centre site, along Loampit Vale and Thurston Road, and from Silk Mills Path to Connington Road and Lewisham Road.

F Development proposals must maximise opportunities to improve the ecological quality and amenity value of the river environment. This includes improved access to the River Ravensbourne by extending and enhancing Waterlink Way that traverses the wider town centre area, and the River Quaggy at Lee High Road. Development proposals should make provision for attractive and robust embankments as an integral feature of the design, particularly along the River Ravensbourne in order to enhance connections from Silk Mills Path to Lewisham transport interchange and the Lewisham Gateway site, leading to the town centre and the Primary Shopping Area.

G Lewisham market is at the heart of the town centre and will be protected as an important commercial destination, community anchor and visitor attraction. Development proposals should assist in securing the long-term viability of the market by protecting and enhancing its amenity, delivering public realm and access improvements, and making provision for facilities for traders. Effective management of the market and associated public realm will be essential to its long-term viability, and the Council will work with stakeholders to secure appropriate management arrangements.

H Within the designated town centre area and at its edges, development proposals must provide for an appropriate mix of main town centre uses at the ground floor level. Retail uses should be concentrated within the Primary Shopping Area, forming the main use across the shopping frontages, and supported with a wider range of complementary commercial, leisure and cultural uses elsewhere.

|       |             | Evening and night-time economic activities will be supported where they contribute positively to the local area, with reference to Policy EC18 (Culture, creative industries and the night-time economy).  I Development proposals must make provision for positive frontages with active ground floor frontages within the town centre and at its edges. This includes along Lewisham High Street, Molesworth Street, Rennell Street and Lewisham Grove — which together help to frame the Primary Shopping Area — as well as Loampit Vale, Lee High Road and Lewisham Road. Development must reinforce or create a positive relationship with the public realmat the street or ground floor level, and where appropriate podium levels of buildings. Where new housing is proposed within the town centre this will only be acceptable on the upper floor levels.  J To ensure Lewisham Major Centre maintains its role as one of the Borough's principal commercial and employment locations, development proposals must retain or re-provide existing workspace, and deliver net gains in industrial capacity wherever possible. A broad range of workspace typologies will be supported within the centre and its immediate surrounds, with priority given to C lass E(g) uses, including hybrid workspace combining office and light industrial uses appropriate to the area. Provision of workspace suitable for small and independent businesses, including units of 500 square meters or less, will be strongly encouraged. |  |
|-------|-------------|--|--|
| MM163 | Policy LCA3 | LCA3 Catford major centre and surrounds  Strategic Policy  | Modifications to identify the strategic status of the policy and the strategic objectives to which it relates. (AP7) |
|       |             | Strategic Objectives: A An open Lewisham as part of an open London Borough of Lewisham, B Housing tailored to the community with genuinely affordable homes, C A thriving local economy that tackles inequalities, D A greener borough, E Responding to the climate emergency, F Celebrating our local identity, G Healthy and safe communities, H Securing the timely delivery of infrastructure.   | Additional Modifications to correct spelling and typographic errors.   |
|       |             | A Reinforcing the role of Catford Major Centre as Lewisham's principal civic and cultural hub is integral to the delivery of the spatial strategy. To realise this objective and to secure the centre's long-term vitality and viability, development proposals must contribute to a coordinated process of town centre regeneration that responds positively to Catford's distinctive character. They should deliver a complementary mix of main town centre uses, along with new housing, whilst ensuring that the centre's predominant civic, commercial and cultural role is maintained and enhanced.  |  |
|       |             | B Development proposals must be delivered through the masterplan process in accordance with the Catford Town Centre Framework and where appropriate, site allocation policies.   |  |
|       |             | C The realignment of the South Circular (A205) at Catford Major Centre will be facilitated through the Local Plan and delivered through a partnership approach with Transport for London and other key stakeholders. The road realignment will enable the comprehensive regeneration of the town centre and enhance the liveability of its surrounding neighbourhoods. Development proposals must maximise opportunities presented by the road realignment to make provision for safe movement along and across the South Circular and its junction at Rushey Green (A21), new and improved public realm and high quality, publicly accessible open spaces.  |  |
|       |             | D Development proposals should respond positively to the evolving urban character of the town centre and its immediate surrounds.  Development must be designed to provide for an appropriate transition in scale, bulk, mass, height and character from residential   |  |

neighbourhoods around the centre, the edges of the centre, and the centre's commercial and civic core, with generous setbacks provided along the Ravensbourne River, major roads and other corridors for movement.

E Development proposals must contribute to enhancing the public realm by applying the Healthy Streets Approach. They should promote and enable movement by walking, cycling and the use public transport to make the town centre a significantly more accessible, safer, healthier and attractive place. This will require that a clear hierarchy of streets is established within the wider town centre area, along with a cohesive and legible network of walking routes and cycleways running through and/or connecting key, commercial, civic and cultural destinations, public transport nodes, public open spaces and residential areas. Particular consideration will need to be given to movements to and from Catford and Catford Bridge stations and along Rushey Green (A21). Careful consideration will also need to be given to the relationship between vehicular, pedestrian and cycle movements and access at Sangley, Brownhill and Plassy Roads, and the South Circular (A205).

F Development proposals must respond positively to the historic and cultural character of the town centre and its surrounds whilst preserving or enhancing the significance of heritage assets, including by:

- a. Retaining the Broadway Theatre as an integral local landmark and cultural destination within the centre. Development should be designed to ensure the theatre remains a prominent visual feature marking the eastern gateway to The Broadway;
- b. Designing development with reference to the historic fabric of the local area. In particular, development should seek opportunities to enhance the townscape by reinstating the network of historic lanes within the town centre; and
- c. Addressing the relationship of new development with the Culvery Green Conservation Area to the south.

G Development proposals must respond positively to the distinctive character of the Broadway and the buildings of townscape merit along it. They should reinforce and enhance thefunction of the Broadway as a key movement corridor by walking and cycling as well as a focal point of community and commercial activity.

H Development proposals must maximise opportunities to improve the ecological quality and amenity value of the river environment. This includes measures to deculvert and naturalise the River Ravensbourne near Catford and Catford Bridge Stations, and to improve public access to the Waterlink Way by repairing the existing break in the path and extending the route to join with the River Pool Linear Park. Development proposals should make provision for attractive and robust embankments as an integral feature of the design to enhance connections to town centre's western gateway, Ladywell Fields and the train stations.

I Catford market forms an integral part of the town centre and will be protected as an important commercial destination, community anchor and visitor attraction. Development proposals should assist in securing the long-term viability of the market by protecting and enhancing its amenity, delivering public realm and access improvements, and making provision for facilities for traders.

J Development proposals must provide for an appropriate mix of main town centre uses. Retail uses should be concentrated with in the Primary Shopping Area, forming the main use across the shopping frontages, and supported with a wider range of complementary civic, commercial, leisure and cultural uses elsewhere. Evening and night-time economic activities should help to reinforce Catford's role as an important cultural destination, and will be supported where they contribute positively to the local area, with reference to Policy EC18 (Culture, creative industries and the night-time economy).

K Development proposals must make provision for positive frontages within the town centre and at its edges with active ground floor frontages along Rushey Green, The Broadway and within the Primary Shopping Area.—Development must reinforce or create a positive relationship with the public realm at the street or ground floor level, and where appropriate, podium levels of buildings.

L Catford Major Centre is a key commercial and employment location. It has a unique civic and cultural function that distinguishes it from, and helps to complement, Lewisham Major Centre. Development proposals must retain or re-provide existing workspace and deliver net gains in industrial capacity wherever possible. A broad range of workspace typologies will be supported within the centre and its immediate surrounds, with priority given to Class E(g) uses, including office floorspace and hybrid workspace combining office

|       |                   | _  | uses appropriate to the area. Provision of workspace suitable for small and independent meters or less, will be strongly encouraged, particularly where the space is designed to sup   | <u> </u>  |          |
|-------|-------------------|--|--|---|----------|
| MM164 | Policy LCA4       | LCA4 A21 corridor Strategic Policy         |  | Modifications to identify the strategic status of the policy and the strategic objectives | <i>'</i> |
|       |                   |  |  | which it relates. (AP7)   | 10       |
|       |                   | Strategic Objectives:  D A greener borough | ·  | Modification to include a ne  | 7//      |
|       |                   | E Responding to the                        | climate emergency,   | criterion that refers to herita   |          |
|       |                   | F Celebrating our loc                      |  | objectives. (AP125)   |          |
|       |                   | G Healthy and safe of H Securing the timel | y delivery of infrastructure.  |   |          |
|       |                   | a network of liveable                      | n of the A21 Corridor (Lewisham High Street, Rushey Green and Bromley Road) and its imple and healthy neighbourhoods with a distinctive urban character is integral to the delivery sals must demonstrate how they have responded positively to the A21 Development Frame. | of the spatial strategy.  |          |
|       |                   | B Development prop corridor by:            | osals along the A21 Corridor and its immediate surrounds must contribute to enhancing th   | ne place qualities of the   |          |
|       |                   | b. Ensuring that the                       | ively to the evolving urban character of the area through the sensitive intensification of sit   |   |          |
|       |                   | b. c. Helping to estab                     | ance the setting of designated and non-designated heritage assets along the route.<br>plish a distinctive and legible urban grain along and around the Corridor, including cluster<br>at major road junctions;   | rs of development of an   |          |
|       |                   | c. d. Ensuring new o                       | development reinforces or creates a positive relationship with the public realm, including the congression of the Corridor, and active ground floor frontages incorporating commercial and commun  | •   |          |
|       |                   | appropriate, particula                     |  |   |          |
|       |                   |  | portunities to integrate tree planting and other urban greening measures; and<br>nections between the Major Centres of Catford and Lewisham, as well as neighbourhoods   | s surrounding the   |          |
|       |                   |  | e delivery of new and improved public realm.   |   |          |
|       |                   |  | osals must reinforce and enhance the role of the A21 as a strategic movement corridor by<br>his principal north-south route should be supported by a complementary network of legible  |   |          |
|       |                   | walking routes and o                       | cycleways that link with it to enhance connections between neighbourhoods and places, it elds, Lewisham Park, Mountsfield Park and the River Ravensbourne.   |   |          |
|       |                   |  | osals should investigate and maximise opportunities to reinstate or enhance the network king and cycling to and from the A21 Corridor, and the river valley, particularly where sites sive redevelopment.  |   |          |
| MM165 | Policy<br>LCA SA1 | 1 Lewisham Gatewa                          | у  | Modifications to identify the strategic status of the policy                              |          |
|       |                   |  | Strategic Objectives:  B Housing tailored to the community with genuinely affordable homes,  | and the strategic objectives which it relates. (AP7)                                      |          |
|       |                   | <u>i diloy</u>                             | b froating tailored to the confindinty with genuinery antiquable notices,  | willon trolates. (All I)  |          |

|                          | Site Address  | D A greener E Respondir F Celebratin G Healthy ar H Securing 1   | borough,<br>ng to the cli<br>g our local<br>nd safe cor<br>he timely d |   | cy,<br>structure.  |          | SE13                              | Modifications to update t status. | Modifications to update the site status. |
|--------------------------|---|--|--|---|--|----------|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|--|
|                          | Site Details  | Site size<br>(ha) 1.53   | Setting<br>Central   | PTAL<br>In 2015: 6b,<br>In 2021: 6b,<br>In 2031: 6b | Ownership<br>Mixed pub<br>and private                                    | olic     | Current use Transport interchange |                                   |  |
|                          | How Site Was Identified   | Lewisham C   | ore Strateg  | yy (2011) and Lo                                    | ondon SHLA   | AA (2017 | 7)                                |                                   |  |
|                          | Planning Designations and Site Considerations Considerations  Opportunity Area, Regeneration Node, Appropriate Location for Tall Buildings, Area of Archaeological Priority, adjacent Conservation Area, adjacent Site of Importance for Nature Conservation, Strategic Open Space, Waterlink Way, Air Quality Management Area, Air Quality Focus Area, Major Centre, Primary Shopping Area, Night-time Economy Hub, Flood Zones1,2,3, Rivers Ravensbourne and Quaggy on-site, Groundwater Source Protection Zone 1, Critical Drainage Area |  |  |   |  |          |                                   |                                   |  |
|                          | Planning Status   | Full application DC/06/062375 granted in May 2009. Various reserved matters and s73 minor material amendment granted April 2013 – February 2019. Phase 1 is complete and has delivered 362 residential units and 1,089m <sup>2</sup> of main town centre floorspace. Phase 2 has started construction is nearing completion. |  |   |  |          |                                   |                                   |  |
|                          | Timeframe for Delivery  | Years 1 – 5<br>Yes   | Years 6  | S – 10 Years  | 11 - 15 Be   | eyond 1  | 5 years                           |                                   |  |
|                          | Indicative<br>Development<br>Capacity   | Net residenti<br>1011  | )11  |   | Gross non-residential floorspace Employment 1,525 Main town centre 9,548 |          |                                   |                                   |  |
|                          | Remaining Net<br>Units to be<br>Delivered   | 649  |  |   |  |          |                                   |                                   |  |
| MM166 Paragraph<br>14.24 | 14.24 Development  1. The design of development response to the wid   | Modifications to provide clarification and reflect comments from Thames Water.   |  |   |  |          |                                   |                                   |  |

|       |                   | <u> </u>   |   |   |   |  |  |  | ,  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|-------|-------------------|--|---|---|---|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
|       |                   | 2. Buildings shoul and be designed to  |   |   |   |  | e a variety of town centre, con          | nmercial and community uses,   |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|       |                   | including the conn   | ections betweensals should a  | en the station<br>Iso be desig                              | n interchange<br>ned having r                 | e and High Stre  |  | iven to key walking routes,<br>nam Gateway to the heart of the<br>s, including those at Loampit                          |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|       |                   | 4. The Rivers Qua investigate and ma facilitating improve on the confluence  |   |   |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|       |                   | 5. Applicants shou against flood risk.   | 5. Applicants should work in partnership with the Environment Agency and engage with them early at pre-application stage, to mitigate against flood risk. |   |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|       |                   | 6. Applicants should work in partnership with Thames Water <u>and engage with them early to minimise impacts on groundwater, manage surface water, divert existing sewers where applicable, allow access for maintenance and repair of sewers and ensure <u>infrastructure upgrades are delivered ahead of the site being occupied through a housing phasing plan.</u> Given the adjacent watercourse, surface water should not be discharged to the public network.</u> |   |   |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|       |                   | 7. Development m<br>II). Clear visual lin  |   |   | e St Stephen's Church (Grade<br>d maintained. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| MM167 | Policy<br>LCA SA2 | 2 Lewisham Shop  | ping Centre   |   |   | Modifications to identify the strategic status of the policy |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|       |                   | Non-Strategic<br>Policy  |   | ailored to th   |   | / with genuinel<br>les inequalities                          |  | and the strategic objectives to which it relates. (AP7)  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|       |                   |  | D A greene E Respondi F Celebratir G Healthy a  | r borough,<br>ng to the cli<br>ng our local<br>and safe con | mate emerge                                   | ency,  |  | Modifications to align with the final proposed housing trajectory and latest non-residential floorspace figures. (AP128) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|       |                   | Site Address   | Lewisham S  | Shopping Co   | entre, 33A M                                  | olesworth Stree  | et, Lewisham, London, SE13 7             | ΉΒ   | Modification to update the                                       |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|       |                   | Site Details   | Site size<br>(ha) 6.38  | Setting<br>Central  | PTAL<br>2015: 6b<br>2021: 6b<br>2031: 6b      | Ownership<br>Private   | Current use  Main town centre uses, reta | il   | residential and non-residential capacity of the site allocation. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|       |                   | How Site Was Identified  | Lewisham (  | Call for Sites  | s (2015 and 2                                 | 2018) and Lond   | on SHLAA (2017)                          |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|       |                   | Planning Designations and  |   | _   |   | de, Appropriate<br>ality Manageme                            | ea.                                      |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

|                          | Site<br>Considerations   | 3, River Quag   | Primary Shoppir<br>gy nearby, Grou<br>Buildings on site   |  |   |   |  |
|--------------------------|--|---|---|--|---|---|--|
|                          | Planning Status  | Pre-application   | 1   |  |   |   |  |
|                          | Timeframe for Delivery   | Years 1 – 5<br>Yes  | Years 6 – 10<br>Yes   | Years 11 – 15<br>Yes   | Beyond 15 years   |   |  |
|                          | Indicative Development Capacity  | Net residential units  1,579-2,145  |   | Employment 20  | dential floorspace<br>0,097 <u>0</u><br>tre <del>60,291</del> 70,000  |   |  |
| MM168 Paragraph<br>14.28 | built in the 1970s, a whole, alongside the to achieve Metropo town centre floorsp facilities to support enhancements to in have secured £19n   | and the Lewisha<br>le delivery of a si<br>litan centre statu<br>ace, leisure and<br>the long-term vit<br>nprove connection from the Levell  | m House office gnificant amounts. Redevelopme cultural commulatity and viability ons throughout the up Fund, when the content of the content | eentre and includ<br>block. Comprehe<br>t of new housing<br>ent will provide o<br>nity facilities, inc<br>y of the town cen<br>he wider town cen | es the Lewisham Market. It is dominansive redevelopment of the shopping on upper floors will enhance the qual pportunities to deliver modern retail a luding retail and employment, leisure tre. Development can also enable traintre area. and secure long-term future will use to fund works to enhance the ste of the emerging Lewisham Market | ity of town centre and help it and employment space main e, cultural and community ansformative public realm e of the market. The Council e market in 2024. |  |
| MM169 Paragraph<br>14.29 | 14.29 Developmen 1. Landowners must balance of uses acclead landowner/ deefforts to undertaked 2. The site must be centre, with enhance of uses and spaced quality market area 3. Development must between Moleswort Lewisham Gateway 4. Positive frontage | st work in partner ross the site, in I veloper (who is positive and me re-integrated wi ced walking and es to achieve a h  ust be designed to th Street and Lev y site from Renni | Modifications in accordance with position agreed through the associated statement of common ground.  Modification to clarify how developers engage with other land interests on the site allocation. (AP126)  |  |   |   |  |

|                          | c. A network of connections linking to the wider town centre area, including Cornmill Gardens, the Rivers Quaggy and Ravensbourne, and Blackheath, taking into account the River Corridor Improvement Plan SPD.   |   |
|--------------------------|---|---|
|                          | 6. Protect and enhance Lewisham market.   |   |
|                          | 7. Provision of dedicated public toilets appropriate to the scale of development.   |   |
| MM170 Paragraph<br>14.30 | 14.30 Development guidelines 1. Positive and active ground floor frontages should be an integral element of the development design. They should be accommodated adjacent to Lewis Grove and along the new north-south route through the site from Lewisham Gateway, including the junction with Molesworth Street to the south, as well as along Rennell Street and Molesworth Street.  | Modifications in accordance with position agreed through the associated statement of common ground. |
|                          | 2. A range of design treatments should be integrated along key routes to help activate frontages and create visual interest, including high quality shopfronts, building entrances and windows at the street level. Breaks should also be provided along the length of routes by the introduction of footpaths and amenity spaces, along with the interspersing of smaller business units.  |   |
|                          | 3. High quality public realm must be fully integrated into the site area. Particular attention should be given to key pedestrian locations, including at the High Street, Market Square and Molesworth Street. Public realm should also help to enhance connections to the wider town centre area, including Cornmill Gardens, the Rivers Quaggy and Ravensbourne, and Blackheath.  |   |
|                          | 4. Applicants should work in partnership with the Environment Agency and engage with them early at pre-application stage, to mitigate against flood risk.   |   |
|                          | 5. Applicants should work in partnership with Thames Water and engage with them early to minimise impacts on groundwater, manage surface water, divert existing sewers where applicable and ensure infrastructure upgrades are delivered ahead of the site being occupied through a housing phasing plan. Given the adjacent watercourse, surface water should not be discharged to the public network. New connections into the Lewisham High Street trunk sewer will not be allowed.  |   |
|                          | 6. The site's relationship with the Lewisham Market and Market Square should be one of the principal considerations in the development design.  |   |
|                          | 7. The design of development must respond positively to a site's position in the wider site allocation area, and to the scale and function of the High Street. The southern end of the site should operate as a transitional zone with more moderately scaled development. Comprehensive redevelopment, including the Beatties Building and Model Market, should encourage visitors into the heart of the town centre, and help to support pedestrian movement up the length of the High Street. Tall buildings may be appropriate across the site, especially at the northern end of the site and to the west along Molesworth Street. |   |
|                          | 8. Buildings should provide for a range of footprint sizes to accommodate a variety of town centre, commercial and community uses, and be designed to provide flexibility to enable sub-division of units.  |   |
|                          | 9. Development must respond positively to heritage assets including: The Clock Tower, Lewisham High Street (Grade II); Church of St Saviour and St John Baptist and Evangelist (RC), Lewisham High Street (Grade II); Former Prudential Buildings, 187-197 Lewisham High Street (Grade II); and St Stephen's Conservation Area.   |   |
|                          | 10. The eastern boundary of the St Stephen's Conservation Area runs along Lewisham High Street, opposite the northern portion of the site. Proposals must address impacts on the significance of this heritage asset and its setting, including the impact on views from within the Conservation Area.  |   |

|       |                   | 11. The Grade II Lis feature.   | ted Clocktowe  | er should rem  | ain disce                                     | rnible and c  | contin                                   | ue to function as a significant land  | mark and way finding |                                    |
|-------|-------------------|---|--|--|---|---|--|---|----------------------|------------------------------------|
|       |                   | 12. Redevelopment<br>Centre should be ex<br>allocation. This inclu<br>already been establ |  |  |   |   |  |   |                      |                                    |
| MM171 | Policy<br>LCA SA3 | 3 Land at Engate St   | Modifications to identify the strategic status of the policy |  |   |   |  |   |                      |                                    |
|       |                   | Non-Strategic Policy  |  | and the strategic objectives to which it relates. (AP7)  Modification to clarify the scope of planning designations and site considerations. (AP142) |   |   |  |   |                      |                                    |
|       |                   | Site Address  | H Securing   | nd safe comm<br>the timely deli<br>et, Lewisham  | very of ir                                    |   | <u>).</u>                                |   |                      | Modification to align with the     |
|       |                   | Site Details  | Site size (ha) 0.83 0.81                                     | Setting I<br>Central 2   | PTAL<br>2015: 6b<br>2021: 6b<br>2031: 6b      | Owners<br>Mixed p<br>and priv   | ublic                                    | Current use<br>Main town centre uses, retail,<br>commercial   |                      | final proposed housing trajectory. |
|       |                   | How Site Was Identified   | London SHL   | AA (2017)  |   | -   |  |   |                      |                                    |
|       |                   | Planning Designations and Site Considerations   | Managemen<br>adjacent to S<br>Importance f<br>Ravensbour     | t Area, Air Qu<br>Strategic Oper<br>or Nature Co<br>ne, Groundw  | nality Foc<br>Space,<br>Servatio<br>ater Sour | us Area, Ma<br><del>Bakerloo Sa</del><br>n, Flood Zo                      | ijor Če<br>a <del>fegua</del><br>ne 1, 2 | cal Priority Area, Air Quality<br>entre, Night-time Economy Hub,<br>arding Direction, adjacent Sites of<br>2, 3, adjacent to River<br>ne 1, Critical Drainage Area, |                      |                                    |
|       |                   | Planning Status   | Locally Listed Buildings on site  None                       |  |   |   |  |   |                      |                                    |
|       |                   | Timeframe for Delivery  | Years 1 – 5  | Years 6 –  | 10 Ye   |   | Bey<br>Yes                               | ond 15 years  |                      |                                    |
|       |                   | Indicative<br>Development<br>Capacity   | Net resident   | al units   | Gr<br>En                                      | Gross non-residential floorspace  Employment 6,642  Main town centre 2013 |  |   |                      |                                    |
| MM172 |                   | 4 Conington Road  |  |  |   |   |  |   |                      | Modifications to identify the      |
|       | LCA SA4           | Non-Strategic<br>Policy   |  | ailored to the local econom  |   |   |  | strategic status of the policy<br>and the strategic objectives to<br>which it relates. (AP7)  |                      |                                    |

|              | Site Address Site Details                     | (ha) 1 11   | ır local identity,<br>afe communitie<br>imely delivery o                                 | s,<br>f infrastructure.<br>n, London, SE13<br>Ownersh<br>5-6b Private |   |  | Modifications to update the site status |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|--------------|---|---|--|---|---|--|---|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
|              | How Site Was Identified                       | Lewisham Town<br>for Sites (2018)   | Centre Local P   | lan (2014), Lond  | don SHLAA (2017) and Lewisham Call                      | AA (2017) and Lewisham Call  |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|              | Planning Designations and Site Considerations | Opportunity Area<br>Archaeological P<br>Centre, Night-tim<br>Groundwater Sou  | Priority, Air Qual<br>ne Economy Hu  |   |   |  |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|              | Planning Status                               | Full application D granted in Decen   |  |   |   |  |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|              | Timeframe for Delivery                        | Years 1 – 5 Yes   | Years 6 – 10   | Years 11 – 15   | Beyond 15 years   |  |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|              | Indicative<br>Development<br>Capacity         | Net residential ur<br>365   |  | Gross non-resident Description  |   |  |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| MM173 Policy | 5 Land at Coningto                            | n Road and Lewis  | sham Road (Tes   |   | Modifications to identify the                           |  |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| LCA SA5      | Non-Strategic Policy                          | Strategic Objective B Housing tailore C A thriving local D A greener bord E Responding to F Celebrating ou G Healthy and sa H Securing the ti | ed to the comminity economy that bugh, the climate emular local identity, afe communitie | tackles inequal<br>ergency,<br>s,                                     |   | strategic status of the policy and the strategic objectives to which it relates. (AP7)  Modification to align with final proposed housing trajectory.  Modification to correctly |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|              | Site Address Site Details                     | Tesco, 209 Lewis  |  |   | identify planning designations and site considerations. |  |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

|              | Lewisham Town Centre Local Plan (2014), London SHLAA (2017) and Lewisham Call for Site (2018)   Planning   New Cross, Lewisham, and Catford Opportunity Area, Regeneration Node, Appropriate Location for Tall Buildings, Adjacent to Strategic Open Space, Area of Archaeological Priority, Air Quality Management Area, Air Quality Focus Area, Major |  |                    |  |                               |                   |  |                               |  |  |
|--------------|---|--|--------------------|--|-------------------------------|-------------------|--|-------------------------------|--|--|
|              | Considerations  | Centre, Nigh<br>Groundwate   | nt-time Ecor       |  |                               |                   |  |                               |  |  |
|              | Planning Status   | Pre-applicat   | ion                |  |                               |                   |  |                               |  |  |
|              | Timeframe for Delivery  | Years 1 – 5 Yes  | Years 6 Yes        | 5 – 10 Yea                                     | rs 11 - 15                    | Beyond 15 years   |  |                               |  |  |
|              | Indicative<br>Development   | Net resident   |                    |  |                               | ential floorspace |  |                               |  |  |
|              | Capacity  | 4 <del>07</del> 4 <u>51</u>  |                    |  | oloyment 1,9<br>n town centro |                   |  |                               |  |  |
| 14.44        | 1. The site must be centre, with enhance with clearly articular 2. Positive frontage 3. Delivery of new a a. A new New publib. River restoration 4. Development muriverside walk incomprovement Plan Services   | on the public realm requirements for the site allocation. (AP135)                            |                    |  |                               |                   |  |                               |  |  |
| MM175 Policy | 6 Thurston Road Bu  |  |                    |  |                               |                   |  | Modifications to identify the |  |  |
| LCA SA6      |   | strategic status of the policy<br>and the strategic objectives to<br>which it relates. (AP7) |                    |  |                               |                   |  |                               |  |  |
|              | Site Address Thurston Road, Lewisham, SE13  |  |                    |  |                               |                   |  |                               |  |  |
|              | Site Details  |  | Setting<br>Central | PTAL<br>2015: 5-6a<br>2021: 5-6a<br>2031: 5-6a |                               | Bus station       |  |                               |  |  |

|                       | How Site Was Identified   | Strategic Plannin  | ıg Team (2022   | 2)                       |   |   |   |  |  |  |  |  |
|-----------------------|---|--|---|--------------------------|---|---|---|--|--|--|--|--|
|                       | Planning Designations and Site Considerations                                     | Opportunity Area<br>Archaeological P<br>Centre, Night-tim<br>Groundwater Sou   | riority, Air Qua<br>e Economy H   |                          |   |   |   |  |  |  |  |  |
|                       | Planning Status   | None   |   |                          |   | - |   |  |  |  |  |  |
|                       | Timeframe for Delivery  |  | <b>'ears 6 – 10</b><br>'es  | <b>Years 11 – 15</b> Yes | - |   |   |  |  |  |  |  |
|                       | Indicative Development Capacity   | Development 0  |   |                          |   |   |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| MM176 Paragr<br>14.48 | <ol> <li>Applicants must infrastructure and</li> <li>The site is safeg</li> </ol> | <ul> <li>14.48 Development requirements</li> <li>1. Applicants must consult with Transport for London and Network Rail to ensure this site makes appropriate provision for transport infrastructure and services.</li> <li>2. The site is safeguarded as a temporary works site in order to deliver strategic transport infrastructure, including the BLE station box and improvements to Lewisham Railway Station.</li> </ul> |   |                          |   |   |   |  |  |  |  |  |
|                       | 4. Once the site ha 5. Molesworth Stre  | The existing bus standing capacity and associated facilities must be temporarily re-located.  Once the site has stopped being used as a temporary works site, it must be returned to its original use as a bus station for TFL.  Molesworth Street car park will be used as a temporary bus facility whilst infrastructure works take place for the Bakerloo Line grade and Extension and Lewisham Railway Station.            |   |                          |   |   |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| MM177 Policy<br>LCA S |   | B Housing tailore  | Strategic Objectives:  B Housing tailored to the community with genuinely affordable homes, C A thriving local economy that tackles inequalities,   |                          |   |   |   |  |  |  |  |  |
|                       |   | D A greener boro E Responding to F Celebrating out G Healthy and sa  | D A greener borough,  E Responding to the climate emergency,  F Celebrating our local identity,  G Healthy and safe communities,  H Securing the timely delivery of infrastructure.  Lewisham Retail Park and Nos. 66-76 Loampit Vale, Lewisham, SE13 |                          |   |   |   |  |  |  |  |  |
|                       | Site Address  |  |   |                          |   |   |   |  |  |  |  |  |
|                       | Site Details  | Site size (ha) 1.13 Cen  | ing PTAL  | •                        |   |   | dialogue with development partners and the signed Statement of Common Ground. |  |  |  |  |  |

|                         | How Site Was Identified Planning Designations and Site Considerations  Planning Status Timeframe for   | Opportunity Ar<br>Bakerloo Line<br>Conservation,<br>Quality Focus<br>Groundwater S   | 2015:6b   2021: 6b   2031: 6b   2031: 6b   2031: 6b |                            |                      |  |  |  |  |
|-------------------------|--|--|---|----------------------------|----------------------|--|--|--|--|
|                         | Delivery Indicative  | Yes Net residentia   | Yes   |                            | sidential floorspace |  |  |  |  |
|                         | Development<br>Capacity  | 529  | . 3.110   | Employment 0 Main town cen | ·                    |  |  |  |  |
| MM178 Paragraph 14.52   | 1. Landowners must balance of uses ac lead landowner/ de efforts to undertake 2. Development must be centre, with enhance and north-south co 4. Positive frontage 5. Delivery of new and north south co 4. | st work in partne ross the site, in lieveloper (who is be positive and means to the positive and means to the positive and means to the positive and improved put the positive and improve |   |                            |                      |  |  |  |  |
| MM179 Policy<br>LCA SA8 | 8 100-114 Loampit  Non-Strategic Policy  Site Address  | Modifications to identify the strategic status of the policy and the strategic objectives to which it relates. (AP7)  Modification to align with final proposed housing trajectory.  Modification to update site status and to correct error   |   |                            |                      |  |  |  |  |

|                         | Site Details                                  | Site size<br>(ha) 0.12                                       | Setting<br>Central   | PTAL<br>2015: 6b<br>2021: 6b<br>2031: 6b  | Ownership<br>Private   | Current use<br>Industrial, car services                 |  |
|-------------------------|---|--|--|---|--|---|--|
|                         | How Site Was<br>Identified                    | Lewisham C   | all for Sites  | s (2018)                                  |  | I   |  |
|                         | Planning Designations and Site Considerations | Site of Impo   | rtance for N   | lature Conse                              | de, adjacent to<br>rvation, Air Qu<br>ction Zone 1, C        |   |  |
|                         | Planning Status                               | Full applicat  | ion DC/17/   | 102049 gran                               | ted in Februar   | <del>y 2018</del> - <u>None</u>                         |  |
|                         | Timeframe for Delivery                        | Years 1 – 5  | Years 6  | S – 10 Yea                                |  | eyond 15 years  |  |
|                         | Indicative<br>Development<br>Capacity         | Net resident<br>30   | ial units  | Em  | ss non-resider<br>ployment 298<br>n town centre              | ntial floorspace  |  |
| MM180 Policy<br>LCA SA9 | 9 Silver Road and A                           | xion House   |  |   | Modifications to identify the strategic status of the policy |   |  |
|                         | Non-Strategic Policy                          | C A thriving D A greener E Respondi F Celebratin G Healthy a | ailored to the local economic borough, and to the cling our local and safe cor | omy that tack<br>mate emerge<br>identity, | <del></del>  | and the strategic objectives to which it relates. (AP7) |  |
|                         | Site Address                                  |  | -  | <del></del>                               | n, SE13 7BQ  |   |  |
|                         | Site Details                                  | Site size<br>(ha) 0.48                                       | Setting<br>Central   | PTAL<br>2015: 1b<br>2021: 1b<br>2031: 1b  | Ownership<br>Private   | Current use<br>Industrial, vacant land                  |  |
|                         | How Site Was<br>Identified                    | London SHI   | _AA (2017).  |   | 1  | 1   |  |
|                         | Planning<br>Designations and                  | adjacent to  | Strategic Op   | oen Space, A                              | de, Appropriate<br>Irchaeological<br>, Air Quality M         |   |  |

|       |                    | Site<br>Considerations                    | Area, Flood Zo<br>Protection Zon     |                                     |                                   |   |                              |  |
|-------|--------------------|---|--------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|-----------------------------------|---|------------------------------|--|
|       |                    | Planning Status                           | DC/18/109972                         | granted in May                      | 2020. Started co                  | nstruction  |                              |  |
|       |                    | Timeframe for Delivery                    | Years 1 – 5<br>Yes                   | Years 6 – 10                        | Years 11 – 15                     | Beyond 15 years   |                              |  |
|       |                    | Indicative                                | Net residential                      | units                               | Gross non-resi                    | dential floorspace  |                              |  |
|       |                    | Development<br>Capacity                   | 141                                  | armo                                | Employment 0  Main town cent      | ·   |                              |  |
|       |                    |   |                                      |                                     |                                   |   |                              |  |
|       |                    |   |                                      |                                     |                                   |   | I                            |  |
| MM181 | Paragraph<br>14.63 | 14.63 Development sho                     | 3                                    | sitively to the Ri                  | ver Ravenshourr                   | ne and be designed to enhance its ame   | nity value with walking      | Modification in accordance with comments from Thames Water.  |
|       |                    | -   |                                      | -                                   |                                   | public realm alongside it.  | inty value, with walking     | water.   |
|       |                    | 2. Applicants should against flood risk.  | d work in partner                    | ship with the En                    | vironment Ageno                   | cy and engage with them early at pre-ap   | plication stage, to mitigate |  |
|       |                    | existing sewers who the preparation and   | ere applicable ar<br>lagreement of h | nd ensure infrast<br>ousing phasing | tructure upgrades plan. Given the | o minimise impacts on groundwater, man<br>s are delivered ahead of the site being o<br>adjacent watercourse, surface water sh<br>sewer will not be allowed. | ccupied. This will include   |  |
|       |                    |   | e the overall env                    | /ironmental qual                    |                                   | including through reconfiguration of the oposals will be required to justify any ne   |                              |  |
|       |                    | 5. An element of af                       | fordable workspa                     | ace should be d                     | elivered on-site.                 |   |                              |  |
|       |                    | 6. The site is situate and surrounding pr | · ·                                  |                                     |                                   |   |                              |  |
| MM182 | Policy<br>LCA SA10 | 10 House on the Hi                        | II, Slaithewaite I                   | Road                                |                                   |   |                              | Modifications to identify the strategic status of the policy |
|       |                    | Non-Strategic<br>Policy                   | D A greener bo<br>E Responding       | ored to the comr                    |                                   | and the strategic objectives to which it relates. (AP7)   |                              |  |

|           |           |   | Clloalthy          | and sofe son |                                  |                                    |                               |                   |                                  |
|-----------|-----------|---|--------------------|--------------|----------------------------------|------------------------------------|-------------------------------|-------------------|----------------------------------|
|           |           |   | G Healthy a        |              |                                  | infrastructure.                    |                               |                   |                                  |
|           |           | Site Address                                  | 47 Slaithew        |              | •                                |                                    |                               |                   |                                  |
|           |           | Sile Address                                  | 47 Statutew        | alle Noau,   | 3E 13 0DL                        |                                    |                               |                   |                                  |
|           |           | Site Details                                  | Site size          | Setting      | PTAL                             | Ownership                          | р                             | Current use       |                                  |
|           |           |   | (ha) 0.31          | Urban        | 2015: 6a<br>2021: 6a<br>2031: 6a | a                                  | Overnight stay respite centre |                   |                                  |
|           |           | How Site Was<br>Identified                    | Strategic PI       | anning Tea   | m (2019)                         | '                                  |                               |                   |                                  |
|           |           | Planning Designations and Site Considerations |                    |              |                                  | lode, Archaeolo<br>r Source Protec |                               |                   |                                  |
|           |           | Planning Status                               | Pre-applica        | tion         |                                  |                                    |                               |                   |                                  |
|           |           | Timeframe for Delivery                        | Years 1 – 5<br>Yes | Years 6      | S – 10 Y                         | 'ears 11 – 15   I                  | Beyo                          | nd 15 years       |                                  |
|           |           | Indicative                                    | Net residen        | tial units   | G                                | Bross non-reside                   | entia                         | I floorspace      |                                  |
|           |           | Development                                   |                    | uai aiiio    |                                  |                                    | orrad                         | 11100100400       |                                  |
|           |           | Capacity                                      | 52                 |              | E                                | mployment 0                        |                               |                   |                                  |
|           |           | σαρασιιή                                      |                    |              | l N                              | Main town centre                   | e 0                           |                   |                                  |
|           |           |   |                    |              |                                  |                                    |                               |                   |                                  |
| MM183     | Policy    | 11 Church Grove S                             | olf Duild          |              |                                  |                                    |                               |                   | Modification to identify the     |
| IVIIVITOS | LCA SA11  | TI Church Glove S                             | eli-bullu          |              |                                  |                                    |                               |                   | strategic status of the policy   |
|           | 20/(0/(11 | Non-Strategic                                 | Strategic Ob       | oiectives:   |                                  |                                    |                               |                   | and the strategic objectives to  |
|           |           | Policy  |                    |              | e commur                         | nity with genuin                   | nely a                        | affordable homes, | which it relates. (AP7)          |
|           |           |   | D A greene         |              |                                  |                                    |                               |                   |                                  |
|           |           |   | E Respondi         |              |                                  | rgency,                            |                               |                   | Modification to align with final |
|           |           |   | F Celebratin       |              |                                  |                                    |                               |                   | proposed housing trajectory.     |
|           |           |   | G Healthy a        |              |                                  | infrastructure.                    |                               |                   | Modifications to update site     |
|           |           | Site Address                                  | Land at Chu        | -            | •                                |                                    |                               |                   | status.                          |
|           |           | Site Details                                  | Site size          | Setting      | PTAL                             | Ownership                          | n                             | Current use       |                                  |
|           |           |   | (ha) 0.35          |              |                                  | · ·                                | ۲                             |                   |                                  |
|           |           |   |                    | Central      | 2015: 5<br>2021: 5<br>2031: 5    | Public                             |                               | Vacant land       |                                  |

|                          | How Site Was                                  | London SHLA   | AA (2017)   |  |  |   |   |
|--------------------------|---|---|---|--|--|---|---|
|                          | Planning Designations and Site Considerations | Opportunity A<br>Strategic Ope<br>Flood Zones 3   | n Space, Ai   | chaeolog   | gical Priority A   |   |   |
|                          | Planning Status                               |   |   |  |  | mber 2018 and S73 DC/20/119250<br>has recently been completed |   |
|                          | Timeframe for Delivery                        | Years 1 – 5<br>Yes  | Years 6 -   | - 10 Ye  | ears 11 – 15   | Beyond 15 years   |   |
|                          | Indicative<br>Development<br>Capacity         | Net residentia  | l units   | Er   | ross non-resident of the contract of the contr | dential floorspace  |   |
| MM184 Policy<br>LCA SA12 | 12 Ladywell Play To                           | ower  |   | Modifications to identify the strategic status of the policy |  |   |   |
|                          | Non-Strategic<br>Policy                       | Strategic Objeted B Housing tail C A thriving long D A greener between E Responding F Celebrating G Healthy and H Securing the strategies of the strategies | lored to the ocal econon orough, of the clim our local id | ny that tac<br>ate emerc<br>entity,<br>nunities,             | ckles inequali<br>gency,   | nely affordable homes,<br>ties,                               | and the strategic objectives to which it relates. (AP7) |
|                          | Site Address                                  | Former swimr  | ning pool, L  | adywell F  | Road, Lewish   | am, SE13 7UW  |   |
|                          | Site Details                                  | (ha) 0.32   | Central   | PTAL<br>2015: 5<br>2021: 5<br>2031: 5                        | Ownersh<br>Private   | Former swimming pool, vacant land                             |   |
|                          | How Site Was<br>Identified                    | London SHLA   | AA (2017)   |  | I  |   |   |
|                          | Planning Designations and Site Considerations | Opportunity A<br>Area, adjacen<br>Focus Area, V<br>1, Critical Dra  | t Metropolit<br>Vaterlink Wa                              | an Open<br>ay, Flood   | Land, Air Qua<br>Zones 2, 3, G   |   |   |

|              | Planning Status                               |  |   |                           |   | ng Consent DC/22/125927 was<br>6th October 2022           |  |                               |
|--------------|---|--|---|---------------------------|---|---|--|-------------------------------|
|              | Timeframe for Delivery                        | Years 1 – 5<br>Yes   | Years 6 – 10  | Years                     | 311 – 15 I                              | Beyond 15 years   |  |                               |
|              | Indicative<br>Development<br>Capacity         | Net residentia   | l units   | Emplo                     | s non-reside<br>byment 0<br>town centre | ential floorspace<br>e 1,459                              |  |                               |
| MM185 Policy | 13 PLACE/ Ladywe                              | II (former Ladyv   | vell Leisure Ce   | ntre)                     |   |   |  | Modifications to identify the |
| LCA SA13     | Non-Strategic<br>Policy                       | C A thriving lo<br>D A greener b<br>E Responding<br>F Celebrating<br>G Healthy and | lored to the cor<br>ocal economy t                              | emergen<br>ity,<br>ities, | s inequaliti                            |   | strategic status of the policy and the strategic objectives to which it relates. (AP7)  Modifications to update site status. |                               |
|              | Site Address                                  |  |   |                           |   | High Street, SE13 6NJ                                     |  |                               |
|              | Site Details                                  | (ha) 0.93  | 202   |                           | Ownership<br>Public                     | Current use  Residential, retail, employmen community use | ,  |                               |
|              | How Site Was<br>Identified                    | Lewisham Tov   | wn Centre Loc   | al Plan (2                | 014) and L                              | ondon SHLAA (2017)  |  |                               |
|              | Planning Designations and Site Considerations | Conservation<br>Centre, Night  | rea, Regenera<br>Area, Archaeo<br>time economy<br>one 1, Ground | logical Pr<br>Hub, Air    | riority Area,<br>Quality Mai            | r   |  |                               |
|              | Planning Status                               | Development  | on DC/15/09079<br>complete but c<br>C/22/129317 gr              | nly has te                | emporary co                             |   |  |                               |
|              | Timeframe for Delivery                        | Years 1 – 5<br>Yes   | Years 6 – 10<br>Yes   | Years                     | s 11 – 15   I                           | Beyond 15 years   |  |                               |

|       |                    | Indicative<br>Development<br>Capacity  | Net resident  | al units  | Emp  | ss non-residen<br>bloyment 462<br>n town centre 1  | tial floorspace<br>,849  |   |  |
|-------|--------------------|--|---|---|--|--|--|---|--|
| MM186 | Paragraph<br>14.78 | SE13, comprising 2<br>(Class A1), services<br>hard landscaping be<br>DC/22/129317 – Co<br>Erection of 4 resider<br>public realm, include | 92 – The cons<br>4 x 2 bed-flats<br>5 (Class A2), b<br>oundary treats<br>mprehensive<br>ntial blocks, ra<br>ing new play<br>ical substation | on upper f<br>usiness (Cl<br>ments, cycle<br>redevelopm<br>anging from<br>space, prov<br>as and stora | loors (Class (<br>lass B1) and/<br>e parking and<br>nent of the sit<br>a 3 to 7 storey<br>rision of cycle<br>age. Re-provi | C3), up to 8 x of or Class D1 no d bin store, for a te (excluding the store to deliver 102 and car parking the conditions to deliver 102 and car parking the c | former Ladywell Leisure Centre, commercial units on the ground flon-residential community uses (Clarical limited period of up to 4 years.  The Place Ladywell plot fronting Legaresidential units, improvements and associated works. Erection grants as to rage and cycle parking | oor for flexible use as retail ass D1), associated soft and ewisham High Street).  to and creation of new of a single storey building | Modification to add latest planning consent  |
| MM187 | Policy<br>LCA SA14 | 14 Driving Test Cen  Non-Strategic Policy  Site Address  | Strategic Ob<br>B Housing to<br>C A thriving<br>D A greener<br>E Responding<br>F Celebration<br>G Healthy and<br>H Securing                 | jectives: ailored to the local econo borough, ng to the clin g our local ind safe com he timely de    | mate emerge  | ency,<br>ency,<br>eastructure.   | y affordable homes,  |   | Modifications to identify the strategic status of the policy and the strategic objectives to which it relates. (AP7) |
|       |                    | Site Details  How Site Was Identified  Planning Designations and Site Considerations  Planning Status                                    | Site size<br>(ha) 0.41<br>Site Allocation   | Setting Central ons Local P (2019) n Node, Air  | PTAL 2015: 3 2021: 3 2031: 3 lan (2013), Lo  | Ownership<br>Public<br>ondon SHLAA   | Current use Driving test centre  (2017) and landowner  Flood Zone 1, Groundwater   |   |  |

|       |                    | Timeframe for Delivery                        | Years 1 – 5   | Years 6 – 10  | Years 11 – 15<br>Yes  | Beyo  | nd 15 years  |  |
|-------|--------------------|---|---|---|---|---|--|--|
|       |                    | Indicative<br>Development<br>Capacity         | Net resident<br>40  | ial units   | Gross non-res Employment 1 Main town cen                                | 386   | I floorspace   |  |
| MM188 | Policy<br>LCA SA15 | 15 Land at Nighting  Non-Strategic            | gale Grove and  |   | ages  |   |  | Modifications to identify the strategic status of the policy and the strategic objectives to   |
|       |                    | Policy  | C A thriving D A greener E Responding F Celebratin G Healthy and H Securing | local economy th  | ent tackles in equa<br>emergency,<br>y,<br>ties,<br>y of infrastructure | ities,  | care.  | which it relates. (AP7)  Modification to reflect the Council's response to MIQ 17.17 and MIQ 17.27 and the Additional Housing Supply Background Paper 2024 (LC10), arising from the uplift |
|       |                    | Site Address Site Details                     | Maythorne C<br>Site size<br>(ha)<br>0.43                                    | Setting PTA Central 2015 2027   | Ownersh<br>i: 3 Private<br>: 3  |   | Current use Car services, employment, community, vacant land | in housing supply work carried out by the Council and to align with final proposed housing trajectory.   |
|       |                    | How Site Was Identified                       | Site Allocation   | ons Local Plan (2   | 013) and London   | Modification to update the capacity of the site allocation. |  |  |
|       |                    | Planning Designations and Site Considerations | _   | _   | -   | Modifications to update the site status.                    |  |  |
|       |                    | Planning Status                               | applications<br>application [   | ion DC/13/08480<br><del>DC/18/109200 ar</del><br>DC/19/114830 gra<br>ovember 2020. <u>F</u><br>struction. | d DC/18/110288<br>nted in July 2020                                     |   |  |  |
|       |                    | Timeframe for Delivery                        | Years 1 – 5 Yes   | Years 6 – 10  | Years 11 - 15   | Beyo  | nd 15 years  |  |
|       |                    | Indicative<br>Development                     | Net resident  | al units  | Gross non-res   |   |  |  |
|       |                    | Capacity                                      | <del>22</del> <u>27</u>   |   | Employment 1 Main town cen  |   |  |  |

| MM189 | Paragraph<br>14.87 | Existing planning co                          | onsent  |  |  |  |  |  |                         | Modification to add latest planning consent |  |  |  |  |  |
|-------|--------------------|---|---|--|--|--|--|--|-------------------------|---|--|--|--|--|--|
|       |                    |   |   |  |  |  |  | gale Grove and construction of fou<br>vo bedroom self-contained flats. | ur storey building plus |   |  |  |  |  |  |
|       |                    | 14.88 LE/792/35/TP                            | (lapsed) den  | nolition and   |  |  |  |  |                         |   |  |  |  |  |  |
|       |                    | 14.89 DC/19/114830<br>business units (B1)     |   |  | ingale Grove comprising 2                    |  |  |  |                         |   |  |  |  |  |  |
|       |                    | 14.90 DC/19/113755<br>two-bedroom flats).     | 5 – construct   | on of three  | s (3 one-bedroom and 13                      |  |  |  |                         |   |  |  |  |  |  |
|       |                    | DC/22/125982 – cor                            | nstruction of   | a 6 storey b   | rovision of cycle spaces,                    |  |  |  |                         |   |  |  |  |  |  |
|       |                    | plant store, commun                           |   |  |  |  |  |  |                         |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| MM190 | Policy<br>LCA SA16 | 16 Land at Rushey                             | Green and B   | radgate Roa  |  |  | Modifications to identify the strategic status of the policy   |  |                         |   |  |  |  |  |  |
|       |                    | Non-Strategic Policy                          | Strategic Ob<br>B Housing t<br>C A thriving<br>D A greened<br>E Respondi<br>F Celebratin<br>G Healthy a<br>H Securing | ailored to the local economic borough, and to the cling our local and safe cor | omy that<br>mate em<br>identity,<br>nmunitie |  | and the strategic objectives to which it relates. (AP7)  Modification to align with final proposed housing trajectory. |  |                         |   |  |  |  |  |  |
|       |                    | Site Address                                  | Rushey Gre  |  |  |  | <u>.                                    </u>   |  |                         |   |  |  |  |  |  |
|       |                    | Site Details                                  | Site size   | Setting  | PTAL   | Owner  | ship   | Current use  |                         |   |  |  |  |  |  |
|       |                    |   | (ha) 0.50   | Central  | 2015: 6<br>2021: 6<br>2031: 6                | 6a Private                                     | •  | Main town centre uses, retail, car park                                |                         |   |  |  |  |  |  |
|       |                    | How Site Was Identified                       | London SH   | _AA (2017)   | and Stra                                     | tegic Plannin                                  | g Tean   | n (2019)   |                         |   |  |  |  |  |  |
|       |                    | Planning Designations and Site Considerations | Night-time E  | conomy Hu  | ıb, Air Qı                                   | Node Archae<br>uality Manage<br>urce Protectio |  |  |                         |   |  |  |  |  |  |
|       |                    | Planning Status                               | None.   |  |  |  |  |  |                         |   |  |  |  |  |  |
|       |                    | Timeframe for Delivery                        | Years 1 – 5   | Years 6  | 5 – 10                                       |  |  |  |                         |   |  |  |  |  |  |

|                          |   |                            |   | Yes  |  | Yes   |  |  |
|--------------------------|---|----------------------------|---|--|--|---|--|--|
|                          | Indicative<br>Development<br>Capacity         | Net residenti              | al units  | Emp  | ss non-resid oloyment 0 n town centr         | ential floorspace   |  |  |
| N#4404 D II              | 47.0 (( ) )                                   |                            | ANG LT  |  |  |   |  |  |
| MM191 Policy<br>LCA SA17 | Non-Strategic Policy Site Address             | Strategic Obj              | ectives:<br>ilored to the o<br>ocal econom<br>borough,<br>g to the clima<br>g our local ide<br>ad safe comm<br>ne timely deli | community<br>y that tack<br>ate emerge<br>entity,<br>unities,<br>very of inf | les inequalit<br>ency,<br>rastructure.       |   |  | Modifications to identify the strategic status of the policy and the strategic objectives to which it relates. (AP7) |
|                          | Site Details                                  | (ha) 0.50                  | Central 2   | PTAL<br>2015: 6b<br>2021: 6b<br>2031: 6b                                     | Ownershi<br>Public                           | Current use  Main town centre uses, retail, residential, car park   |  |  |
|                          | How Site Was Identified                       | London SHL<br>Masterplan   | AA (2017), C  | atford Tow   | n Centre AA                                  |   |  |  |
|                          | Planning Designations and Site Considerations | Archaeologic<br>Economy Hu | al Priority Ard<br>b, Air Quality   | ea, Major (<br>Managen   | Centre, Prima<br>nent Area, Ai               | ate Location for Tall Buildings,<br>ary Shopping Area, Night-time<br>r Quality Focus Area, Flood Zone 1,<br>Drainage Area |  |  |
|                          | Planning Status                               | Pre-application            | on for part of  | the site at  | Thomas Lan                                   | e Yard.   |  |  |
|                          | Timeframe for Delivery                        | Years 1 – 5<br>Yes         | Years 6 –<br>Yes  | 10 Yea   |  | Beyond 15 years   |  |  |
|                          | Indicative<br>Development<br>Capacity         | Net residenti              | al units  | Emp  | ss non-resid<br>oloyment 5,3<br>n town centr |   |  |  |
| MM192 Policy<br>LCA SA18 | Modification to the Sthe boundary.            | Site Allocation            | map and Pro   | osals Ma   | p to show ful                                | e 12 Brownhill Road within  | Modifications to identify the strategic status of the policy |  |

|       |                     | 18 Catford Island                             |   |  |   |   |  |  | and the strategic objectives to which it relates. (AP7)    |
|-------|---------------------|---|---|--|---|---|--|--|--|
|       |                     | Non-Strategic<br>Policy                       | Strategic Obj<br>B Housing ta<br>C A thriving I<br>D A greener<br>E Respondin<br>F Celebrating<br>G Healthy an<br>H Securing to | ilored to the ocal econo borough, og to the cling our local ind safe com | mate emerge<br>identity,<br>nmunities,                  | les inequalit<br>ency,                              | nely affordable homes,<br>ies,   |  | Modification to reflect the extent of the site allocation. |
|       |                     | Site Address                                  | Catford Islan   |  |   |   | , SE6 2AW  |  |  |
|       |                     | Site Details                                  |   | Setting  | PTAL  | Ownershi  | p Current use  |  |  |
|       |                     |   | (ha) <del>2.28</del><br><u>2.30</u>   |  |   | Private   | Main town centre uses, retail, residential, car park   |  |  |
|       |                     | How Site Was Identified                       | London SHL<br>Sites (2015 a   | , , ,  |   |   | P (Withdrawn), Lewisham Call for   |  |  |
|       |                     | Planning Designations and Site Considerations | Archaeologic  | al Priority <i>F</i><br>b, Air Qual                                      | Area, Major C<br>ity Managen                            | Centre, Prima<br>nent Area, Ai                      | ate Location for Tall Buildings,<br>ary Shopping Area, Night-time<br>r Quality Focus Area, Flood Zone 1, |  |  |
|       |                     | Planning Status                               | Full applicati application for  |  |   | ranted in Ma  | arch 2019 and August 2021. Pre-  |  |  |
|       |                     | Timeframe for Delivery                        | Years 1 – 5<br>Yes  | Years 6<br>Yes   | - 10 Yea  | rs 11 – 15  | Beyond 15 years  |  |  |
|       |                     | Indicative Development Capacity               | Net residenti   | al units   |   | ss non-resid  | ential floorspace<br>06  |  |  |
|       |                     | Oupdoily                                      |   |  | Maii  | n town centr  | e 6,206  |  |  |
| MM193 | Paragraph<br>14.107 | 14.107 Developmen                             | nt requirements   | S  |   | Modifications in accordance with comments from TfL. |  |  |  |
|       |                     | in the A21 Developr                           | ment Framewo  | ork.   |   |   | wn Centre Framework, and integrate   |  |  |
|       |                     |   |   |  | ements associated with the<br>the sites' frontages. The |   |  |  |  |

|                          | 3. The site must be better integrate the north-south corrido | siting of buildings must ensure the traffic and transport improvements along the South Circular at Sangley Road, Plassy Road and Brownhill Roads can be implemented in full.  3. The site must be re-integrated with the surrounding street network to improve access and permeability in the local area, and to better integrate the site with the Primary Shopping Area. This will require a hierarchy of routes with clearly articulated east-west and north-south corridors.  4. Positive frontages with active ground floor frontages along key routes.  5. Delivery of new and improved public realm in accordance with a site-wide public realm strategy. This must integrate provision of new public open space appropriate to the scale of development. |  |  |  |                       |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|--------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|-----------------------|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
|                          |  |  |  |  |  |                       |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| MM194 Policy<br>LCA SA19 | 19 Laurence House  | 19 Laurence House and Civic Centre   |  |  |  |                       |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| LCA SATS                 | Non-Strategic<br>Policy                                      | Strategic Ob<br>B Housing t<br>C A thriving<br>D A greened<br>E Respondi<br>F Celebratin<br>G Healthy a<br>H Securing  | ailored to the local economic borough, ng to the cling our local nd safe cor | strategic status of the policy<br>and the strategic objectives to<br>which it relates. (AP7) |  |                       |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|                          | Site Address   | Laurence H   |  |  |  |                       |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|                          | Site Details   | Site size<br>(ha) 2.21   | Setting<br>Central   | PTAL<br>2015:<br>2021:<br>2031:  | 6a   | nip                   | Current use Civic offices, library, car park |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|                          | How Site Was Identified                                      | Call for Site and Catford  |  |  |  |                       |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|                          | Planning Designations and Site Considerations                | Growth Corr<br>Centre, Prin<br>Area, Air Qu<br>Critical Drai   | ridor, adjace<br>nary Shopp<br>rality Focus                                  | ent Cons<br>ing Area<br>Area, Fl   | n Node, Appropr<br>servation Area, A<br>I, Night-time Eco<br>lood Zone 2, Gro<br>Buildings on si | Archa<br>onom<br>ound |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|                          | Planning Status  | None   |  | T.   |  |                       |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|                          | Timeframe for Delivery                                       | Years 1 – 5  | 6 – 10   | Years 11 – 15  | Bey  | ond 15 years          |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|                          | Indicative Development Capacity                              | 262 E  |  |  | Gross non-resi<br>Employment 12<br>Main town cen   | 2,935                 | 5  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

| MM195 Policy<br>LCA SA20 | Modification to the S                         | Site Allocation  | map and F   | Proposals Map                            | o to show the e                                    | extent of the MOL to reflect TFL's n | nost current proposals.  | Modifications to identify the strategic status of the policy and the strategic objectives to                  |  |  |
|--------------------------|---|--|---|--|--|--------------------------------------|--|---|--|--|
|                          | Non-Strategic<br>Policy                       | Strategic Ob<br>D A greener<br>G Healthy ar                                  | borough,<br>nd safe con                                       | nmunities,<br>elivery of infr            | astructure   |                                      |  | which it relates. (AP7)  Modification to refine the extent of the MOL to reflect TFL's most current proposals |  |  |
|                          | Site Address                                  | South Circul   | -   |  | <u> </u>   |                                      |  | This is also identified in the  |  |  |
|                          | Site Details                                  | (ha) <del>0.43</del>   | Setting<br>Central  | PTAL<br>2015: 6a<br>2021: 6a<br>2031: 6a | Ownership<br>Public                                | Current use Transport infrastructure |  | Proposed Policies Map<br>Modifications. (AP137)   |  |  |
|                          | How Site Was Identified                       | Strategic Pla  | nning Tea   |  |  |                                      |  |   |  |  |
|                          | Planning Designations and Site Considerations | Opportunity A<br>Conservation<br>Metropolitan<br>Focus Area,<br>Zones 2, 3a, | n Area, adja<br>Open Land<br>Major Cent                       |  |  |                                      |  |   |  |  |
|                          | Planning Status                               | None   | ob, Omroa   |  |  |                                      |  |   |  |  |
|                          | Timeframe for Delivery                        | Years 1 – 5<br>Yes   | Years 6   | 5 – 10 Year                              | rs 11 – 15 Be                                      | yond 15 years                        |  |   |  |  |
|                          | Indicative<br>Development<br>Capacity         | Net residenti<br>0   | al units  |  |  |                                      |  |   |  |  |
| MM196 Policy<br>LCA SA21 | 21 Wickes and Half                            | ords, Catford F  | Road  |  |  |                                      |  | Modifications to identify the strategic status of the policy  |  |  |
| LON SAZI                 | Non-Strategic<br>Policy                       | C A thriving D A greener   | nilored to the ocal econory borough, ag to the clip our local | mate emerge                              | with genuinely<br>es inequalities<br>ncy,          |                                      | and the strategic objectives to which it relates. (AP7)  Modification to include new text "Appropriate Location for Tall Buildings" within the |   |  |  |
|                          | Site Address                                  | H Securing t   | he timely d   |  | Planning Designations and Site Considerations box. |                                      |  |   |  |  |

|                     | Site Details  | Site size (ha)                | Setting<br>Central | PTAL 2015: 4-6b          | Ownership<br>Private        | Main town centre uses, retail, car  |                           | Modification to align with final proposed housing trajectory. |  |  |  |  |
|---------------------|---|-------------------------------|--------------------|--------------------------|-----------------------------|---|---------------------------|---|--|--|--|--|
|                     |   | 2.06                          |                    | 2021: 4-6b<br>2031: 4-6b |                             | park  |                           |   |  |  |  |  |
|                     | How Site Was<br>Identified  | London SHI<br>Masterplan      | -AA (2017          | ), Catford Tow           | n Centre AA                 | P (Withdrawn) and Catford   |                           |   |  |  |  |  |
|                     | Planning<br>Designations and  | Opportunity<br>Area, adjace   |                    |                          |                             |   |                           |   |  |  |  |  |
|                     | Site  | Open Land,                    | adjacent l         |                          |                             |   |                           |   |  |  |  |  |
|                     | Considerations  | Chain Area,                   | Waterlink          | Way, Flood Z             | ones 1, 2, 3,               | Area, South-east London Green River Ravensbourne on-site and  |                           |   |  |  |  |  |
|                     | Planning Status   | None                          | roundwate          | er Source Prot           | ection Zone                 | 1, Critical Drainage Area.  |                           |   |  |  |  |  |
|                     | Timeframe for Delivery  | Years 1 – 5                   | Years<br>10        | 6 – Years                | 11 – 15 Be                  | yond 15 years   |                           |   |  |  |  |  |
|                     |   | <u>Yes</u>                    | Yes                |                          |                             |   |                           |   |  |  |  |  |
|                     | Indicative<br>Development   | Net resident                  | ial units          | Gross                    | non-residen                 | tial floorspace   |                           |   |  |  |  |  |
|                     | Capacity  | 512                           |                    |                          | yment 8,946<br>own centre 2 |   |                           |   |  |  |  |  |
| Paragraph<br>14.120 | 14.120 Developmer   | t guidelines                  |                    |                          |                             | ,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,   |                           | Modification in response to comments received during the      |  |  |  |  |
| 14.120              | 1. The layout and do and buildings shou   | Regulation 19 consultation.   |                    |                          |                             |   |                           |   |  |  |  |  |
|                     | 2. Innovative design create an attractive   |                               |                    |                          |                             |   |                           |   |  |  |  |  |
|                     | 3. To minimise over eastern part of the s   |                               |                    |                          |                             |   |                           |   |  |  |  |  |
|                     | 4. Waterlink Way runs along the site's western and northern boundaries, providing a direct connection to the South Circular and wider town centre area, and via subway to Catford and Catford Bridge railway stations. Development should provide a contiguous link and improve opportunities for walking and cycling and other active travel modes and deliver the Greenwich to Kent House Cycleway along the Waterlink Way Development should not result in a reduction in existing footway or carriageway space. |                               |                    |                          |                             |   |                           |   |  |  |  |  |
|                     | -   |                               |                    |                          |                             | gical quality and amenity provided by n Waterlink Way and improving publ  |                           |   |  |  |  |  |
|                     | 6. Applicants should against flood risk, m  | pplication stage, to mitigate |                    |                          |                             |   |                           |   |  |  |  |  |
|                     | manage surface wa   | ter, divert exis              | sting sewe         | rs where appl            | icable and e                | gage with them early to minimise impa<br>nsure infrastructure upgrades are de<br>atercourse, surface water should not | livered ahead of the site |   |  |  |  |  |

|       |   | network. New connections into the trunk sewer on Catford Hill will not be allowed. There are opportunities to daylight the Ravensbourne which is culverted on this site and expand the surface water network. |  |   |   |                       |        |   |  |   |  |  |  |
|-------|---|---|--|---|---|-----------------------|--------|---|--|---|--|--|--|
|       | <ul> <li>8. Proposals should investigate and maximise opportunities to facilitate links through the railway arches and across the A212 as well as links across the A205.</li> <li>9. Commercial uses will be supported underneath the railway arches, at the western edge of the site, with priority given to uses that are likely to attract footfall to the town centre and are highly compatible with residential uses.</li> </ul> |   |  |   |   |                       |        |   |  |   |  |  |  |
|       |   |   |  |   |   |                       |        |   |  |   |  |  |  |
| MM198 | Policy<br>LCA SA22  | 22 Ravensbourne Retail Park   |  |   |   |                       |        |   |  | Modifications to identify the strategic status of the policy  |  |  |  |
|       |   | Non-Strategic   | Strategic Ob   | iectives:   |   |                       |        |   |  | and the strategic objectives to   |  |  |  |
|       |   | Policy  |  |   | commun  | ity with a            | enuine | ely affordable homes,   |  | which it relates. (AP7)   |  |  |  |
|       |   | <u> </u>  | C A thriving   |   |   |                       |        |   |  | miles a relation (x a r)  |  |  |  |
|       |   |   | D A greener  |   | ily tilat tat   | OKICO IIICO           | uantic | <del>50,</del>  |  | Modification in accordance  |  |  |  |
|       |   |   | E Respondir  |   | ata amar  | nen cv                |        |   |  | with the Council's response to  |  |  |  |
|       |   |   | F Celebratin   |   |   | gerrey,               |        |   |  | MIQ 17.17 and MIQ 17.27 and   |  |  |  |
|       |   |   | G Healthy a  |   |   |                       |        |   |  | the Additional Housing Supply   |  |  |  |
|       |   |   | H Securing   |   |   | ofractructi           | ıro    |   |  | Background Paper 2024   |  |  |  |
|       |   | Cita Address  | 134 Bromley  |   |   |                       |        |   |  | (LC10), arising from the uplift   |  |  |  |
|       |   | Site Address Site Details   | Site size  |   | PTAL  |                       |        | Current use   |  | in housing supply and to align  |  |  |  |
|       |   | Site Details  | (ha)   | 0   | 2015: 4   | Owners<br>Private     | пр     | Out of centre retail  |  | with final proposed housing   |  |  |  |
|       |   |   | 2.46   |   | 2021: 4   | Filvale               | Ou     | Out of certife retail   |  | trajectory.   |  |  |  |
|       |   |   | 2.40   |   | 2021. 4   |                       |        |   |  | liajoolory.   |  |  |  |
|       |   | How Site Was  | Call for Site  |   | Modification to update the capacity of the site allocation. |                       |        |   |  |   |  |  |  |
|       |   | Identified  |  | ·   |   |                       |        |   |  |   |  |  |  |
|       |   | Planning  |  | regeneration read, represents a seattern for rain autigo, control rainen, |   |                       |        |   |  |   |  |  |  |
|       |   | Designations and  |  |   |   |                       |        | Industrial Land, Air Quality  |  |   |  |  |  |
|       |   | Site  | Management Area, Flood Zones 1,2,3, Groundwater Source Protection Zone 1, Critical Drainage Area, adjacent to Strategic Open Space, South East London Green Chain Walk |   |   |                       |        |   |  |   |  |  |  |
|       |   | Considerations  Planning Status   |  |   |   |                       |        |   |  |   |  |  |  |
|       |   |   | Pre-application  |   |   |                       |        |   |  |   |  |  |  |
|       |   | Timeframe for Delivery  | Years 1 – 5 <del>Yes</del>   | Years 6 -   | - Year  | s 11 - 15             | Bey    | ond 15 years  |  |   |  |  |  |
|       |   |   |  | Yes   |   |                       |        |   |  |   |  |  |  |
|       |   | Indicative<br>Development   | Net resident   | al units  | Gros  | s non-res             | identi |   |  |   |  |  |  |
|       |   | Capacity  | <del>367</del> <u>461</u>  |   |   | loyment 7<br>town cer |        | 937   |  |   |  |  |  |
|       |   |   |  |   |   |                       |        |   |  |   |  |  |  |
| MM199 | Paragraph<br>14.122   |   | ould clearly de<br>g line to the no  | orth. Develo  | pment sh  |                       |        | a well-integrated building line, inclu<br>oportunities for walking, cycling and c |  | Modifications to the clarify how the design of future development will ensure that new building heights take account of the wider |  |  |  |

|                       | 2. Development should not result in a reduction in existing footway or carriageway space.   | townscape and the adjoining  |
|-----------------------|---|--|
|                       | 3. A positive frontage should be established along the south side of Aitken Road to create a 'two-sided' street which relates sympathetically to the properties to the north.   | Culverley Green Conservation<br>Area. (AP138)  |
|                       | 4. Development should be designed so that primary vehicular access is from the A21 and Aitken Road. Opportunities should be explored to align the street network with Barmeston Road to create a contiguous layout, where this would help to improve circulation and not adversely impact on local amenity.   |  |
|                       | 5. Taller buildings that help with way finding along the A21 corridor may be acceptable, with development stepping up from Bromley Road. The frontage of any new development should not exceed six storeys in height. Taller elements should be positioned towards the centre of the site to manage and mitigate impacts on amenity, including overshadowing, on the surrounding residential areas. Proposals should seek to optimise the capacity of the site allocation without harming the setting of the adjoining Culverley Green Conservation Area. The vertical intensity of proposals should be designed to step new development upwards to appropriate heights across and into the centre of the site. |  |
|                       | 6. Part of the site falls within the Culverley Green Conservation Area, which development must respond to positively.   |  |
|                       | 7. Buffers between the adjoining employment sites will need to be introduced, and where they are existing, enhanced. These should include elements of green infrastructure wherever feasible.   |  |
|                       | 8. Applicants should work in partnership with the Environment Agency and engage with them early at pre-application stage, to mitigate against flood risk.   |  |
|                       | 9. Applicants should work in partnership with Thames Water and engage with them early to minimise impacts on groundwater, manage surface water, divert existing sewers where applicable and ensure infrastructure upgrades are delivered ahead of the site being occupied through a housing phasing plan. Given the adjacent watercourse, surface water should not be discharged to the public network.   |  |
|                       | 10. Commercial uses that are compatible with existing and new residential properties will be supported in principle. All such provision should complement existing uses at the Bromley Road SIL to reinforce the local node of employment generating activity.  |  |
|                       | 11. Where main town centre uses are incorporated these should not adversely impact on the town centre network. Development will be expected to achieve a significant reduction in the current amount of retail floorspace, with replacement retail provision focussed on servicing the site and its immediate surrounds.  |  |
| Chapter 15 – Lewishan | n's North Area  |  |
| MM200   Figure 15.2   | Figure 15.2: North Area key diagram   | Modification to identify the extent of Confirmed   |
|                       | Modify the map to identify the Confirmed Safeguarded Wharf Boundary.  | Safeguarded Wharf Boundary.  |
| MM201 Policy LNA1     | LNA 1 North Area place principles   | Modifications to identify the  |
|                       | Strategic Policy  Others in Objectives  | strategic status of the policy<br>and the strategic objectives to<br>which it relates. (AP7) |
|                       | Strategic Objectives:  A An open Lewisham as part of an open London Borough of Lewisham,  C A thriving local economy that tackles inequalities,  D A greener borough,   | Modification to provide clarity on the range of measures that could be delivered to increase |
|                       | E Responding to the climate emergency,  | could be delivered to incre  |

F Celebrating our local identity,

G Healthy and safe communities,

H Securing the timely delivery of infrastructure.

accessibility to walking and cycling networks. (AP145)

A Development proposals must contribute to the delivery of Good Growth with reference to Policy OL1 (Delivering an Open Lewisham) and in doing so, demonstrate how they have responded positively to and will support the achievement of the key spatial objectives for the North Area.

B Development proposals must help to ensure the North Area benefits from a high quality network of walking routes and cycleways that connect neighbourhoods and places, including green spaces and waterways, having regard to Policy GR4 (Lewisham Links). Folkestone Gardens should form a central point for a series of walking and cycle connections across the area, supported by public realm enhancements around the viaduct and Surrey Canal Road.

C Development proposals must seek to foster community cohesion and improve accessibility by addressing elements of the built environment that segregate neighbourhoods and places from one another. This includes severance caused by the convergence of rail lines around Surrey Canal Road, the barriers to movement around and across other major roads, including New Cross Road and Evelyn Street (A200) and the wall at Leeway adjacent to Convoys Wharf.

D Heritage-led regeneration will be vital to delivering high quality and distinctive neighbourhoods across the North Area. Development proposals must respond positively to the historic environment as an integral part of the design-led approach. Opportunities should be taken to preserve, better reveal and reinstate heritage assets and features that contribute to local character and identity, particularly where sites are delivered through comprehensive development. This includes heritage assets associated with:

- a. Deptford's maritime and industrial heritage, including the Royal Naval Dockyard;
- b. The route of the Grand Surrey Canal, particularly by helping to facilitate the delivery of the Surrey Canal Linear Walk along with improving public access to it; and
- c. The historic fabric and urban grain of the High Streets at Deptford and New Cross.

E Development proposals must respond positively to the River Thames and Deptford Creek with reference to Policy LNA4 (Thames Policy Area and Deptford Creekside). They must maximise opportunities to improve the ecological quality and amenity value of these waterways, including by creating or enhancing walking routes and cycleways to and along the waterfront, particularly the Thames Path and Waterlink Way at Deptford Creek. This may include a number of interventions and improvements that increase accessibility to walking and cycling opportunities, such as improved way marking, safer networks and provision for cycle hire infrastructure.

F Development proposals must respond positively to the historic and cultural character of New Cross and Deptford District Centres. A wide range of commercial, cultural and community uses will be supported within the centres to support their vitality and long-term viability as well as to expand their role as key nodes of employment activity within the Creative Enterprise Zone.


G Development proposals should reinforce and enhance the role of New Cross and Deptford Cultural Quarters by supporting and enabling the clustering of complementary cultural, community and commercial uses within these locations, with reference to Policy EC 18 (Culture, creative industries and the night-time economy).

H Deptford market and market yard are at the heart of the Deptford District Centre and will be protected as an important commercial destinations and visitor attractions. Development proposals should assist in securing the long-term viability of the market by protecting and enhancing its amenity, delivering public realm and access improvements, and making appropriate provision of space and facilities for traders.

|                   | I Development proposals must support the vitality and viability of Evelyn Street Local Centre by creating and maintaining a positive relationship with the centre, and responding positively to its location at a key transitional position between Deptford High Street and Convoys Wharf. This includes the provision of new and improved walking routes and cycleways to and around the centre, including links to open spaces. Proposals should also deliver other public realm enhancements to improve the place qualities of the centre including streets trees and other urban greening measures, with reference to Policy QD3 (Public realm),  J Where relevant, development proposals must demonstrate how they have responded positively to the New Cross Area Framework and Surrey Canal Triangle SPD through the design-led approach.  |  |
|-------------------|--|--|
| MM202 Policy LNA2 | LNA 2 New Cross Road / A2 corridor  Strategic Policy   | Modifications to identify the strategic status of the policy and the strategic objectives to which it relates. (AP7) |
|                   | Strategic Objectives: C A thriving local economy that tackles inequalities, D A greener borough, E Responding to the climate emergency, F Celebrating our local identity, G Healthy and safe communities, H Securing the timely delivery of infrastructure.  | Modification in response to comments raised during the Regulation 19 consultation.                                   |
|                   | A The transformation of the New Cross Road / A2 Corridor and its immediate surrounds into a well-connected network of liveable and healthy neighbourhoods with a distinctive historic and cultural character is integral to the delivery of the spatial strategy. Development proposals must demonstrate how they will make the optimal use of land to support the delivery of a high quality, lively and thriving High Street whilst reinforcing and enhancing the Corridor's movement function   |  |
|                   | B Development proposals along the New Cross Road / A2 Corridor and its surrounds must enhance the place qualities of the Corridor by:  |  |
|                   | <ul> <li>a. Responding positively to heritage assets, including the historic character and urban grain of New Cross Road and its wider setting;</li> <li>b. Reinforcing the predominant commercial function and distinctive identity of the High Street, taking opportunities to introduce a wider and richer mix of uses into the area;</li> <li>c. Enhancing the continuity of the High Street from Old Kent Road to Deptford by repairing breaks and activating frontages along it, particularly through the retention and introduction of appropriate commercial, cultural and community uses at the street or ground floor level;</li> <li>d. Improving relationships between the north and south sides of New Cross Road to create a more cohesive High Street, including through public realm enhancements that reduce barriers to movement by walking and cycling and enable safe access along and across the road;</li> <li>e. Delivering public realm improvements that make the Corridor a more accessible, attractive and welcoming place;</li> <li>f. Maximising opportunities to integrate tree planting and other urban greening measures;</li> <li>g. Supporting the continued evolution of the Corridor and its surrounds as a more liveable and healthy neighbourhood, including through the sensitive intensification and renewal of sites; and</li> <li>h. Ensuring development will not result in an unacceptable routing or volume of cars and other vehicles onto primarily residential streets.</li> <li>C Development proposals must reinforce the role of New Cross Road as a strategic movement corridor by applying the Healthy</li> </ul> |  |
|                   | Streets Approach. This principal east-west route should be supported by a complementary network of legible, safe and accessible walking routes and cycleways and their supporting infrastructure, that link with it to enhance connections between neighbourhoods  |  |

|       |             | and places. This includes connections to Deptford and New Cross District Centres, New Cross and New Gate stations, Goldsmith's College and open spaces in the surrounding area, such as Bridgehouse Meadows, Fordham Park and Folkestone Gardens. Development proposals must secure the continuity and extension of North Lewisham Links Route 1, which runs parallel to New Cross Road, including a new bridge over the railway at the Hatcham Works and Goodwood Road sites.  D The Council will work in partnership with the Mayor of London / Transport for London and other stakeholders to deliver new transport infrastructure and public realm improvements along and around New Cross Road, including:  a. A new high quality station interchange at New Cross Gate, which is necessary to secure the delivery of the Bakerloo line extension and significantly improve interchanges between walking, cycling and different public transport modes;  b. Public realm enhancements designed to rebalance transport modes along New Cross Road with priority given to walking and cycling, including by widening pavements and reducing pinch-points; and c. Improvements at key junctions to enhance safety for all road users, including at the Amersham gyratory. |  |
|-------|-------------|---|--|
| MM203 | Policy LNA3 | LNA 3 Creative Enterprise Zone  Strategic Policy  Strategic Objectives:   | Modifications to identify the strategic status of the policy and the strategic objectives to which it relates. (AP7)         |
|       |             | A An open Lewisham as part of an open London Borough of Lewisham, C A thriving local economy that tackles inequalities, F Celebrating our local identity, H Securing the timely delivery of infrastructure.   | Modification in response to comments raised during the Regulation 19 consultation.   |
|       |             | A A Creative Enterprise Zone is designated in Lewisham's North Area. The CEZ reflects the presence of significant clusters of creative and cultural industries and institutions in the area, the positive contribution they make to Lewisham's distinctive character, and the need to expand on their role as a catalyst for local economic and cultural development.   | Modification to meet concerns of participant in relation to provision of new workspace – subject to it being viable. (AP146) |
|       |             | B To enhance existing clusters of creative and cultural industries in the CEZ, and to facilitate the creation of additional clusters, new high quality workspace and facilities will be secured through:  |  |
|       |             | a. The regeneration of Mixed-use Employment Land; b. Retaining and enhancing workspace provision at Deptford Creekside; c. Focused renewal of SIL and MEL located at the convergence of Grinstead Road and Trundleys Road to establish a revitalised employment-led mixed-use quarter;  |  |
|       |             | d. Promoting a wide range of complementary commercial, cultural and community uses within and around New Cross and Deptford District Centres, including evening and night-time economic activities; and e. Designating Cultural Quarters at Deptford Creekside and New Cross and carefully managing development within them, in accordance with Policy EC18 (Culture, creative industries and the night-time economy).  |  |
|       |             | C The continued growth and evolution of the creative and cultural industries within the CEZ will be supported, in particular, by:   |  |
|       |             | a. Ensuring that development proposals protect existing industrial capacity and contribute to making provision for flexible workspace and facilities in suitable locations, at an appropriate range of rents. Development proposals will be considered favourably where they incorporate low-cost and an appropriate amount of affordable workspace, particularly space catered to micro, small and medium sized businesses, including start-ups and independents;  |  |

|       |             | b. Ensuring new development proposals are designed to enable full-fibre digital connectivity, or equivalent infrastructure, to all end users; c. Encouraging the temporary use of vacant buildings and sites for workspace catered to creative and cultural activities; and d. Building on the vital role of the area's cultural and education institutions in supporting the local economy, and seeking to strengthen their beneficial relationships with Lewisham's creative and cultural industries.   |  |
|-------|-------------|---|--|
|       |             | D Within the CEZ development proposals involving the loss of Class E(g) office and light industrial and Sui Generis business space that is currently occupied or suitable for use by the creative and cultural industries, including artists workspace, will be strongly resisted. Proposals for new creative and cultural use should complement, and not adversely impact on the continued operation and effectiveness of the Surrey Canal SIL for industrial employment uses. Development proposals involving the loss or change of use of type of workspace will only be permitted where they:   |  |
|       |             | a. Ensure that an equivalent amount, or better quality, of Class E(g) workspace is re-provided within the proposal (which is appropriate in terms of type, use and size), subject to viability, market demand and site suitability, incorporating existing businesses where possible; or  b. Within a Mixed-use Employment Location, seek to maximise the provision of Class E(g) office and light industrial workspace for uses in the creative and cultural industries, and demonstrate that reasonable efforts have been made to retain or re-provide such existing provision; and  c. Retain existing and make provision for new affordable workspace, in line with Policy EC4 (Low-cost and affordable workspace). |  |
| MM204 | Figure 15.4 | Figure 15.4: Creative Enterprise Zone  Amend the map to include additional layers, including site allocations, district centres, cultural quarters, strategic industrial land, locally significant industrial sites, and mixed-use employment locations as illustrated below:   | Modification to clarify how the CEZ interacts with other place-specific policy designations. (AP147) |



|   |                   | including by: a. Maintaining and enhancing the ecological quality and nature conservation value of the river or creek and its corridor, including the walls and foreshore;  |   |   |   |                          |  |  |   |  |  |  |
|---|-------------------|---|---|---|---|--------------------------|--|--|---|--|--|--|
|   |                   | b. Maximising opporegard to:  |   |   |   |                          |  |  |   |  |  |  |
|   |                   | <ul> <li>i. Views, vistas, landmark features and other points of interest;</li> <li>ii. Building lines, along with the orientation and spacing between buildings; and</li> <li>iii. Physical connections to the river or creek, including walking and cycle routes that enable access to the waterfront;</li> </ul> |   |   |   |                          |  |  |   |  |  |  |
| c. Addressing the river or creek as an important part of the public realm and contributing to the liveliness of the waterfront. Development should incorporate positive frontages and, where appropriate, accessible public spaces or facilities at the ground floors of buildings and their forecourts, particularly along the Thames Path and Waterlink Way; d. Maintaining the stability of the flood defences and investigating opportunities to retreat flood defences, particularly to increase flood storage, enhance biodiversity, and create or improve visual connections with the river or creek; e. Resisting encroachment into the creek or river and foreshore; f. Making provision for an appropriate mix of uses on sites, along with enabling river-related and marine uses, where appropriate, in line with other policies. Consideration must be given to the requirements of the existing boating community and Creekside's continued role in accommodating boat dwellings to help meet housing needs; and g. Ensuring development does not adversely impact on the amenity of uses within industrial areas and safeguarded wharves, in line with the Agent of Change.  C Development proposals on sites within the Thames Policy Area, and adjacent to Deptford Creek, must preserve or wherever possible enhance the significance of heritage assets and their setting. This will require that particular attention is given to the maritime and industrial heritage of the area, and that opportunities to preserve or reinstate heritage assets are fully investigated and implemented wherever feasible. |                   |   |   |   |   |                          |  |  |   |  |  |  |
| MM206   | Policy<br>LNA SA1 | 1 Convoys Wharf M   | Modifications to identify the strategic status of the policy                      |   |   |                          |  |  |   |  |  |  |
|   |                   | Non-Strategic Policy  | C A thriving D A greene E Respondi F Celebratir G Healthy a H Securing I Ensuring | railored to the local econor borough, and to the cling our local and safe control the timely denigh quality | mate emerger<br>identity,<br>nmunities,<br>lelivery of infra<br>education, he | es inequalities,<br>ncy, |  |  | and the strategic objectives to which it relates. (AP7) |  |  |  |
|   |                   | Site Address  | Convoys Wharf, Lond   |   | ,   | Own orobin               | Currentuce                                       |  |   |  |  |  |
|   |                   | Site Details  | Site size<br>(ha) 20.46   | Setting<br>Central  | PTAL<br>2015: 0-2<br>2021: 0-2<br>2031: 0-2                                   | Ownership<br>Private     | Current use Former Shipwrights house, employment |  |   |  |  |  |

|       |   | How Site Was Identified  | Lewisham Cor   | re Strategy (2011   | ) and London S       | HLAA (2017)     |   |  |  |
|-------|---|--|--|---|----------------------|-----------------|---|--|--|
|       |   | Planning Designations and Site Considerations  | Opportunity Ai<br>Mixed-Use Em<br>Thames Policy<br>Nature Consel<br>Zone 3, adjace<br>Buildings on s |   |                      |                 |   |  |  |
|       |   | Planning Status  | Full applicatio<br>2014 and plan<br>granted in Jun<br>applications h                                 |   |                      |                 |   |  |  |
|       |   | Timeframe for Delivery   | Years 1 – 5<br>Yes   | Years 6 – 10<br>Yes   | Years 11 – 15<br>Yes | Beyond 15 years |   |  |  |
|       |   | Indicative<br>Development<br>Capacity  | Net residential  |   |                      |                 |   |  |  |
| MM207 | Paragraph<br>15.24  | River Thames. In the been used for industrial area, with the creating prominent riverside environmental enhance. | e 16th Century strial activities. On of a new hig location, and prancements. The                     | rf is a large brownfield site covering an area of more than 16 hectares, which is strategically located along the 16th Century it was the site of the Royal Naval Dockyard. The site has been vacant for many years having last trial activities. Comprehensive redevelopment of the site is integral to supporting regeneration in the Deptford on of a new high quality mixed-use quarter that responds positively to its historical context. With the site's ocation, and proximity to Deptford High Street, there is significant scope for transformational public realm and incements. These will support the delivery of a new residential area and visitor destination that is well-integrated neighbourhoods and communities. There are further opportunities to re-activate the safeguarded wharf that |                      |                 |   |  |  |
| MM208 | Paragraph 15.25 Development requirements  1. Development must be delivered in accordance with a masterplan to ensure coordination of uses across the site.  2. Provision of commercial floorspace in line with Policy EC7 (Mixed-use Employment Locations).  3. The site must be fully re-integrated with the surrounding street network to improve access and permeability in the local area, with enhanced walking and cycle connections between public spaces and the site's surrounding neighbourhoods. Development must als enable new public transport services within and through the site. This will require a hierarchy of routes with clearly articulated east- |  |  |   |                      |                 | Modification to reflect agreed position with development partner. |  |  |
|       |   | west and north-sour  4. Provision of new facilities.   |  |   |                      |                 |   |  |  |

|       |                    | 5. Long-term protection and appropriate re-activation of the existing safeguarded wharf and associated vessel moorings, including for river based passenger transport.   |   |
|-------|--------------------|--|---|
|       |                    | 6. Delivery of new and improved public realm and open space in accordance with a site-wide public realm strategy, and taking into account the River Corridor Improvement Plan SPD, including:  |   |
|       |                    | a. Repair of breaks in the Thames Path and extension of the route along the riverfront across the site, or as near as practical having regard to the safeguarded wharf b. New public open space at key points along the riverfront, including the Royal Navy and Royal Caroline Squares c. Integration of central public square / open space as a community focal point, with priority given to siting of the space between the Olympia Warehouse and the riverside  |   |
|       |                    | d. Enhancements to Sayes Court Park and its setting e. A high quality station approach to the jetty (for river bus services), including walking and cycle routes   |   |
|       |                    | 7. Re-instatement of the Thames-side pier with the creation a new riverfront park and public cultural space cultural features, incorporating the Thames Path, with opportunities for waterside activities.   |   |
|       |                    | 8. Positive frontages along key routes, with active ground floor frontages along the riverfront and elsewhere where possible   |   |
|       |                    | 9. Development proposals must protect and seek to enhance green infrastructure, including the SINC.  |   |
|       |                    | 10. The design of the development must be demonstrably informed by and reflect the site's historical character and significance ensure the significance of historic environment and heritage assets is fully understood.   |   |
| MM209 | Paragraph<br>15.26 | <ol> <li>Development guidelines</li> <li>Development should support the creation of a new high quality, mixed-use neighbour-hood and visitor destination that is well integrated with its surrounding neighbourhoods and communities.</li> <li>Development should provide for a main access route north/south of Deptford High Street/New King Street to the Thames frontage, with measures to improve legibility and access to Deptford High Street.</li> <li>The development should be designed to maximise views to and from the River Thames. Where tall and taller buildings are proposed, consideration will need to be given to protected views, vistas and landmark features, including the Grade II* Listed St Nicholas Church, the panorama towards the Maritime Greenwich World Heritage Site, and protected vistas of St Paul's Cathedral.</li> <li>In response to the scale and location of the site, development should provide for complementary and distinctive character areas across it. These should reflect and reinforce the hierarchy of streets and open/green spaces, with a differentiation in design, density, scale and massing, including a range of plot sizes and building heights.</li> <li>Development should make provision of open space to enlarge Sayes Court Park and celebrate the sites historic connection with John Evelyn. New gardens, landscaping and treatment of the public realm should eennect form a connection with the memory of John Evelyn's famous 17th century garden that once flourished on the site.</li> <li>Development should be designed to improve connectivity with, and maximise the value of, existing neighbouring green spaces including Pepys Park to the west, Twinkle Park to the east and Sayes Court Park to the south.</li> <li>The type of uses on the safeguarded wharf should be restricted to those specified in the S106, to ensure compatibility with residential use nearby, in line with the Agent of Change principle.</li> <li>Development must support conservation objectives by preserving and enha</li></ol> | Modifications to provide further clarity.  Modifications to reflect agreed position with development partner. |

|                         | on the and/of b. Tudo c. The head of prese where d. Othe House designer. Provincelet f. The of the development of the developme | Parade II Listed Olympia Building which shall be retained, restored and regenerated to become an iconic landmate River Thames and a central feature of the development and a new cultural destination, with improved physical private of the development and sayes Court Park.  In raval storehouse, a Scheduled Ancient Monument of the highest significance, which shall be retained. Instoric boundary wall (including Grade II listed river wall, Grade II listed entrance gates at the south western cordinated II listed wall on the eastern side) is characteristic of a Naval Shipyard and shall be retained and restored, reving a semblance of the past with improved connectivity. New entrances to the site should be carefully sited a eithe wall is lost, treatment should mark its former location.  If features such as the central basement, slipway 1, mast pond, double dry dock, slipways 4 & 5, John Evelyn the and Sayes Court Manor and Grade II* Listed Master Shipwrights on the adjacent site should be considered in nof the site.  In of the site.  In the sites archaeological remains and heritage features.  In the proposals should explore options for removing the boundary wall to better integrate the site with its surroundicods. Careful consideration will need to be given to those elements of the wall that are heritage assets. In London, the Port of London Authority and the Marine Management Organisation should be consulted on at and design options.  In the Port of London Authority and the Marine Management Organisation should be consulted on the and design options.  In the Port of London Authority and the Marine Management Organisation should be consulted on the and design options.  In the Port of London Authority and the Marine Management Organisation should be consulted on the and design options.  In the Port of London Authority and the Marine Management Organisation should be consulted on the standard of the Site Sender Authority and the marine are arriverside access and deliver a riverside and deliver TE2100 plan actions.  In the | ner ind the  |
|-------------------------|--|---|--|
| MM210 Policy<br>LNA SA2 | 2 Deptford Landing  Non-Strategic Policy  Site Address  Site Details   | Strategic Objectives: B Housing tailored to the community with genuinely affordable homes, C A thriving local economy that tackles inequalities, D A greener borough, E Responding to the climate emergency, F Celebrating our local identity, G Healthy and safe communities, H Securing the timely delivery of infrastructure.  Crown, New Celtic Pak, Bridge and Victoria Wharves bounded by Grove Street, Dragoon Road, Oxestalls Road, London, SE8  Site size Setting PTAL Ownership Current use (ha) Urban 2015: 1b-3 Private Industrial and residential 4.71 2021: 1b-4 2031: 1b-4   | Modifications to identify the strategic status of the policy and the strategic objectives to which it relates. (AP7)  Modification in accordance with the Council's response to MIQ 18.13 and MIQ 18.23 and the Additional Housing Supply Background Paper 2024 (LC10), arising from the uplift in housing supply work carried out by the Council and to align with final proposed housing trajectory. |
|                         | How Site Was Identified  | Lewisham Core Strategy (2011) and London SHLAA (2017)   | In line with the dialogue with development partners and the signed Statement of Common Ground.   |

|       |                    | Planning Designations and Site Considerations Planning Status  Timeframe for  | Employment Lo<br>Area, adjacent<br>Hybrid application<br>reserved matte<br>Full application<br>implemented. It<br>construction, w | tion DC/15/09 Thames Polition DC/15/09 The application DC/19/1133 The application DC/19/1133 The application by Instruction by | opriate Location for Area, Air Quant P2295 for Oxestans granted between 32 for Scott Housential units in place | Strategic Open Space, Mixed-Use for Tall Buildings, Archaeological Priority lity Management Area, Flood Zone 3  Ils Road site granted in March 2016, een February 2018 and March 2019 and se granted in June 2021 but not se has been submitted. Started of 2 completed in March 2020. Plot 6 will enal units are currently being considered  Beyond 15 years |  | Modification to include new text "Appropriate Location for Tall Buildings" within the Planning Designations and Site Considerations box. |  |  |
|-------|--------------------|---|---|--|--|---|--|--|--|--|
|       |                    | Delivery  | Yes  Net residential  | Yes  | Yes Gross non-resi   | dential floorspace  |  |  |  |  |
|       |                    | Development<br>Capacity   | 1,940 <u>1,873</u>  | uiilo  | Employment 1 Main town cen   | 1,784   |  |  |  |  |
|       |                    | Remaining Net<br>Units to be<br>Delivered   | <del>1,737</del> -1,670   |  |  |   |  |  |  |  |
| MM211 | Paragraph<br>15.34 | 15.34 Development 1. Building heights s Pub and Scott House mark the significant 2. Tall buildings will corners for the urbal 3. Development sho surrounding commu- including the timber Laban Centre. 4. Development sho 5. Development must 6. Development sho Street, and by creati Highway), Pepys Es 7. Proposals should | Modification to reflect comments received during the Regulation consultation.   |  |  |   |  |  |  |  |

|       |                    | 8. Applicants should against flood risk.                                       | d work in partn   | ership with the                             | e Environ                                       | ment Age                           | ncy and en   | gage with them                                  | early at pre-applica  | ation stage, to mitigate                                   |   |  |  |  |  |
|-------|--------------------|--|---|---|---|------------------------------------|--------------|---|-----------------------|--|---|--|--|--|--|
|       |                    | 9. Applicants should ensure infrastructur                                      |   |   |   |                                    |              |   | existing sewers wh    | nere applicable and  |   |  |  |  |  |
|       |                    | 10. Development pro<br>accordance with the                                     |   |   | re wharf operations in                          |                                    |              |   |                       |  |   |  |  |  |  |
| MM212 | Policy<br>LNA SA3  | 3 Evelyn Court at St   | Modification to correct site allocation title.                |   |   |                                    |              |   |                       |  |   |  |  |  |  |
|       |                    | Non-Strategic Policy   | C A thriving D A greener E Respondir F Celebratin G Healthy a | ailored to the o                            | y that tack<br>te emerge<br>entity,<br>unities, | ency,                              | alities,     | ordable homes,                                  |                       |  | Modifications to identify the strategic status of the policy and the strategic objectives to which it relates. (AP7)  Modification in accordance with the Council's response to |  |  |  |  |
|       |                    | Site Address Site Details  |   | t, Grinstead R<br>Setting P<br>Urban 2<br>2 | oad, Lond                                       | don, SE8<br>Ownersh                | 5AD          | Current use<br>Employment                       |                       |  | MIQ 18.13 and the Additional<br>Housing Supply Background<br>Paper 2024 (LC10), arising<br>from the uplift in housing<br>supply work and to align with                          |  |  |  |  |
|       |                    | How Site Was Identified  |   | AA (2017) an                                | d Lewisha                                       |                                    | ,            | ,<br>   |                       | final proposed housin trajectory.                          |   |  |  |  |  |
|       |                    | Planning Designations and Site Considerations                                  | Industrial Lo   |   | eological I                                     | Priority Ar                        | rea, Thame   | s, adjacent to Str<br>s Policy Area, C<br>one 3 | _                     | Modification to update the capacity of the site allocation |   |  |  |  |  |
|       |                    | Planning Status  |   |   |   |                                    |              |   |                       |  |   |  |  |  |  |
|       |                    | Timeframe for Delivery   | Years 1 – 5<br>Yes  | Years 6 –<br>10                             | Years   | 11 – 15                            | Beyond 15    | years   |                       |  |   |  |  |  |  |
|       |                    | Indicative Development Capacity  | Net resident  | al units                                    | Emplo   | non-resid<br>yment 2,3<br>own cent |              | space   |                       |  |   |  |  |  |  |
| MM213 | Paragraph<br>15.38 | Development requir  1. Landowners mus accordance with a n currently occupied b | t work in partr<br>nasterplan, to                             | ensure coordi                               | ination an                                      | nd phasin                          | g in the co- | location of uses                                | s across the site, in | cluding on the plots                                       | Modification to clarify how developers engage with other land interests on the site allocation.   |  |  |  |  |

|                         |   |  |   |   |                    | ard the site-wide master plan) to |                            |  |  |  |  |  |
|-------------------------|---|--|---|---|--------------------|-----------------------------------|----------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|
|                         | have taken all reaso                          | onable efforts   | to undertal   | ke positive and mear  | <u>iingful eng</u> | agement with other relevant ne    | ighbour land interests.    |  |  |  |  |  |
|                         | 2. Development mu (Locally Significant        |  |   | ss of industrial capad  | city, or comp      | promise the function of the LSIS  | S, in line with Policy EC6 |  |  |  |  |  |
|                         | 3. Positive frontage:                         | s along Evely  | n Street an   | d Grinstead Road.   |                    |                                   |                            |  |  |  |  |  |
|                         | Deptford Landings                             | 4. New and improved public realmin accordance with a site-wide public realm strategy, including improved connections between The Deptford Landings development at Oxestalls Road, Deptford Park and along the route of the former Surrey Canal and to facilitate the delivery of Cycleway 4. |   |   |                    |                                   |                            |  |  |  |  |  |
| MM214 Policy<br>LNA SA4 | LNA 4 Neptune Wh                              | LNA 4 Neptune Wharf Mixed-use Employment Location  |   |   |                    |                                   |                            |  |  |  |  |  |
|                         | Non-Strategic Policy                          | Strategic Ob<br>B Housing to<br>C A thriving<br>D A greener<br>E Respondin<br>F Celebratin<br>G Healthy a<br>H Securing  | ailored to the local economic borough, and to the clip our local and safe cor | strategic status of the policy and the strategic objectives to which it relates. (AP7)  Modification to align with final proposed housing trajectory. |                    |                                   |                            |  |  |  |  |  |
|                         | Site Address                                  | Neptune Wo   |   |   |                    |                                   |                            |  |  |  |  |  |
|                         | Site Details                                  | Site size<br>(ha)<br>1.14  | Setting<br>Urban  | PTAL Owne<br>2015: 2 Private<br>2021: 2<br>2031: 2  | •                  | Current use<br>Industrial         |                            |  |  |  |  |  |
|                         | How Site Was<br>Identified                    | Site Allocation  | ons Local F   |   |                    |                                   |                            |  |  |  |  |  |
|                         | Planning Designations and Site Considerations | Opportunity<br>Employment<br>adjacent to S<br>Quality Man  | Location, A   |   |                    |                                   |                            |  |  |  |  |  |
|                         | Planning Status                               | Full applicat  | ion DC/10/  |   |                    |                                   |                            |  |  |  |  |  |
|                         | Timeframe for Delivery                        | Years 1 – 5 Yes  | Years 6   | S – Years 11 – 15<br><del>Yes</del>   | Beyond 1           | 15 years                          |                            |  |  |  |  |  |
|                         | Indicative Development Capacity               | Net resident   | al units  | Gross non-res Employment 0 Main town cer  | )                  |                                   |                            |  |  |  |  |  |
| MM215 Policy            | LNA 5 Surrey Cana                             | Modifications to identify the  |   |   |                    |                                   |                            |  |  |  |  |  |
| LNA SA5                 |   | _  | strategic status of the policy  |   |                    |                                   |                            |  |  |  |  |  |
|                         | Non-Strategic Policy                          |  | and the strategic objectives to which it relates. (AP7)                       |   |                    |                                   |                            |  |  |  |  |  |

|       |                    | Site Address   | Trundleys Ro   | oad, London, S   | SE8 5J   |   |   |   |  |  |
|-------|--------------------|--|--|--|--|---|---|---|--|--|
|       |                    | Site Details   |  | Setting PT/<br>Urban 201<br>202  | AL   | Ownership<br>Private  | Current use<br>Industrial   |   | Modification in accordance with the Council's response to MIQ 18.13, MIQ 18.23 and the Additional Housing Supply |  |
|       |                    | How Site Was<br>Identified   | London SHL   | AA (2017) and  | Lewisham Ca  | all for Sites (2  | 018)  |   | Background Paper 2024 (LC10), arising from the uplift  |  |
|       |                    | Planning Designations and Site Considerations  | Archaeologic<br>Creative Ent<br>electricity cal  | Area, Growth Neal Priority Area erprise Zone, Able, adjacent to  | in housing supply work and to align with final proposed housing trajectory.  Modification to update the                    |   |   |   |  |  |
|       |                    | Planning Status  | DC/22/12734  | 18 granted con   | sent in Octobe   | er 2022. <u>Starte</u>  | ember 2021. S96a application ed construction.   |   | capacity of the site allocation.   |  |
|       |                    | Timeframe for Delivery   | Years 1 – 5<br>Yes   | Years 6 –<br>10<br>Yes   | Years 11 –   | 15 Beyond   | 15 years  |   |  |  |
|       |                    | Indicative Development Capacity  | Net residenti  |  | Gross non-r  | residential flo<br>t 2,890  | orspace   | _   |  |  |
|       |                    |  |  |  | Main town o  | entre 0   |   |   |  |  |
| MM216 | Paragraph<br>15.49 | balance of uses acreand comprehensive master plan) to dem relevant neighbour  2. Development mu (Locally Significant  3. Positive frontages  4. New and improve Road and Surrey car Park and to facilitate  5. Development pro | t work in partnoss the site income development on strate that the land interests of the strain of the delivery | cluding both th ). It is the response hey have taken the net loss of s). Ieys Road and in accordance inprove the wal of Cycleway 10 otect and seek | e Trundleys Reconsibility of the nall reasonab f industrial cap Surrey Canal with a site-wilking and cycle of to enhance g | pad and Junc<br>e lead landow<br>le efforts to u<br>pacity, or com<br>Road.<br>de public real<br>e environmen | an, to ensure coordination in the Way parcels of land, in line with mer/ developer (who is bringing ndertake positive and meaning) promise the function of the LSIS m strategy. This includes enhand, along with access to Folkeston cture, including the SINC adjacents. | n Policy DM3 (Masterplans forward the site-wide ful engagement with other S, in line with Policy EC6 ncements along Trundleys ne Gardens and Deptford |  |  |
| MM217 | Policy<br>LNA SA6  | 6 Apollo Business ( Non-Strategic  | 1  | Modifications to identify the strategic status of the policy and the strategic objectives to which it relates. (AP7)                               |  |   |   |   |  |  |
|       |                    | Policy   | <u> </u>   | local economy  |  |   | fordable homes,   |   | WITHOUT IL TELACES. (AFT)  |  |

|       |   | Site Address Site Details  How Site Was Identified Planning  | D A greener E Respondi F Celebratin G Healthy a H Securing Trundleys R Site size (ha) 0.42  London SHI | ng to the cli<br>g our local<br>nd safe cor<br>the timely d<br>oad, Londo<br>Setting<br>Urban | identity,<br>nmunities,<br>elivery of i<br>n, SE8 5J<br>PTAL<br>2015: 0-2<br>2021: 0-2<br>2031: 0-2<br>and Strate | Owners<br>Public a | hip<br>and private<br>ing Team (2                                      | Current use<br>Industrial<br>019)<br>or Tall Buildings, |            |   | Modification to align with final proposed housing trajectory.  Modification to update the capacity of the site allocation. (AP160  Modification to provide update on planning status. |
|-------|---|--|--|---|---|--------------------|--|---|------------|---|---|
|       |   | Designations and Site Considerations   | Archaeologi<br>Flood Zone  |   |   |                    |  | Air Quality Manager                                     | ment Area, |   |   |
|       |   | Planning Status Timeframe for Delivery   | None Full a Years 1 – 5 Yes  | 10  |   |                    |  |   |            |   |   |
|       |   | Indicative<br>Development<br>Capacity  | Net resident<br>98 <u>235</u>  | ial units   | Emp   |                    | idential floo<br><del>,396</del> <u>1,786</u><br>ntre <del>0</del> 100 | rspace  |            |   |   |
| MM218 | Paragraph<br>15.51  | uses across the site  2. Development mu (Locally Significant   | st be delivere<br>e, in line with<br>st not result in<br>Industrial Site                               | d in accorda<br>Policy DM3<br>In the net loses).  | (Masterpla  | ans and co         | omprehensiv  | ve development).  | of the LSI | on, phasing and balance of S, in line with Policy EC6 | Modifications to reflect the factual position across the site.  |
|       |   | <ol> <li>There is an existing temporary waste use at the site (Southwark Metals). Development proposals must address this use in accordance with Local Plan policy SD12 (Reducing and sustainably managing waste) and London Plan policy SI9 (Safeguarded waste sites). The waste site is not a permitted use in planning terms and is operating under an exemption. The Site's existing permitted use is industrial use.</li> <li>Positive frontages along Surrey Canal Road and across from the railway arches.</li> </ol> |  |   |   |                    |  |   |            |   |   |
|       | 5.New and improved public realm in accordance with a site-wide public realm strategy. This includes enhancements along Surrey Canal Road to improve the walking and cycle environment, along with access to Folkestone Gardens and to facilitate the delivery of Cycleway 10. |  |  |   |   |                    |  |   |            |   |   |
| MM219 | Policy<br>LNA SA7   | 7 Silwood Street  Non-Strategic Policy   | Strategic Ob<br>B Housing t  |   | e commun  | nity with ge       | enuinely affo  | ordable homes,  |            |   | Modifications to identify the strategic status of the policy and the strategic objectives to which it relates. (AP7)  |

|              |   |                          |              |                                 |                               |               |                               | 1                               |
|--------------|---|--------------------------|--------------|---------------------------------|-------------------------------|---------------|-------------------------------|---------------------------------|
|              |   |                          |              | omy that tack                   | <u>les inequalities,</u>      |               | NA-AMERICAN CONTRACTOR OF THE |                                 |
|              |   | D A greene               |              | 1. La (1)                       |                               |               |                               | Modification to update the site |
|              |   | F Celebratin             |              |                                 |                               |               |                               | status.                         |
|              |   | G Healthy a              |              |                                 |                               |               |                               |                                 |
|              | 0'4 . A . I . I                               |                          |              | lelivery of infi                | astructure.                   |               |                               |                                 |
|              | Site Address                                  | Silwood Str              | eet, London  | i, SE16                         |                               |               |                               |                                 |
|              | Site Details                                  | Site size                | Setting      | PTAL                            | Ownership                     | Current use   |                               |                                 |
|              |   | (ha) 0.25                | Urban        | 2015: 2-3<br>2021: 3<br>2031: 3 | Public and private            | Vacant        |                               |                                 |
|              | How Site Was<br>Identified                    | Strategic PI             | anning Tea   | m (2022)                        |                               |               |                               |                                 |
|              | Planning Designations and Site Considerations | Opportunity<br>Managemen |              | aeological Pr                   | iority Area, Crea             | rality        |                               |                                 |
|              | Planning Status                               | Full applica             | tion DC/20/  | 116783 was (                    | granted in March              | -             |                               |                                 |
|              | Timeframe for                                 | Years 1 – 5              | Years 6      | 6 – 10 Yea                      | rs 11 – 15 Bey                | ond 15 years  |                               |                                 |
|              | Delivery                                      | l v                      |              |                                 |                               | •             |                               |                                 |
|              |   | Yes                      |              |                                 |                               |               |                               |                                 |
|              | Indicative                                    | Net residen              | tial units   | Gros                            | ss non-residenti              | al floorspace |                               |                                 |
|              | Development                                   | Netrosiden               | uai uiiis    | 0100                            | 33 HOH TOSIGOHU               | ai nooispace  |                               |                                 |
|              | Capacity                                      | 61                       |              | Emp                             | oloyment 1,313                |               |                               |                                 |
|              | Сарасну                                       |                          |              | Mair                            | n town centre 20              | 00            |                               |                                 |
| MM220 Policy | 8 Bermondsey Dive                             | Under                    |              |                                 | Modifications to identify the |               |                               |                                 |
| LNA SA8      |   |                          |              |                                 |                               |               |                               | strategic status of the policy  |
|              | Non-Strategic                                 | Strategic Ol             | ojectives:   |                                 |                               |               |                               | and the strategic objectives to |
|              | Policy  | C A thriving             | local econ   | omy that tack                   | es inequalities,              |               |                               | which it relates. (AP7)         |
|              |   | G Healthy a              | nd safe cor  | <u>mmunities,</u>               |                               |               |                               |                                 |
|              |   | H Securing               | the timely o | lelivery of infi                | astructure.                   |               |                               |                                 |
|              | Site Address                                  | Silwood Str              | eet, London  | , SE16                          |                               |               |                               |                                 |
|              | Site Details                                  | Site size                | Setting      | PTAL                            | Ownership                     | Current use   |                               |                                 |
|              |   | (ha) 1.88                |              |                                 |                               |               |                               |                                 |
|              |   |                          | Urban        | 2015: 0-2                       | Mixed, public                 | Vacant        |                               |                                 |
|              |   |                          |              | 2021: 0-2                       | and private                   |               |                               |                                 |
|              |   |                          |              | 2031: 0-2                       |                               |               |                               |                                 |
|              | How Site Was Identified                       | Strategic PI             | anning Tea   | m (2022)                        |                               |               |                               |                                 |
| <u> </u>     |   |                          |              |                                 |                               |               |                               |                                 |

|       |                    | Planning Designations and Site Considerations |                   |   |  |   |                                 |   |
|-------|--------------------|---|-------------------|---|--|---|---------------------------------|---|
|       |                    | Planning Status                               | None              |   |  |   |                                 |   |
|       |                    | Timeframe for                                 | Years 1 – 5       | Years 6 – 10                                | Years 11 – 15                          | Beyond 15 years   |                                 |   |
|       |                    | Delivery                                      | Yes               | Yes   |  |   |                                 |   |
|       |                    | Indicative                                    | Net residentia    | l units                                     | Gross non-resi                         | dential floorspace  |                                 |   |
|       |                    | Development Capacity                          | 0                 |   | Employment 5,                          | 264   |                                 |   |
|       |                    | Capacity                                      |                   |   | Main town cen                          | tre 0   |                                 |   |
| MM221 | Paragraph<br>15.59 | 15.59 Development                             | t guidelines      |   |  |   |                                 | Modification to ensure appropriate design relationship and integration with the |
|       |                    | 1. Development sho                            | ould ensure the   | long-term viabil                            | ity of industrial a                    | nd commercial uses at the site.   |                                 | character of Silwood Street.  |
|       |                    | well-suited to the op                         | perational requir | ements of comm                              | ercial and indust                      | and flexibly designed employment flor<br>rial occupiers. Particular consideration<br>operties located along Silwood Stree | on will need to be given to the | (AP151)   |
|       |                    |   | on of the operati | onal requiremer                             |  | rs, and provide safe and convenient<br>ture employment uses and ensure c  |                                 |   |
|       |                    | 4. Applicants shoul railway arches and        |                   |   |  | Rail, and landowners as appropriate,<br>priate clear zone.  | to optimise the use of the      |   |
|       |                    |   |                   |   |  | sign relationship in character from the SIL, which is located between the   |                                 |   |
| MM222 | Policy<br>LNA SA9  | 9 Surrey Canal Tria                           | angle Mixed-use   | Employment Lo                               | cation                                 |   |                                 | Modifications to identify the strategic status of the policy                    |
|       |                    | Non-Strategic Policy                          |                   | ored to the com                             | nunity with genu<br>at tackles inequal | inely affordable homes,<br>ities,   |                                 | and the strategic objectives to which it relates. (AP7)                         |
|       |                    |   | D A greener b     |   |  |   |                                 | Modification to include new text "Appropriate Location for                      |
|       |                    |   | F Celebrating     | our local identity                          | <u>,</u>                               |   |                                 | Tall Buildings" within the  |
|       |                    |   |                   | <u>d safe communit</u><br>e timely delivery | i <u>es,</u><br>of infrastructure.     |   |                                 | Planning Designations and Site Considerations box.                              |
|       |                    | Site Address                                  |                   |   |  | I Road, London, SE14  |                                 | Cito Corroldorations box.   |
|       |                    |   |                   |   |  |   |                                 | Modification to update the quantum of main town centre                          |

|           |  | Site Details   | Cito cizo  | Cotting        | PTAL   | Ownorshi             | Currentuce                                  |   | uses anticipated across the      |
|-----------|--|--|--|----------------|--|----------------------|---|---|----------------------------------|
|           |  | Sile Details   | Site size<br>(ha)  |                | 2015: 0-1b                                   | Ownership<br>Private | Current use<br>Football Stadium, industrial |   | site allocation. (AP153)         |
|           |  |  | 10.59  |                | 2021: 0-1b                                   | Tilvate              | 1 colban Gladidin, madsilar                 |   | Site anocation. (At 199)         |
|           |  |  | 10.00  |                | 2031: 0-1b                                   |                      |   |   | Modification to update the       |
|           |  | How Site Was   | Lewisham C   |                |  | don SHLAA            | (2017) and Lewisham Call for Si             | es                                      | capacity of the site allocation. |
|           |  | Identified   | (2018)   | J              |  |                      | ,   |   |                                  |
|           |  | Planning   | Opportunity  | Area, Grow     | th node, Mixe                                | d-Use Empl           |   | Modifications to align with final       |                                  |
|           |  | Designations and   |  |                | oortance for N                               |                      |   | proposed housing trajectory.            |                                  |
|           |  | Site Space, <u>Appropriate Location for Tall Buildings</u> , adjacent to |  |                |  |                      |   |   |                                  |
|           |  | Considerations   |  | •              | ne, Air Quality                              | Manageme             | nt Area, Flood Zone 3, within 30r           | า                                       |                                  |
|           |  | DI : 0/ /  | of electricity   |                | 200  |                      | 0000 5 11 4 11 11                           |   |                                  |
|           |  | Planning Status  |  |                |  |                      | ary 2020. Full Application                  |   |                                  |
|           |  |  |  |                | ne site alloca                               | tion) was gra        | anted a resolution to approve in            |   |                                  |
|           |  | Timeframe for  | January 202<br>Years 1 – 5   | Years 6        | 5 – Years 1                                  | 1 _ 15   Box         | ond 15 years                                |   |                                  |
|           |  | Delivery   |  | 10             | rears r                                      | 1 – 13   Bey         | Toria 13 years                              |   |                                  |
|           |  | Donvery  | Yes  |                | Yes  | Yes                  | 3   |   |                                  |
|           |  |  |  | Yes            |  |                      |   |   |                                  |
|           |  | Indicative   | Net resident   | al units       | Gross n                                      | on-resident          | ial floorspace                              |   |                                  |
|           |  | Development  |  |                |  |                      | ·   |   |                                  |
|           |  | Capacity   | 4,089 4,097  | •              |  | ment 14,253          |   |   |                                  |
|           |  |  | net units rela   |                |  | wn centre 4          | <del>6,469</del>                            |   |                                  |
|           |  |  | surrounding  | the footbal    | <u>                                     </u> |                      |   |   |                                  |
| MM223     | Dorograph  | 15.64 Dovolonment  | stadium)   |                |  |                      |   |   | Modification to reflect          |
| IVIIVIZZS | Paragraph<br>15.64   | 15.64 Development  | requirements   | •              |  |                      |   |   | comments received during the     |
|           | 13.04  | 1 Landowners mus   | st work in partr   | ership and     | development                                  | must have r          | egard to the overarching vision a           | nd development principles set           | Regulation consultation.         |
|           |  |  |  |                |  |                      | scheme already consented on Re              |   | Togaraneri cericananerii         |
|           |  |  |  |                |  |                      | te, in line with Policy DM3 (Maste          |   | Modification to clarify how      |
|           |  |  |  |                |  |                      | r (who is bringing forward the site         |   | developers engage with other     |
|           |  |  | •  | all reason     | <u>able efforts to</u>                       | undertake p          | positive and meaningful engager             | nent with other relevant                | land interests on the site       |
|           |  | neighbour land inte  | erests.  |                |  |                      |   |   | allocation.                      |
|           |  | 2 Dovolopment of th  | ha Caunail ay  | mod land m     | nuct capitalica                              | on the onne          | ortunities presented by Millwall FC         | Stadium including options for           | Modification to clarify the      |
|           |  | •  |  |                | •  |                      | n future of the football club on thi        | • | sustainable transport network    |
|           |  | no <u>on one</u> re providi  | on and oxpan   | 01011, 1101611 | ng to booting t                              | iro long tom         |   | 3 61.6.                                 | requirements associated with     |
|           |  | 3. Development pro   | posals must s  | eek to enha    | ance the exist                               | ting sports fa       | acilities, and make these accessil          | ole to the public and allow for         | the provision of the new         |
|           |  | the long term future   |  |                |  | 0 .                  |   | ·                                       | Overground Station at Surrey     |
|           |  |  | , and the second |                |  |                      |   |   | Canal Road. (AP155)              |
|           |  | • • •  | ent proposals must demonstrate a comprehensive and coordinated approach to supporting healthy communities by<br>ew and enhanced publicly accessible sports, leisure and recreation opportunities, including open spaces and communit<br>ne with Policy CI1 (Safeguarding and securing community infrastructure).   |                |  |                      |   |   |                                  |
|           |  |  |  |                |  |                      |   |   |                                  |
|           |  | racilities, in line with   | n Policy CI1 (S  | bareguardır    | ng and securi                                | ng commun            | ity intrastructure).                        |   |                                  |
|           |  | 5. Provision of com  |  |                |  |                      |   |   |                                  |
|           |  | 6. The site must be  | fully re-integr  | ated with th   | e surroundin                                 | g street netv        | vork to improve access and permo            | eability in the local area, with        |                                  |
|           | 6. The site must be fully re-integrated with the surrounding street network to improve access and permeability in the local area, with enhanced walking and cycle connections between public spaces and the site's surrounding neighbourhoods. This will require a |  |  |                |  |                      |   |   |                                  |

|       |                    | hierarchy of routes with clearly articulated east-west and north-south corridors. The site must also facilitate contribute towards the delivery of Cycleway 10.   |  |
|-------|--------------------|---|--|
|       |                    | 7. Delivery of new and improved public realm and open space in accordance with a site-wide public realm strategy, including:  |  |
|       |                    | <ul> <li>a. A linked network of new high quality public open and green spaces as a central design feature</li> <li>b. Enhancements to Bridgehouse Meadows and Bolina Gardens, including access improvements, in partnership with infrastructure providers.</li> <li>c. High quality approaches to the existing South Bermondsey station and the future Overground station.</li> </ul>   |  |
|       |                    | 8. Provision for the new transport infrastructure within the site, including a new Overground station at Surrey Canal Road and an accompanying walking and cycle bridge, in partnership with TFL and infrastructure providers.  |  |
|       |                    | 9. Positive frontages along key routes, with active ground floor frontages where possible.  |  |
|       |                    | 10. Development proposals must protect and seek to enhance green infrastructure, including the SINC.  |  |
| MM224 | Paragraph<br>15.65 | 15.65 Development guidelines  | Modifications to reflect agreed  |
|       | 13.03              | 1. Development should support the creation of a new high quality, mixed-use neighbour-hood and visitor destination that is well integrated with its surrounding neighbourhoods and communities. Main town centre uses should be complementary in nature and scale and focussed on serving the development, whilst ensuring no adverse impact on exist-ing town centres.   | Modifications to reflect agreed position with the development partner. |
|       |                    | 2. A new east-west route linking along the route of the old Surrey Canal which links Folkestone Gardens / Deptford Park and Old Kent Road should form a central design feature, along with a clear north-south route linking South Bermondsey station to Bridgehouse Meadows and the new Overground station.  |  |
|       |                    | 3. Quietway 1 runs along the eastern edge of the site, and should form a key focus for enhancements to the cycle network.   |  |
|       |                    | 4. The layout of the site should ensure that Millwall FC Stadium can continue to function as a large spectator destination on a long term basis and must ensure it does not prejudice the ability of the stadium to expand. This includes appropriate arrangements for ongoing operation of the stadium, access, servicing and evacuation, without the need for relocation during construction of the Council owned land.   |  |
|       |                    | 5. The design of development (including bulk, scale, massing and height of the buildings) should respond positively to its surrounding context and skyline including the heights of the railway viaducts, the SELCHP to the east of the site as well as Millwall FC Stadium located within the site. The site is a suitable location for tall buildings and the potential for tall buildings should be explored through the design-led process, taking into account protected views and vistas, including the panorama of the Bridge over the Serpentine. |  |
|       |                    | 6. New routes, public realm and open spaces should be designed to address severance within and outside of the site caused by railways, embankments, roads and SELCHP. Public realm and access enhancements should include:  |  |
|       |                    | a. Walking and cycle routes along Bolina Road, and improved connectivity to Cycleway 10, b. Walking and cycle routes and access to the new railway station at Surrey Canal Road and South Bermondsey station c. Walking and cycle routes and Access to the new railway station at Surrey Canal Road and South Bermondsey station,   |  |

|           |          |  | Bridgehouse  | e Meadows  | including up     | grades to the Cor  | nnect 2 path running between E    | Bridgehouse Meadows and                |                               |  |  |  |  |
|-----------|----------|--|--|--|------------------|--|-----------------------------------|--|-------------------------------|--|--|--|--|
|           |          | Oldfield Grove e. Improvements to  | enahle hus s   | services with  | nin the site     |  |                                   |  |                               |  |  |  |  |
|           |          | c. improvementa to   |  | ocivioco witi  | iiii tiio oito.  |  |                                   |  |                               |  |  |  |  |
|           |          |  |  |  |                  |  | nd industrial character of the ar |  |                               |  |  |  |  |
|           |          | be considered.   | <del>liderton Koa</del>  | <del>ac</del> connecti                                 | ivity with the o | old gas nolders o  | n Old Kent Road in the borough    | n of Southwark. <del>Should also</del> |                               |  |  |  |  |
|           |          | So concludica.   |  |  |                  |  |                                   |  |                               |  |  |  |  |
|           |          | 8. Proposals should maximise opportunities to for decentralised energy network development associated with South East London Combined Heat and Power Station (SELCHP). |  |  |                  |  |                                   |  |                               |  |  |  |  |
|           |          |  |  |  |                  |  |                                   |  |                               |  |  |  |  |
|           |          | 9. Transport for London and Network Rail should be consulted <u>beyond statutory obligations</u> on development and design options <u>where</u>                        |  |  |                  |  |                                   |  |                               |  |  |  |  |
|           |          | their ownerships are affected by proposals.  |  |  |                  |  |                                   |  |                               |  |  |  |  |
|           |          | 10. Applicants shou  | uld work in partnership with the Environment Agency and engage with them early at pre-application stage, to  |  |                  |  |                                   |  |                               |  |  |  |  |
|           |          | mitigate against flood risk.   |  |  |                  |  |                                   |  |                               |  |  |  |  |
|           |          | 11. Applicants should work in partnership with Thames Water to manage surface water, divert existing sewers where applicable and                                       |  |  |                  |  |                                   |  |                               |  |  |  |  |
|           |          | ensure infrastructure upgrades are delivered ahead of the site being occupied. New connections into the Ilderton Road trunk sewer                                      |  |  |                  |  |                                   |  |                               |  |  |  |  |
|           |          | will not be allowed. As Bolina Road does not have capacity for the whole of the site, development should utilise the capacity at the south end of the site.            |  |  |                  |  |                                   |  |                               |  |  |  |  |
|           |          | South end of the site  | <b>7.</b>  |  |                  |  |                                   |  |                               |  |  |  |  |
| N 4N 4005 | Policy   | 40 Danas Otras (K  | an dan Trian a   |  |                  |  |                                   |  | Madification at a interest to |  |  |  |  |
| MM225     | LNA SA10 | 10 Besson Street (K  | ender mang   | jie)   |                  | Modifications to identify the strategic status of the policy |                                   |  |                               |  |  |  |  |
|           |          | Non-Strategic  | Strategic O  |  |                  |  |                                   | and the strategic objectives to        |                               |  |  |  |  |
|           |          | Policy   |  |  |                  | <u>/ with genuinely a<br/>les inequalities,</u>              | affordable homes,                 |  | which it relates. (AP7)       |  |  |  |  |
|           |          |  | D A greene   |  | only that tack   | ies irrequanties,  |                                   |  |                               |  |  |  |  |
|           |          |  | E Responding to the climate emergency, F Celebrating our local identity, G Healthy and safe communities,   |  |                  |  |                                   |  |                               |  |  |  |  |
|           |          |  |  |  |                  |  |                                   |  |                               |  |  |  |  |
|           |          |  | H Securing   | the timely c   | delivery of infi |  |                                   |  |                               |  |  |  |  |
|           |          | Site Address   | Land on the  | e rear of Bes  | sson Street, L   | ondon, SE14 5A   | E                                 |  |                               |  |  |  |  |
|           |          | Site Details   | Site size  | Setting  | PTAL             | Ownership  | Current use                       |  |                               |  |  |  |  |
|           |          |  | (ha) 1.01  | Urban  | 2015: 6a         | Public   | Vacant land                       |  |                               |  |  |  |  |
|           |          |  |  |  | 2021: 6a         |  |                                   |  |                               |  |  |  |  |
|           |          |  |  |  | 2031: 6a         |  |                                   |  |                               |  |  |  |  |
|           |          | How Site Was   | Site Allocat   | ion Local Pl   | an (2013) an     | d London SHLA  | A (2017)                          |  |                               |  |  |  |  |
|           |          | Identified   |  |  |                  |  |                                   |  |                               |  |  |  |  |
|           |          | Planning   |  |  |                  |  | ion for Tall Buildings, Local     |  |                               |  |  |  |  |
|           |          | Designations and   | Centre Conservation Area, Archaeological Priority Area, Air Quality Management Area, Air Quality Focus Area, Creative Enterprise Zone, adjacent to Local Centre, |  |                  |  |                                   |  |                               |  |  |  |  |
|           |          | Site<br>Considerations   |  | adjacent to Night-time Economy Hub, Flood Zone 1, 2, 3 |                  |  |                                   |  |                               |  |  |  |  |
|           |          |  |  |  |                  |  |                                   |  |                               |  |  |  |  |

|                          | Planning Status  | Full application  | on DC/19/11480  | 5 was granted co   | nsent in January 2022.   |   |  |  |  |
|--------------------------|--|---|---|--|--|---|--|--|--|
|                          | Timeframe for Delivery   | Years 1 – 5<br>Yes  | Years 6 – 10  | Years 11 – 15  | Beyond 15 years  |   |  |  |  |
|                          | Indicative<br>Development<br>Capacity  | Net residentia  | al units  | Gross non-res<br>Employment 0<br>Main town cer   |  |   |  |  |  |
| MM226 Policy<br>LNA SA11 | 11 Former Hatchan  Non-Strategic Policy  | Strategic Objeted B Housing to C A thriving to D A greener to E Responding F Celebrating G Healthy an | ectives: ilored to the composal economy the corough, g to the climate our local identified safe communications.                                   |  | Modification to align site name with other site allocations. (AP149)  Modifications to identify the strategic status of the policy and the strategic objectives to which it relates. (AP7)                                 |   |  |  |  |
|                          | Site Address Site Details  | New Cross G Site size (ha) 3.67   | ate Retail/Sains Setting PTA Urban 2019   |  | Cross Road, London, SE14 5UQ   | Modification to align with final proposed housing trajectory. |  |  |  |
|                          | How Site Was Identified  Planning Designations and Site Considerations  Planning Status  Timeframe for Delivery  Indicative Development Capacity | (2018) and N Opportunity A Conservation Nature Conse Direction, Air Zone, District 1, within 30m      | ew Cross Area Farea, Growth Colorea, Archaeolervation, Adjace Quality Manage Centre, Primary buffer of electrication withdrawn  Years 6 – 10  Yes | ridor, Appropriate ogical Priority Are nt to Strategic Operate Area, Air Quantum Shopping Area, city cable  Years 11 – 15  Yes | e Location for Tall Buildings, ea, adjacent to Site of Importance for en Space, Bakerloo Line Safeguarding uality Focus Area, Creative Enterprise Night-time Economy Hub, Flood Zone  Beyond 15 years  idential floorspace |   |  |  |  |

|       |                    | Main town centre 10,000   |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|-------|--------------------|---|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| MM227 | Paragraph<br>15.73 |   | Modification to provide the opportunity to consider the need for a replacement food store on site. (AP148)           |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|       |                    | more centrally in the site to minimise visual conflict with the heritage designed street frontages to the south and south east, and with the modestly scaled residential character of the areas to the east and west. In particular, there should be no tall buildings visible over the roofscape of New Cross Road as viewed from the junction with Lewisham Way. There should be no tall buildings close to New Cross Road so as to allow a contextual re-creation of the street frontage that protects and maintain the character of the street. Means of mitigating or minimising the impact of tall buildings on the setting of Hatcham and Telegraph Hill Conservation Area and on views from within them should include consideration of silhouette, location and footprint, materiality and elevational design.  b. There should be a positive relationship with the site's western edge that enhances the junction of Hatcham Park Road and access to the site, activates the east side of Harts Lane and preserves the character of Brighton Grove.  c. Given the low rise nature of the surroundings and the presence of designated conservation areas to the west, south and south east, proposals must be rigorously tested to demonstrate the impacts on the heritage assets in the vicinity, their setting and the neighbouring non-heritage designated townscape. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|       |                    | <ol> <li>The layout of the site should incorporate sufficient space to accommodate interchange between bus, tube, rail, cycling and walking.</li> <li>Development should provide for a range of unit sizes to accommodate a rich mix of main town centre uses, including the potential for a replacement food store, with flexibly specified units that can be adapted for a variety of end users.</li> <li>Transport for London and Network Rail should be consulted on development and design options. Development proposals should</li> </ol>  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|       |                    | maximise opportunities to enhance biodiversity within the green corridor that stretches along the railway line to the east of the site.  5. Applicants should work in partnership with Thames Water and engage with them early to manage surface water, divert existing sewers where applicable, allow access for maintenance and repair of sewers and ensure infrastructure upgrades are delivered ahead of the site being occupied through a housing phasing plan. New connections into either of the trunk sewers crossing the site will not be allowed. The existing connection at the north end of the site is the most likely connection point.   |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| MM228 | Policy<br>LNA SA12 | Non-Strategic Policy B Housing tailored to the community with genuinely affordable homes, C A thriving local economy that tackles inequalities, D A greener borough, E Responding to the climate emergency, F Celebrating our local identity, G Healthy and safe communities, H Securing the timely delivery of infrastructure.   | Modifications to identify the strategic status of the policy and the strategic objectives to which it relates. (AP7) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|       |                    | Site Address Former Goods Yard at 29 and 23-27 New Cross Road, London, SE14 6BL   |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

|       |          | How Site Was Identified  Planning Designations and Site Considerations | (2018) and I<br>Opportunity<br>Archaeologi<br>Creative En                    | New Cross Area, Appr cal Priority terprise Zor   | Area Frame<br>opriate Loca<br>Area, Air Qu<br>ne, District C  | work (2019)<br>tion For Tall<br>ality Manage<br>entre, Prima | AA (20<br>Buildin<br>ement A   | Current use  Retail, industrial, vacant land  17), Lewisham Call for Sites  gs, Conservation Area, Area, Air Quality Focus Area, oping Area, Night-time otection Zone 3, within 30m |  |   |  |  |  |
|-------|----------|--|--|--|---|--|--------------------------------|---|--|---|--|--|--|
|       |          | Planning Status  |  |  |   | o Strategic C  |                                |   |  |   |  |  |  |
|       |          | Timeframe for Delivery   | Years 1 – 5  | Years 6  | 6 – 10 Yea  | ars 11 – 15  | Beyon                          | d 15 years  |  |   |  |  |  |
|       |          | Indicative<br>Development<br>Capacity                                  | Net resident   | ial units  | Em  | ployment 3,5   | 550                            | ·   |  |   |  |  |  |
| MM229 | Policy   | 13 Achilles Street   |  |  |   | Modifications to identify the                                |                                |   |  |   |  |  |  |
|       | LNA SA13 | Non-Strategic<br>Policy  | B Housing to C A thriving D A greener E Responding F Celebrating G Healthy a | Strategic Objectives:  B Housing tailored to the community with genuinely affordable homes, C A thriving local economy that tackles inequalities, D A greener borough, E Responding to the climate emergency, F Celebrating our local identity, G Healthy and safe communities, H Securing the timely delivery of infrastructure.  strategic status of the and the strategic ob which it relates. (A Which it relates. ( |   |  |                                |   |  |   |  |  |  |
|       |          | Site Address   | New Cross I  | Road, SE14   | 4 6AT   |  |                                |   |  | (LC10), arising from the uplift   |  |  |  |
|       |          | Site Details   | Site size<br>(ha)<br>1.40  | Setting<br>Urban   | 2015: 6a<br>2021: 6a  | Ownership<br>Public and<br>private<br>(leasehold)            |                                | Current use<br>Residential, main town centre<br>uses  |  | in housing supply work and to align with final proposed housing trajectory. |  |  |  |
|       |          | How Site Was Identified Planning Designations and Site Considerations  | adjacent to (<br>Space, Air C<br>Zone, Distric                               | Area, Appr<br>Conservation<br>Quality Man<br>Cott Centre, P  | ropriate Loca<br>on Area, adja<br>agement Are<br>Primary Shop | tion for Tall E<br>cent to Liste<br>ea, Air Qualit           | d Build<br>y Focu<br>light-tin | gs, Archaeological Priority Area,<br>ing, adjacent to Strategic Open<br>is Area, Creative Enterprise<br>ne Economy Hub, Flood Zone<br>arter   | Modification to clarify the factual position on ownership across the site. |   |  |  |  |

|                          | Planning Status                               | Pre-application                | on                                |  |  |          |                                 |
|--------------------------|---|--------------------------------|-----------------------------------|--|--|----------|---------------------------------|
|                          | Timeframe for Delivery                        | Years 1 – 5<br>Yes             | Years 6 –<br>10<br><del>Yes</del> | Years 11 – 15<br>Yes   | Beyond 15 years  |          |                                 |
|                          | Indicative Development Capacity               | Net residentia                 | al units                          | Gross non-res<br>Employment 0<br>Main town cer               |  |          |                                 |
| MM230 Policy<br>LNA SA14 | 14 Former Deptford                            | d Green School                 | (Upper Scho                       |  | Modifications to identify the strategic status of the policy |          |                                 |
|                          | Non-Strategic                                 | Strategic Obje                 | ectives:                          |  |  |          | and the strategic objectives to |
|                          | Policy  |                                |                                   | ommunity with a  | enuinely affordable homes,                                   |          | which it relates. (AP7)         |
|                          | <u> </u>                                      | D A greener b                  |                                   |  | , ,  |          |                                 |
|                          |   |                                |                                   | te emergency,  |  |          |                                 |
|                          |   |                                | our local ide                     |  |  |          |                                 |
|                          |   |                                | d safe commu                      |  |  |          |                                 |
|                          |   |                                |                                   | very of infrastruct  | ure  |          |                                 |
|                          | Site Address                                  |                                |                                   |  | rsham Vale, London, SE14 6LQ                                 |          |                                 |
|                          | Site Details                                  |                                | 202                               | AL Owners<br>15: 5 Public<br>21: 5<br>31: 5                  | Current use Former school                                    |          |                                 |
|                          | How Site Was Identified                       | Site Allocatio                 | ns Local Plan                     |  | am Call for Sites (2015) and London                          |          |                                 |
|                          | Planning Designations and Site Considerations | Opportunity A<br>Enterprise Zo | Área, Regenei<br>one, Air Qualit  | ration Node, Arch<br>by Management A<br>of to Strategic Ope  |  |          |                                 |
|                          | Planning Status                               | Full application               | on DC/15/095                      | 027 granted in J   | uly 2018. Now complete.                                      |          |                                 |
|                          | Timeframe for Delivery                        | Years 1 – 5<br>Yes             |                                   |  | Beyond 15 years  |          |                                 |
|                          | Indicative<br>Development<br>Capacity         | Net residentia                 | al units                          | Gross non-res Employment 0 Main town cer                     |  |          |                                 |
|                          |   |                                |                                   | -  |  | <u> </u> |                                 |
| MM231 Policy<br>LNA SA15 | 15 Albany Theatre                             |                                |                                   | Modifications to identify the strategic status of the policy |  |          |                                 |
|                          | Non-Strategic                                 | Strategic Obje                 | ectives:                          |  | and the strategic objectives to                              |          |                                 |
|                          | Policy  |                                |                                   | ommunity with a  | enuinely affordable homes,                                   |          | which it relates. (AP7)         |
|                          |   |                                |                                   | that tackles ined  |  |          | ` '                             |
|                          |   | D A greener b                  |                                   |  | <u>,</u>   |          | Modification in accordance      |
|                          |   |                                |                                   | te emergency,  |  |          | with the Council's response to  |

|       |                    |  |  | MIQ 18.13, MIQ 18.23 and the<br>Additional Housing Supply<br>Background Paper 2024 |   |                   |   |  |  |  |  |  |
|-------|--------------------|--|--|--|---|-------------------|---|--|--|--|--|--|
|       |                    | Site Address   | Douglas Way  |  | elivery of infrastru<br>SE8 4AG               |                   |   |  | (LC10), arising from the uplift  |  |  |  |
|       |                    | Site Details   | Site size  | Setting<br>Central   | PTAL O  | wnership<br>rivat | Current use<br>Theatre  |  | in housing supply work and to align with final proposed housing trajectory.      |  |  |  |
|       |                    | How Site Was Identified  | London SHL   | AA (2017) a  |   |                   |   |  |  |  |  |  |
|       |                    | Planning Designations and Site Considerations  | Opportunity Area, Air Qua<br>Enterprise Zo<br>Economy Hu   | ality Manag<br>one, Flood 2  |   |                   |   |  |  |  |  |  |
|       |                    | Planning Status Timeframe for Delivery   | None<br>Years 1 – 5  | Years 6  | <ul> <li>Years 11 – 1</li> <li>Yes</li> </ul> | 5 Beyond          | 15 years  |  |  |  |  |  |
|       |                    | Indicative<br>Development<br>Capacity  | Net residenti  | <u>Yes</u><br>al units   | Gross non-r<br>Employmen<br>Main town c       | t 758             | orspace   |  |  |  |  |  |
| MM232 | Paragraph<br>15.90 | 15.90 Development  1. Development musite, including the Acomprehensive dev  2. Retention or approximately infrastrum.  3. Development music Ramp.  4. Delivery of new accommunity in frastrum. | st delivered in albany Theatre elopment).  ropriate re-producture) and has st be designed                                    | Modification to reflect comments received during the Regulation 19 consultation.   |   |                   |   |  |  |  |  |  |
|       |                    | 5. Positive frontages  | . Positive frontages along Douglas Way and Idonia Street to protect and enhance the amenity of the market along Douglas Way. |  |   |                   |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| MM233 | Paragraph<br>15.91 | in the northern part   | nassing and h<br>of the site, or a   | any re-prov  | ided open space,                              | and the resid     | eptable level of over shadowidential properties to the northwhere the building would need |  | Modification to reflect comments received during the Regulation 19 consultation. |  |  |  |

|                          |   |   |   |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |
|--------------------------|---|---|---|--|--|--|--|--|---|
|                          | into the development public realm and the into account the the into | nt wherever pore market at Do eatre's out of heatre is a non-dest of the site. The estored as part agardens are New deep diverting the control of the site. | essible, taking uglas Way. Ours' accesses esignated here the east of the Depth sighbourhood ership with ting sewers | ng into acco<br>Developm<br>s and servi-<br>eritage asse<br>f the site lie<br>ford Market<br>od Open Sp<br>Thames W<br>where app | ount the ope ent should be cing needs, et of historicates the Grade Yard developace and developace and englicable and englicab | rationa<br>e desi<br>in line<br>al, soc<br>e II liste<br>opmen<br>velopn<br>gage v<br>ensure | uglas Way, with active ground al requirements of the theatre a gned to protect the amenity of the with the Agent of Change princial and cultural significance. Due to Deptford Ramp, the oldest set. The site also lies within an Ament proposals will be consider with them early to minimise imples infrastructure upgrades are deed Douglas Way trunk sewer with the means of the consider of the consideration of the consideratio | nd the need to protect the esidential properties, taking ciple. eptford Conservation Area arviving railway structure in rea of Archaeological ed with reference to GR2 acts on groundwater, elivered ahead of the site |   |
| MM234 Policy<br>LNA SA16 | 16 Land north of Re   | eginald Road a  | Modifications to identify the strategic status of the policy  |  |  |  |  |  |   |
|                          | Non-Strategic Policy  | Strategic Obj<br>B Housing ta<br>D A greener<br>E Respondin<br>F Celebrating<br>G Healthy an<br>H Securing t  | ilored to the<br>borough,<br>g to the clin<br>g our local in<br>d safe com  | and the strategic objectives to which it relates. (AP7)  |  |  |  |  |   |
|                          | Site Address  | Land North o  | f Reginald  | Road and S   | South of Frai  |  |  |  |   |
|                          | Site Details  | Site size<br>(ha) 1.26  | Setting<br>Urban  | PTAL<br>2015: 5<br>2021: 6a<br>2031: 6a  | Ownersh<br>Public  | ip   | Current use Former school, caretakers house  |  |   |
|                          | How Site Was Identified   | Site Allocation   | ns Local Pl   | an (2013) a  | ind London   |  |  |  |   |
|                          | Planning Designations and Site Considerations   | Opportunity Area, Air Qua<br>Zone, Distric<br>Protection Zo   | ality Manage<br>t Centre, Nig   |  |  |  |  |  |   |
|                          | Planning Status   | Full applicati  | on DC/16/0  | 95039 gran   | ted in July 2  | 2018. \$   | Started construction.  |  |   |
|                          | Timeframe for Delivery  | Years 1 – 5<br>Yes  | Years 6   | – 10 Yea   | ars 11 – 15  | Beyo   | nd 15 years  |  |   |

|       |                     | Indicative Development Capacity                                       | Net residential units 193   | Gross non-residential floorspace Employment 0 Main town centre 0   |   |
|-------|---------------------|---|---|--|---|
| MM235 | Policy<br>LNA SA17  | 17 Lower Creekside  Non-Strategic Policy                              | Strategic Objectives:  B Housing tailored to the C A thriving local econom D A greener borough,   | Modifications to identify the strategic status of the policy and the strategic objectives to which it relates. (AP7)  Modification in accordance   |   |
|       |                     | Site Address Site Details   | (ha) Central 20   | entity,<br>unities,<br>very of infrastructure.   | with the Council's response to MIQ 18.13, MIQ 18.23 and the Additional Housing Supply Background Paper 2024 (LC10), arising from the uplift in housing supply work and to align with final proposed housing trajectory. |
|       |                     | How Site Was Identified Planning Designations and Site Considerations | Site Allocations Local Pla<br>Sites (2018<br>Opportunity Area, Regene<br>Industrial Site, Archaeolog<br>Importance for Nature Co<br>Area, Creative Enterprise | ration Node, Conservation Area, Locally Significant gical Priority Area, Waterlink Way, adjacent to Site of nservation, Air Quality Management Area, Air Quality Focus Zone, Cultural Quarter, Flood Zone 3, adjacent to River nces adjacent to site, Groundwater Source Protection Zone | Modification to update the capacity of the site allocation.   |
|       |                     | Planning Status  Timeframe for Delivery                               |   | kside DC/18/106708 was granted consent in May 2020. complete. Pre-applications and applications for remainder of  Years 11 – 15 Beyond 15 years  |   |
|       |                     | Indicative Development Capacity  Remaining units to be delivered      | Net residential units  162-227  171   | Gross non-residential floorspace  Employment 8,201  Main town centre 0   |   |
| MM236 | Paragraph<br>15.100 | 15.100 Developmen   | nt requirements   |  | Modification to clarify how developers engage with other  |

|       |           | 1. Landowner must work in partnership and in accordance with a masterplan, to ensure the appropriate co-location, phasing and balance of employment and other uses across the site and the emerging character of consented development schemes, in line with Policy DM3 (Masterplans and comprehensive development). It is the responsibility of the lead landowner/ developer (who is bringing forward the site-wide master plan) to demonstrate that they have taken all reasonable efforts to undertake positive and meaningful                             | land interests on the site allocation.   |
|-------|-----------|--|--|
|       |           | engagement with other relevant neighbour land interests.   |  |
|       |           | 2. Development must not result in a net loss of industrial capacity, or compromise the function of the employment location, in line with Policy EC6 (Locally Significant Industrial Sites).  |  |
|       |           | 3. Positive frontages along Deptford Creek, Deptford Church Street and Creekside, with active ground floor frontages incorporated into the strong street edge provided by the historic boundary walls.   |  |
|       |           | 4. Delivery of new and improved public realm and open space, in accordance with a site-wide public realm strategy, including: a. Waterside access and amenity space, with provision of a new public path along Deptford Creek linking to Waterlink Way, b. widened pavements at pinch points in Creekside, and c. the creation of new yards behind the street frontage. d. better inter-connectivity with Deptford High Street through a green corridor.   |  |
|       |           | 5. Development proposals must protect and seek to enhance green infrastructure, including the SINC, the intertidal terrace and the sand martin bank at Deptford Creek, taking into account the River Corridor Improvement Plan SPD.  |  |
|       |           | 6. Development proposals must protect and sustain the existing boat houses, Lewisham's boat dwelling community, as this distinctive riverside setting forms part of one of the important characteristics of the Creekside Conservation Area.   |  |
| MM237 | Paragraph | 15.101 Development guidelines  | Modification in accordance   |
|       | 15.101    | 1. Non-employment uses, including residential uses, must be sensitively integrated into the development in order to ensure the protection of amenity for all site users, along with safe and convenient access. This will require careful consideration of the operational requirements of existing and potential future employment uses.  | with the dialogue with development partners and the signed Statement of Common Ground. |
|       |           | 2. Development proposals should prioritise the integration of new workspace, artists' studio space and cultural facilities <u>and the reprovision of existing uses such as bar/cafe</u> that contribute to the Deptford Creekside Cultural Quarter and other uses, including residential uses, must not adversely impact on the Cultural Quarter, in line with the Agent of Change principle.  |  |
|       |           | 3. The development should be designed having regard to the character and appearance of the Conservation Area, the buildings within it that make a positive contribution, the green and open spaces that are integral to the character of the Crossfield's estate and provide amenity for residents and the Creek itself.   |  |
|       |           | 4. Building heights will need to have regard to impacts on the existing boundaries that make a positive contribution to the character and appearance of the Creekside Conservation Area, (including the historic Crossfield's Estate) and create a drop in scale from the heights on Deptford Church Street. They should also have regard to the setting of Grade I Listed St Paul's Church in Deptford, grade II listed Mumford's Mill (RBG), grade II listed Railway Viaduct and the setting of Deptford High Street and St Paul's Church Conservation Area. |  |
|       |           | 5. Development should be informed by an understanding of the site's historic significance, in particular it's past river related industrial activity, and respond to this through improv-ing access to the Creek, creating new views of it and of significant historic buildings on its  |  |

| banks, creating apportunities for river related occupations, and revealing its history and presence through art, design, materials, layout and uses.  6. Applicants should work in partnership with the Environment Agency and engage with them early at pre-application stage, to mitigate against flood risk.  7. Applicants should work in partnership with Thames Water and engage with them early to minimise impacts on groundwater, manage surface water, divert existing severs where applicable, and ensure infrastructure upgrades are delivered ahead of the site boing occupied through a housing phasing plan. There is potential for discharge into Deptord Creek inking to the compromise the established boating community, including:  8. Delivery of new and improved public realm and open aspec, in accordance with a site-wide public realm strategy, being mindfull not compromise the established boating community, including:  9. Well-water inter-commodity with Deptored High Streat Industrial, and Calletin Inter-commodity with Deptored High Streat Industrial, with a provision of a new public path along Deptord Creek linking to Waterlink Way,  1. What Maked-Vee Employment Location (including Network Rail Arches)  8. Streated Deptor of the variety of the Calletines of the policy and the strategic status of  | <br>  |                         |                                     |                     |                      |                                |                              |                            |  |  |  |
|--|---|-------------------------|-------------------------------------|---------------------|----------------------|--------------------------------|------------------------------|----------------------------|--|--|--|
| against flood risk.  7. Applicants should work in partnership with Thames Water and engage with them early to minimise impacts on groundwater, manage surface water, divert existing sewers where applicable, and ensure infrastructure upgrades are delivered ahead of the site being occupied through a housing phasing plan. There is potential for discharge into Deptford Creek.  8. Delivery of new and improved public realm and open space, in accordance with a site-wide public realm strategy, being mindfull not to compromise the established boating community, including:  a. Waterside access and amenity space, with provision of a new public path along Deptford Creek linking to Waterlink Way.  b. widened pawements at brinch points in Creekside.  c. the creation of new yards behind the street fromage, and d. better inter-connectively with Deptford High Street through a green cordor.  d. butter inter-connectively with Deptford High Street through a green cordor.  18 Sun Wharf Mixed-Use Employment Location (including Network Rail Arches)  Non-Strategic  Strategic Objectives:  18 Union Waterlink Way.  18 Sun Wharf Mixed-Use Employment Location (including Network Rail Arches)  Non-Strategic  Policy  Strategic Objectives:  18 Housing tallored to the community with genuinely affordable homes.  A thriving local economy that tockles insqualities.  19 Housing tallored to the community with genuinely affordable homes.  C. Repending to the collection of the community with genuinely affordable homes.  Site Details  Site Details  Site Section of the collection of the collecti | •                     | irt, design, materials, |                                     |                     |                      |                                |                              |                            |  |  |  |
| manage surface water, divert existing sewers where applicable, and ensure infrastructure upgrades are delivered ahead of the site being occupied through a housing phasing plan. There is potential for discharge into Deptrod Creek.  8. Delivery of new and improved public realm and open space, in accordance with a site-wide public realm strategy, being mindful not to compromise the established boating community, including:  a. Waterside access and amenity space, with provision of a new public path along Deptrod Creek linking to Waterlink Way.  b. widered pavements at pinch points in Creekside.  c. the creation of new yards behind the street frontage, and d. better inter-connectivity with Deptrod High Street through a green corridor.  In a fact through a green corridor.  In a fact water of the provision of a new public path along Deptrod Creek linking to Waterlink Way.  In a fact water of the control of the cont |   | d work in partne        | rship with the Enviro               | nment Agei          | ncy and eng          | age with them early at pre-app | olication stage, to mitigate |                            |  |  |  |
| to compromise the established boating community, including: a. Waterside access and amenity space, with provision of a new public path along Deptford Creek linking to Waterlink Way. b. widened pavements at princh points in Creekside. c. the creation of new yards behind the street frontage, and d. better inter-connectivity with Deptford High Street through a green corridor.  MM238  Policy LNA SA18  Modifications to identify the strategic objectives to which it relates. (AP7)  Modification in accordance with the Council's response to which it relates. (AP7)  Modification in accordance with the Council's response to which it relates. (AP7)  Modification in accordance with the Council's response to Mind 18.13, MIC 18.23 and the Additional Housing Supply Background Paper 2024  (LC10), ansing from the uplitt in housing Supply Background Paper 2024  (LC10), ansing from the uplitt in housing Supply Private  Industrial, wharf  How Site Was  Identified  Planning Designations and Site Location, adjacent Conservation Area, Archaeological Priority Area, adjacent to Site of Importance for Nature Conservation Area, Archaeological Priority Area, adjacent to River Ravensbourne, Tidal Gelences adjacent to Site, Groundwater Source Profection Zone  September 2022 was conscidered at Strategic Plann | manage surface wa   | ater, divert exist      | ng sewers where ap                  | plicable, ar        | nd ensure in         | frastructure upgrades are del  | <u> </u>                     |                            |  |  |  |
| b. widened pavements at pinch points in Creekside, c. the creation of new yards behind the street frontage, and d. better Inter-connectivity with Deptired High Street through a green corridor,  18 Sun Wharf Mixed-Use Employment Location (including Network Rail Arches)  18 Non-Strategic Policy  Non-Strategic Policy  Non-Strategic Policy  Strategic Objectives: B Housing tailored to the community with genuinely affordable homes, C A thriving local economy that tackles inequalities. D A greener borough, E Responding to the climate emergency, F Celebrating our local identity, G Healthy and safe communities, H Securing the timely delivery of infrastructure.  Site Address  Cockpit Arts Centre and Sun Wharf, 18-2 2 Creekside, London, SE8 3DZ  Site Details  Site Details  Site Side Stating PTAL (ha) 1,00 2031: 0-3-3-4 1,00 2031: 0-3-3-4 1,00 2031: 0-3-3-4 1,00 2031: 0-3-3-4 1,00 2031: 0-3-3-4 2031: 0-3-3-4 2031: 0-3-3-4 1,00 2031: 0-3-3-4 2031: 0-3-3 | to compromise the established boating community, including: |                         |                                     |                     |                      |                                |                              |                            |  |  |  |
| c. the creation of new yards behind the street frontage, and d. better inter-connectivity with Deptford High Street through a green corridor.  MM238 Policy LNA SA18 Policy LN |   | •                       |                                     | or a new p          | <u>ublic path al</u> | ong Depπora Creek linking to   | <u>vvateriink vvay,</u>      |                            |  |  |  |
| Policy LNA SA18   Policy LNA SA18   Policy LNA SA18   Sun Wharf Mixed-Use Employment Location (including Network Rail Arches)   Strategic Objectives:   B Housing tailored to the community with genuinely affordable homes,   C A thriving local economy that tackles inequalities,   D A greener borough,   E Responding to the climate emergency,   E Responding to the    |   |                         |                                     |                     |                      |                                |                              |                            |  |  |  |
| Policy LNA SA18    Policy LNA SA18   | -   | •                       |                                     |                     |                      |                                |                              |                            |  |  |  |
| Non-Strategic Policy  Strategic Objectives: B Housing tailored to the community with genuinely affordable homes, C A thriving local economy that tackles inequalities. D A greener borough, E Responding to the climate emergency. F Celebrating our local identity. G Healthy and safe communities. H Securing the timely delivery of infrastructure. Site Address Cockpit Arts Centre and Sun Wharf, 18-2 2 Creekside, London, SE8 3DZ Site Details Site Details Site Setting   PTAL   Ownership   Current use   Industrial, wharf   |   |                         |                                     |                     |                      |                                |                              |                            |  |  |  |
| Non-Strategic   Policy   Strategic Objectives:   B Housing tailored to the community with genuinely affordable homes, C A thriving local economy that tackles inequalities.   D A greener borough, E Responding to the climate emergency, F Celebrating our local identity, G Healthy and safe communities, H Securing the timely delivery of infrastructure.   Site Address   Cockpit Arts Centre and Sun Wharf, 18-2 2 Creekside, London, SE8 3DZ   Site Details   Site Setting   FTAL   Ownership   Current use   Industrial, wharf   Size   Urban   2015: 0-3 3-4   2015   | 18 Sun Wharf Mixe   | d-Use Employr           | nent Location (includ               | ling Netwo          | rk Rail Arch         | es)                            |                              |                            |  |  |  |
| B Housing tailored to the community with genuinely affordable homes, C A thriving local economy that tackles inequalities. D A greener borouch, E Responding to the climate emergency, F Celebrating our local identity, G Healthy and safe communities, H Securing the timely delivery of infrastructure.  Cockpit Arts Centre and Sun Wharf, 18-2 2 Creekside, London, SE8 3DZ  Site Address Cockpit Arts Centre and Sun Wharf, 18-2 2 Creekside, London, SE8 3DZ  Site Details Site Setting PTAL Site Urban 2015: 0-3 3-4 (ha) 2015: 0-3 3-4 (ha) 2015: 0-3 3-4 1.00  Site Adlocations Local Plan (2013) and London SHLAA (2017)  Identified  Planning Designations and Site Considerations  Site Adlocations Local Plan (2013) and London SHLAA (2017)  Besignations and Site Considerations  Planning Status  Planning Status  Full application DC/22/125674 for Cockpit Arts Centre was granted in May 2022. Full application DC/20/118229 was considered at Strategic Planning Committee-on 1st September 2022-was granted in August 2023  Timeframe for Vears 1 - 5 Vears 6 Vears 11 - 15 Beyond 15 years  | Non-Strategic   | Strategic Obie          | ctives:                             |                     |                      |                                |                              | . ,                        |  |  |  |
| C A thriving local economy that tackles inequalities, D A greener borough E Responding to the climate emergency, F Celebrating our local identity, G Healthy and safe communities, H Securing the timely delivery of infrastructure.  Site Address Cockpit Arts Centre and Sun Wharf, 18-2 2 Creekside, London, SE8 3DZ Site Details Site Details Site Details Site Noting PTAL 1.00 2015: 0-3 3-4 1.00 2031: 0-3 3-4 1.00 2031: 0-3 3-4 2021: 0-3-3 |   |                         |                                     | itv with gen        | uinely affor         | dable homes.                   |                              | 9                          |  |  |  |
| D A greener borough, E Responding to the climate emergency, F Celebrating our local identity, G Healthy and safe communities, H Securing the timely delivery of infrastructure, Site Address Cockpit Arts Centre and Sun Wharf, 18-2 2 Creekside, London, SE8 3DZ Site Details Site Setting PTAL size Ulban 2015: 9-3 3-4 2031: 9-3  | <u> </u>  | _                       |                                     |                     | •                    | <u></u>                        |                              |                            |  |  |  |
| E Responding to the climate emergency, F Celebrating our local identity, G Healthy and safe communities. H Securing the timely delivery of infrastructure.  Site Address   Cockpit Arts Centre and Sun Wharf, 18-2 2 Creekside, London, SE8 3DZ    Site Details   Site   Setting   PTAL   Current use   Industrial, wharf    How Site Was   London SHLAA (2017)   Location, adjacent to Site   Considerations   Considerations   Considerations   Considerations   Considerations   Considerations   Considerations   Considerations   Consideration   Conside |   |                         |                                     | Mics irrequ         | antics,              |                                |                              | Modification in accordance |  |  |  |
| F Celebrating our local identity, G Healthy and safe communities, H Securing the timely delivery of infrastructure.   Site Address   Cockpit Arts Centre and Sun Wharf, 18-2 2 Creekside, London, SE8 3DZ  |   |                         |                                     | non ev              |                      |                                |                              |                            |  |  |  |
| Additional Housing Supply Background Paper 2024  |   | -                       |                                     | g <del>errey,</del> |                      |                                |                              |                            |  |  |  |
| H Securing the timely delivery of infrastructure.   Site Address   Cockpit Arts Centre and Sun Wharf, 18-2 2 Creekside, London, SE8 3DZ   (LC10), arising from the uplift in housing supply work.  |   |                         |                                     |                     |                      |                                |                              | · ·                        |  |  |  |
| Site Address  Cockpit Arts Centre and Sun Wharf, 18-2 2 Creekside, London, SE8 3DZ  Site Details  Site Setting PTAL 2015: 0-3 3-4 2015: 0-3 2015 |   |                         |                                     |                     |                      |                                |                              |                            |  |  |  |
| Site Details  Site Setting size   Urban (ha)   2015 0-3 3-4   2021: 0-3 3-4    |   |                         |                                     |                     |                      |                                |                              |                            |  |  |  |
| Size (ha)   2015: 0-3 3-4   2021: 0-3-3-4      |   |                         |                                     |                     |                      |                                |                              |                            |  |  |  |
| How Site Was Identified Planning Designations and Site Considerations Planning Status Planning | Site Details  |                         |                                     |                     | hip                  |                                |                              | <b>3</b> ,                 |  |  |  |
| How Site Was Identified  Planning Designations and Site Considerations  Planning Status  Planning Status  Planning Conservation Area, Archaeological Priority Area, adjacent to Site of Importance for Nature Conservation, Waterlink Way, Air Quality Management Area, Creative Enterprise Zone, Cultural Quarter, Flood Zone 3, adjacent to River Ravensbourne, Tidal defences adjacent to site, Groundwater Source Protection Zone 3  Planning Status  Full application DC/22/125674 for Cockpit Arts Centre was granted in May 2022. Full application DC/20/118229 was considered at Strategic Planning Committee on 1st September 2022 was granted in August 2023  Timeframe for Delivery  Years 1 – 5  Years 6 – Years 11 – 15  Beyond 15 years  |   | size Urba               | an 2015: <del>0-3</del> <u>3-4</u>  | Private             |                      | Industrial, wharf              |                              | (AP156)                    |  |  |  |
| How Site Was Identified  Planning Designations and Site Considerations  Planning Status  Planning Status  Planning Conservation Area, Archaeological Priority Area, adjacent to Site of Importance for Nature Conservation, Waterlink Way, Air Quality Management Area, Creative Enterprise Zone, Cultural Quarter, Flood Zone 3, adjacent to River Ravensbourne, Tidal defences adjacent to site, Groundwater Source Protection Zone 3  Planning Status  Full application DC/22/125674 for Cockpit Arts Centre was granted in May 2022. Full application DC/20/118229 was considered at Strategic Planning Committee on 1st September 2022 was granted in August 2023  Timeframe for Delivery  Years 1 – 5  Years 6 – Years 11 – 15  Beyond 15 years  |   | (ha)                    | 2021: <del>0-3</del> -3-4           |                     |                      |                                |                              |                            |  |  |  |
| Identified   Planning   Designations and   Site   Considerations   Considerations   Considerations   Planning   Considerations   Considerations   Planning   Status   Full application   DC/22/125674 for Cockpit Arts Centre was granted in May 2022. Full application   DC/20/118229   Was considered at Strategic Planning Committee on 1st   September 2022 was granted in August 2023   September 2022 was granted in August 2023   Timeframe for Delivery   Pears 1 - 5   Pears 6 - Pears 11 - 15   Pears 11 - 15   Pears 11 - 15   Pears 11 - 15   Pears 12   Pears 13   Pears 14   Pears 14   Pears 15   Pears 15   Pears 15   Pears 16   Pears 16   Pears 16   Pears 17   Pears 17   Pears 17   Pears 17   Pears 17   Pears 18     |   |                         | 2031: <del>0-3</del> <del>3-4</del> |                     |                      |                                |                              |                            |  |  |  |
| Identified   Planning   Designations and   Site   Considerations   Considerations   Considerations   Planning   Considerations   Considerations   Planning   Status   Full application   DC/22/125674 for Cockpit Arts Centre was granted in May 2022. Full application   DC/20/118229   Was considered at Strategic Planning Committee on 1st   September 2022 was granted in August 2023   September 2022 was granted in August 2023   Timeframe for Delivery   Pears 1 - 5   Pears 6 - Pears 11 - 15   Pears 11 - 15   Pears 11 - 15   Pears 11 - 15   Pears 12   Pears 13   Pears 14   Pears 14   Pears 15   Pears 15   Pears 15   Pears 16   Pears 16   Pears 16   Pears 17   Pears 17   Pears 17   Pears 17   Pears 17   Pears 18     |   |                         |                                     |                     |                      |                                |                              |                            |  |  |  |
| Identified   Planning   Designations and   Site   Considerations   Considerations   Considerations   Planning   Considerations   Considerations   Planning   Status   Full application   DC/22/125674 for Cockpit Arts Centre was granted in May 2022. Full application   DC/20/118229   Was considered at Strategic Planning Committee on 1st   September 2022 was granted in August 2023   September 2022 was granted in August 2023   Timeframe for Delivery   Pears 1 - 5   Pears 6 - Pears 11 - 15   Pears 11 - 15   Pears 11 - 15   Pears 11 - 15   Pears 12   Pears 13   Pears 14   Pears 14   Pears 15   Pears 15   Pears 15   Pears 16   Pears 16   Pears 16   Pears 17   Pears 17   Pears 17   Pears 17   Pears 17   Pears 18     | How Site Was  | Site Allocation         | s Local Plan (2013)                 | and Londo           | n SHLAA (2           | 2017)                          |                              |                            |  |  |  |
| Planning Designations and Site Considerations  Planning Status  Planning Status  Full application DC/20/118229 was considered at Strategic Planning Committee on 1st September 2022-was granted in August 2023  Timeframe for Delivery  Opportunity Area, Appropriate Location for Tall Buildings, Mixed-Use Employment Location, adjacent Conservation Area, Archaeological Priority Area, adjacent to Site of Importance for Nature Conservation, Waterlink Way, Air Quality Management Area, Creative Enterprise Zone, Cultural Quarter, Flood Zone 3, adjacent to River Ravensbourne, Tidal defences adjacent to site, Groundwater Source Protection Zone 3  Full application DC/22/125674 for Cockpit Arts Centre was granted in May 2022. Full application DC/20/118229 was considered at Strategic Planning Committee on 1st September 2022-was granted in August 2023  Timeframe for Delivery  Years 1 – 5  Years 6 – Years 11 – 15  Beyond 15 years   |   |                         | ( )                                 |                     | - (                  | - ,                            |                              |                            |  |  |  |
| Designations and Site  Considerations  Location, adjacent Conservation Area, Archaeological Priority Area, adjacent to Site of Importance for Nature Conservation, Waterlink Way, Air Quality Management Area, Creative Enterprise Zone, Cultural Quarter, Flood Zone 3, adjacent to River Ravensbourne, Tidal defences adjacent to site, Groundwater Source Protection Zone  Planning Status  Full application DC/22/125674 for Cockpit Arts Centre was granted in May 2022. Full application DC/20/118229 was considered at Strategic Planning Committee on 1st September 2022-was granted in August 2023  Timeframe for Delivery  Years 1 - 5  Years 6 - Years 11 - 15  Beyond 15 years   |   | Opportunity A           |                                     |                     |                      |                                |                              |                            |  |  |  |
| Site Considerations Importance for Nature Conservation, Waterlink Way, Air Quality Management Area, Creative Enterprise Zone, Cultural Quarter, Flood Zone 3, adjacent to River Ravensbourne, Tidal defences adjacent to site, Groundwater Source Protection Zone 3  Full application DC/22/125674 for Cockpit Arts Centre was granted in May 2022. Full application DC/20/118229 was considered at Strategic Planning Committee on 1st September 2022 was granted in August 2023  Timeframe for Delivery Years 1 – 5 Years 6 – Years 11 – 15 Beyond 15 years  |   |                         |                                     |                     |                      |                                |                              |                            |  |  |  |
| Considerations  Creative Enterprise Zone, Cultural Quarter, Flood Zone 3, adjacent to River Ravensbourne, Tidal defences adjacent to site, Groundwater Source Protection Zone  Planning Status  Full application DC/22/125674 for Cockpit Arts Centre was granted in May 2022. Full application DC/20/118229 was considered at Strategic Planning Committee on 1st  September 2022 was granted in August 2023  Timeframe for Delivery  Years 1 – 5  Years 6 – Years 11 – 15  Beyond 15 years  Beyond 15 years  | •   |                         |                                     |                     |                      |                                |                              |                            |  |  |  |
| Ravensbourne, Tidal defences adjacent to site, Groundwater Source Protection Zone  Planning Status Full application DC/22/125674 for Cockpit Arts Centre was granted in May 2022. Full application DC/20/118229 was considered at Strategic Planning Committee on 1st  September 2022 was granted in August 2023  Timeframe for Delivery Years 1 – 5 Years 6 – Years 11 – 15 Beyond 15 years Beyond 15 years   |   | •                       |                                     |                     |                      |                                |                              |                            |  |  |  |
| Planning Status Full application DC/22/125674 for Cockpit Arts Centre was granted in May 2022. Full application DC/20/118229 was considered at Strategic Planning Committee on 1st September 2022 was granted in August 2023  Timeframe for Delivery Years 1 – 5 Years 6 – Years 11 – 15 Beyond 15 years Beyond 15 years   | Considerations  |                         |                                     |                     |                      |                                |                              |                            |  |  |  |
| application DC/20/118229 was considered at Strategic Planning Committee on 1st September 2022 was granted in August 2023  Timeframe for Planning Committee on 1st September 2022 was granted in August 2023  Timeframe for Planning Committee on 1st September 2022 was granted in August 2023  Timeframe for Planning Committee on 1st September 2022 was granted in August 2023  Timeframe for Planning Committee on 1st September 2022 was granted in August 2023  Timeframe for Planning Committee on 1st September 2022 was granted in August 2023  Timeframe for Planning Committee on 1st September 2022 was granted in August 2023  Timeframe for Planning Committee on 1st September 2022 was granted in August 2023  |   | Ravensboum              |                                     |                     |                      |                                |                              |                            |  |  |  |
| application DC/20/118229 was considered at Strategic Planning Committee on 1st September 2022 was granted in August 2023  Timeframe for Planning Committee on 1st September 2022 was granted in August 2023  Timeframe for Planning Committee on 1st September 2022 was granted in August 2023  Timeframe for Planning Committee on 1st September 2022 was granted in August 2023  Timeframe for Planning Committee on 1st September 2022 was granted in August 2023  Timeframe for Planning Committee on 1st September 2022 was granted in August 2023  Timeframe for Planning Committee on 1st September 2022 was granted in August 2023  Timeframe for Planning Committee on 1st September 2022 was granted in August 2023  | Diameira e Otatura  | Full annih and          | m DO/00/4050744                     | Cooleals A -        | 10 Com time          | a granted in May 2000 F. II    |                              |                            |  |  |  |
| September 2022 was granted in August 2023  Timeframe for Pears 1 – 5 Years 6 – Years 11 – 15 Beyond 15 years Delivery 10   | Planning Status   |                         |                                     |                     |                      |                                |                              |                            |  |  |  |
| Timeframe for Years 1 – 5 Years 6 – Years 11 – 15 Beyond 15 years Delivery 10  |   |                         |                                     |                     | _                    | anning Committee on 1st        |                              |                            |  |  |  |
| Delivery 10  |   |                         |                                     |                     |                      |                                |                              |                            |  |  |  |
|  |   | Years 1 – 5             |                                     | s 11 – 15           | Beyond 15 y          | years                          |                              |                            |  |  |  |
| Yes  | Delivery  |                         | 10                                  |                     |                      |                                |                              |                            |  |  |  |
|  |   | Yes                     |                                     |                     |                      |                                |                              |                            |  |  |  |

|                        | Indicative<br>Development  | Net residential units   | Gross non-residential floorspace  |  |  |
|------------------------|--|---|---|--|--|
|                        | Capacity   | 220   | Employment 1,443 Main town centre 0   |  |  |
| MM239 Paragraph 15.105 | across the site indecomprehensive deplan) to demonstrate neighbour land in 2. Provision of contract meets the needs 3. Positive frontage possible.  4. Development make a perunning along Green of the site must be facilitate make a perunning along Green of the site must be facilitate make a perunning along Green of the site must be facilitate make a perunning along Green of the site must be facilitate make a perunning along Green of the site must be facilitate make a perunning along Green of the site make a perunning along Gr | ould work in partnership an luding the Cockpit Arts Centerelopment). It is the responsite that they have taken all reterests.  Interests.  Interests. | cekside and to the southern site boundary, with active ground ual links to the roadway adjacent to the railway arches and opivities.  It surrounding street network to improve access and permeabil wards the delivery of Cycleway 10 which runs over Ha'penny and open space in accordance with a site-wide public realms ding provision of a new public path along Deptford Creek and the railway viaduct arches; eviaduct arches to Ha'penny Bridge.  Quality and amenity value of the riverside environment at Dept SPD.  Deek to enhance green infrastructure, the intertidal terrace, the scovery Centre, The Creek and at Sue Godfrey Park. Develop restructure improvements complement and enable necessary and heritage of the all history, as well as marking the entrance to Deptford and the orld Heritage Site or other heritage assets within Royal Green or other heritage | lasterplans and vard the site-wide master gement with other relevant gement get get get get get get get get get ge | Modification to reflect comments received during the Regulation 19 consultation.  Modification to clarify how developers engage with other land interests on the site allocation.  Modification to correct spelling mistake. |

| MM240 Paragraph<br>15.106 | 15.106 Development guidelines 1. Development proposals should prioritise the integration of new workspace, artists' studio space and cultural facilities that contribute to the Deptford Creekside Cultural Quarter. Other main town centre uses should be complementary in nature, ensuring no adverse impact on the vitality and viability of Deptford High Street.  | Modification to reflect comments received during the Regulation 19 consultation. |
|---------------------------|--|--|
|                           | 2. The development should be designed so that the Cockpit Arts building retains a visual presence from Creekside, including where the building is to be re-provided.   |  |
|                           | 3. The design of the development should enable the viaduct arches to be opened up and refurbished for commercial, cultural and/or community uses.  |  |
|                           | 4.Artist studio space should be provided to complement creative uses that exist to the north and south of the site (Kent Wharf, Faircharm and Cockpit Arts), which together form an important cluster in the Cultural Quarter.   |  |
|                           | 5. The potential for taller building elements to reflect the surroundings should be considered as part of a design led approach, and should ensure minimal impact on the Grade II listed railway viaduct and the Lifting Bridge Structure as well as the setting of the Grade I Listed St Paul's Church in Deptford and the LVMF panoramic view from Blackheath Point. Taller elements should be located in the south eastern corner of the site, marking the junction of Creek and the railway viaduct. |  |
|                           | 6. The proposed Proposals for new residential development located in close proximity to the neighbouring safeguarded Brewery Wharf (located to the north east) should be designed to minimise the potential for conflicts of use and disturbance, including utilising the site layout, building orientation, uses and appropriate materials to design out potential conflicts, in line with the Agent of Change principle.   |  |
|                           | 7. The design of the development should respond positively to the character and nature of Deptford Creek and the existing street pattern and ensure increased permeability through the site with public access to a route running along Deptford Creek that connects into the wider Waterlink Way. Development should not result in a reduction in existing footway or carriageway space.  |  |
|                           | 8. Connections running south through the railway viaduct and east-west from Creekside along the northern edge of the viaduct should also be explored.  |  |
|                           | 9. The design of the site should take account of views from within the Deptford Creekside Conservation Area, along Creekside, from the east bank of the Creek and from within Sue Godfrey Park Site of Importance for Nature Conservation. Development should ensure new buildings maximise the aspect over the waterway and enable longer distance views beyond the waterway.   |  |
|                           | 10. Applicants should work in partnership with the Environment Agency and engage with them early at pre-application stage, to mitigate against flood risk.   |  |
|                           | 11. Applicants should work in partnership with Thames Water to manage surface water, divert existing sewers where applicable, allow access for maintenance and repair of sewers and ensure infrastructure upgrades are delivered ahead of the site being occupied. There is potential for discharge into Deptford Creek. New connections into the Creekside trunk sewer will not be allowed.   |  |
|                           | 12. Network Rail should be consulted on development and design options including an east-west link along the northern side of the viaduct and access through the arch for a potential north-south link.  |  |

|  | Policy<br>LNA SA19  | 19 Creekside Villag  | Modifications to identify the strategic status of the policy                                    |  |  |  |  |   |  |  |
|--|---------------------|--|---|--|--|--|--|---|--|--|
|  |                     | Non-Strategic Policy  Site Address Site Details  | C A thriving D A greener E Respondir F Celebratin G Healthy a                                   | ailored to the local economic borough, and to the clip gour local and safe corthe timely defined the timely defined to the timely de | nmunities, delivery of inf ord, Coppera PTAL 2015: 0-2 | rastructure. s St, SE8 3 Ownersh Mixed, pu |  | and the strategic objectives to which it relates. (AP7) |  |  |
|  |                     | How Site Was Identified  | Site Allocation   | ons Local F  | 2021: 0-2<br>2031: 0-2<br>Plan (2013) a                | and priva                                  |  | (2017)  |  |  |
|  |                     | Planning Designations and Site Considerations  | Conservation<br>Zone, Cultur<br>defences ad   | chaeologic<br>n, Waterlin<br>al Quarter,<br>jacent to si   | al Priority Are<br>k Way, Air Qu<br>, Flood Zone<br>te | ea, adjacent<br>uality Mana<br>3, adjacent |  |   |  |  |
|  |                     | Planning Status Timeframe for Delivery   | Years 1 – 5 Yes   | Years 6  |  | granted a re                               |  | to approve in November 2020<br>d 15 years               |  |  |
|  |                     | Indicative Development Capacity  | Net residential units  Gross non-residential floorspace  Employment 114  Main town centre 7,962 |  |  |  |  |   |  |  |
|  | Paragraph<br>15.100 | 15.110 Development  1. Landowners must across the site, in lite developer (who is because and meaning and meaning across the site, in lite developer (who is because and meaning across the site, in lite developer (who is because and meaning across the site of | Modification to clarify how developers engage with other land interests on the site allocation. |  |  |  |  |   |  |  |

|       |                        | 3. Positive frontage along Copperas Street, Deptford Creek and at the western boundary of the site (facing Trinity Laban Centre), with active ground floor frontages where possible.  |  |
|-------|------------------------|---|--|
|       |                        | 4. Delivery of new and improved public realm and open space in accordance with a site-wide public realm strategy, including:  |  |
|       |                        | a. Waterside access and amenity space, with provision of a new public path along Deptford Creek linking to Waterlink Way; and b. Clearly articulated routes, and street level views, to Trinity Laban Centre and Deptford Creek.  |  |
|       |                        | 5. Development proposals must protect and seek to enhance green infrastructure, including the SINC, the intertidal terrace and the sand martin bank at Deptford Creek, taking into account the River Corridor Improvement Plan SPD.   |  |
|       |                        |   |  |
|       | <u>r 16 – Lewisham</u> |   |  |
| MM243 | Policy LEA1            | LEA1 East Area place principles  Strategic Policy   | Modifications to identify the strategic status of the policy and the strategic objectives to |
|       |                        | Strategic Objectives:   | which it relates. (AP7)  |
|       |                        | A An open Lewisham as part of an open London Borough of Lewisham,   |  |
|       |                        | C A thriving local economy that tackles inequalities,   |  |
|       |                        | D A greener borough,  |  |
|       |                        | E Responding to the climate emergency,  |  |
|       |                        | F Celebrating our local identity,   |  |
|       |                        | G Healthy and safe communities,   |  |
|       |                        | H Securing the timely delivery of infrastructure.   |  |
|       |                        | A Development proposals must contribute to the delivery of Good Growth with reference to Policy OL1 (Delivering an Open Lewisham) and in doing so, demonstrate how they have responded positively to and will support the achievement of the key spatial objectives for the East Area.  |  |
|       |                        | B Development proposals affecting the Maritime Greenwich World Heritage Site Buffer Zone must protect and preserve the significance, integrity and authenticity of its 'Outstanding Universal Value', as well as its setting and the views to and from it, with reference to Policy HE2 (Designated heritage assets).   |  |
|       |                        | C Development proposals must respond positively to the historic and village character of Blackheath District Centre and its wider setting, as well the architectural qualities of buildings that contribute to its local distinctiveness. A wide range of commercial, cultural and community uses will be supported within the centre in order to secure its long-term vitality and viability. A carefully managed approach to new development will be taken to maintain the centre's village character and reinforce its role in supporting the visitor, evening and night-time economy, whilst ensuring the locality benefits from a high standard of amenity. The Healthy Streets Approach will be supported in and around Blackheath Village to improve accessibility by walking and cycling. |  |
|       |                        | D Development proposals must support the transformation of the South Circular (A205), Lee High Road (A20), Burnt Ash Road/Baring Road (A2212) and Lee Road (A212) by applying the Healthy Streets Approach in accordance with Policy TR3 (Healthy streets as part of healthy neighbourhoods). They must be designed to create or enhance walking routes and cycleways through the provision of public realm improvements, positive frontages and active ground floor frontages along the roads, where appropriate.  |  |
|       |                        | E The redevelopment and intensification of sites within the Lee Green District Centre and brownfield land fronting the key corridors of Lee High Road (between Weigall Road and Boone Street/Old Road), Baring Road (between Grove Park station and Heather   |  |

|       |             | Road/Bramdean Crescent), and along the South Circular will be supported where development proposals respond positively to local character.  |  |
|-------|-------------|---|--|
|       |             | F Development proposals should seek to address elements of the built environment that segregate neighbourhoods and places from one another. This includes the consideration of public realm improvements to address severance caused by the South Circular (A205), other major roads and rail lines, particularly within the northeast and southwest parts of the East Area.  |  |
|       |             | G Lee Station is designated a Local Centre reflecting the role it plays in the provision of local services and community facilities, along with its accessible location near Lee station. To help secure the long-term vitality and viability of the centre, development proposals should:  |  |
|       |             | a. Be designed to enhance the character of the townscape, access to and along the station approach and the centre, including by improving shopfronts, public realm and the legibility of walking routes and cycleways; and b. Facilitate the renewal of employment sites in proximity to the centre and station to secure a complementary mix of commercial uses and modern workspace.  |  |
|       |             | H The sensitive intensification of established residential neighbourhoods will be supported where new development proposals respond positively to their distinctive local and historic character, including the landscape setting. Development proposals must have regard to the Small Sites Guidance SPD, where appropriate.   |  |
|       |             | I Opportunities should be taken to direct new investment to the Grove Park neighbourhood with reference to Policy LEA3 (Strategic Area for Regeneration, Grove Park). Development proposals should respond positively to the character and design qualities of the Chinbrook Estate.  |  |
|       |             | J The network of green infrastructure within the East Area and its surrounds, including outside of the Borough, contributes to the area's distinctive character and environmental qualities. Development proposals must contribute to protecting and enhancing this network of green infrastructure, with reference to Policy LEA4 (Linear network of green infrastructure).  |  |
|       |             | K Development proposals must help to ensure the East Area benefits from a high quality network of walking routes and cycleways that connect neighbourhoods and places, including green spaces and waterways, with reference to Policy GR4 (Lewisham Links).   |  |
|       |             | L The River Quaggy is a defining feature of the East Area which development proposals must respond positively to. Development proposals must maximise opportunities to improve the ecological quality and amenity value of the river environment, including by facilitating the provision of new and enhanced connections to and along the waterfront. This includes opportunities to deculvert and naturalise the River Quaggy near Lee High Road, as well as to deliver improved access and views to it, particularly around the town centre. |  |
| MM244 | Policy LEA2 | LEA 2 Lee Green district centre and surrounds   | Modifications to identify the strategic status of the policy |
|       |             | Strategic Policy  | and the strategic objectives to which it relates. (AP7)      |
|       |             | Strategic Objectives:   | ` ′  |
|       |             | B Housing tailored to the community with genuinely affordable homes,  |  |
|       |             | C A thriving local economy that tackles inequalities,   |  |
|       |             | D A greener borough,  |  |
|       |             | E Responding to the climate emergency,  |  |
|       |             | F Celebrating our local identity,   |  |
|       |             | G Healthy and safe communities,   |  |

|       |             | H Securing the timely delivery of infrastructure.  |  |
|-------|-------------|--|--|
|       |             | A Development proposals must demonstrate how they will contribute to securing the long-term vitality and viability of Lee Green District centre, including by enhancing the place qualities of the centre as well as reinforcing its role as a key focal point for community activity in the East Area. Development proposals must contribute to a coordinated process of town centre renewal that responds positively to the area's distinctive character. They must also deliver a complementary mix of main town centre uses, along with new housing, whilst ensuring that the centre's predominant commercial and community role is maintained and enhanced.   |  |
|       |             | B The comprehensive redevelopment of the sites at Leegate Shopping Centre, Sainsbury's Lee Green and the land at Lee High Road and Lee Road will together form a central focus for the renewal and revitalisation of the District Centre. Development proposals at these sites must be delivered in accordance with relevant site allocation policies.   |  |
|       |             | C Development proposals must contribute to enhancing the public realm by applying the Healthy Streets Approach. They should promote and enable movement by walking, cycling and the use of public transport to make the town centre a significantly more accessible, safer, healthier and attractive environment. This will require that a clear hierarchy of streets is established within the wider town centre area, along with a cohesive and legible network of walking routes and cycleways running through and/or connecting key commercial, leisure and cultural destinations, public transport nodes, public open spaces and residential areas. Particular consideration will need to be given to movements along and across the main junction, Lee High Road, Lee Road, Burnt Ash Road, Taunton Road, Leyland Road and Hedgley Street. |  |
|       |             | D Development proposals should respond positively to the evolving urban character of the town centre and its immediate surrounds. Development should be designed to provide for an appropriate transition in scale, bulk, mass, height and character between the centre, its edges and surrounding residential neighbourhoods, with generous setbacks provided along major roads and movement corridors.   |  |
|       |             | E Development proposals should be designed with positive frontages and active ground floor frontages within the town centre and at its edges. In order to ensure development creates a positive relationship with the public realm, special attention should be given to design at the ground floor and podium levels of buildings.  |  |
|       |             | F Development proposals must maximise opportunities to improve the ecological quality and amenity value of the river environment. This includes measures to deculvert and naturalise the River Quaggy and to improve public access to it around Lee High Road.   |  |
| MM245 | Policy LEA3 | LEA3 Strategic Area for Regeneration, Grove Park  Strategic Policy   | Modifications to identify the strategic status of the policy and the strategic objectives to |
|       |             |  | which it relates. (AP7)  |
|       |             | Strategic Objectives:  A An open Lewisham as part of an open London Borough of Lewisham,   |  |
|       |             | H Securing the timely delivery of infrastructure.  |  |
|       |             | A A Strategic Area for Regeneration is designated in the Local Plan. This covers the entirety of South Area and parts of Grove Park neighbourhood in the East Area, as defined by the Local Plan. A partnership approach will be pursued in order to ensure that public and private sector investment is secured within the area, and that this investment is coordinated to successfully deliver regeneration and area improvements in collaboration with local communities.  |  |
|       |             | B Development proposals and stakeholders should seek opportunities to tackle inequalities and the environmental, economic and social barriers that contribute to deprivation and the need for regeneration in this area, taking into account policies for the wider Strategic Area for Regeneration in the Borough's south, as set out in Policy LSA2 (Strategic Area for Regeneration).   |  |

| MM246 | Policy LEA4       | LEA4 Linear netwo  Strategic Policy  Strategic Objectives   |  | rastructure   |      |                     |                              |                            | Modifications to identify the strategic status of the policy and the strategic objectives to which it relates. (AP7) |  |  |
|-------|-------------------|---|--|---|------|---------------------|------------------------------|----------------------------|--|--|--|
|       |                   |   |  |   |      |                     |                              |                            |  |  |  |
|       |                   | respond positively t  | Development proposals must ce to Policy GR1 (Green al asset within the Borough bods.   |   |      |                     |                              |                            |  |  |  |
|       |                   | B Development pro<br>the linear network of  | •  |   |      | to reinforce and er | nhance the character, amenit | and environmental value of |  |  |  |
|       |                   | green infrastructure b. Seeking opportu- value of the networ c. Maintaining and d. Making provision east-west and north | n the different elements of connected ecological network; and enhance the biodiversity aces within the network; by improving or introducing and the landscape setting. |   |      |                     |                              |                            |  |  |  |
|       |                   | C The effective mar appreciation of the   |  |   |      |                     |                              |                            |  |  |  |
| MM247 | Policy<br>LEA SA1 | 1 Heathside and Le  | Modifications to identify the strategic status of the policy   |   |      |                     |                              |                            |  |  |  |
|       |                   | Non-Strategic<br>Policy   | B Housing to C A thriving D A greener E Responding F Celebrating G Healthy a   | Strategic Objectives:  B Housing tailored to the community with genuinely affordable homes,  C A thriving local economy that tackles inequalities,  D A greener borough,  E Responding to the climate emergency,  F Celebrating our local identity,  G Healthy and safe communities,  H Securing the timely delivery of infrastructure. |      |                     |                              |                            |  |  |  |
|       |                   | Site Address  |  |   |      |                     | lackheath Hill, London, SE1  | )                          |  |  |  |
|       |                   | Site Details  | Site size<br>(ha) 6.07   | Setting<br>Urban  | PTAL | Ownership<br>Public | Current use Housing Estate   |                            |  |  |  |

|   |  |  | T  |  |            |  |   |                     |                               |
|---|--|--|--|--|------------|--|---|---------------------|-------------------------------|
|   |  |  |  |  |            |  |   |                     |                               |
|   |  |  | 2021: 2-5  | 5  |            |  |   |                     |                               |
|   |  |  | 2031: 2-5  | 5  |            |  |   |                     |                               |
| How Site Was Identified                       | London SHL   | AA (2017)  |  |  |            |  |   |                     |                               |
| Planning Designations and Site Considerations | Importance f   | or Nature C  | Conservatio  | on, Strategic  | ment       |  |   |                     |                               |
| Planning Status                               | applications delivered 78  | granted up<br>2 residentia   | to April 20  | 19. Phases   | 1-4 hav    |  |   |                     |                               |
| Timeframe for Delivery                        | Years 1 – 5<br>Yes   | Years 6  | -10 Ye   | ears 11 – 15   | Beyo       | nd 15 years  |   |                     |                               |
| Indicative Development Capacity               | Net residential units 660 1,225 (gross)  |  |  | Gross non-residential floorspace Employment 0 Main town centre 1,280 |            |  |   |                     |                               |
| Remaining Net Units to be Delivered           | 443 (gross)  |  |  |  |            |  |   |                     |                               |
|   |  |  |  |  |            |  |   |                     |                               |
| 2 Blackheath Hill Lo                          | ocally Signific  | ant Industri   | al Site  |  |            |  |   |                     | Modifications to identify the |
| Non-Strategic<br>Policy                       | B Housing to C A thriving D A greener E Responding F Celebrating G Healthy and C A thriving B Healthy B Healt | nilored to the local economic borough, and to the clips our local and safe com   | omy that tac<br>mate emer<br>identity,<br>nmunities, | ckles inequa   |            | strategic status of the poli<br>and the strategic objective<br>which it relates. (AP7) | and the strategic objectives to               |                     |                               |
| Site Address                                  | Blackheath   | Business C   | entre, Blac  | kheath Hill,   | Blackh     | eath, London, SE10 8BA   |   |                     |                               |
| Site Details                                  | Site size  | Setting  | PTAL   | Ownersh  | nip        | Current use  |   |                     |                               |
|   | (ha) 0.31  | Urban  | 2015: 3<br>2021: 3<br>2031: 3                        | Private  |            | Industrial   |   |                     |                               |
|   | Identified Planning Designations and Site Considerations Planning Status  Timeframe for Delivery  Indicative Development Capacity  Remaining Net Units to be Delivered  2 Blackheath Hill Lo Non-Strategic Policy Site Address   | Planning Designations and Site Considerations  Planning Status  Planning Status  Planning Status  Planning Status  Outline appliapplications delivered 78 under constr  Timeframe for Delivery  Ves  Indicative Development Capacity  Remaining Net Units to be Delivered  Policy  Policy  Strategic Ob B Housing ta C A thriving D A greener E Respondir F Celebrating G Healthy ar H Securing t Site Address  Blackheath E Site Details  Site size | Identified   | London SHLAA (2017)  | Identified | London SHLAA (2017)   How Site Was Identified  | London SHLAA (2017)   How Site Was Identified | London SHLAA (2017) | London SHLAA (2017)           |


|                         | How Site Was Identified                       | Site Allocation                                  | ns Local Plan (2  | 013) and Lewisha  | am Call for Sites (2015 and 2018)  |   |   |  |  |  |  |
|-------------------------|---|--|---|---|--|---|---|--|--|--|--|
|                         | Planning Designations and Site Considerations | adjacent Site Space, Air Qu                      | of Importance fo  | or Nature Conserv<br>ent Area, Air Quali                    | Site, Archaeological Priority Area,<br>ation, adjacent to Strategic Open<br>ty Focus Area, Flood Zone 1,   |   |   |  |  |  |  |
|                         | Planning Status                               | Full application                                 | on DC/20/11730  |   |  |   |   |  |  |  |  |
|                         | Timeframe for Delivery                        | Years 1 – 5<br>Yes                               | Years 6 – 10  | Years 11 – 15   | Beyond 15 years  |   |   |  |  |  |  |
|                         | Indicative Development Capacity               | Net residentia                                   | I units   | Employment 2,   |  |   |   |  |  |  |  |
|                         |   |  |   | Main town cen   | tre 0  |   |   |  |  |  |  |
| MM249 Policy<br>LEA SA3 | 3 Leegate Shoppin                             | g Centre   |   |   | Modifications to identify the strategic status of the policy   |   |   |  |  |  |  |
|                         | Non-Strategic Policy                          | C A thriving lo<br>D A greener b<br>E Responding | lored to the compacal economy the orough, go to the climate                             |   | and the strategic objectives to which it relates. (AP7)  Modification to reflect current factual position. |   |   |  |  |  |  |
|                         |   | G Healthy and H Securing th                      |   | ty,<br>ties,<br>y of infrastructure,<br>ation, health and s |  | Modification in accordance with the Council's response to MIQ 19.7, MIQ 19.17 and the |   |  |  |  |  |
|                         | Site Address                                  |  | ping Centre, Lo   |   |  |   | Additional Housing Supply   |  |  |  |  |
|                         | Site Details                                  |  | Setting PTAL<br>Jrban 2015<br>2021<br>2031  | 3 Private 3   | Current use Main town centre uses, retail, employment, residential, car park                               |   | Background Paper 2024 (LC10), arising from the uplift in housing supply work and to align with final proposed |  |  |  |  |
|                         | How Site Was Identified                       | Site Allocation                                  | Local Plan (20  | 113) and London S   | SHLAA (2017)   |   | housing trajectory.   |  |  |  |  |
|                         | Planning Designations and Site Considerations | District Centre                                  | Appropriate Lo<br>e, Primary Shop<br>vater Source Pr                                    |   | Modification to update the capacity of the site allocation.  Modifications to update the site status       |   |   |  |  |  |  |
|                         | Planning Status                               | but was never<br>was not determ<br>Planning app  | on DC/14/09003<br>rimplemented.<br>mined. Pre-app<br>lication DC/22/<br>signing of a S1 |   |  |   |   |  |  |  |  |

|       |                    | Timeframe for Delivery   | Years 1 – 5<br>Yes      | Years 6 – 10   | Years 11 – 15              | Beyond 15 years                              |         |   |
|-------|--------------------|--|-------------------------|--|----------------------------|--|---------|---|
|       |                    | Indicative Development Capacity  | Net residential 450 562 | units  | Gross non-res Employment & | idential floorspace 05 0                     |         |   |
| MM250 | Paragraph<br>16.28 | centre. This will req with clearly articula  2. Positive frontage  3. Delivery of new a  4. Provision of com |                         | Modification to reflect comments received during the Regulation 19 consultation. |                            |  |         |   |
| MM251 | Policy<br>LEA SA4  | 4 Sainsbury's Lee (  |                         | omit the two o   | curtilage structure        | es as they are part of the adjacent Police S | tation. | Modifications to identify the strategic status of the policy and the strategic objectives to which it relates. (AP7)  Modification to update the capacity of the site allocation.  Modification showing the revised extent of the site's boundary and consequentially the site's size. This modification is proposed on the basis that the forthcoming review of the Area of Special Local Character could result in it being redesignated as a conservation area. As the two curtilage structures are sited within the existing extent of the Area, it is logical that they be excluded in anticipation. (AP198) |

Planning

Designations and

#### January 2025



Growth Node, Appropriate Location for Tall Buildings, Archaeological Priority Area,

adjacent Conservation Area, District Centre, Primary Shopping Area, Air Quality

|   | Planning Status                               | None  |                                 |   |                               |   |                                 |  |
|---|---|---|---------------------------------|---|-------------------------------|---|---------------------------------|--|
|   | Timeframe for Delivery                        | Years 1 – 5   | Years 6 –                       | 10 Year<br>Yes                                      |                               | Beyond 15 years   |                                 |  |
| Indicative Development Capacity  Net residential units  111 156  Employment 625 0  Main town centre 4,123 6,672 |   |   |                                 |   |                               |   |                                 |  |
| MM252 Policy<br>LEA SA5   | 5 Land at Lee High                            | Road and Lee  | Road                            |   |                               |   |                                 | Modifications to identify the strategic status of the policy |
|   | Non-Strategic<br>Policy                       | C A thriving D A greener E Respondir F Celebrating G Healthy ar | ailored to the d                | y that tackle<br>ate emerge<br>entity,<br>aunities, | <u>es inequali</u><br>ncy,    | and the strategic objecti<br>which it relates. (AP7)<br>Modification to align wit   | and the strategic objectives to |  |
|   | Site Address                                  | Lee High Ro   | ad and Lee R                    | oad, SE12   | 8RU                           |   |                                 |  |
|   | Site Details                                  | Site size<br>(ha) 0.43  | Urban 2                         | PTAL<br>2015: 3<br>2021: 3<br>2031: 3               | Ownersh<br>Private            | Current use Commercial, Residential   |                                 |  |
|   | How Site Was Identified                       | London SHL  | AA (2017) an                    | d Strategic   | Planning                      | Team (2019)   |                                 |  |
|   | Planning Designations and Site Considerations | Air Quality M<br>Building on s                                  | lanagement A<br>site, within 30 | Area, Area o  | of Special L<br>f electricity | rict Centre, Primary Shopping Area,<br>local Character, Locally Listed<br>cable, Flood Zone 3, adjacent to<br>surce Protection Zone 2 |                                 |  |
|   | Planning Status                               | None  |                                 |   |                               |   | -                               |  |
|   | Timeframe for Delivery                        | Years 1 – 5   | Years 6 –                       | 10 Year<br><u>Yes</u>                               |                               | Beyond 15 years<br><del>Yes</del>   |                                 |  |

|  |                 | Indicative<br>Development<br>Capacity                 | Net residenti   | al units   | E   | Gross non-resimployment 29   | 56  | ·                                  |  |  |
|--|-----------------|---|---|--|---|--|---|------------------------------------|--|--|
|  | olicy<br>EA SA6 | 6 Southbrook Mews  Non-Strategic Policy  Site Address | Strategic Ob<br>B Housing to<br>C A thriving<br>D A greener<br>E Respondir<br>F Celebratin<br>G Healthy an<br>H Securing to | ailored to the local econo borough, ag to the cling our local ind safe combe timely defined. | mate eme<br>identity,<br>nmunities,<br>elivery of |  | Modifications to identify the strategic status of the policy and the strategic objectives to which it relates. (AP7)  Modification to align with final proposed housing trajectory. |                                    |  |  |
|  |                 | Site Details  | Site size<br>(ha) 0.24  | Setting<br>Urban   | PTAL<br>2015: 3<br>2021: 2-<br>2031: 2-           |  | ıip   | Current use Employment, commercial |  |  |
|  |                 | How Site Was Identified                               | Strategic Pla   | nning Tear   | m (2019)  |  |   |                                    |  |  |
|  |                 | Planning Designations and Site Considerations         | of Importanc<br>Managemen   | e for Nature<br>t Area, Floo   | e Conserv<br>od Zone 1                            | ic Open Spac<br>ation, adjacer<br>, Groundwate<br>0m of an elec    | nt Loca<br>r Sourc  |                                    |  |  |
|  |                 | Planning Status                                       | None  |  |   |  |   |                                    | -  |  |
|  |                 | Timeframe for Delivery                                | Years 1 – 5   | Years 6  |   | ears 11 – 15<br><u>es</u>  | Beyo<br><del>Yes</del>  | nd 15 years                        |  |  |
|  |                 | Indicative Development Capacity                       | Net residential units 23  |  | E   | Gross non-residential floorspace Employment 804 Main town centre 0 |   |                                    |  |  |
|  | olicy<br>EA SA7 | 7 Mayfields Hostel,  Non-Strategic Policy             | Strategic Ob<br>B Housing ta  | jectives:  |   | nity with genu   | -   |                                    | Modifications to identify the strategic status of the policy and the strategic objectives to which it relates. (AP7) |  |

|                         |   | D 4   | 1 1   |  |  |   | I | Modification to alimp with final   |  |
|-------------------------|---|---|---|--|--|---|---|--|--|
|                         |   | D A greener   |   |  |  |   |   | Modification to align with final   |  |
|                         |   | E Respondin   |   |  | ency,  |   |   | proposed housing trajectory.   |  |
|                         |   | F Celebrating   |   |  |  |   |   | (AP193)  |  |
|                         |   | G Healthy ar  |   |  |  |   |   | Modification to undetecth  |  |
|                         | 0.4 4 1 1                                     | H Securing t  |   |  |  | 0.4.5   |   | Modification to update the capacity of the site allocation.                            |  |
|                         | Site Address                                  |   |   |  | London, SE12   |   |   |  |  |
|                         | Site Details                                  |   | Central   |  | Ownership<br>Private   | Current use<br>Hostel   |   |  |  |
|                         | How Site Was Identified                       | London SHL  | , ,   |  |  |   |   |  |  |
|                         | Planning Designations and Site Considerations |   |   |  | ntre, Air Quality<br>vater Source Pro  |   |   |  |  |
|                         | Planning Status                               | None, conse   | nt has laps   | ed   |  |   |   |  |  |
|                         | Timeframe for Delivery                        | Years 1 – 5 Yes   | Years 6<br>10   | - Years  | 11 – 15 Beyor  | nd 15 years   |   |  |  |
|                         | Indicative<br>Development<br>Capacity         | Net residenti 21 64   | al units  | Employ   | non-residential<br>yment 0<br>own centre 0   |   |   |  |  |
| MM255 Policy<br>LEA SA8 | 8 Sainsbury Local a                           | _   |   | 1  | Modifications to identify the strategic status of the policy and the strategic objectives to |   |   |  |  |
|                         | Non-Strategic                                 | Strategic Ob  |   |  |  |   |   | <b>5</b>   |  |
|                         | Policy  | C A thriving D A greener E Respondir F Celebratin G Healthy ar H Securing t | ocal econo<br>borough,<br>ng to the cling<br>g our local ind<br>ad safe com<br>he timely de | mate emerge<br>identity,<br>nmunities,<br>elivery of inf | les inequalities<br>ency,<br>rastructure.  |   |   | which it relates. (AP7)  Modification to align with final proposed housing trajectory. |  |
|                         | Site Address                                  | Sainsbury's   | Local and \   | West of Grov   | e Park Station,  | London, SE12 0DU  |   |  |  |
|                         | Site Details                                  | Site size<br>(ha) 0.90  | Setting<br>Urban  | PTAL<br>2015: 5<br>2021: 6a                              | Ownership<br>Private   | Current use  Main town centre uses, retail, transport, garage, car park |   |  |  |
|                         | How Site Was Identified                       | London SHL<br>(2019)  | AA (2017),  | 2031: 6a<br>Strategic Pla                                | <br>anning Team (2   | 019) and landowner engagement   |   |  |  |

|       |                      | Planning Designations and Site Considerations |  | Flood Zone 1, G                      | trategic Area of F<br>roundwater Sour |   |                                     |   |
|-------|----------------------|---|--|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|---|-------------------------------------|---|
|       | Planning Status None |   |  |                                      |                                       |   |                                     |   |
|       |                      | Timeframe for                                 |  |                                      |                                       | Beyond 15 years   |                                     |   |
|       |                      | Delivery                                      |  |                                      | Yes                                   | Yes   |                                     |   |
|       |                      | Indicative                                    | Net residential  | units                                | Gross non-res                         | dential floorspace  |                                     |   |
|       |                      | Development Capacity                          | 78   |                                      | Employment 3                          | 62  |                                     |   |
|       |                      |   |  |                                      | Main town cen                         | tre 1,449   |                                     |   |
| MM256 | 0 1                  | Development requir                            | rements  |                                      |                                       |   |                                     | Modification to provide clarity   |
|       | 16.49                | 1. The site must be along the station ap      | •  | with the surrour                     | ding street netw                      | ork to improve access and permeabilit   | ty through the centre, and          | by avoiding duplication across<br>the development guidelines<br>and requirements by<br>combining the reprovision of |
|       |                      | 2. Positive frontage                          | with active grou   | ınd floor frontag                    | es along Baring                       | Road.   |                                     | the bus stand on site into one  |
|       |                      | appropriate re-provi<br>with Transport for L  | ision, where nec<br>ondon to investi                             | essary. The on-<br>gate future optic | site bus stand rei                    | options for the existing bus station, in mains in operational use. Consequer and, including the continued operation | development requirement.<br>(AP194) |   |
|       |                      | existing, or re-provide                       | ded, bus facility,   | which the site i                     | <u>nasterplan shou</u>                | ld address.   |                                     |   |
|       |                      | 4. Delivery of new a                          | and improved pu  | blic realm and o                     | ppen space, in ac                     | ccordance with a site-wide public real  | m strategy.                         |   |
|       |                      | 5. Development mu and enhance links           |  |                                      |                                       |   |                                     |   |
| MM257 | Paragraph            | Development guide                             | elines   |                                      |                                       |   |                                     | Modification to provide clarity   |
|       | 16.50                |   |  |                                      |                                       | ith Transport for London to investigate<br>ting, or re-provided, bus facility, which                                |                                     | by avoiding duplication across<br>the development guidelines<br>and requirements by<br>combining the reprovision of |
|       |                      | routes running north-south                    | the bus stand on site into one development requirement. (AP194)  |                                      |                                       |   |                                     |   |
|       |                      | sted Building Baring Hall                     | Modification to correct guideline in relation to heritage asset. |                                      |                                       |   |                                     |   |
|       |                      | 4. 3. The design of an artistic colony.       | development sh   | ould reflect the                     | historic developr                     | nent of Grove Park <del>, taking into accou</del>   | nt the early development of         | Modification to clarify requirement to reflect the area's historic character.                                       |

|         |                           | sewers  | applicants should work in partnership with Thames Water and engage with them is where applicable and ensure infrastructure upgrades are delivered ahead of the plan. New connections into the trunk sewer to the north of the site will not be   | he site being occupied through a housing |  |
|---------|---------------------------|---|--|--|--|
| Chapter | r 17 – Lewishan           | n's South   | n Area   |  |  |
| MM258   | Paragraph<br>17.6         | By 202 be cele transp to the common Green new for togeth opport | Modifications that clarify how<br>the policy addresses consider<br>how issues of inclusion and<br>equality. (AP104)  |  |  |
| MM259   | Key Spatial<br>Objectives | TO A ORDI   | Modifications that clarify how<br>the policy addresses consider<br>how issues of inclusion and<br>equality. (AP104)  |  |  |
|         |                           | 1   | Secure the delivery the Bakerloo line extension and other infrastructure to significantly improve transport accessibility, address existing barriers to movement and unlock the area's development potential.  |  |  |
|         |                           | 2   | Coordinate new investment in the Bell Green and Lower Sydenham area to enable it to become a London Plan Opportunity Area in a future update to the London Plan.   |  |  |
|         |                           | 3   | Deliver the regeneration of the former gasholders, Bell Green Retail Park and other sites nearby to create a new high quality residential-led mixed-use area, with a new Local Centre, that is well integrated with existing neighbourhoods and communities. Preserve and enhance the heritage value of the Livesey Memorial Hall and Gardens whilst creating a more positive relationship with the buildings and spaces surrounding them. |  |  |
|         |                           | 4   | Develop decentralised energy networks by taking advantage of opportunities presented by the redevelopment of larger sites around Bell Greenand Lower Sydenham.   |  |  |
|         |                           | 5   | Create a high quality employment-led, mixed-used quarter through the renewal of industrial land at Stanton Way and Worsley Bridge Road.  |  |  |
|         |                           | 6   | Transform the Ringway Corridor (Southend Lane and Whitefoot Lane) and the A21 Corridor (Bromley Road) by applying the Healthy Streets Approach, with public realm improvements that make walking, cycling and use of public transport safer and more convenient. Address severance caused by the Bell Green gyratory.  |  |  |
|         |                           | 7   | Enhance the place qualities of the Ringway and A21 Corridors by integrating new high quality housing development and revitalising centres along them, particularly to secure the long term viability of Downham district centre.   |  |  |
|         |                           | 8   | Reinstate and enhance the historic character and identity of Southend Village and its surrounds, building on the presence of local assets  |  |  |

|           | Γ           |         |   |                                 |
|-----------|-------------|---------|---|---------------------------------|
|           |             |         | including the Green Man centre and Peter Pan pond, and through the  |                                 |
|           |             |         | redevelopment of the out-of-centre retail park opposite.  |                                 |
|           |             | 9       | Maintain the garden city and cottage estate character of residential areas  |                                 |
|           |             |         | in Bellingham and Downham whilst attracting inward investment and   |                                 |
|           |             |         | delivering new homes through their sensitive intensification.   |                                 |
|           |             | 10      | Enhance the place qualities of Perry Rise (B227), Perry Hill (A212) and   |                                 |
|           |             |         | Alan Pegg Place by applying the Healthy Streets Approach, including by  |                                 |
|           |             |         | creating a safer and more coherent public realm at their convergence.   |                                 |
|           |             |         | Reinforce and enhance the character of established residential areas  |                                 |
|           |             |         | around Perry Hill and Perry Vale and deliver new homes through their  |                                 |
|           |             |         | sensitive intensification.  |                                 |
|           |             | 11      | Support inclusive and mixed neighbourhoods and communities by   |                                 |
|           |             |         | effectively managing Houses in Multiple Occupation and preventing the   |                                 |
|           |             |         | harmful overconcentration of HMOs.  |                                 |
|           |             | 12      | Protect and enhance open and green spaces, including by expanding the   |                                 |
|           |             |         | role of Beckenham Place Park as a key visitor destination. Deliver the  |                                 |
|           |             |         | Lewisham Links, a connected network of high quality walking routes and  |                                 |
|           |             |         | cycle routesways link these spaces, taking advantage of the Green Chain   |                                 |
|           |             |         | Walk.   |                                 |
|           |             | 13      | Enhance the environmental quality and amenity value of the  |                                 |
|           |             |         | Ravensbourne and Pool Rivers. Improve public access to the rivers with  |                                 |
|           |             |         | new and improved routes and public realm enhancements, focussing on   |                                 |
|           |             |         | Waterlink Way and the Pool River Linear Park  |                                 |
|           |             | 14      | Improve the connections between the South Area's open spaces to ensure  |                                 |
|           |             |         | that they remain publicly accessible, welcoming, contribute towards the   |                                 |
|           |             |         | social cohesion between communities and reinforce healthy lifestyles, and   |                                 |
|           |             |         | address any underlying causes of local health inequality.   |                                 |
|           |             |         |   |                                 |
| 1.11.12.2 |             |         |   |                                 |
| MM260     | Policy LSA1 | LSA 1   | South Area place principles   | Modifications to identify the   |
|           |             |         |   | strategic status of the policy  |
|           |             | Strateg | gic Policy  | and the strategic objectives to |
|           |             | 0, ,    |   | which it relates. (AP7)         |
|           |             |         | gic Objectives:   | Madification to bind link ton d |
|           |             |         | open Lewisham as part of an open London Borough of Lewisham,  | Modification to highlight and   |
|           |             |         | sing tailored to the community with genuinely affordable homes,   | clarify that new development    |
|           |             |         | riving local economy that tackles inequalities,   | will secure inclusive access    |
|           |             |         | eener borough,  | arrangements for the Bakerloo   |
|           |             |         | ponding to the climate emergency,   | Line extension at Lower         |
|           |             |         | brating our local identity,   | Sydenham.                       |
|           |             |         | Ithy and safe communities,  |                                 |
|           |             | n Sect  | uring the timely delivery of infrastructure.  |                                 |
|           |             | A Deve  | elopment proposals must contribute to the delivery of Good Growth with reference to Policy OL1 (Delivering an Open          |                                 |
|           |             |         | nam) and in doing so, demonstrate how they have responded positively to and will support the achievement of the key spatial |                                 |
|           |             | objecti | ves for the South Area.   |                                 |
|           |             |         |   |                                 |

B Development proposals within the South Area must demonstrate how they will help to tackle inequalities and the social, economic and environmental barriers that contribute to deprivation, in line with Policies LSA2 (Strategic Area for Regeneration) and LSA3 (Bell Green and Lower Sydenham).

C Development proposals must optimise the use of land taking into account the Bakerloo line extension and other infrastructure that will enable significant improvements to transport access in the South Area. This includes the inclusive access arrangements that will be secured, through new development, for the Bakerloo Line extension station at Lower Sydenham. The Council will seek that development is appropriately phased in order to ensure there is adequate infrastructure capacity in place, including transport and community infrastructure, to cope with the additional demands generated by new development, in line with other Local Plan policies.

D Development proposals must respond positively to distinctive and historic character of Southend Village and its surrounds. They should seek opportunities to enhance the unique place qualities, commercial and community functions of the Village, with reference to Policy LSA3 (A21 Corridor / Bromley Road).

E Opportunities should be taken to direct new investment to the established residential neighbourhoods of Bellingham and Down ham, including new high quality housing, provision of community infrastructure and public realm improvements. The sensitive intensification of these neighbourhoods will be supported where new development responds positively to their distinctive local and historic character. This includes the predominant garden city and cottage estate character associated with the London County Council estates.

F Development proposals must respond positively to the role of Downham District Centre in supporting local neighbourhoods and communities, particularly for day-to-day shopping and service needs. A wide range of commercial, leisure, community and cultural uses will be supported within the centre in order to secure its long-term vitality and viability. Development proposals will be supported where they:

- a. Retain or re-provide units suitable to accommodate main town centre uses, particularly for Class E retail uses; and b. Are designed to enhance the quality of the streetscape, townscape, and environment, including through the improvements to shopfronts and the public realm.
- G Bellingham is designated a Local Centre reflecting the role it plays in the provision of local shops, services and community facilities, along with its accessible location near Bellingham station and the A21 Corridor. To help secure the long-term vitality and viability of the centre, development proposals must be designed to:
- a. Improve access to and along the centre and station approach, including public realm enhancements that make walking routes and cycleways safer and more legible;
- b. Enhance the character of the area through townscape and streetscape improvements, including to shopfronts; and
- c. Facilitate the renewal of employment land at the southern end of Bromley Road SIL, particularly at the junction at Randlesdown Road.

H Development proposals must contribute to inclusive, <u>socially cohesive</u> and mixed neighbourhoods and communities, including by protecting family housing and ensuring there is no harmful overconcentration of Houses in Multiple Occupation, with reference to Policy HO8 (Housing with shared facilities).

I Development proposals must help to ensure the South Area benefits from a high quality network of walking routes and cycleways that connect neighbourhoods and places, including green spaces and waterways, with reference to Policy GR4 (Lewisham Links). They should maximise opportunities to enhance or introduce new cycleways, particularly in the established residential areas with wider street layouts.

|       |             | J Public realm and access improvements should be introduced along the A21 Corridor / Bromley Road (including active frontages uses along the edge of the Bromley Road retail park and the bus garage) and in Bellingham town centre (including active frontages and shopfront improvements to the parade of shops to the east of Bellingham station and on Randlesdown Road).   |  |
|-------|-------------|---|--|
|       |             | K The Council will work with Network Rail and other stakeholders to investigate the feasibility of delivering improvements to the bridge at Southend Lane to address issues of safety and movement within the area, including options for widening the bridge and increasing its height.  |  |
|       |             | L The South Area's network of green infrastructure, including open spaces, will be protected and enhanced, in line with other Local Plan policies. Proposals should seek to deliver improvements in access, inclusion and connectivity between open spaces, in order to meet the specific objectives of encouraging healthier lifestyles, addressing the causes of local health inequalities and enhancing the social cohesion between communities. Investment at Beckenham Place Park will continue to be supported to ensure the park is maintained as a high quality open space of regional significance, and a key leisure and visitor destination in London and the wider southeast. Development proposals within the immediate vicinity of the park should provide for enhanced legibility, wayfinding and access to and from its entrances, and be designed having regard to the park's landscape and historic setting. This includes consideration for the un-adopted walkway on Bromley Road and other links at the east side of the park. The Council will work with stakeholders to deliver flood alleviation measures at the park, in line with the River Corridor Improvement Plan SPD.  M The river valley network is a defining feature of the South Area which development proposals must respond positively to by: |  |
|       |             | a. Ensuring that development is designed to improve the ecological quality of the Ravensbourne and Pool rivers, including by naturalising the rivers, wherever opportunities arise; b. Ensuring the layout and design of development gives prominence to the rivers and the river valley, and enhances their amenity value, including by better revealing them; and c. Facilitating the provision of new and enhanced connections to and along, and wherever possible across, the rivers and river valleys, including by improving the Waterlink Way and access to the Pool River Linear Park.  |  |
| MM261 | Policy LSA2 | LSA 2 Strategic Area for Regeneration  Strategic Policy   | Modifications to identify the strategic status of the policy and the strategic objectives to which it relates. (AP7) |
|       |             | Strategic Objectives:  A An open Lewisham as part of an open London Borough of Lewisham,  B Housing tailored to the community with genuinely affordable homes,  C A thriving local economy that tackles inequalities,  D A greener borough,  E Responding to the climate emergency,  F Celebrating our local identity,  G Healthy and safe communities,  H Securing the timely delivery of infrastructure.  |  |
|       |             | A A Strategic Area for Regeneration is designated in the Local Plan. This covers the entirety of the South Area and parts of Grove Park neighbourhood in the East Area. A partnership approach will be pursued in order to ensure that new public and private sector investment is secured within this area, and that this investment is coordinated to successfully deliver regeneration in collaboration with local communities.  |  |
|       |             | B In order to tackle inequalities and the environmental, economic and social barriers that contribute to deprivation and the need for regeneration in this area, stakeholders and development proposals should seek opportunities to:   |  |

|       |             | a. Significantly improve transport accessibility in the area, particularly by:  |   |
|-------|-------------|---|---|
|       |             | i. Enhancing provision of and access to high quality public transport infrastructure, including bus services; and ii. Addressing barriers to movement by enhancing the network of walking routes and cycleways connecting to transport nodes, town and local centres, schools and training facilities, and employment locations.  |   |
|       |             | <ul> <li>b. Plan positively for social infrastructure to meet local needs, particularly community facilities and services catered to children and young people;</li> <li>c. Support the vitality and viability of town and local centres, helping to ensure they make provision for a wide range of accessible shops and services;</li> <li>d. Improve the environmental quality of neighbourhoods, including by reducing and mitigating air and noise pollution along main roads and junctions; and</li> <li>e. Address deficiencies in access to open space by making provision for new and improved publicly accessible open space and improving links to green and open spaces.</li> <li>C Investment to support the achievement of safe, healthy and liveable neighbourhoods within the Strategic Area for Regeneration should be facilitated through a variety of approaches, including:</li> <li>a. Partnership working between key stakeholders to secure the delivery of new and improved infrastructure in Lewisham, including transport infrastructure such as the Bakerloo line extension, to significantly improve access to high quality services and community facilities, along with training and employment opportunities, whether within the Borough or elsewhere in London and beyond;</li> <li>b. The comprehensive redevelopment of site allocations, and renewal of town centres and employment locations, in the Bell Green and Lower Sydenham area, to help shift the focus and spread the benefits of investment southwards within the Borough; and</li> <li>c. The sensitive intensification of sites and residential neighbourhoods, to support incremental but transformational improvement in the quality of housing and living environments.</li> </ul> |   |
| MM262 | Policy LSA3 | LSA3 Bell Green and Lower Sydenham  Strategic Policy  | Modifications to identify the strategic status of the policy and the strategic objectives to  |
|       |             | Strategic Objectives: A An open Lewisham as part of an open London Borough of Lewisham, B Housing tailored to the community with genuinely affordable homes, C A thriving local economy that tackles inequalities, D A greener borough, E Responding to the climate emergency, F Celebrating our local identity, G Healthy and safe communities, H Securing the timely delivery of infrastructure.  A The designation of an Opportunity Area at Bell Green and Lower Sydenham in a future review of the London Plan will be stron gly supported by the Council.  B To help realise the growth and regeneration potential of Bell Green and Lower Sydenham, and to ensure that new development within the area supports the delivery of the spatial strategy for the Borough, the Council intends to prepare a Supplementary Planning Document and/or Masterplan will be prepared through consultation with the local community. This will complement the Local Plan in setting a long-term development and investment framework for the area. Development proposals must demonstrate how they have engaged positively with planning guidance endorsed or adopted by the Council.  | which it relates. (AP7)  Modification to align with modifications suggested in response to Matter 7. (AP169)  Modification to relocate some of the policy text referencing a future supplementary planning document/ master plan to supporting text. (AP167)  Modification to clarify the future employment and job creation opportunities that will come forward through the comprehensive redevelopment of the Bell Green site allocations. (AP168) |

|       |             | C To ensure that regeneration in Bell Green and Lower Sydenham is delivered in a coordinated manner, development proposals              |                                 |
|-------|-------------|---|---------------------------------|
|       |             | must:   |                                 |
|       |             |   |                                 |
|       |             | a. Ensure that development on sites located within 400 metres of a proposed Bakerloo line station or Bakerloo Line Extension (BLE)      |                                 |
|       |             | safeguarded area (as identified under the Secretary of State for Transport's safeguarding directions), will not prejudice preclude or   |                                 |
|       |             | delay the delivery of the Bakerloo line extension, BLE taking into account any Ministerial Safeguarding Directions and Mayor of         |                                 |
|       |             | London/Transport for London infrastructure requirements and/or feasibility studies associated with BLE Phase 2, and will not lead to    |                                 |
|       |             | excessive cost in the delivery or operation of the Bakerloo Line, with reference to Policy TR2 (Bakerloo line extension);               |                                 |
|       |             | ,   |                                 |
|       |             | b. Optimise the capacity of sites having regard to future improvements in Public Transport Access Levels enabled by the BLE and         |                                 |
|       |             | other transport infrastructure;   |                                 |
|       |             | c. Deliver the comprehensive redevelopment of the former Bell Green gas holders, Bell Green Retail Park and Sainsbury's Bell Green,     |                                 |
|       |             | and through this process make provision for a residential-led mixed-use quarter with a distinctive urban character that is supported by |                                 |
|       |             | a new Local Centre, which provides a focus for new employment floorspace and job creation opportunities, the scale of which is          |                                 |
|       |             | informed by a Retail Impact Assessment;   |                                 |
|       |             | d. Protect the employment function of the LSIS at Stanton Square and Worsley Bridge Road, whilst exploring opportunities to deliver     |                                 |
|       |             |   |                                 |
|       |             | new high quality workspace, including through the co-location of employment and other compatible uses;                                  |                                 |
|       |             | e. Deliver public realm improvements to help reduce car use and barriers to movement, improve permeability and enhance the              |                                 |
|       |             | walking and cycle environment, particularly around the Bell Green gyratory and along major roads, including the A212 (Sydenham          |                                 |
|       |             | Road, Bell Green Lane, Perry Hill), A2218 (Stanton Way, Southend Lane) and Worsley Bridge Road;   |                                 |
|       |             | f. Enable the reconfiguration, re-routing and/or redesign of roads where this is necessary to support the area masterplan; and          |                                 |
|       |             | g. Ensure adequate provision of infrastructure, including community facilities, taking into account existing need and any additional    |                                 |
|       |             | demand arising from new development.  |                                 |
|       |             | D Development proposals should contribute to enhancing the place qualities of Bell Green and Lower Sydenham, including by:              |                                 |
|       |             | a. Ensuring that the layout and design of development improves permeability and circulation within the local area, and promotes the     |                                 |
|       |             | area's integration with surrounding neighbourhoods and places. This includes enhanced walking routes and cycleways to Sydenham          |                                 |
|       |             | town centre and new connections to Bellingham, over the Pool River where feasible;  |                                 |
|       |             | b. Integrating new publicly accessible open space into development;   |                                 |
|       |             | c. Responding positively to heritage assets and their setting, including the Livesey Hall War Memorial and gardens; and                 |                                 |
|       |             | d. Maximising opportunities to improve the ecological quality and amenity value of the river environment, including by enhancing        |                                 |
|       |             | access to Riverview Walk and Pool River Linear Park, and securing views to the Pool River.  |                                 |
|       |             |   |                                 |
| MM263 | Policy LSA4 | LSA 4 A21 corridor / Bromley Road   | Modifications to identify the   |
|       |             |   | strategic status of the policy  |
|       |             | Strategic Policy  | and the strategic objectives to |
|       |             |   | which it relates. AP7)          |
|       |             | Strategic Objectives:   |                                 |
|       |             | A An open Lewisham as part of an open London Borough of Lewisham,   |                                 |
|       |             | B Housing tailored to the community with genuinely affordable homes,  |                                 |
|       |             | C A thriving local economy that tackles inequalities,   |                                 |
|       |             | D A greener borough,  |                                 |
|       |             | E Responding to the climate emergency,  |                                 |
|       |             | F Celebrating our local identity,   |                                 |
|       |             | G Healthy and safe communities,   |                                 |
|       |             | H Securing the timely delivery of infrastructure.   |                                 |
|       |             |   |                                 |

|       |                    | A The transformation of the A21 Corridor (Bromley Road) and its immediate surrounds into a network of liveable, healthy neighbourhoods with a distinctive urban character is integral to the delivery of the spatial strategy. Development proposals must demonstrate how they have responded positively to the A21 Development Framework through the design-led approach.  B Development proposals along the A21 Corridor and its immediate surrounds must contribute to enhancing the place qualities of the Corridor by:  a. Responding positively to the evolving urban character of the area through the sensitive intensification of sites, where appropriate; b. Helping to establish a distinctive and legible urban grain along and around the Corridor, including clusters of development of an urban scale situated at major road junctions, particularly at Southend Lane; c. Ensuring new development reinforces or creates a positive relationship with the public realm, including through the provision of positive frontages along the Corridor, and active ground floor frontages incorporating commercial and community uses, where appropriate, including at Southend Village and Downham District Centre; d. Maximising opportunities to integrate urban greening measures; and e. Enhancing connections between neighbourhoods along and surrounding the Corridor through the delivery of new and improved public realm.  C Development proposals must respond positively to the distinctive and historic character of Southend Village and its surrounds, whilst supporting the long term viability and vitality of the shopping parade by:  a. Enhancing the place qualities of the Village by designing development to create a more coherent urban grain along Bromley Road; b. Making provision for a complementary mix of main town uses within the parade, and c. Improving visitor access to Southend Village through public realm enhancements:  i. Around the junctions at Beckenham Hill Road and Southend Lane/Whitefood Lane;  iii. To and along Coninsborough Crescent; and iiii. At the r |  |
|-------|--------------------|--|--|
| MM264 | Paragraph<br>17.10 | 17.10 Lewisham's South Area will play an important role in helping to deliver inclusive, healthy and liveable neighbourhoods in the Borough. It has the potential to accommodate a significant amount of growth over the plan period. However, the level of this growth will be contingent on the delivery of infrastructure necessary to support both new developments and existing neighbourhoods. This includes transport infrastructure and particularly the Bakerloo line extension. The South Area does not benefit from the same Public Transport Access Levels as many other parts of the Borough. This is one of the key factors contributing to inequality and the levels of deprivation experienced locally, and the need to designate a Strategic Area for Regeneration. Targeted interventions are required to tackle inequalities and the social, economic and environmental barriers that contribute to deprivation. Subject to it being demonstrably justified the Council will consider the preparation of further guidance for the South Area that responds to local health, well-being, and social inequalities. Opportunities for preparing such guidance through the neighbourhood plan-making process will be positively considered. The Local Plan sets out a strategy to ensure that growth and regeneration in the South Area is effectively coordinated, with a clear framework in place to facilitate the delivery of the Bakerloo line extension and maximise opportunities associated with it. This will require that stakeholders work together and alongside local communities to tackle deprivation by directing investment in a   | Modifications that clarify how the policy addresses consider how issues of inclusion and equality. (AP104) |

| MM265 | Paragraph<br>17.11 | improvements when will be critical to deli The Bell Green and Opportunity Areas, a Sydenham Area and of large sites in prox Gas Holders, an out principles for their resupplementary plan a new high quality, r key stakeholders. De | they arise and devering area improved tower Sydenham and the. The Cound make robust representing to one another egeneration and remains document and esidential-led mixed evelopment proposed bublic consultation | monstrate rements an area is poincil will suppesentation er with the rk and build newal. The red-use neighbor master ed-use neighbor and adopt | benefits and best vand transformational of transformational of the being actively proport this designation is to achieve this as potential to be completed by and several designation in the prepare ghourhood, which we do address the action the future suppleted to achieve the proportion of the future suppleted to achieve the action the future supplementation in the | change for the benefit of everyone.  comoted by the Council to become one  n in a future the designation of the Bel part of the next review of the London P  crehensively redeveloped. They include esignated employment locations. The L  crepare anticipates that a more detaile ed to ensure coordination between land will be informed by consultation with loc rea's relationship with and connections ementary planning document and/ or n es guidance. | e of London's next I Green and Lower I an. There are a number the the former Bell Green cocal Plan establishes the d framework, downers in the delivery of al communities and other to Sydenham District  | Modification to reflect comments received during the Regulation 19 consultation.  Modification to relocate some of the policy text referencing a future supplementary planning document/ master plan to supporting text. |  |  |
|-------|--------------------|--|---|--|---|--|---|--|--|--|
| MM266 | Policy<br>LSA SA1  | Non-Strategic Policy  Site Address Site Details  excluding Livesey Memorial Hall,  | Strategic Objectiv B Housing tailore C A thriving local D A greener borou E Responding to F Celebrating our G Healthy and sa H Securing the tir   | es: d to the co economy t ugh, the climate local iden fe commun nely delive lolders, Ala   | mmunity with genuithat tackles inequalities emergency, tity, nities, ery of infrastructure. an Pegg Place, SE2 L Ownership 5: 2 Private 1: 2  |  | Modifications to identify the strategic status of the policy and the strategic objectives to which it relates. (AP7)  Modification in accordance with the Council's responses response to MIQ 20.12 and the Additional Housing Supply Background Paper 2024 (LC10), arising from the uplift in housing supply work and to align with final proposed housing trajectory. |  |  |  |
|       |                    | bowling green and tennis courts 1.66) How Site Was Identified Planning Designations and Site Considerations Planning Status Timeframe for Delivery Indicative Development Capacity   | Sites (2018) Regeneration Notatea, Strategic Ar Critical Drainage Strategic Open Spre-application ar  | ocal Plan (de, Approperation of Regarda, adjace and Applicare ars 6 –  | (2013), London SHL<br>oriate Location For T<br>eneration, Air Qualit  | eyond 15 years  atial floorspace   |   | Modification to reflect the extent of non-residential uses permitted under the Barrett and Apex scheme. (AP170)  |  |  |

|       |                    | *excluding Livesey Memorial Hall, bowling green and tennis courts 1.66   |  |
|-------|--------------------|--|--|
| MM267 | Paragraph<br>17.17 | <ol> <li>17.17 Development requirements</li> <li>1. Landowners must work in partnership and in accordance with a master plan for the wider Bell Green and Lower Sydenham area and including a site masterplan, to ensure appropriate co-location, phasing and balance of uses across the site, in line with Policy DM3 (Masterplans and comprehensive development). It is the responsibility of the lead landowner/developer (who is bringing forward the site-wide master plan) to demonstrate that they have taken all reasonable efforts to undertake positive and meaningful engagement with other relevant neighbour land interests.</li> <li>2. The site must be fully re-integrated with the surrounding street network, including Perry Hill to the west, Alan Pegg Place to the south and forthcoming development to the east, to improve access and permeability in the local area, with enhanced walking and cycle connections between public spaces and the site's surrounding neighbourhoods. This will require a hierarchy of routes with clearly articulated east-west and north-south corridors.</li> <li>3. Delivery of new and improved public realm and open space, in accordance with a site-wide public realm strategy that takes account of, and provides links to, the nearby SINC to the north of the site, and is of beneficial use for local residents without impacting on the on-site heritage assets.</li> <li>4. Positive frontage on Alan Pegg Place and onto the South West Corner at the junction of Alan Pegg Place and Perry Hill.</li> <li>5. Safeguard land to support delivery of strategic transport infrastructure, including where required for the Bakerloo line extension.</li> <li>6. Development must be sensitive to the setting of the listed Livesey Memorial Hall and its curtilage open spaces and structures, and the listed boundary wall and War Memorial, and should incorporate it into the wider townscape by creating a positive relationship with new development.</li> <li>7. The history and heritage of the South Suburban Gas Wo</li></ol> | Modification to reflect agreed position with development partners.  Modification to clarify how developers engage with other land interests on the site allocation.                        |
| MM268 | Paragraph<br>17.18 | <ol> <li>Development should deliver a more cohesive street pattern, in coordination with other neighbouring site allocations. There is an opportunity to open up new walking and cycle links to the east and south of the site, to create a legible and more permeable network of routes that connect to the surrounding neighbourhood areas.</li> <li>To achieve the optimal capacity of the site, development proposals should take into account future public transport accessibility levels, as associated with the Bakerloo Line extension.</li> <li>Applicants should consider increasing bus services through around the site, in partnership with TFL.</li> <li>Development should be designed to provide an appropriate transition in bulk, scale and massing through the site and from the site to its surrounds, which are predominantly suburban in character to the north and west. Tall buildings will not be appropriate in the western part of the site where maintaining the setting of the heritage assets should be prioritised. Tall buildings may be considered along the site's eastern boundary, where they can be designed so as to contribute positively to the streetscene and without detriment to the heritage assets on site.</li> </ol>  | Modification to reflect comments received during the Regulation 19 consultation.  Modification to reflect agreed position set out in the SoCG between the Council and development partner. |

|                         | 5. Development mu remainder of the site to be a focal point w should form an intermediate to the subject to detailed do the site's layout and  | e in a way tha<br>vithin the des<br>gral part of th<br>north (bowli<br>lesign. Public |  |  |                      |                                |  |   |
|-------------------------|--|---|--|--|----------------------|--------------------------------|--|---|
|                         | 6. The site is constra<br>significant service in<br>surveys will need to<br>mitigation measures<br>associated with sign<br>of the Hazardous Si |   |  |  |                      |                                |  |   |
|                         | 7. Development pro 8. Applicants should manage surface wa being occupied thro  |   |  |  |                      |                                |  |   |
| MM269 Policy<br>LSA SA2 | 2 Bell Green Retail  | Park  |  | Modifications to identify the strategic status of the policy |                      |                                |  |   |
| LOA GAZ                 | Non-Strategic<br>Policy  | C A thriving D A greene E Respond F Celebratin G Healthy a                            | tailored to the local econor borough, ing to the class our local and safe countries. | omy that tackle<br>imate emerger<br>identity,                | es inequalities      | / affordable homes,            |  | and the strategic objectives to which it relates. (AP7) |
|                         | Site Address   |   | T  | London, SE6  | _                    |                                |  |   |
|                         | Site Details   | Site size<br>(ha) 7.37  | Setting<br>Urban   | PTAL<br>2015: 1b-2<br>2021: 1b-2<br>2031: 1b-2               | Ownership<br>Private | Out of centre retail, car park |  |   |
|                         | How Site Was<br>Identified   | Site Allocat  | ions Local F   | <br>Plan (2013), Lo  | ondon SHLAA          | (2017)                         |  |   |
|                         | Planning Designations and Site Considerations  | Regeneration<br>Area, Strate<br>Area of Reg<br>Flood Zone                             | gic Open S<br>generation,  |  |                      |                                |  |   |
|                         | Planning Status  | None  |  |  |                      |                                |  |   |

|       |                    | Timeframe for Delivery   | Years 1 – 5  | Years 6 – 10  | Years 11 – 15<br>Yes  | Beyond 15 years  |  |  |  |  |
|-------|--------------------|--|--|---|---|--|--|--|--|--|
|       |                    | Indicative Development Capacity  | Net residentia<br>748 - 1,831  | l units   | Gross non-res Employment 3 Main town cen  |  |  |  |  |  |
| MM270 | Paragraph<br>17.21 | a site masterplan, to and comprehensive master plan) to der relevant neighbour.  2. The site must be enhanced walking hierarchy of routes.  3. Delivery of new enhanced public at 4. Safeguard lands consider options for Network Rail.  5. Development preserved.   | st work in partner to ensure the apple development). monstrate that the reland interests. It fully re-integrate and cycle conner with clearly articles to support deliver the provision of the pr | ted with the surrections between culated east-west ublic realm and onk Way.  The of a new railway of street and seek to be the culated to the culated | ation, phasing and ibility of the lead II reasonable effort ounding street new public spaces a st and north-sout open space, in according to the station across the enhance green | aster plan for the Bell Green and Lower Sydenham area including d balance of uses across the site, in line with DM3 (Masterplans landowner/ developer (who is bringing forward the site-wide orts to undertake positive and meaningful engagement with other etwork to improve access and permeability in the local area, with and the site's surrounding neighbourhoods. This will require a not corridors.  Scordance with a site-wide public realm strategy, including ture, including where required for the Bakerloo line extension and as Bell Green Masterplan area, in partnership with TFL and infrastructure, including SINC, green corridor, Metropolitan Open rovement Plan SPD. |  |  |  |  |
| MM271 | Paragraph<br>17.22 | 1. Development shallocations. There is permeable network 2. Public access th 3. Applicants should manage surface who being occupied through network. New confidence the confidence of the confid | To achieve the optimal capacity of the site, development proposals should take into account future public transport accessibility evels, as associated with the Bakerloo line extension.   |   |   |  |  |  |  |  |

|              | 5. Applicants should   | d consider in   | creasing bu   | is services thr                    | ough the site,   | in partnership with TFL.                   |  |                    |  |  |  |  |
|--------------|--|---|---|------------------------------------|--|--|--|--------------------|--|--|--|--|
|              |  | Development should be designed to provide an appropriate transition in bulk, scale and massing from the site to its surrounds. eighbourhoods to the immediate north and east of the site are predominantly suburban and residential in character. |   |                                    |  |  |  |                    |  |  |  |  |
|              | 7. The site is identif   | 7. The site is identified as a suitable location for tall buildings, in line with Policy QD4 (Building heights).  |   |                                    |  |  |  |                    |  |  |  |  |
|              | 8. Parking provision   |   |   |                                    |  |  |  |                    |  |  |  |  |
|              | 9. Development sho<br>the area's significar  |   |   |                                    |  |  |  |                    |  |  |  |  |
|              | 10. Development pr   |   |   |                                    |  |  |  |                    |  |  |  |  |
|              | 11. Applicants should application stage, to proximity of the form extent of possible grand measures will need associated with sign of the Hazardous Stages |   |   |                                    |  |  |  |                    |  |  |  |  |
| MM272 Policy | 3 Sainsbury's Bell C   | Modifications to identify the   |   |                                    |  |  |  |                    |  |  |  |  |
| LSA SA3      | Non-Strategic<br>Policy  | Strategic Ol<br>B Housing<br>C A thriving<br>D A greene<br>E Respondi<br>F Celebratin<br>G Healthy a<br>H Securing  | ailored to the local econor borough, ng to the cling our local and safe cor |                                    | strategic status of the policy and the strategic objectives to which it relates. (AP7)  Modification to align with final proposed housing trajectory.  Modification to update the floorspace capacity of the |  |  |                    |  |  |  |  |
|              | Site Address   |   | -   | Lane, Londoi                       |  |  |  | foodstore. (AP173) |  |  |  |  |
|              | Site Details   | Site size<br>(ha) 5.42  | Setting<br>Urban  | PTAL 2015: 2-3 2021: 2-3 2031: 2-3 | Ownership<br>Private   | Current use Out of centre retail, car park |  |                    |  |  |  |  |
|              | How Site Was Identified  | Lewisham (  | Call for Sites  | s (2015) and L                     | ondon SHLA   |  |  |                    |  |  |  |  |
|              | Planning Designations and Site Considerations  | Regeneration  | on, adjacen<br>etropolitan C  | t to Strategic C                   | ation for Tall B<br>Open Space, A<br>Ijacent Waterlii  |  |  |                    |  |  |  |  |

|       |  | Planning Status  | None  |   |   |   |   |  |
|-------|--|--|---|---|---|---|---|--|
|       |  | Timeframe for Delivery   | Years 1 – 5   | Years 6 – 10<br><u>Yes</u>  | Years 11 – 15<br>Yes  | Beyond 15 years   |   |  |
|       |  | Indicative<br>Development<br>Capacity  | Net residentia<br>550 - 1,347   | I units   | Employment 2,   | dential floorspace<br>751<br>tre <del>11,003</del> <u>14,060</u>  |   |  |
| MM273 | Paragraph<br>17.25   | Modification to clarify how developers engage with other land interests on the site allocation.    |   |   |   |   |   |  |
|       | <ol> <li>Delivery of new and improved public realm and open space, in accordance with a site-wide public realm strategy, including enhanced public access to Waterlink Way.</li> <li>Safeguard land to support delivery of strategic transport infrastructure, including where required for the Bakerloo line extension consider options for the provision of a new railway station across the Bell Green Masterplan area, in partnership with TFL and Network Rail.</li> <li>Development proposals must protect and seek to enhance green infrastructure, including SINC, green corridor, Metropolitan O Land and the Pool River, taking into account the River Corridor Improvement Plan SPD.</li> </ol> |  |   |   |   |   | akerloo line extension and rship with TFL and                         |  |
| MM274 | Paragraph<br>17.26   | allocations. There i permeable network opportunities to rec  2. High quality pub between new build | ould deliver a mes an opportunity of routes that configure or removed in realm along strings and public | to open up new onnect to the surple the gyratory and couthend Lane, spaces. | east-west and narounding neight<br>as part of an area<br>with generous so | etwork and street pattern, in coordination orth-south walking and cycle links, to coourhood area. Proposals will be expectar-wide strategy.  etbacks and tree planting, should be provided by the control of the links. | reate a legible and more ted to investigate ovided to create a buffer | Modification to provide clarity on the future reprovision of the food store. (AP172)  Modification to clarify the relationship between the site allocation and the contamination issues anticipated on the adjoining Bell Green Gas Holder site. |

|              | 4. Applicants show manage surface w being occupied thr network. New confidence the confidence that are the conf |  |  |  |  |   |   |                               |  |  |
|--------------|--|--|--|--|--|---|---|-------------------------------|--|--|
|              | levels, as associated with the Bakerloo line extension.  6. Applicants should consider increasing bus services through the site, in partnership with TFL.  |  |  |  |  |   |   |                               |  |  |
|              | 7. Development should be designed to provide an appropriate transition in bulk, scale and massing from the site to the surround area. Neighbourhoods to the immediate east and south of the site are predominantly suburban and residential in character.  |  |  |  |  |   |   |                               |  |  |
|              | 8. The site is identi  | ified as a suita   | ble location   | for tall buildi                                  | ngs, in line wit                                 | h Policy QD4 (Building heights)   | ).  |                               |  |  |
|              | 9. Parking provisio  | n should refle   | ct future imp  | orovements to                                    | o public transpo                                 | ort accessibility levels in the are   | a.  |                               |  |  |
|              | 10. Developments to the area's signif  |  | the Area of  | Special Loca                                     | l Character by                                   | conserving and enhancing the  | characteristics that contribute                 |                               |  |  |
|              | 11. Development p  |  |  |  |  |   |   |                               |  |  |
|              | 12. Development practicable and via  |  |  |  |  |   |   |                               |  |  |
|              | the nature and extended  | ent of possible<br>neasures will r<br>allenges assoc   | ground cor<br>eed to be in<br>ated with s  | ntamination a<br>nplemented, i<br>ignificant dec | and environmer<br>where necessa<br>contamination | north), ground surveys may be nated pollution. Should these be ary, in partnership with utility properties and remediation of the site and SC). | encountered remedial works oviders. The Council |                               |  |  |
| MM275 Policy | 4 Stanton Square   | _  |  |  |  |   |   | Modifications to identify the |  |  |
| LSA SA4      | Non-Strategic Policy   | Strategic Ob<br>B Housing to<br>C A thriving<br>D A greened<br>E Responding<br>F Celebrating<br>G Healthy and H Securing | strategic status of the policy<br>and the strategic objectives to<br>which it relates. (AP7) |  |  |   |   |                               |  |  |
|              | Site Address Stanton Way, London, SE26 5SP   |  |  |  |  |   |   |                               |  |  |
|              | Site Details   | Site size<br>(ha) 0.97   | Setting<br>Urban   | PTAL   | Ownership<br>Private                             | Current use Industrial and commercial   |   |                               |  |  |

|       |                    | How Site Was   | Site Allocation<br>Sites (2018) fo  | `   | : 3<br>: 3  | LAA (2017) and Lewisham Call for  |   |  |
|-------|--------------------|--|---|---|---|---|---|--|
|       |                    | Planning Designations and Site Considerations            | Industrial Site,  | Archaeological  | te Location for T<br>Priority Area, Strood Zone 1, Critic |   |   |  |
|       |                    | Planning Status  | None  |   |   |   |   |  |
|       |                    | Timeframe for Delivery                                   | Years 1 – 5   | Years 6 – 10  | Years 11 – 15<br>Yes                                      | Beyond 15 years   |   |  |
|       | Paragraph<br>17.29 | Indicative<br>Development<br>Capacity                    | Net residential<br>94-231   | units   | Gross non-res Employment 3 Main town cen                  |   |   |  |
| MM276 |                    | 17.29 Development  | Modification to clarify how developers engage with other  |   |   |   |   |  |
|       |                    | Lower Sydenham a other uses across the landowner/develop | rea including a<br>ne site, in line w<br>er (who is bringi  | site masterplan,<br>ith Policy DM3 (l<br>ng forward the s | to ensure the ap<br>Masterplans and<br>ite-wide master    | delivered in accordance with a master propriate co-location, phasing and ba comprehensive development). It is the blan) to demonstrate that they have tal neighbour land interests. | lance of employment and ne responsibility of the lead | land interests on the site allocation. |
|       |                    | 2. Development mus<br>Policy EC6 (Locally                |   |   | strial capacity, or                                       | compromise the function of the emplo  | oyment location, in line with                         |  |
|       |                    | 3. Delivery of new a the site's relationsh               |   |   |   | site-wide public realm strategy, with p   | articular attention given to                          |  |
|       |                    | 4.Safeguard land to                                      | Bakerloo line extension.  |   |   |   |   |  |
| MM277 | Paragraph<br>17.30 | 17.30 Development  | d nature of the site and  | Modification to provide further clarity.                  |   |   |   |  |
|       |                    | opportunities to reco                                    | Modification to clarify the relationship between the site allocation and the contamination issues |   |   |   |   |  |

|                         | 2. Non-employment uses, including residential uses, must be sensitively integrated into the development in order to ensure the   | e anticipated on the adjoining   |
|-------------------------|--|--|
|                         | protection of amenity for all site users, along with safe and convenient access. This will require careful consideration of the ope requirements of existing and potential future employment uses.   |  |
|                         | 3. To achieve the optimal capacity of the site, development proposals should take into account future public transport accessil levels, as associated with the Bakerloo line extension.  | oility   |
|                         | 4. Development should respond positively to the amenity of the primary school, located on the opposite side of Stanton Way.  |  |
|                         | 5. The retention and incorporation of the well-preserved <u>mid-20th Century art deco-style</u> building, <u>currently occupied by Covered Scaffolding</u> , should be considered as part of the overall design. Consideration should also be given to the well-preserved set Victorian buildings, the architectural salvage building, and the Bell public house, to ensure that the design of the site contribute character of the wider area.  | of   |
|                         | 6. Development should be designed to provide an appropriate transition in bulk, scale and massing from the site to the surrou area.  | nding  |
|                         | 7. Applicants should work in partnership with Thames Water and engage with them early to minimise impacts on groundwater manage surface water, divert existing sewers where applicable and ensure infrastructure upgrades are delivered ahead of the being occupied through a housing phasing plan. New connections into trunk sewers will not be allowed.   |  |
|                         | 8. Development proposals should investigate opportunities to deliver a decentralised energy network.   |  |
|                         | 9. Due to the proximity of the former Bell Green Gas Holder site (to the north), ground surveys may be required in order to identify and extent of possible ground contamination and environmental pollution. Should these be encountered remedial works mitigation measures will need to be implemented, where necessary, in partnership with utility providers. The Council recognistic challenges associated with significant decontamination and remediation of the site and when necessary, will play a proactive the revoking of the Hazardous Substances Consent (HSC). | s and/or<br>ses the  |
| MM278 Policy<br>LSA SA5 | 5 Sydenham Green Group Practice  | Modifications to identify the strategic status of the policy   |
| LOA GAG                 | Non-Strategic Policy B Housing tailored to the community with genuinely affordable homes, C A thriving local economy that tackles inequalities,  | and the strategic objectives to which it relates. (AP7)  |
|                         | D A greener borough, E Responding to the climate emergency, F Celebrating our local identity, G Healthy and safe communities, H Securing the timely delivery of infrastructure, I Ensuring high quality education, health and social care.   | Modification in accordance with the Council's response to MIQ 20.12 and the Additional Housing Supply Background Paper 2024 (LC10), arising from the uplift in housing |
|                         | Site Address 26 Holmshaw Close, London, SE26 4TG   | supply work.   |
|                         | Site Details Site size (ha) 0.49 Setting PTAL 2015: 2-3 Public and private 2021: 2-3 private 2031: 2-3   | Modifications to align with fina proposed housing trajectory.  |
|                         | How Site Was Strategic Planning Team (2019) and landowner engagement (2019) Identified   |  |

|                         | Planning Designations and Site Considerations |   |   |   |                                      |            | tion, Air Quality   |  |
|-------------------------|---|---|---|---|--------------------------------------|------------|---|--|
|                         | Planning Status                               | None-Full ap  |   | 17/102792                               | (part of the                         | e site) wa | s approved in March 2019.   |  |
|                         | Timeframe for Delivery                        | Years 1 – 5<br><u>Yes</u>   | Years 6 –<br>10   | Years 1<br>Yes                          | 1 – 15 Be                            | eyond 15   | years   |  |
|                         | Indicative<br>Development<br>Capacity         | Net residenti<br>36-87 <u>55</u>  | al units  | Employ                                  | non-residen<br>ment 0<br>wn centre 2 |            | space   |  |
|                         | Remaining Net<br>Units to be<br>Delivered     | 33  |   |   |                                      |            |   |  |
| MM279 Policy<br>LSA SA6 | 6 Worsley Bridge R                            | oad Locally Si  | gnificant Indu  | strial Site                             |                                      |            |   | Modifications to identify the strategic status of the policy |
|                         | Non-Strategic Policy                          | Strategic Obj<br>B Housing ta<br>C A thriving I<br>D A greener<br>E Respondin<br>F Celebrating<br>G Healthy ar<br>H Securing to | and the strategic objectives to which it relates. (AP7) |   |                                      |            |   |  |
|                         | Site Address                                  | Kangley Brid  | ge Rd, Lower  | Sydenhar                                | n, London S                          |            |   |  |
|                         | Site Details                                  | Site size<br>(ha) 1.26  | Urban 2   | TAL<br>015: 2-3<br>021: 2-3<br>031: 2-3 | Ownershi<br>Private                  | .          | Current use<br>ndustrial, commercial,<br>residential, vacant land, car<br>park    |  |
|                         | How Site Was Identified                       | Site Allocation   | ns Local Plan   | (2013) an                               | d London \$                          | SHLAA (    |   |  |
|                         | Planning Designations and Site Considerations | Open, adjace  | nt to Site of Ir<br>e, Strategic Ar                     | nportan ce                              | for Nature                           | Conserv    | ngs, adjacent to Strategic<br>ation, Locally Significant<br>nes 1 and 2, Critical |  |
|                         | Planning Status                               | None  |   |   |                                      |            |   |  |
|                         | Timeframe for Delivery                        | Years 1 – 5   | Years 6 –   | 10 Year<br>Yes                          | s 11 – 15                            |            |   |  |

|       |                    | Indicative                        | Net residential units  | Gross non-residen                                | tial floorspace   |                           |  |
|-------|--------------------|-----------------------------------|--|--|---|---------------------------|--|
|       |                    | Development Capacity              | 113-179  | Employment 11,90                                 | 7   |                           |  |
|       |                    | Capacity                          |  | Main town centre 0                               |   |                           |  |
|       |                    |                                   |  |  |   |                           |  |
| MM280 | Paragraph<br>17.38 | 17.38 Developmen                  | nt guidelines  |  |   |                           | Modification to reflect comments received during the   |
|       | 17.50              | protection of amen                |  | ith safe and convenient ac                       | y integrated into the development incess. This will require careful cons  |                           | Regulation 19 consultation.  |
|       |                    |                                   | optimal capacity of the site deduction the site of the |  | ould take into account future public  | c transport accessibility |  |
|       |                    |                                   | hould be given to the develor<br>part of a coordinated and n   |  | House in order to deliver higher qu   | ality residential         |  |
|       |                    |                                   | ld investigate options to impuld not result in a reduction   |  | nnections including to the nearby iageway space.  | Waterlink Way and SINC.   |  |
|       |                    | 5. Development pr                 | oposals should address the   | station approach as a key                        | element of the public realm strate  | gy.                       |  |
|       |                    | 6. Transport for Lo               | ndon and Network Rail sho  | uld be consulted on devel                        | opment and design options.  |                           |  |
|       |                    | manage surface w                  | ater, divert existing sewers v   | where applicable and ens                         | e with them early to minimise impa<br>ure infrastructure upgrades are del<br>that drain to the River Pool are pre | ivered ahead of the site  |  |
|       |                    |                                   |  |  | h of Bromley in relation to the poss<br>located along Worsley Bridge Road   |                           |  |
| MM281 | Policy             | 7 Lidl, Southend L                | ane  |  |   |                           | Modifications to identify the  |
|       | LSA SA7            | Non-Strategic Policy Site Address |  | entity,<br>nunities,<br>ivery of infrastructure. |   |                           | strategic status of the policy<br>and the strategic objectives to<br>which it relates. (AP7) |
|       |                    | Site Details                      |  | PTAL Ownership                                   | Current use   |                           |  |

|                         |   |  |   |   |  |  | <br><del>,</del>   |
|-------------------------|---|--|---|---|--|--|--|
|                         |   |  | Urban   | 2015: 1a<br>2021: 1a<br>2031: §.  | Private  | Out of centre retail, car park                         |  |
|                         | How Site Was Identified                       | London SHL   | AA (2017)   | 1   |  |  |  |
|                         | Planning Designations and Site Considerations |  |   |   |  | trategic Area of Regeneration, Air<br>al Drainage Area |  |
|                         | Planning Status                               | None   |   |   |  |  |  |
|                         | Timeframe for Delivery                        | Years 1 – 5  | Years 6   | 5 – 10 Yea  |  | Beyond 15 years  |  |
|                         | Indicative<br>Development<br>Capacity         | Net residentia   | al units  | Em  | ployment 0                                       | lential floorspace                                     |  |
|                         |   |  |   | Mai   | n town cent                                      | e 1,204  |  |
| MM282 Policy<br>LSA SA8 | 8 Land at Pool Cou                            | rt   |   |   |  |  | Modifications to identify the strategic status of the policy |
|                         | Non-Strategic Policy                          | Strategic Object A An open Lea B Housing ta D A greener to E Respondin F Celebrating G Healthy an H Securing the | ewisham a<br>ilored to the<br>corough,<br>g to the cli<br>lour local<br>d safe con<br>ne timely d | and the strategic objectives to which it relates. (AP7)  Modification to align with final proposed housing trajectory.  Modification to update the capacity of the site allocation. |  |  |  |
|                         | Site Address                                  | Land at Pool   | •   | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·   |  |  |  |
|                         | Site Details                                  |  | Setting<br>Central  | PTAL<br>2015: 2<br>2021: 2<br>2031: 2   | Ownersh Public Ownersh part LBL a part Netw Rail | Scaffolding site and green space and                   |  |
|                         | How Site Was Identified                       | LBL stepped  | approach t  | to identifying  | g a gypsy an                                     | d traveller site                                       |  |
|                         | Planning Designations and Site Considerations | Strategic Area<br>Priority Area,<br>Conservation   | Critical Dr   | ainage Area   | , Flood Zone                                     |  |  |
|                         | Planning Status                               | Pre-application  | n Applicat  | <u>tion</u>   |  |  |  |

|       |                   | Timeframe for Delivery                        | Years 1 – 5<br>Yes  | Years 6 – 10   | Years 11 – 1                            | Beyond 15 years  |                      |  |
|-------|-------------------|---|---|--|---|--|----------------------|--|
|       |                   | Indicative<br>Development<br>Capacity         | Net residentia  | al units   | Gross non-r<br>Employmen<br>Main town o |  |                      |  |
| MM283 | Paragraph         | 17.43 Development                             | requirements  |  |   |  |                      | Modification to provide further  |
|       | 17.43             | such accommodation                            | on arising in th  | e borough.   |   | y and traveller accommodation, where the                         |                      | Modification to correct paragraph numbering.   |
|       |                   | or veteran trees.                             |   |  | J                                       | en infrastructure and biodiversity, includi                      |                      |  |
|       |                   | _   |   | st suitably addr   | ess public healt                        | n and safety, including the safe function                        | ning of the railway. |  |
| MM284 | Policy<br>LSA SA9 | 9 Catford Police sta                          | T   |  |   |  | _                    | Modifications to identify the strategic status of the policy   |
|       |                   | Non-Strategic Policy                          | C A thriving I D A greener I E Respondin F Celebrating G Healthy an | ilored to the corocal economy toorough, g to the climate our local idented as a feet ommunity. | hat tackles ineq<br>emergency,<br>tity, |  |                      | and the strategic objectives to which it relates. (AP7)  Modification in accordance with the Council's response to MIQ 20.12 and the Additional Housing Supply Background Paper 2024 (LC10), arising |
|       |                   | Site Address<br>Site Details                  | 333 Bromley<br>Site size  | Rd, London SE<br>Setting PTA<br>Urban 2015<br>202  | 6 2RJ                                   | _  |                      | from the uplift in housing supply work and to align with final proposed housing trajectory.  |
|       |                   | How Site Was Identified                       |   | nning Team (20   | 019) and Landov                         | vner engagement (2019)   |                      | Modification to update the   |
|       |                   | Planning Designations and Site Considerations |   | •  | •                                       | a, Air Quality Management Area, Flood egic Area of Regeneration. |                      | capacity of the site allocation.   |
|       |                   | Planning Status                               | None Pre-ap   |  |   |  |                      |  |
|       |                   | Timeframe for Delivery                        | Years 1 – 5 <u>Yes</u>  | Years 6 –<br>10<br>Yes   | Years 11 – 15                           | Beyond 15 years  |                      |  |

|       |                    | Indicative<br>Development   | Net residen  | tial units   | Gross   | non-residentia                            | I floorspace   |            |                       |  |
|-------|--------------------|---|--|--|---|---|--|------------|-----------------------|--|
|       |                    | Capacity  | <del>24</del> <u>54</u>  |  |   | yment 1,072                               |  |            |                       |  |
|       |                    |   |  |  | Main to   | own centre 0                              |  |            |                       |  |
|       |                    |   |  |  |   |   |  |            |                       |  |
| MM285 | Paragraph<br>17.45 | Site allocation<br>17.45 Mixed use recompatible non-re                      | •  | •  |   | ial, commercia                            | I, community, <del>and</del> main tow  | n centre ı | uses <u>and other</u> | Modification to reflect agreed position with development partner.  |
| MM286 | Paragraph<br>17.48 | Crescent along the green buffers intro  2. Development sl Streets Corridor. | hould respond<br>e eastern edge<br>oduced along t<br>hould improve     | of the site the site the street from   | errace home<br>ntage.<br>s for walking                    | s along Conis                             | ns and features of terraced operation of the content of the content of the rective travel modes, content of the existing but the content of the content | menity sh  | ould be enhanced with | Modification to reflect agreed position set out in the SoCG between the Council and development partner.  Modification to correct paragraph numbering. |
|       |                    |   | uld work in par  | tnership with  | n Thames Wa   | ater and engag                            | I Army Reserves building, H  |            |                       |  |
| MM287 | Policy<br>LSA SA10 | 10 Homebase <u>/Arg</u>   | <del>os</del> , Bromley R  | oad  |   |   |  |            |                       | Modifications to identify the strategic status of the policy   |
|       | 20,10,110          | Non-Strategic Policy  | C A thriving D A greene E Respondi F Celebratir G Healthy a H Securing | tailored to the local econory borough, ing to the cling our local and safe conthe timely d | mate emerge<br>identity,<br>nmunities,<br>elivery of infi | les inequalities<br>ency,<br>rastructure. |  |            |                       | and the strategic objectives to which it relates. (AP7)  Modification to reflect factual position.  Modification to align with final                   |
|       |                    | Site Address  | 10 Beckenh   | nam Hill Roa   | id, Catford, L  | ondon SE6 3N                              | IU, Downham  |            |                       | proposed housing trajectory.   |
|       |                    | Site Details  | Site size<br>(ha) 1.70   | Setting<br>Urban   | PTAL<br>2015: 3<br>2021: 3<br>2031: 3                     | Ownership<br>Private                      | Out of centre retail, car pa   | ark        |                       |  |
|       |                    | How Site Was Identified   |  | •  | g Document<br>n SHLAA (20                                 | •   | llage) (2009), Lewisham Cal  | ll for     |                       |  |

|              | Planning Designations and Site Considerations   |   | i, Strategic O   | pen Space  |                             | Site of Importance for Nature<br>y Management Area, Flood Zones 1 |  |
|--------------|---|---|--|--|-----------------------------|---|--|
|              | Planning Status   | Pre-application   | on   |  |                             |   |  |
|              | Timeframe for Delivery  | Years 1 – 5   | Years 6 –  | 10 Year<br><u>Yes</u>  | rs 11 – 15                  | Beyond 15 years   |  |
|              | Net residential units 141   |   |  | ss non-resi  | dential floorspace          |   |  |
| MM288 Policy | 11 Beadles Garage   |   |  | IVIAII   | T town ocn                  |   | Modifications to identify the  |
| LSA SA11     | Non-Strategic Policy  Site Address Site Details   | C A thriving I D A greener I E Respondin F Celebrating G Healthy an H Securing the Beadles Volk Site size (ha) 0.33 | ilored to the cocal economic orough, g to the climate our local idea of safe commine timely delicated as the commine timely de | y that tackl<br>ate emerge<br>entity,<br>nunities,<br>very of infr | es inequal ncy, astructure. | 1 4JS   | strategic status of the policy and the strategic objectives to which it relates. (AP7)  Modifications to align with final proposed housing trajectory. |
|              | How Site Was Identified  Planning Designations and Site Considerations  Planning Status  Planning Status  None  Timeframe for Delivery  London SHLAA (2017)  Adjacent Site of Importance for Nature Conservation, adjacent Public Open Space, Strategic Area of Regeneration, Flood Zone 1, Critical Drainage Area.  Site Considerations  Planning Status  None  Years 1 – 5  Years 6 – 10  Years 11 – 15  Beyond 15 years  Yes |   |  |  |                             |   |  |

|       |                    | Indicative                                    | Net residen            | tial units   | Gros                       | s non-resident   | tial floorspace                    |                         |   |
|-------|--------------------|---|------------------------|--|----------------------------|------------------|------------------------------------|-------------------------|---|
|       |                    | Development Capacity                          | 25                     |  | Emp                        | loyment 610      |                                    |                         |   |
|       |                    | o ap along                                    |                        |  | Main                       | town centre 0    |                                    |                         |   |
|       |                    |   |                        |  | l                          |                  |                                    |                         |   |
| MM289 | Paragraph<br>17.55 | 17.55 Development                             | ·                      |  |                            |                  |                                    |                         | Modification to reflect comments received during the          |
|       |                    | 1. Positive frontage                          | s and public           | realm enhancei   | ments alo                  | ng Bromley Hill  | I and Avondale Road with active    | ground floor frontages. | Regulation 19 consultation.                                   |
|       |                    | 2. Development mu                             |                        |  |                            | ·                |                                    |                         | Modification to correct paragraph numbering.                  |
|       |                    | 3. Delivery of new a                          | and improved           | public realm, in   | n accordar                 | nce with a site- | wide public realm strategy.        |                         |   |
|       |                    | 4. Development pro<br>SINC land and pub       | •                      |  | k to enhar                 | nce green infra  | structure, including an adjacent   | cemetery, designated as |   |
|       |                    | 5. Applicants and d                           | ecision-taker          | s should liaise v  | with the Lo                | ondon Borough    | n of Bromley in relation to the po | ssible impacts upon the |   |
|       |                    | neighbouring Lowe                             | <u>r Sydenham</u>      | LSIS, and the N  | <u>Metropolita</u>         | n Open Land I    | ocated along Worsley Bridge Ro     | ad.                     |   |
| MM290 | Policy<br>LSA SA12 | 12 Downham Co-op                              | )                      |  |                            |                  |                                    |                         | Modifications to identify the strategic status of the policy  |
|       |                    | Non-Strategic Policy                          | C A thriving           | tailored to the co   |                            |                  | y affordable homes,                |                         | and the strategic objectives to which it relates. (AP7)       |
|       |                    |   | F Celebratin           | r borough,<br>ing to the climat<br>ng our local ider<br>and safe commu | ntity <u>,</u>             | ncy,             |                                    |                         | Modification to align with final proposed housing trajectory. |
|       |                    | Site Address                                  |                        | the timely deliv   | -                          |                  |                                    |                         |   |
|       |                    | Site Address Site Details                     |                        | wnham Way, B   |                            | _                | Currentuce                         |                         |   |
|       |                    | Site Details                                  | Site size<br>(ha) 0.43 |  | TAL                        | Ownership        | Current use                        |                         |   |
|       |                    |   |                        |  | 015: 3<br>021: 4<br>031: 4 | Private          | Retail, public house, car park     |                         |   |
|       |                    | How Site Was Identified                       | London SH              | LAA (2017), and  | d landowr                  | ner engagemen    | nt (2019)                          |                         |   |
|       |                    | Planning Designations and Site Considerations | Local Centr            | e, Strategic Are   | a of Rege                  | neration, Flood  | d Zone 1, Critical Drainage Area.  |                         |   |
|       |                    | Planning Status                               | None                   |  |                            |                  |                                    |                         |   |

|       |                    | Timeframe for Delivery  | Years 1 – 5               | Years 6 -      | 10 Y      | ears 11 – 15 | 5 Beyond 1      | years                       |   |  |
|-------|--------------------|-------------------------|---------------------------|----------------|-----------|--------------|-----------------|-----------------------------|---|--|
|       |                    | Delivery                |                           |                | Y         | <u>es</u>    | Yes             |                             |   |  |
|       |                    | Indicative              | Net residenti             | al units       | G         | ross non-re  | sidential floo  | rspace                      |   |  |
|       |                    | Development Capacity    | 42                        |                | E         | mployment    | 0               |                             |   |  |
|       |                    |                         |                           |                | М         | ain town ce  | ntre 1,440      |                             |   |  |
| MM291 | Policy<br>LSA SA13 | 13 Excalibur Estate     |                           |                |           |              |                 |                             |   | Modifications to identify the strategic status of the policy |
|       |                    | Non-Strategic           | Strategic Ob              | ectives:       |           |              |                 |                             | ] | and the strategic objectives to                              |
|       |                    | Policy                  | B Housing ta              |                | commun    | ity with gen | uinely afford   | able homes,                 |   | which it relates. (AP7)                                      |
|       |                    |                         | D A greener               | borough,       |           |              | ·               |                             |   | , ,  |
|       |                    |                         | E Respondir               | ng to the clim | ate emer  | gency,       |                 |                             |   | Modifications to housing                                     |
|       |                    |                         | F Celebratin              |                |           |              |                 |                             |   | numbers (on-site) to reflect the                             |
|       |                    |                         | G Healthy ar              |                |           |              |                 |                             |   | factual position.  |
|       |                    |                         | H Securing t              |                |           |              |                 |                             | _ |  |
|       |                    | Site Address            | Excalibur Es              | tate, Baudwi   | n Road,   | Whitefoot, S | SE6             |                             |   | Modifications to align with final                            |
|       |                    | Site Details            | Site size                 | Setting P      | TAL       | Ownershi     | p Currer        | nt use                      |   | proposed housing trajectory.                                 |
|       |                    |                         | (ha)                      | Urban 2        | 015: 1b   | Public       | Housii          | ng estate                   |   |  |
|       |                    |                         | 6.14                      |                | 021: 1b   |              |                 |                             |   |  |
|       |                    |                         |                           | 20             | 031: 1b   |              |                 |                             |   | Modification at a clarify the                                |
|       |                    | How Site Was Identified | London SHL                | AA (2017)      |           |              |                 |                             |   | Modifications to clarify the difference between gross and    |
|       |                    | Planning                | Strategic Are             | a of Regene    | ration, F | lood Zone 1  | , Critical Dra  | nage Area, adjacent 6       | 1 | net figures.   |
|       |                    | Designations and        | Listed Buildi             | ngs.           |           |              |                 |                             |   |  |
|       |                    | Site                    |                           |                |           |              |                 |                             |   |  |
|       |                    | Considerations          |                           |                |           |              |                 |                             |   |  |
|       |                    | Planning Status         | Full applicat             | on DC/10/07    | 5973 gra  | nted March   | 2012. Variou    | us subsequent reserved      | 1 |  |
|       |                    | · ·                     |                           |                |           |              |                 | 1. Started construction and |   |  |
|       |                    |                         | 57 units hav              | e already bee  | en compl  | eted.        |                 |                             |   |  |
|       |                    | Timeframe for           | Years 1 – 5               | Years 6 -      |           |              | Beyond 15 ye    | ears                        | 1 |  |
|       |                    | Delivery                |                           | 10             |           |              |                 |                             |   |  |
|       |                    |                         | Yes                       |                |           |              |                 |                             |   |  |
|       |                    | Indicative              | Net residenti             | al units       | Gros      | s non-resid  | lential floorsp | ace                         | ] |  |
|       |                    | Development             |                           |                |           |              |                 |                             |   |  |
|       |                    | Capacity                | <del>287</del> <u>281</u> |                | Emp       | loyment 0    |                 |                             |   |  |
|       |                    |                         |                           |                | Mair      | town centr   | e 0             |                             |   |  |
|       |                    |                         | 459 (gross)               |                |           |              |                 |                             |   |  |
|       |                    |                         |                           |                |           |              |                 |                             |   |  |
|       |                    | REMAINING               | 230 402 (gro              | ss)            |           |              |                 |                             | 1 |  |
|       |                    | NET UNITS TO            |                           | <del></del>    |           |              |                 |                             |   |  |
|       |                    | BE DELIVERED            |                           |                |           |              |                 |                             |   |  |
|       |                    |                         |                           |                |           |              |                 |                             |   |  |
|       |                    |                         |                           |                |           |              |                 |                             | = |  |
|       |                    |                         |                           |                |           |              |                 |                             |   |  |
|       |                    |                         |                           |                |           |              |                 |                             |   |  |

| MM292   | Paragraph<br>17.65 | 178 existing resider realm enhancemen three residential un | ntial units with<br>ts. 57 of the re<br>i <del>ts,</del> for phase | n <del>362</del> <u>459</u> nev<br>esidential uni<br>1c has starte | w units to po<br>ts in phases<br>d. <del>Phase 2</del> | rovide net <del>184</del> <u>2</u><br>s 1a and 1b have<br><del>(previously nam</del> | minantly residential area. Estate<br>81 high quality affordable housing<br>e already been completed. Cons<br>ed Phases 4 and 5) The remaind<br>onal 100 residential units above | ng units, alongside public<br>truction <del>, with an ad-ditional</del><br><u>der of the site</u> has not yet | Modifications to housing numbers (on-site) to reflect the factual position.  Modification to correct paragraph numbering.  Modifications to clarify the difference between gross and net figures. |
|---------|--------------------|--|--|--|--|--|---|---|---|
| MM293   | Policy<br>LSA SA14 | 14 Bestway Cash a  | nd Carry   |  |  |  |   |   | Modifications to identify the strategic status of the policy  |
|         |                    | Non-Strategic Policy                                       | D A greener E Respondi F Celebratir G Healthy a                    | ailored to the   | ate emerge<br>entity,<br>nunities,                     | ency,  | affordable homes,   |   | and the strategic objectives to which it relates. (AP7)   |
|         |                    | Site Address   |  | s Road, Lond   |  |  |   |   |   |
|         |                    | Site Details   | Site size<br>(ha) 1.70   | Urban  | PTAL<br>2015: 1b<br>2021: 1b<br>2031: 1b               | Ownership<br>Private   | Current use Retail warehouse, car park  |   |   |
|         |                    | How Site Was Identified                                    | London SH  | _AA (2017)   |  |  |   |   |   |
|         |                    | Planning Designations and Site Considerations              | Air Quality F<br>Drainage A  |  | trategic Are   | ea of Regeneration   | on, Flood Zone 1, Critical  |   |   |
|         |                    | Planning Status  | None   |  |  |  |   |   |   |
|         |                    | Timeframe for Delivery                                     | Years 1 – 5  | Years 6 -  | - 10 Year  | rs 11 – 15 Bey   | ond 15 years  |   |   |
|         |                    | Indicative Development Capacity                            | Net resident   | ial units  | Emp  | ss non-residentia<br>bloyment 0<br>n town centre 0                                   | al floorspace   |   |   |
| Chapter | 18 – Lewishan      | ı's West Area  | 1  |  |  |  |   |   |   |

| MM294 | Key Spatial<br>Objectives | Key    | Spatial Objectives  | Modification to provide a more tangible/ explicit hook within |
|-------|---------------------------|--------|---|---|
|       |                           |        | ACHIEVE THIS VISION OUR KEY SPATIAL OBJECTIVES (NOT LISTED IN ORDER PRIORITY) ARE TO:                                       | the Key Spatial Objectives (specifically No 9) that sets out  |
|       |                           | 1      | Secure the delivery of the Brockley station interchange to improve transport access   | the objective of seeking the                                  |
|       |                           |        | and help ensure growth is appropriately supported by infrastructure.  | protection and enhancement of                                 |
|       |                           | 2      | Secure the long-term vitality and viability of the area's network of District and Local                                     | views and vistas to the south                                 |
|       |                           |        | centres, and enhance walking and cycling connections between them. Ensure the   | and west of Sydenham Ridge.                                   |
|       |                           |        | centres retain their distinctive character and complement each other with a unique offer of shops, services and facilities. | (AP178)   |
|       |                           | 3      | Reinforce the role of Sydenham District Centre in supporting the neighbourhood.   |   |
|       |                           |        | Deliver public realm improvements at key arrival points to make the centre a more   |   |
|       |                           |        | accessible and welcoming place. Support new high quality development, including   |   |
|       |                           |        | mixed-use development, on sites which detract from the distinctive character of the centre.                                 |   |
|       |                           | 4      | Strengthen and expand the role of Forest Hill District Centre as hub of community,  |   |
|       |                           |        | commercial and cultural activity and a key employment location. Establish a new   |   |
|       |                           |        | Cultural Quarter in the area, building on the presence of the Horniman Museum and   |   |
|       |                           |        | Gardens and the cluster of creative industries at Havelock Walk and 118 Stansted Road.                                      |   |
|       |                           | 5      | Strengthen the role of Kirkdale Local Centre in supporting the neighbourhood.   |   |
|       |                           |        | Deliver the renewal of industrial land at Willow Way to better complement the centre  |   |
|       |                           |        | with new workspace and a wider mix of uses, along with improvements to the  |   |
|       |                           |        | townscape and public realm.   |   |
|       |                           | 6      | Enable the delivery of new workspace and housing along with enhancements to the   |   |
|       |                           |        | Forest Hill station approach through the renewal of industrial land at Perry Vale and                                       |   |
|       |                           |        | Clyde Vale, as well as the redevelopment of sites around the station.   |   |
|       |                           | 7      | Protect and enhance the employment quarter at Malham Road, including by   |   |
|       |                           | 1      | extending the employment area to include units at 118 Stanstead Road.   |   |
|       |                           | 0      |   |   |
|       |                           | 8      | Transform the South Circular (A205) and Brockley Rise / Brockley Road (B218) by   |   |
|       |                           |        | applying the Healthy Streets Approach, with public realm improvements that make   |   |
|       |                           |        | walking, cycling and the use of public transport safer and more convenient.   |   |
|       |                           | 9      | Protect and enhance open and green spaces, including waterways, along with the  |   |
|       |                           |        | distinctive woodland character of the area. Deliver a connected network of high   |   |
|       |                           |        | quality walking routes and cycleways that link these spaces. Have regard to   |   |
|       |                           |        | important views and vistas from Sydenham Ridge.   |   |
|       |                           |        |   |   |
| MM295 | Policy LWA1               | LWA    | 1 West Area place principles  | Modifications to identify the strategic status of the policy  |
|       |                           | Strate | egic Policy   | and the strategic objectives to which it relates. (AP7)       |
|       |                           | Strate | egic Objectives:  |   |
|       |                           |        | open Lewisham as part of an open London Borough of Lewisham,  |   |
|       |                           |        | busing tailored to the community with genuinely affordable homes,   |   |
|       |                           |        | thriving local economy that tackles inequalities,   |   |
|       |                           |        | greener borough,  |   |
|       | <u> </u>                  | D A (  | greener borough,  |   |

E Responding to the climate emergency,

F Celebrating our local identity,

G Healthy and safe communities,

H Securing the timely delivery of infrastructure.

A Development proposals must contribute to the delivery of Good Growth with reference to Policy OL1 (Delivering an Open Lewisham) and in doing so, demonstrate how they have responded positively to and will support the achievement of the key spatial objectives for the West Area.

- a. The redevelopment of strategic sites, and the renewal of other sites, within and around the area's linear network of town centres is facilitated to better connect the centres and to secure their long-term vitality and viability; in line with Policy LWA2 (Connected network of centres);
- b. New development within and around Forest Hill district town centre supports and reinforces the centre's role as a key commercial, community and cultural hub, in line with Policy LWA3 (Forest Hill district centre and surrounds);
- c. New development is directed to the main corridors of Brockley Road (B218) and Stanstead Road (A205 / South Circular), including for high quality housing, workspace, town centre and community uses, along with supporting infrastructure:
- d. New employment development is concentrated within town centres and the Locally Significant Industrial Sites at Endwell Road, Malham Road, Perry Vale, Clyde Vale and Willow Way;
- e. Opportunities are taken to deliver new and improved workspace through the intensification of sites and renewal of industrial land, including through the co-location of employment and other compatible uses on selected LSIS, along with improving the environmental quality of employment locations;
- f. The Forest Hill Cultural Quarter plays an integral and expanded role in supporting the cultural and creative industries; and g. Land is safeguarded to secure the delivery of strategic transport infrastructure, including Brockley Station and Interchange, in line with Policy. TR1 (Sustainable transport and movement).

B Development proposals must respond positively to the character and heritage value of established residential areas. This includes the historic character of the area's neighbourhoods, and particularly their town centres which are defined by their Victorian shopping parades and make an important contribution to local distinctiveness. The historic landscape character, including woodland and topography, is also a defining feature of the West Area, which was once covered by the Great North Wood. Development proposals must maximise opportunities to integrate urban greening measures to respond to and connect the remnants of the woodland, along with protecting and enhancing important views and vistas.

C Development proposals incorporating new or re-purposed workspace should seek to ensure that this provision is designed to accommodate micro, small and medium-sized businesses, to complement and support existing clusters of cultural and creative industries, including in Brockley and Forest Hill.

D The comprehensive redevelopment of sites within Willow Way LSIS will be supported where this retains and enhances industrial capacity and local employment provision, as well as improves the quality of the environment and townscape. Development proposals within the LSIS must ensure the design provides for a positive relationship with Kirkdale Local Centre, particularly to ensure the protection of amenity as well as to maximise opportunities to create safe and legible routes between and around the LSIS and the Local Centre. Development proposals must deliver high quality designs that help to establish a more cohesive, employment-led mixed-use quarter.

E The sensitive intensification of established residential neighbourhoods will be supported where new development responds positively to their distinctive local and historic character, including the landscape setting. Development proposals must have regard to the Small Sites SPD, where appropriate.

F Development proposals must help to ensure the West Area benefits from a high quality network of walking routes and cycleways that connect neighbourhoods and places including green spaces and waterways, with reference to Policy GR4 (Lewisham Links).

|       |             | Consideration should be given to improving connections between parks and open spaces that are located outside of the Borough, but which are within comfortable walking or cycling distance from the West area.   |  |
|-------|-------------|--|--|
|       |             | G Development proposals must respond positively to the historic character and setting of the Horniman Museum and Gardens, particularly to support its role as a key visitor destination within London and the southeast. Development proposals within the vicinity of the museum should provide for public realm enhancements to improve way finding and access to and from the museum.  |  |
|       |             | H Development proposals must contribute to delivery of high quality public realm using the Healthy Streets Approach. Particular consideration should be given to enhancements at station entrances and around their approaches and along key movement corridors including Ladywell Road, South Circular (A205), Sydenham Road, Dartmouth Road, Brockley Road/Brockley Rise, and Drakefell Road/Gellatly Road. Development proposals should to contribute to delivery of high quality public realm, particularly on and around approaches to and from train stations, and along key movement routes including Ladywell Road, the South Circular, Sydenham Road, Dartmouth Road, and Brockley Road/ Brockley Rise. |  |
| MM296 | Policy LWA2 | LWA 2 Connected network of town centres  | Modifications to identify the  |
|       |             | Strategic Policy   | strategic status of the policy<br>and the strategic objectives to<br>which it relates. (AP7) |
|       |             | Strategic Objectives:  |  |
|       |             | A An open Lewisham as part of an open London Borough of Lewisham,  | Additional Modifications to  |
|       |             | C A thriving local economy that tackles inequalities,  | correct cross referencing error  |
|       |             | D A greener borough,   | and correct proper noun error.   |
|       |             | E Responding to the climate emergency,  F Celebrating our local identity,  |  |
|       |             | G Healthy and safe communities,  |  |
|       |             | H Securing the timely delivery of infrastructure.  |  |
|       |             | A The West Area contains an historic network of town centres that serve its neighbourhoods and communities. Development proposals must respond positively to this network and help to secure the long-term vitality and viability of the centres by:   |  |
|       |             | a. Preserving and enhancing their distinctive and historic character, including townscape, building and shopfront features; b. Making provision for a wide range of appropriate main town centre uses that build on the economic strengths and unique attributes of each of the centres, whilst seeking to ensure they complement and do not compete with one another; and c. Improving connections between the centres by:  |  |
|       |             | i. Delivering public realm enhancements to facilitate safe and convenient movement by walking and cycling along main roads and   |  |
|       |             | routes linking the centres; and  |  |
|       |             | ii. Making provision for highly accessible, safe and attractive interchanges at key public transport nodes, including stations.  |  |
|       |             | B Brockley Cross, Crofton Park, Honor Oak / Brockley Rise and Kirkdale and Ladywell are designated as Local Centres reflecting the complementary role each plays in the provision of local services and community facilities within their neighbourhoods.  |  |
|       |             | C Within the West Area's town and local centres, development proposals for meanwhile uses on vacant sites and properties will be supported in order to facilitate their return to active use, in line with Policy DM5 (Meanwhile uses). Meanwhile uses of spaces catered for micro businesses, including independent traders, and community uses will be strongly encouraged.  |  |
|       |             | D Development proposals should support the growth and evolution of Forest Hill district centre and its surrounds as a key hub of creative, cultural and community activity, in line with Policy LWA2 LWA3 (Forest hill Hill district centre and surrounds).  |  |

|       |             | E The renewal of Sydenham District Centre will be supported in order to secure its long-term vitality and viability. Development proposals should contribute to the renewal and revitalisation of the town centre by:   |  |
|-------|-------------|---|--|
|       |             | a. Seeking opportunities to repair the structure and fabric of the centre through the sensitive redevelopment and infilling of sites, particularly those which detract from the historic character and urban grain that is still evident;   |  |
|       |             | b. Delivering public realm improvements to make the centre a more accessible, welcoming and attractive place to visit, particularly at key arrival points around Sydenham station and at the western and eastern edges of the centre, along Kirkdale and Sydenham Road;   |  |
|       |             | and c. Making provision for a diverse mix of main town centre uses (including shops, services and community facilities) that reinforce the role of the centre in Lewisham's town centre hierarchy, and ensure it both supports and complements significant new development planned in the Bell Green and Lower Sydenham area.   |  |
|       |             | F The renewal of Kirkdale Local Centre will be supported in order to secure its long-term vitality and viability. Development proposals must contribute to area improvements through high quality designs that help to create a more cohesive and positive relationship between the centre and neighbouring properties and sites, including the Willow Way LSIS and redeveloped former Sydenham police station. |  |
|       |             | G The continued renewal of Brockley Cross Local Centre will be supported in order to secure its long-term vitality and viability. Development proposals must contribute to the renewal and revitalisation of the centre by:   |  |
|       |             | a. Reinforcing its distinctive character, particularly by maintaining a diverse mix of uses and responding positively to its historic character;  |  |
|       |             | b. Seeking opportunities to support and make provision of workspace for the cultural and creative industries, building on the existing cluster of activities to complement and strengthen relationships with the Forest Hill Cultural Quarter and Lewisham Creative Enterprise Zone; and  |  |
|       |             | c. Delivering public realm improvements at the western approach to Brockley station to improve access and sense of arrival.   |  |
|       |             | H Development proposals should help to secure the long-term vitality and viability of the Local Centres at Crofton Park, Ladywell, and Honor Oak/Brockley Rise by:  |  |
|       |             | a. Reinforcing their distinctive and historic character, particularly by maintaining a diverse mix of main town centre uses and improving shopfronts;   |  |
|       |             | b. Delivering public realm enhancements to improve access and sense of arrival to and around train stations located in proximity to   |  |
|       |             | the centres; and c. Seeking to alleviate vehicle congestion by improving the walking and cycle environment.   |  |
| MM297 | Policy LWA3 | LWA3 Forest Hill district centre and surrounds  | Modifications to identify the strategic status of the policy |
|       |             | Strategic Policy  | and the strategic objectives to which it relates. (AP7)      |
|       |             | Strategic Objectives:   |  |
|       |             | A An open Lewisham as part of an open London Borough of Lewisham,   |  |
|       |             | B Housing tailored to the community with genuinely affordable homes, C A thriving local economy that tackles inequalities,  |  |
|       |             | D A greener borough,  |  |
|       |             | E Responding to the climate emergency,  |  |
|       |             | F Celebrating our local identity,   |  |

|                         | G Healthy and safe communities,  |  |
|-------------------------|--|--|
|                         | H Securing the timely delivery of infrastructure.  |  |
|                         | A Development proposals must support the long-term vitality and viability of Forest Hill District Centre by enhancing the townscape and other place qualities of the centre and its surrounds, as well as reinforcing its role as a key focal point for commercial, cultural and community activity. They must demonstrate how they will contribute to a coordinated process of town centre improvement that responds positively to the area's distinctive character. They must also deliver a complementary mix of main town centre uses, along with new housing, whilst ensuring that the centre's predominant commercial, cultural and community role is maintained and enhanced. |  |
|                         | B The growth and evolution of Forest Hill District Centre and its surrounds as a key hub of creative, cultural and community activity will be supported and reinforced by:  a. Designating the Forest Hill Cultural Quarter along with promoting and seeking to protect cultural and creative uses and activities within it, in line with Policy EC18 (Culture and the night-time economy);  b. Designating the town centre as an area of local significance of night-time economic activity, and strengthening its role as a visitor destination, in line with Policy EC18 (Culture and the night time economy);  |  |
|                         | c. Promoting Havelock Walk as an important asset with the Cultural Quarter and ensuring development proposals within this location: i. Respond positively to its distinctive character and employment function; ii. Do not result in a net loss of workspace (including workspace associated with authorised live-work development); and iii. Clearly demonstrate that proposals for live-work development will secure dedicated provision of workspace that is appropriate to the location;   |  |
|                         | d. Extending the boundary of the Malham Road LSIS to include 118 Stansted Road, along with protecting and enhancing uses with in the LSIS that make a positive contribution to the Cultural Quarter; and e. Promoting and protecting the Horniman Museum and Gardens as a significant cultural asset, along with securing public realm enhancements that improve wayfinding and safe access to it.   |  |
|                         | C Development proposals must contribute to enhancing the public realm in order to promote and enable walking and cycling with in and around the centre, as well as to make it a significantly more accessible, safer, healthier and attractive place. Particular consideration will need to be given to movements along and across:  a. The main junction and major roads, including the South Circular (A205), Dartmouth Road (A2216), Clyde Vale, Perry Vale and Waldram Park Road; and b. Station approaches and forecourts.  |  |
|                         | D Development proposals designed to improve the quality of the station underpass (including its visibility, legibility and safe use) will be strongly supported.   |  |
|                         | E Development proposals must respond positively to the evolving urban character of the town centre and its immediate surrounds. They must be designed to provide for an appropriate transition in scale, bulk, mass, height and character between the commercial core of the centre, its edges and surrounding residential neighbourhoods, taking into account the area's distinctive townscape, landscape and topography features.  |  |
| MM298 Policy<br>LWA SA1 | 1 111-115 Endwell Road    Non-Strategic   Strategic Objectives:     Policy   B Housing tailored to the community with genuinely affordable homes,     C A thriving local economy that tackles inequalities,     D A greener borough,   | Modifications to identify the strategic status of the policy and the strategic objectives to which it relates. (AP7) |

|       |                    |   | E Respondi<br>F Celebratin   |  |   | ency,   |  |   | Modification to align with final proposed housing trajectory.   |  |  |  |  |  |
|-------|--------------------|---|--|--|---|---|--|---|---|--|--|--|--|--|
|       |                    |   | G Healthy a  | nd safe con  | nmunities,                                      | f   |  |   | proposed fredering adjustery.   |  |  |  |  |  |
|       |                    | Site Address  | H Securing<br>111-115, En  | -  | •   | <u>irasiruciure.</u>  |  | -   |   |  |  |  |  |  |
|       |                    | Site Details  | Site size<br>(ha) 0.38   | Setting<br>Urban   | PTAL<br>2015: 4<br>2021: 4<br>2031: 4           | Ownership<br>Private  | Current use Industrial, car services, place of worship   |   |   |  |  |  |  |  |
|       |                    | How Site Was Identified   | Site Allocation engagemen  |  | lan (2013),                                     | London SHLA   | A (2017) and landowner   |   |   |  |  |  |  |  |
|       |                    | Planning Designations and Site Considerations   | adjacent Lo  | cal Centre, A  | Air Quality N                                   | <i>l</i> lanagement Ar  | portance for Nature Conservation,<br>ea, Air Quality Focus Area, Flood<br>djacent to Metropolitan Open Land.   |   |   |  |  |  |  |  |
|       |                    | Planning Status   | Full applicat  | ion DC/19/   | 110715 gran                                     | nted in May 201   | 9 (part of the site)   | ·   |   |  |  |  |  |  |
|       |                    | Timeframe for You Delivery  | Years 1 – 5  | Years 6  | -10 Ye  |   | eyond 15 years   |   |   |  |  |  |  |  |
|       |                    | Indicative<br>Development<br>Capacity   | Net residential units 57   |  |   | oss non-resider<br>aployment 1,975<br>in town centre                              |  |   |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| MM299 | Paragraph<br>18.17 | across the site, in lindeveloper (who is be positive and meaning).  2. The maximum via sites).  3. Positive frontages | st work in part ne with Policy ringing forwangful engagerable amount of the with active of the work and improved | nership and DM3 (Mastrd the site-winent with of employment ground floor public realn | terplans and vide master ther relevant floorspa | d comprehensive plan) to demonent neighbour lare ce must be re-ponent Endwell Roa | terplan, to ensure coordination, pha<br>ye development). It is the responsibi<br>strate that they have taken all reaso<br>ad interests.  rovided, in line with Policy EC8 (No<br>ad and Shardeloes Road,  -wide public realm strategy, including | ility of the lead landowner/<br>onable efforts to undertake<br>on-designated employment | Modification to clarify how developers engage with other land interests on the site allocation. (AP184) |  |  |  |  |  |
|       |                    | 5. Development pro railway embankmen  |  | rotect and   | seek to enh                                     | ance green infi   | astructure, including the SINC and   | green corridor along the  |   |  |  |  |  |  |

| MM300 | Policy<br>LWA SA2 | 2 6 Mantle Road           |                         |               |             |  |   |  | Modifications to identify the strategic status of the policy |
|-------|-------------------|---------------------------|-------------------------|---------------|-------------|--|---|--|--|
|       |                   | Non-Strategic             | Strategic Ob            | jectives:     |             |  |   |  | and the strategic objectives to                              |
|       |                   | Policy                    | B Housing t             |               |             | which it relates. (AP7)                                    |   |  |  |
|       |                   |                           | C A thriving            | local econ    |             |  |   |  |  |
|       |                   |                           | D A greener             |               |             |  | Modification in accordance  |  |  |
|       |                   |                           | E Respondi              |               |             |  | with the Council's response to                                    |  |  |
|       |                   |                           | F Celebratin            |               |             |  |   |  | MIQ 20.8, MIQ 21.18 and the                                  |
|       |                   |                           | G Healthy a             |               |             |  |   |  | Additional Housing Supply                                    |
|       |                   |                           |                         |               |             | nfrastructure.   |   |  | Background Paper 2024  |
|       |                   | Site Address              | 6 Mantle Rd             | , London, S   | SE4 2EX     |  |   | (LC10), arising from the uplift in housing supply work carried |  |
|       |                   | Site Details              | Site size               | Setting       | PTAL        | Ownership  | Current use   |  | out by the Council and to align                              |
|       |                   | How Site Was Identified   | (ha)                    | Suburba       | 2015: 4-5   | •  | Industrial  |  | with final proposed housing                                  |
|       |                   |                           |                         | n             | 2021: 4-5   |  |   |  | trajectory.  |
|       |                   |                           |                         |               | 2031: 4-5   |  |   |  | Modification to update the capacity of the site allocation.  |
|       |                   |                           | Site Allocati           | ons Local I   | Plan (2013) | and Strategic  | Planning Team (2019)  |  |  |
|       |                   | Planning                  |                         |               |             |  | Importance for Nature   |  |  |
|       |                   | Designations and          | Conservation            |               |             |  |   |  |  |
|       |                   | Site                      | Quality Man             |               |             |  |   |  |  |
|       |                   | Considerations            | Source Prot             |               |             |  |   |  |  |
|       |                   | Timeframe for Ye Delivery | None Subm               | itted applic  |             |  |   |  |  |
|       |                   |                           | Years 1 – 5             | Years         | 6 – Year    | s 11 – 15 Bev  | yond 15 years   |  |  |
|       |                   |                           | ery                     |               |             | , ,  |   |  |  |
|       |                   |                           | Yes Yes                 |               |             |  |   |  |  |
|       |                   |                           |                         | Yes           |             |  |   |  |  |
|       |                   |                           | Net resident            | ial units     | Gros        | s non-resident   | ial floorspace  |  |  |
|       |                   | Development               |                         |               |             |  |   |  |  |
|       |                   | Capacity                  | <del>20</del> <u>46</u> |               | •           | loyment <del>95</del> <u>0</u><br>town centre <del>3</del> |   |  |  |
|       |                   |                           |                         |               |             |  |   |  |  |
|       |                   |                           |                         |               | ·           |  |   |  |  |
| MM301 | Paragraph         | Opportunities             |                         |               |             |  |   |  | Modification to clarify how                                  |
|       | 18.20             |                           |                         |               |             |  |   |  | redevelopment will secure an                                 |
|       |                   |                           |                         |               |             |  | imity to Brockley station. <u>It is a cl</u>                      |  | intensification of uses and                                  |
|       |                   |                           |                         |               |             |  | of uses across the site, along wi                                 |  | employment capacity across                                   |
|       |                   |                           |                         |               |             |  | e of increased employment capa<br>ements along Mantle Road to imp |  | the site.  |
|       |                   | approach.                 |                         |               |             |  |   |  |  |
| MM302 | Policy            | 3 Jenner Health Ce        | ntre                    |               |             |  |   |  | Modifications to identify the                                |
|       | LWA SA3           |                           |                         |               |             |  |   |  | strategic status of the policy                               |
|       |                   | Non-Strategic             |                         |               |             |  |   |  |  |
|       |                   | Policy                    | B Housing t             | ailored to th | ne commun   | ity with genuin  | ely affordable homes,   |  | which it relates. (AP7)                                      |

|                         |   | D 4                       |   |   |  |                                  |   |   |  |  |
|-------------------------|---|---------------------------|---|---|--|----------------------------------|---|---|--|--|
|                         |   | D A greener<br>E Respondi |   | Modification to align with final          |  |                                  |   |   |  |  |
|                         |   | F Celebratin              |   |   | <u>5110y,</u>  |                                  |   | proposed housing trajectory.                                |  |  |
|                         |   | H Securing                | the timely d  | elivery of inf                            |  |                                  |   |   |  |  |
|                         | Site Address                                  | Jenner Hea                | Ith Centre, 2   | 201-203 Staı                              | nstead Rd, Lo  | ndon SE23 1HU                    |   | Modification to update the capacity of the site allocation. |  |  |
|                         | Site Details                                  | Site size                 | Setting   | PTAL                                      | Ownership  | Current use                      |   | dapasity of the one anosation.                              |  |  |
|                         |   | (ha) 0.41                 | Urban   | 2015: 3<br>2021: 3<br>2031: 3             | Public   | Doctors surgery                  |   |   |  |  |
|                         | How Site Was Identified                       | London SHI                | _AA (2017)  | and Lewisha                               | am Call for Sit  |                                  |   |   |  |  |
|                         | Planning Designations and Site Considerations |                           | e, Air Quali  | ty Managem                                | ent Area, Floc   |                                  |   |   |  |  |
|                         | Planning Status                               | None                      |   |   |  |                                  |   |   |  |  |
|                         | Timeframe for Delivery                        | Years 1 – 5               | Years 6   | 5 – 10 Yea                                |  | Seyond 15 years                  |   |   |  |  |
|                         | Indicative<br>Development<br>Capacity         | Net resident<br>30 36     | ial units   | Em  | ss non-reside<br>ployment 0<br>n town centre                 | ential floorspace<br>2,081       |   |   |  |  |
| MM303 Policy<br>LWA SA4 | 4 Land at Forest Hil                          | l Station east            | (Waldram F  |   | Modifications to identify the strategic status of the policy |                                  |   |   |  |  |
|                         | Non-Strategic<br>Policy                       |                           | ailored to the local econor borough, and to the cling our local | omy that tack<br>mate emergo<br>identity, | <del></del>  |                                  | and the strategic objectives to which it relates. (AP7) |   |  |  |
|                         | Site Address                                  | East of Fore              | st Hill railwa  | ay line & we                              | st side of Wald  | dram Place/ Perry Vale, SE23 2LI | )   |   |  |  |
|                         | Site Details                                  | Site size<br>(ha) 0.21    | Setting<br>Urban  | PTAL<br>2015: 5<br>2021: 5<br>2031: 5     | Ownership<br>Private   | Current use Car services         |   |   |  |  |

|       |   | How Site Was Identified   |   | Site Allocations Local Plan (2013), London SHLAA (2017), Lewisham Call for Sites (2018) and landowner engagement (2019).  |   |  |   |   |  |  |  |
|-------|---|---|---|---|---|--|---|---|--|--|--|
|       | Planning Designations and Site Considerations  Growth Node, Conservation Area, adjacent Site of Importance for Nature Conservation, District Centre, Night-time Economy Hub, Air Quality Management Area, Air Quality Focus Area, Flood Zone 1, Critical Drainage Area Considerations |   |   |   |   |  |   |   |  |  |  |
|       |   | Planning Status   | None  |   |   |  |   |   |  |  |  |
|       |   | Timeframe for Delivery  | Years 1 – 5   | Years 6 – 10  | Years 11 – 15<br>Yes  | Beyond 15 years  |   |   |  |  |  |
|       |   | Indicative Development Capacity   | Net residential   | units   | Gross non-resi Employment 19 Main town cent   |  |   |   |  |  |  |
| MM304 | Paragraph<br>18.29  | balance of employmenter responsibility of taken all reasonable 2. The maximum via sites). Positive frontages a 3. New and improve approach. | t work in partner the lead landow e efforts to under the lead landow able amount of a long Waldram F                  | ises across the s<br>wner/ developer<br>ertake positive ar<br>employment floo<br>Place and Perry<br>in accordance w   | site, in line with F<br>(who is bringing<br>ad meaningful er<br>rspace must be r<br>Vale, with active<br>ith a site-wide pu | nasterplan, to ensure the appropriate colory DM3 (Masterplans and comprehe forward the site-wide master plan) to dengagement with other relevant neighbore-provided, in line with Policy EC8 (Noground floor frontages.  Iblic realm strategy, including enhance infrastructure, including the SINC alon | ensive development). It is emonstrate that they have ur land interests.  n-designated employment ments to the station | Modification to clarify how developers engage with other land interests on the site allocation. |  |  |  |
| MM305 | Policy<br>LWA SA5   | 5 Land at Forest Hil  Non-Strategic Policy  Site Address  | Strategic Obje B Housing tail C A thriving lo D A greener be E Responding F Celebrating H Securing the I Ensuring hig | Strategic Objectives: 3 Housing tailored to the community with genuinely affordable homes, C A thriving local economy that tackles inequalities, D A greener borough, E Responding to the climate emergency, F Celebrating our local identity, H Securing the timely delivery of infrastructure, Ensuring high quality education, health and social care. Station forecourt, Dartmouth Road, west of railway line, London, SE23 3HB |   |  |   |   |  |  |  |

|       |                    | Site Details  How Site Was Identified  Planning   | Sites (2018)  |                           | , ,       | Ownersh Private  London SHL |                 |  |  |  |
|-------|--------------------|---|---|---------------------------|-----------|-----------------------------|-----------------|--|--|--|
|       |                    | Designations and Site Considerations  | Economy H<br>Area, Flood<br>to Strategic  | ub, Cultura<br>Zone 1, Cr |           |                             |                 |  |  |  |
|       |                    | Planning Status   | None  |                           |           |                             |                 |  |  |  |
|       |                    | Timeframe for Delivery  | Years 1 – 5   | Years (                   | 6 – 10 Ye |                             | Beyond 15 years |  |  |  |
|       |                    | Indicative<br>Development<br>Capacity   | Net residen<br>80   | tial units                | Er        | mployment 80                |                 |  |  |  |
| MM306 | Paragraph<br>18.33 | balance of employm the responsibility of taken all reasonable 2. Positive frontage 3. Appropriate re-preinfrastructure).  4. The maximum via sites).  5. Delivery of new a and access to the sites. | ent requirements  must work in partnership and in accordance with a masterplan, to ensure the appropriate co-location, phasing and syment and other uses across the site, in line with Policy DM3 (Masterplans and comprehensive development). It is not the lead landowner/ developer (who is bringing forward the site-wide master plan) to demonstrate that they have able efforts to undertake positive and meaningful engagement with other relevant neighbour land interests.  The provision of the existing dental surgery, in line with Policy CI 1 (Safeguarding and securing community)  The provision of the existing dental surgery, in line with Policy CI 1 (Safeguarding and securing community)  The provision of the existing dental surgery and improved public realm, in accordance with a site-wide public realm strategy. This must address legibility, safety a station, including public realm enhancements at the station approach and forecourt. |                           |           |                             |                 |  |  |  |
| MM307 | Policy<br>LWA SA6  | 6 Perry Vale Locally  | / Significant I   | ndustrial S               | ite       |                             |                 |  | Modifications to identify the strategic status of the policy |  |

|       |                    | Non-Strategic Policy Site Address   | C A thriving D A greene E Respondi F Celebratir   | ailored to the local economic borough, ong to the congruence of the congruence of the timely of the | omy that<br>imate em<br>identity,<br>delivery c | of infrastructure.  |          |   | and the strategic objectives to which it relates. (AP7) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|-------|--------------------|---|---|---|---|---|----------|---|---|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
|       |                    | Site Details  | Site size   | Setting   | PTAL  |   |          |   |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|       |                    |   | (ha) 0.72   | Urban   | 2015: 4<br>2021: 3<br>2031: 3                   | 4 Mixed,<br>3 public and  | Ind      | ustrial, car park                                 |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|       |                    | How Site Was<br>Identified  | Site Allocati<br>Sites (2018)   |   | Plan (201                                       | 13), London SHLA  | AA (2017 |   |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|       |                    | Planning Designations and Site Considerations   |   | ality Focus   | Area, Flo                                       |   |          | Centre, Night-time Econo<br>age Area, adjacent to | my  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|       |                    | Planning Status   | None  |   |   |   |          |   |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|       |                    | Timeframe for Delivery  | Years 1 – 5   | Years   | 6 – 10  | Years 11 – 15   I   | Beyond   | 15 years  |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|       |                    | Indicative Development Capacity   | Net residen<br>146  | ial units   |   | Gross non-residential floorspace Employment 10,206 Main town centre 0 |          |   |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|       |                    |   |   |   |   |   |          |   |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| MM308 | Paragraph<br>18.37 | 18.37 Development  1. Landowners must balance of employment the responsibility of taken all reasonable  2. Development must Policy EC6 (Locally  3. Delivery of new a station approach. | Modification to clarify how developers engage with other land interests on the site allocation. |   |   |   |          |   |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

|           | Policy<br>LWA SA7 | 7 Clyde Vale Locall    | Modifications to identify the strategic status of the policy |                  |                     |                    |   |   |                                 |
|-----------|-------------------|------------------------|--|------------------|---------------------|--------------------|---|---|---------------------------------|
|           | LWA SAI           | Non-Strategic          | Strategic Ob   | vio otivo o:     |                     |                    |   | 1   | and the strategic objectives to |
|           |                   | Policy                 |  |                  | ne communi          | wwith genu         | rinely affordable homes,                      |   |                                 |
|           |                   | <u>Fulley</u>          |  |                  |                     |                    |   |   | which it relates. (AP7)         |
|           |                   |                        |  |                  | omy that tac        | kies inequa        |   | Modification to align with final                              |                                 |
|           |                   |                        | D A greene   |                  |                     |                    |   | Modification to align with final proposed housing trajectory. |                                 |
|           |                   |                        |  |                  | imate emerg         | ency,              |   |   |                                 |
|           |                   |                        | F Celebratin   |                  |                     |                    |   |   | (AP224)                         |
|           |                   |                        | G Healthy a  |                  |                     |                    |   |   |                                 |
|           |                   | Site Address           | Clyde Vale,  | London St        | =23                 |                    |   |   |                                 |
|           |                   | Site Details           | Site size  | Setting          | PTAL                | Owners             | nip Current use                               | 1   |                                 |
|           |                   |                        | (ha) 0.12  | <b>3</b>         |                     |                    |   |   |                                 |
|           |                   |                        | (114) 0.12   | Urban            | 2015: 4             | Mixed,             | Industrial                                    |   |                                 |
|           |                   |                        |  |                  | 2021: 4             | public a           | nd  |   |                                 |
|           |                   |                        |  |                  | 2031: 4             | private            |   |   |                                 |
|           |                   |                        |  |                  |                     | '                  |   |   |                                 |
|           |                   | How Site Was           | Site Allocati  | ons Local F      | Plan (2013) :       | and Strategi       | c Planning Team (2019)                        |   |                                 |
|           |                   | Identified             |  |                  |                     |                    |   |   |                                 |
|           |                   | Dianning               | Growth Ned   | o Locally S      | Significant In      | ductrial Site      | , adjacent Conservation Area, adjacent        |   |                                 |
|           |                   | Planning               |  |                  |                     |                    | t-time Economy Hub, Cultural Quarter,         |   |                                 |
|           |                   | Designations and       | _  |                  |                     |                    |   |   |                                 |
|           |                   | Site                   | Flood Zone   |                  | orainage Are        | ea, Adjaceni       |   |   |                                 |
|           |                   | Considerations         | Conservation   | n.               |                     |                    |   |   |                                 |
|           |                   | Planning Status        | None   |                  |                     |                    |   |   |                                 |
|           |                   | Timeframe for Delivery |  |                  |                     | ars 11 – 15        | Beyond 15 years                               |   |                                 |
|           |                   |                        |  |                  |                     |                    |   |   |                                 |
|           |                   |                        |  |                  | Ye                  | S                  | Yes   |   |                                 |
|           |                   | Indicative             | Net residential units  |                  |                     | oss non-res        | idential floorspace                           |   |                                 |
|           |                   | Development            |  |                  |                     |                    | ·   |   |                                 |
|           |                   | Capacity               | 21   |                  | En                  | ployment 1         | ,701  |   |                                 |
|           |                   |                        |  |                  | Ma                  | in town cen        | tre 0   |   |                                 |
|           |                   |                        |  |                  |                     |                    |   |   |                                 |
|           |                   |                        |  |                  |                     |                    |   |   |                                 |
|           |                   |                        |  |                  |                     |                    |   |   |                                 |
| NANAO 4 O | Danasa            | 40.44 D                |  | _                |                     |                    |   |   |                                 |
|           | Paragraph         | 18.41 Development      | requirement  | 5                |                     |                    |   |   | Modification to clarify how     |
|           | 18. 41            | 1 Londovino era movia  | م مادام ساد  |                  | مانم مممعاما        | ہ مائیں ممت        | manta mala mata an au math a an aman miata an | location phasing and  | developers engage with other    |
|           |                   |                        |  |                  |                     |                    | masterplan, to ensure the appropriate co      |   | land interests on the site      |
|           |                   |                        |  |                  |                     |                    | Policy DM3 (Masterplans and comprehe          |   | allocation.                     |
|           |                   |                        |  |                  |                     |                    | forward the site-wide master plan) to de      |   |                                 |
|           |                   | taken ali reasonable   | <u>e errorts to un</u>                                       | <u>аепаке ро</u> | <u>sitive and m</u> | <u>eanıngtul e</u> | <u>ngagement with other relevant neighbou</u> | <u>ur land interests.</u>                                     |                                 |
|           |                   | 2. Development mu      | st not result ir   | n a net loss     | of industria        | capacity, or       | compromise the function of the employ         | ment location, in line with                                   |                                 |
|           |                   | Policy EC6 (Locally    | Significant Ir   | ndustrial Si     | tes).               |                    |   |   |                                 |
|           |                   | 3. Positive frontage:  | s along Clyde  | Vale.            |                     |                    |   |   |                                 |

|                         | 4. Delivery of new a | and improved    | public real        | m, in accorda             | ance with a  | site-wide public realm strategy.      |  |  |
|-------------------------|----------------------|-----------------|--------------------|---------------------------|--------------|---------------------------------------|--|--|
| MM311 Policy<br>LWA SA8 | 8 Featherstone Loc   | lge, Eliot Ban  | k                  |                           |              |                                       |  | Modifications to identify the strategic status of the policy and the strategic objectives to |
|                         | Non-Strategic        | Strategic Ob    | piectives:         |                           |              |                                       |  |  |
|                         | Policy               |                 |                    | as part of an             | open Londo   | n Borough of Lewisham,                |  | which it relates. (AP7)  |
|                         |                      |                 |                    |                           |              | inely affordable homes,               |  | ,  |
|                         |                      | D A greene      |                    |                           |              |                                       |  | Minor modifications to reflect   |
|                         |                      |                 |                    | <u>limate emerg</u>       | <u>ency,</u> |                                       |  | factual status of the site   |
|                         |                      | F Celebratin    |                    |                           |              |                                       |  | allocation.  |
|                         |                      |                 | •                  | delivery of in            |              |                                       |  |  |
|                         | Site Address         | Featherston     | e Lodge, E         | liot Bank, Lo             | ndon, SE23   |                                       |  |  |
|                         | Site Details         | Site size       | Setting            | PTAL                      | Ownersh      | ip Current use                        |  |  |
|                         |                      | (ha) 0.64       | Urban              | 2015: 2                   | Public       | Housing actate Specialized            |  |  |
|                         |                      |                 | Ulbali             | 2013. 2                   | Private      | Housing estate Specialised            |  |  |
|                         |                      |                 |                    | 2021. 2                   | Filvate      | housing                               |  |  |
|                         |                      |                 |                    | 2031. 2                   |              |                                       |  |  |
|                         | How Site Was         | London SH       | LAA (2017)         | )                         |              |                                       |  |  |
|                         | Identified           |                 |                    |                           |              |                                       |  |  |
|                         | Planning             | Growth Nod      | e. Flood Zo        | one 1. Critica            | l Drainage   | Area, Locally Listed Building on Site |  |  |
|                         | Designations and     |                 | -,                 | , , , , , , , , , , , , , |              |                                       |  |  |
|                         | Site                 |                 |                    |                           |              |                                       |  |  |
|                         | Considerations       |                 |                    |                           |              |                                       |  |  |
|                         |                      |                 |                    |                           |              |                                       |  |  |
|                         | Planning Status      | None, cons      | ent nas Iap        | sed                       |              |                                       |  |  |
|                         | Timeframe for        | Years 1 – 5     | ears 1 – 5 Years 6 |                           | ars 11 – 15  | Beyond 15 years                       |  |  |
|                         | Delivery             |                 |                    | Va                        | _            |                                       |  |  |
|                         |                      |                 |                    | Ye                        | 5            |                                       |  |  |
|                         | Indicative           | Net residen     | tial units         | Gro                       | ss non-resi  | dential floorspace                    |  |  |
|                         | Development          | 33              |                    |                           | nlovmont O   |                                       |  |  |
|                         | Capacity             | 33              |                    | Em                        | ployment 0   |                                       |  |  |
|                         |                      |                 |                    | Ma                        | in town cen  | tre 0                                 |  |  |
|                         |                      |                 |                    |                           |              |                                       |  |  |
|                         |                      |                 |                    |                           |              |                                       |  |  |
|                         |                      |                 |                    |                           |              |                                       |  |  |
| M312 Policy<br>LWA SA9  | 9 Willow Way Local   | lly Significant | Industrial         | Site (LSIS)               |              |                                       |  | Modifications to identify the strategic status of the policy                                 |
|                         | Non-Strategic        | Strategic Ob    | jectives:          |                           |              |                                       |  | and the strategic objectives t   |
|                         | Policy               |                 | -                  | he communi                | y with genu  | inely affordable homes,               |  | which it relates. (AP7)  |
|                         |                      |                 |                    | omy that tacl             |              |                                       |  | , ,  |
|                         |                      | D A greene      |                    |                           |              |                                       |  | Modifications to align with fir  |
|                         |                      |                 |                    |                           |              |                                       |  | proposed housing trajectory.   |

|       |                    |  |   | ng to the climat<br>g our local ider                    |                                    |         |  |  |   |
|-------|--------------------|--|---|---|------------------------------------|---------|--|--|---|
|       |                    |  | G Healthy a   | nd safe commu   |                                    | ıre     |  |  | Modification to update the capacity of the site allocation.   |
|       |                    | Site Address   | Willow Way Employment Location (comprising 10-24, 21-57, Council Offices and Depot Willow Way, Units 1-8 Willow Business Park and Church Hall and 1 Sydenham Park), SE26. |   |                                    |         |  |  | capacity of the cite anecation.   |
|       |                    | Site Details  Site size (ha)  1.29  Setting PTAL Ownership Public and private employment, industrial, car services, car park  Ownership Current use Main town centre uses, retail, employment, industrial, car services, car park  |   |   |                                    |         |  |  |   |
|       |                    | How Site Was<br>Identified   | Site Allocation (2015 and 2   | •   | 2013), London S                    |         | 2017) and Lewisham Call for Sites  | _  |   |
|       |                    | Planning Designations and Site Considerations  | adjacent Loc  | cal Centre, Area  |                                    | l Chara | adjacent Conservation Area,<br>cter, Flood Zone 1, Critical  |  |   |
|       |                    | Planning Status  |   |   |                                    |         | peal in February 2024.   |  |   |
|       |                    | Timeframe for Delivery   | Years 1 – 5 Yes   | Years 6 –<br>10<br>Yes                                  | Years 11 – 15 <u>Yes</u>           | Beyor   | nd 15 years  |  |   |
|       |                    | Indicative<br>Development  | Net resident  |   | Gross non-res                      |         | floorspace   | _  |   |
|       |                    | Capacity   | 175 <u>210</u>  |   | Employment 6 Main town cen         |         |  |  |   |
| MM313 | Paragraph<br>18.48 | intensification empl<br>LSIS in its entirety (i<br>of buildings and spa  | oyment capad<br>inclusive of Places to facilita   | city, and enable<br>ot E). Co-locati<br>te a new layout | the delivery of none of compatible | new and | cure a more optimal use of land, and improved workspace to support the rcial, main town centre and resident routes, both into and through the si | e long-term viability of the atial uses. Reconfiguration | Modification to clarify how comprehensive redevelopment will deliver improvements and intensification across the site allocation. |
| MM314 | Paragraph<br>18.50 | of buildings and spaces to facilitate a new layout with new and improved routes, both into and through the site along with public realm and environmental enhancements.  18.50 Development requirements  1. Landowners must work in partnership and in accordance with a masterplan, to ensure the appropriate co-ordination, phasing and balance of uses across the site, in line with Policy DM3 (Masterplans and comprehensive development). This must address the site's relationship with the Upper Sydenham / Kirkdale local centre, to improve the functional relationship with neighbouring uses and the public realm, along with townscape character. It is the responsibility of the lead landowner/ developer (who is bringing forward the site-wide master plan) to demonstrate that they have taken all reasonable efforts to undertake positive and meaningful engagement with other relevant neighbour land interests.  2. The site contains an MOT centre which is in active use. Development proposals must adequately address the operational requirements of the MOT centre in order to secure a viable future for it. The landowner of the MOT centre must be consulted through the masterplan process.  3. Development must not result in a net loss of industrial capacity, or compromise the function of the employment location, in line with Policy EC6 (Locally Significant Industrial Sites). |   |   |                                    |         |  |  |   |

|                          | 4 Positive frontage  | or frontages. Positive frontages                 |   |                                       |                                 |   |                                   |  |
|--------------------------|--|--|---|---------------------------------------|---------------------------------|---|-----------------------------------|--|
|                          | elsewhere through  |  |   |                                       |                                 | a a, with doubt ground no   | ooagoo. i oolavo nonagoo          |  |
|                          | includes a clear hie   | rarchy of rout                                   | tes, with a lo  | egible an                             | nd safe network                 | etwork to improve access and perror of walking and cycle routes, thro onto for commercial uses. |                                   |  |
|                          | 6. Delivery of new a   | and improved                                     | public realr  | m and op                              | en space, in ac                 | cordance with a site-wide public  | realm strategy.                   |  |
|                          | 7. Development pro<br>with Policy EC19 (F  | •  |   | ly impac                              | t on the amenit                 | of the public house located outs  | ide of the site boundary, in line |  |
| MM315 Policy<br>LWA SA10 | 10 74 to 78 Sydenh   |  | Modifications to identify the strategic status of the policy    |                                       |                                 |   |                                   |  |
|                          | Non-Strategic<br>Policy  | C A thriving D A greener E Respondi F Celebratir | ailored to the local economic borough, ng to the clag our local | omy that<br>imate em<br>identity,     | tackles inequa                  |   |                                   | and the strategic objectives to which it relates. (AP7)  Modification to align with final proposed housing trajectory. |
|                          | H Securing the timely delivery of infrastructure.  Site Address 74 to 78 Sydenham Road, SE26 5QE |  |   |                                       |                                 |   |                                   |  |
|                          | Site Details   | Site size<br>(ha) 0.09                           | Setting Other Centre  | PTAL<br>2015: 9<br>2021: 9<br>2031: 9 | 5                               | Gym   |                                   |  |
|                          | How Site Was Identified  | Strategic Pla                                    | anning Tea  |                                       |                                 |   |                                   |  |
|                          | Planning Designations and Site Considerations  | Growth Corr<br>Flood Zone                        |   | eologica                              | l Priority Area, [              | District Centre, Primary Shopping   | Area,                             |  |
|                          | Planning Status  |  |   |                                       | was granted con<br>edevelopment | nsent in July 2020 and has been   |                                   |  |
|                          | Timeframe for Delivery   | Years 1 – 5                                      |   | 6 – 10                                | Years 11 – 15<br><u>Yes</u>     | Beyond 15 years <del>Yes</del>  |                                   |  |
|                          | Indicative Development Capacity  | Net residen                                      | tial units  |                                       | Gross non-resi                  | dential floorspace  |                                   |  |
|                          |  |  |   |                                       | Main town cen                   | tre 328   |                                   |  |

| MM316 | Policy<br>LWA SA11 | 11 Land at Sydenha                            | am Road and   | Loxley Clo   | se                                  |                                       |                                   |                         | Modifications to identify the strategic status of the policy |  |  |  |  |  |
|-------|--------------------|---|---|--|-------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|-----------------------------------|-------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|--|
|       | 21171 07111        | Non-Strategic                                 | Strategic Ob  | jectives:  |                                     |                                       |                                   |                         | and the strategic objectives to                              |  |  |  |  |  |
|       |                    | Policy  |   |  | ne community                        | with genu                             | nely affordable homes,            | which it relates. (AP7) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|       |                    |   |   |  | omy that tack                       |                                       |                                   |                         |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|       |                    |   | D A greene  |  |                                     |                                       |                                   |                         | Modification to align with final                             |  |  |  |  |  |
|       |                    |   |   |  | imate emerge                        | ency,                                 |                                   |                         | proposed housing trajectory.                                 |  |  |  |  |  |
|       |                    |   | F Celebratin  | _  |                                     |                                       |                                   |                         |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|       |                    | _   |   |  | delivery of inf                     |                                       |                                   |                         |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|       |                    | Site Address                                  | Loxley Clos   | e, Sydenha   | ım, SE26 5Dl                        | J                                     |                                   |                         |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|       |                    | Site Details                                  | Site size   | Setting  | PTAL                                | Ownersh                               | ip Current use                    | 1                       |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|       |                    |   | (ha) 0.64   | 2015: 2021:  | 2015: 4-5<br>2021: 4-5<br>2031: 4-5 | Private Retail, residential, car park | Retail, residential, car park     |                         |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|       |                    | How Site Was Identified                       | London SH<br>(2019)   | LAA (2017)   | _l<br>, Strategic Pla               | anning Tea                            | m (2019) and landowner engagement | t                       |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|       |                    | Planning Designations and Site Considerations | Growth Corridor, Archaeological Priority Area, District Centre, Flood Zone 1, Critical Drainage Area, adjacent Locally Listed Building.  None |  |                                     |                                       |                                   |                         |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|       |                    | Planning Status                               |   |  |                                     |                                       |                                   |                         |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|       |                    | Timeframe for Delivery                        | Years 1 – 5   | Years 6  | 6 – 10 Yea<br>Yes                   | rs 11 – 15                            | Beyond 15 years <del>Yes</del>    |                         |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|       |                    | Indicative                                    | Net residen   | ial units  | Gros                                | ss non-resi                           | dential floorspace                | _                       |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|       |                    | Development Capacity                          | 125   |  |                                     | oloyment 52                           | ·                                 |                         |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|       |                    | Capacity                                      |   | Main town centre 2,330   |                                     |                                       |                                   |                         |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|       |                    |   |   |  |                                     |                                       |                                   |                         |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| MM317 | Policy<br>LWA SA12 | 12 113-157 Sydenh                             | am Road   |  |                                     |                                       |                                   |                         | Modifications to identify the strategic status of the policy |  |  |  |  |  |
|       |                    | Non-Strategic Policy                          | B Housing to C A thriving D A greene  | Strategic Objectives:  B Housing tailored to the community with genuinely affordable homes,  C A thriving local economy that tackles inequalities,  D A greener borough,  E Responding to the climate emergency, |                                     |                                       |                                   |                         | and the strategic objectives to which it relates. (AP7)      |  |  |  |  |  |

|                          |   | H Securing  | the timely  | delivery of inf                     | rastructure      | . <u>.</u> |   |                          |  |  |  |
|--------------------------|---|---|-------------|-------------------------------------|------------------|------------|---|--------------------------|--|--|--|
|                          | Site Address  | 113-157 Sy  | denham Ro   | oad, London,                        | SE26 5UA         | 1          |   |                          |  |  |  |
|                          | Site Details  | Site size   | Setting     | PTAL                                | Owners           | hip        | Currentuse  |                          |  |  |  |
|                          |   | (ha) 0.86   | Urban       | 2015: 4-5<br>2021: 3-5<br>2031: 3-5 | Private          |            | Main town centre uses, retail, public house, car services |                          |  |  |  |
|                          | How Site Was Identified   | Site Allocati   | ons Local I | Plan (2013) a                       | nd Londor        |            |   |                          |  |  |  |
|                          | Planning Designations and Site Considerations   | Growth Corr<br>Centre, Floo   |             |                                     |                  |            |   |                          |  |  |  |
|                          | Planning Status   | None  |             |                                     |                  |            |   |                          |  |  |  |
|                          | Timeframe for Delivery  | Years 1 – 5   | Years       | 6 – 10 Yea                          | ars 11 – 15<br>S | Beyond     | d 15 years  |                          |  |  |  |
|                          | Indicative  | Net residen   | ial units   | Gro                                 | ss non-res       | idential f | loorspace   |                          |  |  |  |
|                          | Development Capacity  | 157   |             | Em                                  | ployment 1       | ,565       |   |                          |  |  |  |
|                          |   | Main town centre 3,130  |             |                                     |                  |            |   |                          |  |  |  |
|                          |   |   |             | ,                                   |                  |            |   | <u> </u>                 |  |  |  |
| MM318 Paragraph<br>18.63 | _   | ·   |             | d, Mayow Ro                         | ad and Ber       | ry Man's   | Lane, with active ground floo                             | or frontages on the High | Modification to provide further clarity. |  |  |
|                          | Street.   |   |             |                                     |                  |            |   |                          |  |  |  |
|                          | 2. The maximum viable amount of employment floorspace must be re-provided, in line with Policy EC8 (Non-designated employment sites).   |   |             |                                     |                  |            |   |                          |  |  |  |
|                          |   | 3. The site must be fully re-integrated with the surrounding street network to improve access and permeability through the site and within the town centre, with new and enhanced walking and cycle routes. |             |                                     |                  |            |   |                          |  |  |  |
|                          | 4. Delivery of new a  | nd improved   | public real | m, in accorda                       | ance with a      | site-wide  | e public realm strategy.                                  |                          |  |  |  |
|                          | 5. Development mu   | st not advers   | ely impact  | character or                        | amenity of       | the public | c house within the site, which                            | h is locally listed.     |  |  |  |
|                          | 6. Landowners must work in partnership and in accordance with a masterplan, to ensure the appropriate co-location, phasing and balance of employment and other uses across the site, in line with Policy DM3 (Masterplans and comprehensive development). |   |             |                                     |                  |            |   |                          |  |  |  |
| Part Four – Delivery &   | Monitoring  |   |             |                                     |                  |            |   |                          |  |  |  |
| Chapter 19 – Delivery    |   |   |             |                                     |                  |            |   |                          |  |  |  |

| MM319 | Policy DM1 | DM1 Working with stakeholders to deliver the Local Plan  | Modifications to identify the  |
|-------|------------|--|--|
|       |            | Strategic Policy   | strategic status of the policy<br>and the strategic objectives to<br>which it relates. (AP7) |
|       |            | Strategic Objectives:  | ,  |
|       |            | A An open Lewisham as part of an open London Borough Lewisham.   |  |
|       |            | A The Council will take a proactive and positive approach to working with and alongside stakeholders, including local communities and community groups, landowners, development industry partners, public sector bodies and the wider public to deliver the Local Plan.  |  |
|       |            | B Progress towards the delivery of the Local Plan will be regularly monitored and assessed, in line with Policy DM7 (Monitoring and review). Where revisions to planning policies are necessary in order to ensure the successful implementation of the spatial strategy for the Borough, along with beneficial social, economic and environmental outcomes, these will be undertaken through the Local Plan review process.   |  |
|       |            | C The Council use powers available to it where this is necessary to support the delivery of the Local Plan, including planning enforcement.  |  |
| MM320 | Policy DM2 | DM 2 Infrastructure funding and planning obligations   | Modifications to identify the  |
|       |            | Strategic Policy   | strategic status of the policy and the strategic objectives to                               |
|       |            | <u>Stategie i olicy</u>  | which it relates. (AP7)  |
|       |            | Strategic Objectives:  | Modification to provide funth or   |
|       |            | H Securing the timely delivery of infrastructure.  | Modification to provide further clarity that community and                                   |
|       |            | Community infrastructure levy  | social infrastructure is inclusive   |
|       |            | A The Council will set a Lewisham Community Infrastructure Levy (CIL) which is payable on all qualifying development. CIL funding will be used to secure the delivery of inclusive, healthy and liveable neighbourhoods across the Borough that are well supported by infrastructure. A CIL Charging Schedule will be published and this will be subject to periodic review over the plan period.  | of health provision.   |
|       |            | B A portion of Lewisham CIL collected will be allocated towards neighbourhood priorities to help ensure that local areas are   |  |
|       |            | appropriately supported with infrastructure and benefit from investment generated by new development. The Council will work proactively with local communities to set priorities for the spending of neighbourhood CIL in Lewisham.  |  |
|       |            | C Neighbourhood forums are strongly encouraged to identify priorities for the use of neighbourhood CIL in neighbourhood plans.   |  |
|       |            | Planning obligations D The Council will seek planning obligations on a case-by-case basis having regard to the relevant policy requirements of the statutory Development Plan, development specific impacts, appropriate mitigation (including additional facilities or requirements made necessary by the development), viability and the statutory tests for the use of planning obligations. Where financial contributions are required these will be index linked, on an upward basis only, in order to maintain the value of the planning obligation. |  |
|       |            | E The following is a list of areas where planning obligations may be sought, recognising that other types of obligations may be necessary depending on the nature of a proposal and individual site circumstances:  a. Affordable housing  |  |
|       |            | b. Transport and highways infrastructure and works   |  |
|       |            | c. Sustainable transport and Healthy Streets   |  |

|           | 1          | <del>-</del>   |                                     |
|-----------|------------|--|-------------------------------------|
|           |            | d. Public transport improvements   |                                     |
|           |            | e. Parking controls and restrictions   |                                     |
|           |            | f. Community and social infrastructure (including health infrastructure)   |                                     |
|           |            | g. Employment and skills training  |                                     |
|           |            | h. Affordable workspace  |                                     |
|           |            | i. Public realm  |                                     |
|           |            | j. Public art  |                                     |
|           |            | k. Community safety measures   |                                     |
|           |            | I. Amenity space   |                                     |
|           |            | m. Play space and recreational facilities  |                                     |
|           |            | n. Open space  |                                     |
|           |            | o. Green and blue infrastructure   |                                     |
|           |            |  |                                     |
|           |            | p. Biodiversity and wildlife habitats  |                                     |
|           |            | q. Communications infrastructure   |                                     |
|           |            | r. Management and maintenance arrangements   |                                     |
|           |            | s. Low carbon and renewable energy   |                                     |
|           |            | t. Flood risk management   |                                     |
|           |            | u. Utilities   |                                     |
| N 4N 4004 | D.E. DMO   | D.F. DMO Mantanda and a second and a second  | NA Province Control of the Control  |
| MM321     | Policy DM3 | Policy DM3 Masterplans and comprehensive development   | Modifications to identify the       |
|           |            |  | strategic status of the policy      |
|           |            | Strategic Policy   | and the strategic objectives to     |
|           |            |  | which it relates. (AP7)             |
|           |            | Strategic Objectives:  |                                     |
|           |            | H Securing the timely delivery of infrastructure.  | Modifications to clarify the        |
|           |            |  | requirement for master              |
|           |            | A Development proposals must be accompanied by a site masterplan where they form all or part of a site allocation, or in other                           | planning of site allocations in     |
|           |            | circumstances specified by the Local Plan. The A site masterplan will be expected to set out how development will contribute to                          | their totality, not just sites that |
|           |            | delivery of the spatial strategy for the Borough. It must also suitably demonstrate that the proposal will not prejudice the future                      | subset components. (AP127           |
|           |            | development of other parts of the site and adjoining land, or otherwise compromise the delivery of the site allocation and outcomes                      | and AP216)                          |
|           |            | sought for the wider area.   | •                                   |
|           |            |  | Modifications to clarify what       |
|           |            | B The site Where it is specified, a masterplan must be submitted at the as a component of either an outline, hybrid or full planning                     | meaningful engagement               |
|           |            | application. Where an outline application is submitted, the first phase of development should be submitted in full detail. it should be                  | (between landowners) will be        |
|           |            | accompanied by a full planning application for the first phase of the development. The masterplan will be required to The scope and                      | required to satisfy the policy's    |
|           |            | scale of future masterplans should reflect and be proportionate to the site or area under consideration and where appropriate                            | requirements. (AP216)               |
|           |            | comprise of:   | ( )                                 |
|           |            |  |                                     |
|           |            | a. An assessment of the site and its context to inform the overall development strategy;   |                                     |
|           |            | b. A detailed site-wide masterplan that responds positively to the spatial strategy for the Borough, site specific development principles                |                                     |
|           |            | and guidelines, and other relevant planning policies; and  |                                     |
|           |            | c. A delivery strategy that identifies how the development will be implemented and managed over its lifetime. This strategy must                         |                                     |
|           |            | address any relevant matters to be resolved such as land assembly and preparation, infrastructure requirements, development                              |                                     |
|           |            | phasing and likely need for planning obligations and/or planning conditions, where these are necessary and appropriate.                                  |                                     |
|           |            | phasing and interpreted for planning obligations and/or planning conditions, where these are necessary and appropriate.                                  |                                     |
|           |            | C Proposals must address how the development site relates to neighbouring properties, the surrounding area and where necessary                           |                                     |
|           |            | other relevant land ownerships the surrounding area, particularly in contributing to the delivery of the spatial strategy for the Borough.               |                                     |
|           |            | Where appropriate, applications will be required to be supported by a masterplan covering multiple sites plots within a site allocation in               |                                     |
|           | l          | T vincio appropriate, applications will be required to be supported by a masterplan covering multiple <del>sites</del> plots within a site allocation in |                                     |

|       |                    | order to demonstrate the acceptability <u>and deliverability</u> of the scheme both in terms of its immediate and wider context. This is additional to the site masterplan required by (A) and (B) above.  D Applicants must demonstrate that they have appropriately consulted the public <u>and relevant stakeholders</u> through the masterplan process <u>in accordance</u> with the latest version of the Council's Statement of Community Involvement and Lewisham Developer <u>Guidance</u> . , including active engagement with the landowners and occupiers of the subject site along with those in other parts of the allocated site.  |   |
|-------|--------------------|--|---|
| MM322 | Paragraph<br>19.14 | 19.14 In order to achieve the Local Plan's vision and strategic objectives it will be important that all development proposals positively engage with and seek to deliver the spatial strategy for the Borough. This is particularly vital for those sites that have been allocated for their strategic role and potential to deliver new and improved housing, business space, public realm, facilities and other infrastructure to support our communities. The site allocations are comparatively large brownfield sites and can therefore add complexity in terms of their redevelopment. This may include a situation of multiple land ownership across the site, fragmentation of existing uses and space, land remediation and need for new or upgraded infrastructure. The Council considers that these constraints are more likely to be overcome, and the optimal use of sites realised, where development is brought forward comprehensively and in line with a site-wide masterplan. | Modifications to clarify the requirement for master planning of site allocations in their totality, not just sites that subset components. (AP216)  Modifications to clarify what meaningful engagement (between landowners) will be required to satisfy the policy's requirements. (AP216) |
| MM323 | Paragraph<br>19.15 | 19.15 To help ensure certainty of outcomes, the Council will seek that masterplans are submitted at the outline,   |   |

| MM325 | Policy DM4 | DM4 Land assembly  | Modifications to identify the  |
|-------|------------|--|--|
|       |            | Non-strategic Policy   | strategic status of the policy<br>and the strategic objectives to<br>which it relates. (AP7) |
|       |            | Strategic Objectives: H Securing the timely delivery of infrastructure.  | Modifications to clarify how the Council use other relevant                                  |
|       |            | A To enable the delivery of the Local Plan and the spatial strategy for the Borough, and where it is appropriate and necessary, the Council will support land assembly to achieve comprehensive development. The Council will consider the use of its compulsory purchase powers, only where necessary, to assemble land for development within the Borough where there is a compelling case in the public interest do so and where:   | mechanisms to secure planned for growth in a timely manner. (AP217)                          |
|       |            | a. Landowners and/or developers, as appropriate, can demonstrate that: there is a deliverable development proposal that will contribute to the achievement of the economic, social, or environmental well-being of the area, or i. There is a viable and deliverable development proposal that appropriately satisfies the Local Plan requirements; and ii. They have made all reasonable efforts to acquire, or secure an option over, the land and/or building(s) needed, through negotiation. |  |
|       |            | b. Comprehensive A comprehensive approach to redevelopment of the assembled site is necessary to will deliver a strategic site allocation contained in the Local Plan (including the requirements of a masterplan where required) in a timely manner that delivers public benefit; and   |  |
|       |            | c. The development proposal for the assembled site will contribute to the delivery of the spatial strategy for the Borough, having particular regard to the Vision and place principle policies for the area within which the development is located.  |  |
|       |            | d. And where demonstrably reasonable efforts have been made to engage with the landowners and occupiers of the relevant land, but have been unsuccessful in securing timely delivery.  |  |
|       |            | B Where compulsory purchase is necessary, and determined to be an appropriate option for securing development that supports the delivery of the spatial strategy, applicants will be required to demonstrate how the associated costs will impact upon development viability In appropriate cases, the Council will consider the use of its other statutory powers, including Section 203 of the Housing and   |  |
| MM326 | Policy DM5 | Planning Act 2016, to facilitate development where it is in the public interest.  DM5 Meanwhile uses   | Modifications to identify the  |
|       | ,          | Non-strategic Policy   | strategic status of the policy<br>and the strategic objectives to<br>which it relates. (AP7) |
|       |            | Strategic Objectives: C A thriving local economy that tackles inequalities.  |  |
|       |            | A Development proposals for the meanwhile (temporary) use of vacant land or buildings will only be supported where the site or unit:   |  |
|       |            | <ul> <li>a. Is being actively marketed; or</li> <li>b. Falls within the boundary of a site allocation that is not expected to come forward for comprehensive redevelopment in the short term; or</li> <li>c. Is located on land within a consented major development scheme, which is being delivered in phases; and</li> </ul>  |  |
|       |            | d. The meanwhile use sought: i. Is appropriate to its location, with priority given to suitable employment generating, community or cultural uses;   |  |

|                     | ii. Will not adversely impact on the amenity of adjoining and neighbouring occupiers, in line with the Agent of Change principle; iii. Does not preclude the permanent use of the site for appropriate commercial or main town centre uses, or prohibit delivery of the site allocation; and iv. Will be temporary in nature.   |  |
|---------------------|---|--|
|                     | B Development proposals for the meanwhile (temporary) use of vacant land and buildings within town centres and designated employment areas must not adversely impact on the viability of the town centre or function of the employment area in supporting commercial uses and activities.   |  |
| MM327 Policy DM6    | DM6 Health impact assessments   | Modifications to identify the  |
|                     | Non-strategic Policy  | strategic status of the policy<br>and the strategic objectives to<br>which it relates. (AP7) |
|                     | Strategic Objectives:   | ,  |
|                     | G Healthy and safe communities,   |  |
|                     | I Ensuring high quality education, health and social care.  |  |
|                     | A A desktop Health Impact Assessment (HIA) must be submitted with the following types of development proposals:  a. Major developments;  b. Developments located within an Air Quality Focus Area; and  |  |
|                     | b. Developments located within an All Quality Locus Area, and   |  |
|                     | c. Developments including the following uses: i. Hot-food takeaways ii. Betting shops;  |  |
|                     | iii. Education facilities;  |  |
|                     | iv. Health and social care facilities; v. Leisure and community facilities; and   |  |
|                     | vi. Publicly accessible open space.   |  |
|                     | B Development proposals of a scale referable to the Greater London Authority must submit a detailed Health Impact Assessment.   |  |
| MANAGOO D. II. DAAZ | DM7 M vita in a second | NA I'C a Caracteria a Constitue Constitue  |
| MM328 Policy DM7    | DM7 Monitoring and review   | Modifications to identify the strategic status of the policy                                 |
|                     | Non-strategic Policy  | and the strategic objectives to  |
|                     | Stratagia Objectivas, n/a   | which it relates. (AP7)  |
|                     | Strategic Objectives: n/a   |  |
|                     | A The implementation of the Lewisham Local Plan <u>policies</u> will be kept under review throughout the plan period, taking into account the Local Performance Indicators set out in Table 19.1. Progress and performance outcomes towards the delivery of the Vision for Lewisham and the spatial strategy will be <u>measured annually throughout the Plan period and will be</u> published annually in the statutory Authority Monitoring Report (AMR).   |  |
|                     | B Development viability in the Lewisham will be regularly reviewed over the plan period. Where evidence suggests that changes in land values are likely to significantly impact on the viability of different types of development, whether in particular areas of across the Borough, the Council will consider the need for a review of strategic approaches or policies.   |  |

| MM329 | New<br>paragraph |                    |   |  |   |   |  |  |  |  |
|-------|------------------|--------------------|---|--|---|---|--|--|--|--|
| MM330 | Table 19.1       | period. Table 19.1 | Monitoring Frame                              | ework  | -   | Modification to ensure that   |  |  |  |  |
|       |                  | Ref                | Measure                                       | Indicator Unless specified otherwise, indicators will be measured using delivered, started and consented schemes from completions data and the pipeline of approvals | <u>Target</u>   | performance towards securing industrial intensification is monitored – with cross reference to Policy EC2.  Modifications to streamline |  |  |  |  |
|       |                  | Deliveri           | l <u>lan Open Lewi</u>                        |  |   | Table 19.1, focussing on  |  |  |  |  |
|       |                  | LPI1               | Open<br>Lewisham                              | Positive reduction in multiple deprivation over the plan period, measured against the baseline 2019 Indices of Multiple Deprivation                                  |   | indicators and targets that will measure the implementation of the policies in the Local Plan.  |  |  |  |  |
|       |                  | LPI2               | Departures<br>from the<br>Development<br>Plan | Number of developments consented as advertised departures from Lewisham's Development Plan   |   | (AP219)   |  |  |  |  |
|       |                  | LPI3               | Planning<br>appeals                           | Performance on planning appeals, reviewed annually   |   |   |  |  |  |  |
|       |                  | PLI4               | Planning<br>enforcement                       | Performance on planning enforcement, reviewed annually by number and type of planning enforcement cases registered, enforcement notices issued and appeals won.      |   |   |  |  |  |  |
|       |                  | High gu            | uality design and h                           |  |   |   |  |  |  |  |
|       |                  | LPI5               | Design<br>Review<br>Panel                     | Number of developments proposals considered by Lewisham Design Review Panel (DRP), measured annually.  |   |   |  |  |  |  |
|       |                  | PLI6<br>LPI1       | Density of housing                            | Density of consented housing developments consented and delivered, measured annually. Densities of major developments being delivered                                | <ul> <li>Policy QD6 A and B:</li> <li>Delivery of higher density         developments in places that are, or         are planned to be, well-connected         by public transport (PTAL 4 and         above).</li> </ul> |   |  |  |  |  |
|       |                  | LPI7<br>LPI2       | Tall buildings                                | Number and location of tall buildings consented and delivered, measured annually.  Number of tall buildings being delivered by number of storeys                     | Policy QD4 B:  Developments with storeys that consist of:  25 - 48 in Deptford/North Deptford,  |   |  |  |  |  |

|     |         |                        |  | <del>_</del>   |  |
|-----|---------|------------------------|--|--|--|
|     |         |                        | Proportion of tall buildings within each Tall Buildings Suitability Zone | <ul> <li>16 - 35 in Lewisham Town Centre,</li> <li>12 - 20 in Catford,</li> <li>20 - 30 in Deptford Creekside,</li> <li>10 - 15 in New Cross and New Cross Gate,</li> <li>10 - 12 in Bellingham and Lee Green,</li> <li>12 - 16 in Lower Sydenham/Bell Green.</li> </ul> |  |
|     | LPI8    | Historic               | Adoption of Area Appraisals and Management                               |  |  |
|     |         | environment            | Plans for all Conservation Areas   |  |  |
|     | LPI9    | Heritage at            | Number of heritage assets on the Heritage at Risk                        |  |  |
|     |         | risk                   | Register and assets removed from the Register through targeted action.   |  |  |
|     | LPI10   | Listed                 | Development approvals resulting in the loss of listed                    | Policy HE2   |  |
|     | LPI3    | <del>buildings</del>   | buildings designated   | No net loss of designated heritage   |  |
|     |         | <u>Designated</u>      | Net change in designated heritage assets including                       | assets, other than those that meet   |  |
|     |         | <u>heritage</u>        | World Heritage Site, Conservations Areas, Listed                         | policy HE2 criteria.   |  |
|     |         | <u>assets</u>          | Buildings, Scheduled Ancient Monuments,                                  |  |  |
|     |         |                        | Registered Parks and Gardens and London                                  |  |  |
|     |         |                        | <u>Squares</u>   |  |  |
|     | LPI11   | <del>Archaeology</del> | Completion of review of Archaeological Priority                          |  |  |
|     |         |                        | Areas  |  |  |
|     | Housing | ·                      |  |  |  |
|     | LPI12   | Housing                | Increase in the supply in new homes over the plan                        |  |  |
|     |         | <del>supply</del>      | period, measured by housing completions and net                          |  |  |
|     |         |                        | pipeline of approved housing units                                       |  |  |
|     | LPI13   | Housing                | Increase in supply of new homes, measured against                        | Policy HO1 A   |  |
|     | LPI4    | delivery               | housing completions towards the borough's                                | Net gain in number of homes  |  |
|     |         |                        | strategic housing target to-date   | consisting of:   |  |
|     |         |                        | Number of net homes being delivered                                      | <ul> <li>11,390 for Years 1 to 5 (2025/26 -</li> </ul>   |  |
|     |         |                        |  | 2029/30) – equivalent to 2,278 p.a.  |  |
|     |         |                        |  | • 30,376 for Years 1 to 15 (2025/26 -  |  |
|     |         |                        |  | 2039/40) – equivalent to 2,278 p.a.  |  |
|     |         |                        |  | <u>in Years 1 – 5 and 1,898 p.a. in</u>  |  |
|     |         |                        |  | <u>Years 6 – 15.</u>   |  |
|     | LPI14   | Small                  | Housing completions on small sites, measured                             | Policy HO2 A   |  |
|     | LPI5    | housing sites          | against draft London Plan small sites target of 379                      | Net gain of 5,685 homes  |  |
|     |         |                        | units annually, and as a proportion of overall                           | (equivalent to 379 p.a.) on small  |  |
|     |         |                        | housing completions  | sites below 0.25 hectares.   |  |
|     |         |                        | Number of net homes being delivered on small sites                       | At least 10% of net new homes on   |  |
|     |         |                        | (measured as below 0.25 hectares and below 1                             | sites no larger than 1 hectare.  |  |
|     |         |                        | <u>hectare)</u>  |  |  |
|     |         |                        | Proportion of net homes on small sites as part of the                    |  |  |
|     |         |                        |  |  |  |
| l l |         |                        | overall housing supply   |  |  |

| <br>         |               |   |   |  |
|--------------|---------------|---|---|--|
| LPI15        | Affordable    | Positive trend in percentage of housing completions   | Policies HO1 E and HO3 A                                      |  |
| LPI6         | housing       | that are for affordable housing, on schemes of 10     | <ul> <li>50% affordable housing.</li> </ul>                   |  |
|              |               | units or more, measured against the borough's         |   |  |
|              |               | strategic affordable housing target of 50 percent     |   |  |
|              |               | Number of net affordable homes being delivered on     |   |  |
|              |               | qualifying sites with 10 units or more                |   |  |
|              |               | <del></del>   |   |  |
|              |               | Proportion of net affordable homes as part of overall |   |  |
|              |               | housing supply  |   |  |
| LPI16        | Genuinely     | Positive trend in percentage of housing completions   | Policy HO3 E  |  |
| LPI7         | affordable    | that are for genuinely affordable housing             | Tenure split of 70% genuinely                                 |  |
| <u> </u>     | housing       | Number of net genuinely affordable homes being        | affordable and 30% intermediate.                              |  |
|              | delivery      | delivered on qualifying sites with 10 units or more   | anordable and 30 % intermediate.                              |  |
|              | delivery      | delivered of qualifying sites with 10 diffes of more  |   |  |
|              |               | Proportion of net genuinely affordable and            |   |  |
|              |               | intermediate homes                                    |   |  |
| LPI16        | Affordable    | Planning contributions secured towards affordable     | Policy HO3 J  |  |
|              |               | housing on schemes of less than 10 units,             | <del></del>   |  |
| LPI8         | housing       | ,   | Payments in lieu, as set out in  Table 7.0 of the Least Blaze |  |
|              | planning      | measured annually                                     | Table 7.2 of the Local Plan.                                  |  |
|              | contributions | Number of on-site affordable homes being delivered    |   |  |
|              |               | on small sites (between 2 and 9 units)                |   |  |
|              |               |   |   |  |
|              |               | Amount of off-site contributions secured through      |   |  |
|              |               | planning obligations on small sites (between 2 and 9  |   |  |
| . =          |               | units)  |   |  |
| LPI18        | Housing size  | Dwelling size mix (e.g. bedrooms) for consented       | Policy HO1 E  |  |
| LPI9         | mix           | and delivered development, measured annually          | <ul> <li>Dwelling size mix for affordable</li> </ul>          |  |
|              |               | Number of net homes being delivered by dwelling       | housing, as set out in Table 7.1 of                           |  |
|              |               | size mix (e.g. bedrooms)                              | the Local Plan.   |  |
| LPI19        | Purpose Built | Number of PBSA developments and bed spaces            | Policy HO7 A and B  |  |
| <u>LPI10</u> | Student       | consented and delivered, measured annually            | <ul> <li>Delivery of PBSA developments in</li> </ul>          |  |
|              | Accommodat    | Number and location of net purpose-built student      | places that are, or are planned to                            |  |
|              | ion           | accommodation (PBSA) being delivered                  | be, well-connected by public                                  |  |
|              |               |   | transport (PTAL 4 and above).                                 |  |
|              |               | Proportion of pro-rata student bed spaces being       |   |  |
|              |               | delivered as part of the overall housing supply       |   |  |
| LPI11        | Non-          | Number and type of net non-conventional homes         | Policies HO6 A  |  |
|              | conventional  | being delivered, including older people's, supported  | Net gain of 500 units of supported                            |  |
|              | housing       | and specialised accommodation                         | accommodation over the Plan                                   |  |
|              | <u></u>       |   | period.   |  |
|              |               | Proportion of pro-rata non-conventional homes as      | <del> </del>  |  |
|              |               | part of the overall housing supply                    |   |  |
| LPI20        | Houses in     | For HMOs requiring planning permission, location      | Policy HO8 A  |  |
| LPI12        | Multiple      | and number of developments consented and              | <ul> <li>No net loss of existing family</li> </ul>            |  |
|              | Occupation    | delivered, measured annually                          | housing (3 bedrooms or more) for                              |  |
|              | (HMOs)        | For HMOs requiring planning permission, number of     | use as HMOs, other than those that                            |  |
|              | (i liviO3)    | net HMOs being delivered                              |   |  |
|              |               | HELLHINOS DEHLIG ACHIVELEA                            | meet policy HO8 criteria.                                     |  |

|                | -   |   | T  |  |
|----------------|---|---|--|--|
| LPI21<br>LPI13 | Gypsy and traveller accommodati on        | Proportion of pro-rata HMOs as part of the overall housing supply  Delivery of 6 additional permanent gypsy and traveller pitches up to 2031.  Delivery of additional permanent gypsy and traveller pitches   | <ul> <li>Policy LSA SA 08</li> <li>Net gain of 6 gypsy and traveller pitches by 2029/30 (end of the first 5-year period).</li> <li>Meeting new demand for gypsy and traveller pitches, as identified through the London-wide GTANA.</li> </ul> |  |
| Fcono          | my and culture                            |   | uneagn are zerraem was en mus  |  |
| LPI22<br>LPI14 | Industrial land availability              | No overall loss of industrial floorspace in Strategic Industrial Locations and Locally Significant Industrial Sites  Net change in B2, B8 and E (g) (ii) and (iii) industrial floorspace being delivered  Proportion of net industrial floorspace in Strategic Industrial Locations (SIL), Locally Significant Industrial Sites (LSIS), Mixed-Use Employment Sites (MEL) and non-designated sites | Policy EC2 B  Net gain of industrial floorspace in SIL and LSIS over the Plan period, consisting of:  1,000 sqm of B8, and 4,000 sqm of B2.  |  |
| LPI23          | Mixed-use<br>employment<br>locations      | Industrial floorspace re-provided through comprehensive redevelopment of MEL sites, measured as the proportion of industrial floorspace of the overall floorspace delivered   |  |  |
| LPI24          | Non-<br>designated<br>employment<br>sites | Industrial floorspace re-provided through the redevelopment of non-designated sites, measured as the proportion of industrial and other employment generating floorspace of the overall floorspace delivered  |  |  |
| LPI25<br>LPI15 | New<br>workspace                          | Positive trend in supply of towards a target of 21,800 net sqm light industrial floorspace by 2040 Net change in E (g)(i) office floorspace being delivered  Proportion of net E (g)(i) office floorspace located in Strategic Industrial Locations (SIL), Locally Significant Industrial Sites (LSIS), Mixed-Use Employment Sites (MEL), town centres and non- designated sites                  | Policy EC2 B  Net gain of 21,800 sqm employment floorspace by 2038.  |  |
| LPI26<br>LPI16 | Affordable<br>workspace                   | Positive trend in affordable workspace, as a share of total light industrial floorspace in planning approvals, along with planning contributions secured towards affordable workspace.  Number of on-site affordable workspace units being delivered  | Policy EC4 D  • At least 10% of the rentable floorspace (Net Internal Area) as affordable workspace, at 50% of market rents.   |  |

|              |   | Proportion of net affordable workspace as part of     |  |  |
|--------------|---|---|--|--|
|              |   | the total light industrial floorspace                 |  |  |
|              |   |   |  |  |
|              |   | Amount of off-site contributions secured towards      |  |  |
|              |   | affordable workspace through planning obligations     |  |  |
| LPI27        | Skills and                              | Planning contributions and/or legal agreements        |  |  |
|              | training                                | secured for skills and training                       |  |  |
| I DIO        |   | U   | Deliev FC12 F  |  |
| LPI28        | Retail Main                             | Increase in supply of retail floorspace, measured     | Policy EC12 F  |  |
| <u>LPI17</u> | town centre                             | against the target of an additional 8,397 gross       | <ul> <li>Net gain of 8,397 gross sqm of</li> </ul>     |  |
|              | <u>uses</u>                             | square metres of floorspace up to 2035 (overall total | main town centre uses floorspace                       |  |
|              | floorspace                              | comprises +10,641 square metres for convenience       | <u>by 2035.</u>  |  |
|              |   | goods, -3,651 for comparison goods and +1,407 for     | <ul> <li>50% of units in the Primary</li> </ul>        |  |
|              |   | food and beverage retail)                             | Shopping Area of Lewisham Town                         |  |
|              |   | Net change in main town centre uses floorspace        | Centre in use as retail floorspace.                    |  |
|              |   | being delivered                                       | Commo mi des de le dan meere paser                     |  |
|              |   | <del></del>   |  |  |
|              |   | Proportion of main town centre uses floorspace        |  |  |
|              |   | located within the town centre network including      |  |  |
|              |   |   |  |  |
| I DIOO       | T                                       | major, district and local centres                     |  |  |
| LPI29        | Town centre                             | Reduction and stabilisation of town centre vacancy    |  |  |
|              | vitality and                            | rates in major, district and local town centres,      |  |  |
|              | <del>viability</del>                    | towards a target of 5% vacancy rates for each         |  |  |
|              |   | centre  |  |  |
| LPI30        | Cultural                                | No net loss of cultural venues and facilities         | Policies EC19 A and CI1                                |  |
| LPI18        | infrastructure                          | Net change in cultural venues and facilities being    | <ul> <li>No net loss of cultural venues,</li> </ul>    |  |
|              |   | delivered, including public houses                    | other than those that meet criteria                    |  |
|              |   |   | in policies EC19 and CI1.                              |  |
| LPI31        | Public                                  | No net loss of public houses                          | <u> </u>   |  |
| LI 10 I      | houses                                  | No fiet 1000 of public froudes                        |  |  |
| Commi        |   |   |  |  |
|              | inity infrastructure                    |   | Dalian Olo   |  |
| LPI32        | Safeguarding :                          | No net loss of community infrastructure               | Policy CI2   |  |
| <u>LPI19</u> | Community                               | Net change in community infrastructure being          | <ul> <li>Delivery of planned infrastructure</li> </ul> |  |
|              | infrastructure                          | <u>delivered</u>                                      | projects, against those identified in                  |  |
|              |   |   | Appendix A of the Infrastructure                       |  |
|              |   |   | <u>Delivery Plan.</u>                                  |  |
| LPI33        | New                                     | Development including provision of new community      | <u> </u>   |  |
|              | community                               | infrastructure, consented and delivered, measured     |  |  |
|              | infrastructure                          | annually and by type of infrastructure                |  |  |
| Green        | nfrastructure                           | amadiy and by typo or initiaotia <del>otato</del>     |  |  |
|              | , | No not loss of designated open space                  | Policy CP2 P and C                                     |  |
| LPI34        | Open<br>Designated                      | No net loss of designated open space                  | Policy GR2 B and C                                     |  |
| <u>LPI20</u> | <u>Designated</u>                       | Net change in designated open space including         | No net loss of designated open                         |  |
|              | open space                              | Metropolitan Open Land (MOL), Local Green             | spaces, other than those that meet                     |  |
|              |   | Space, Strategic Open Space and Neighbourhood         | policy GR2 criteria.                                   |  |
|              |   | Open Space  |  |  |
| LPI35        | Neighbourho                             | Number of consented developments resulting in the     |  |  |
|              | <del>od Öpen</del>                      | net loss of Neighbourhood Open Space and amount       |  |  |
|              | Space                                   | of open space lost, measured annually                 |  |  |
| L            | <b>0</b> pa00                           | T. TET. Opaco root, moderned difficulty               |  |  |

| LPI36<br>LPI21                   | Biodiversity                                 | No net loss of designated biodiversity sites Net change in designated biodiversity sites including Sites of Importance for Nature Conservation (SINC) and Local Nature Reserves (LNR)  | No net loss of designated     biodiversity sites, other than those that meet policy GR3 criteria.      Description:                                |  |
|----------------------------------|--|--|--|--|
| <del>LPI37</del><br><u>LPI22</u> | Biodiversity<br>Net Gain                     | Biodiversity net gain measured over plan period Number of major developments providing biodiversity net gain   | <ul> <li>Policy GR3 E</li> <li>A minimum 10% increase in habitat value for wildlife compared with the pre-development baseline.</li> </ul>         |  |
| LPI38                            | Nature<br>Recovery                           | Adoption and implementation of Local Nature Recovery Strategy  |  |  |
| LPI39<br>LPI23                   | Urban<br>Greening                            | Percentage of qualifying major developments for which target Urban Greening Factor was met on-site Number of qualifying major developments meeting the target Urban Greening Factor on-site  | Policy GR5 C  • Score of 0.4 for predominantly residential development and 0.3 for predominantly commercial development.                           |  |
| LPI24                            | Recreational<br>and open<br>space            | Net change in new and/or enhanced accessible sports and recreational facilities and green infrastructure   | Policies CI3 A and GR2 C  Delivery of planned infrastructure projects, against those identified in Appendix A of the Infrastructure Delivery Plan. |  |
| Sustain                          | able design and i                            | nfrastructure  |  |  |
| LPI40                            | Carbon<br>neutral<br>Lewisham                | Reduction in borough-wide carbon emissions towards a local target of net zero carbon by 2030, contributing toward the London Mayor' strategic target for London to become a zero carbon by 2050  |  |  |
| LPI41<br>LPI25                   | Carbon<br>emissions on<br>new<br>development | Average on-site carbon emission reductions of at least 35% compared to Building Regulations 2013 for approved major development applications.  Number of qualifying major developments meeting carbon emission reductions on-site                    | <ul> <li>Policy SD3 B</li> <li>35% reduction of carbon emissions, compared to Building Regulations 2013.</li> </ul>                                |  |
| <u>LPI42</u><br><u>LPI26</u>     | Carbon<br>offsetting                         | Number of carbon offset payments and amount of funding secured through relevant legal agreements  Number of major developments with net zero carbon on-site  Amount of cash-in-lieu carbon offset contributions secured through planning obligations | Policy SD3 C     Increase in all major developments being net zero carbon on-site.     Increase in carbon offset payments.                         |  |
| <del>LPI43</del><br><u>LPI27</u> | Air quality -<br>major<br>development        | Positive trend in approved major development applications demonstrating that they meet at least air quality neutral standard for emissions  Number of major developments meeting air quality neutral standards                                       | Policy SD6 B  Increase in major developments improving air quality or being at least air quality neutral.  |  |
| LPI44                            | Air quality –<br>borough-<br>wide            | Achievement of air quality objectives for Nitrogen Oxide and Particulate Matter, in accordance with Lewisham Air Quality Management Plan   |  |  |
| LPI45                            | Environment al incidents                     | Positive trend in reduction of environmental incidents reported to the Environment Agency  |  |  |

| 1.0140             | Minterior                                | 4000/ CD 11 '' 1 ( 1 (1)                              |   |  |
|--------------------|--|---|---|--|
| LPI46              | Net waste                                | 100% of Borough's municipal waste managed within      |   |  |
|                    | self                                     | London by 2026  |   |  |
|                    | <del>sufficiency</del>                   |   |   |  |
| LPI47              | <del>Municipal</del>                     | Positive trend towards achievement of London Plan     |   |  |
|                    | <del>waste</del>                         | target for 65% municipal waste (household and         |   |  |
|                    | <del>recycling</del>                     | business) recycled by 2030                            |   |  |
| LPI48              | Construction                             | Positive trend towards achievement of London Plan     |   |  |
|                    | and                                      | target for 95% of construction and demolition waste   |   |  |
|                    | <del>demolition</del>                    | sent for re-use/ recycling/recovery by 2030, and      |   |  |
|                    | waste and                                | 95% of excavation waste for beneficial re-use         |   |  |
|                    | excavation.                              | oo /o or oxida valleri madici for borrondia ro doo    |   |  |
| LPI49              | Composting                               | Positive trend towards achievement of London Plan     |   |  |
| LI 1-13            | Oomposing                                | target for zero biodegradable and recyclable waste    |   |  |
|                    |  | to landfill by 2026.                                  |   |  |
| Transp             | ort and connactivit                      | <u> </u>  |   |  |
|                    | ort and connectivi                       | •   | Dallar TD4 C  |  |
| LPI50              | Strategic                                | Progress in the delivery of strategic transport       | Policy TR1 C  |  |
| <u>LPI28</u>       | infrastructure                           | infrastructure, as measured by projects set out in    | Delivery of planned strategic                         |  |
|                    |  | Table 12.1 of the Local Plan                          | transport schemes, against those                      |  |
|                    |  |   | identified in Table 12.1 of the Local                 |  |
|                    |  |   | Plan and Appendix A of the                            |  |
|                    |  |   | Infrastructure Delivery Plan.                         |  |
| LPI29              | Car free                                 | Number and location of car free schemes being         | Policy TR4 B  |  |
|                    | development                              | delivered on major developments                       | Delivery of car free developments                     |  |
|                    | S  | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·                 | in places that are, or are planned to                 |  |
|                    | _  |   | be, well-connected by public                          |  |
|                    |  |   | transport (PTAL 4 and above).                         |  |
| LPI30              | Planning                                 | Number and type of planning obligations secured       | Policy DM2 E  |  |
| <u> </u>           | obligations                              | from Section 106 liable developments                  | <ul> <li>Increase in planning obligations.</li> </ul> |  |
| LPI51              | Modal share                              | Increasing mode share for walking, cycling and        | increase in planning obligations.                     |  |
| <del>Li 10 i</del> | Wiodai Sitate                            | public transport (excluding taxis) towards the        |   |  |
|                    |  |   |   |  |
|                    |  | London Mayor's target of 90% in inner-London by       |   |  |
| Niaint             |  | <del>2041.</del>                                      |   |  |
|                    | ourhoods and pla                         |   | A P. Ollo   |  |
| LPI52              | Allocated                                | Number and proportion of total allocated sites        | Appendix 6 Housing Trajectory                         |  |
| <u>LPI31</u>       | sites                                    | committed by way of planning consent towards          | Net gain of 26,017 new homes over                     |  |
|                    | committed                                | target of 100% by 2040                                | the Plan period with:                                 |  |
|                    |  | Number of allocated sites being delivered in each     | <ul> <li>7,794 in Central Area,</li> </ul>            |  |
|                    |  | character area  | <ul> <li>13,093 in North Area,</li> </ul>             |  |
|                    |  |   | • 1,444 in East Area,                                 |  |
|                    |  | Proportion of allocated sites being delivered in each | • 2,718 in South Area,                                |  |
|                    |  | <u>character area</u>                                 | • 968 in West Area.                                   |  |
| LPI53              | Allocated                                | Number and proportion of total allocated sites        | - COO III TTOOL/TIOU.                                 |  |
|                    | sites                                    | delivered by way of completion, towards target of     |   |  |
|                    | <del>sites</del><br><del>delivered</del> | 100% by 2040  |   |  |
| I DIE 4            |  | ,   |   |  |
| LPI54              | Housing                                  | Increase in supply in new homes in the character      |   |  |
|                    | <del>supply by</del>                     | area over the plan period, measured by housing        |   |  |

|       | character            | completions and net pipeline of approved housing     |  |
|-------|----------------------|--|--|
|       | area                 | <del>units</del>                                     |  |
| LPI56 | <del>Workspace</del> | Positive trend in amount of workspace completed in   |  |
|       | delivery by          | the character area                                   |  |
|       | character            |  |  |
|       | area                 |  |  |
| LPI57 | Retail               | Net change in Class E retail floorspace by character |  |
|       | floorspace           | area   |  |
|       | delivery by          |  |  |
|       | character            |  |  |
|       | area                 |  |  |
| LPI58 | Lewisham             | Delivery of Lewisham links routes by character area  |  |
|       | <del>links</del>     |  |  |

This refers to environmental incidents reported to the Environment Agency national incident hotline and may include, for example, water pollution, waste management issues, fish kills, odour issues, blocked drains, dust, misconnection issues, odour and low flow in rivers.

#### Part Five – Appendices and Schedules

#### Chapter 20 - Appendices

MM331 Appendix 3

Appendix 3: Strategic and Non-strategic policies

The NPPF (2019), paragraph 21, sets out that local plans should identify and distinguish strategic policies from other non-strategic policies. All policies within this Local Plan, including the site allocations, are strategic policies except for the non-strategic policies identified in the table below.

Table 20.2 Non-strategic policies

| Part | Sectio        | Referenc | Policy title                                     |
|------|---------------|----------|--|
|      | n             | е        |  |
| Two  | 5             | QD8      | Noise and vibration                              |
| Two  | 5             | QD9      | External lighting                                |
| Two  | 5             | QD12     | Shopfronts                                       |
| Two  | 5             | QD13     | Outdoor advertisements, digital displays and     |
|      |               |          | hoardings  |
| Two  | 8             | EC16     | Shopping parades, corner shops and other service |
|      |               |          | <del>points</del>                                |
| Two  | 8             | EC21     | Visitor accommodation                            |
| Two  | 9             | CI4      | Nurseries and childcare facilities               |
| Two  | 9             | CI5      | Burial space                                     |
| Two  | <del>10</del> | GR5      | Food growing                                     |
| Two  | <del>12</del> | TR6      | Taxis and private hire vehicles                  |

The NPPF sets out that local plans should identify and distinguish strategic policies from other non-strategic policies. Table 20.2 identifies whether the policies and site allocations contained in the Lewisham Local Plan are strategic or non-strategic.

Table 20.2: Strategic or non-strategic policies

Modification to provide further clarity on strategic and nonstrategic policy content.

|  | OFOTION/DOLIOY        | NEWLOOAL  | OTD ATE OLO       |
|--|-----------------------|---|-------------------|
|  | SECTION/POLICY        | NEW LOCAL   | STRATEGIC         |
|  | AND/OR                | PLAN POLICY   | OR<br>NON         |
|  | <u>PARAGRAPH</u>      |   | NON-<br>STRATEGIC |
|  | Policy OL1            | Delivering an Open Lewisham (spatial strategy)            | Strategic         |
|  | Policy QD1            | Delivering high quality design in Lewisham                | Strategic         |
|  | Policy QD1 Policy QD2 | Inclusive and safe design                                 | Non-strategic     |
|  | Policy QD2            | Public realm and connecting places                        |                   |
|  | -                     |   | Non-strategic     |
|  | Policy QD4            | Building heights  | Strategic         |
|  | Policy QD5            | View management   | Strategic         |
| <del></del>                                | Policy QD6            | Optimising site capacity                                  | Non-strategic     |
|  | Policy QD7            | Amenity and agent of change                               | Non-strategic     |
|  | Policy QD8            | High quality housing design                               | Non-strategic     |
|  | Policy QD9            | Building alterations, extensions and basement development | Non-strategic     |
| <u> </u>                                   | Policy QD10           | Infill and backland sites, garden land and                | Non-strategic     |
|  |                       | amenity areas   |                   |
| <u>                                   </u> | Policy QD11           | Shopfronts  | Non-strategic     |
| <u> </u>                                   | Policy QD12           | Outdoor advertisements, digital displays and hoardings    | Non-strategic     |
|  | Policy HE1            | Lewisham's historic environment                           | Strategic         |
|  | Policy HE2            | Designated heritage assets                                | Strategic         |
| <del>  -</del>                             | Policy HE3            | Non-designated heritage assets                            | Strategic         |
| -  | Policy HO1            | Meeting Lewisham's housing needs                          | Strategic         |
|  | Policy HO2            | Optimising the use of small housing sites                 | Strategic         |
|  | Policy HO3            | Genuinely affordable housing                              | Strategic         |
|  | Policy HO4            | Housing estate maintenance, renewal and                   | Non-strategic     |
| -  | <u> </u>              | regeneration  | itori ottoriogio  |
| F  | Policy HO5            | Accommodation for older people                            | Non-strategic     |
|  | Policy HO6            | Supported and specialised accommodation                   | Non-strategic     |
| <del>  -</del>                             | Policy HO7            | Purpose built student accommodation                       | Non-strategic     |
|  | Policy HO8            | Housing with shared facilities (Houses in                 | Non-strategic     |
| -  |                       | Multiple Occupation)                                      |                   |
|  | Policy HO9            | Self-build and custom-build housing                       | Non-strategic     |
| <del> </del>                               | Policy HO10           | Gypsy and traveller accommodation                         | Non-strategic     |
| <del> </del>                               | Policy EC1            | A thriving and inclusive local economy                    | Strategic         |
|  | Policy EC2            | Protecting employment land and delivering new             | Strategic         |
| -  | Olicy LOZ             | workspace   | Ollategie         |
|  | Policy EC3            | High quality employment areas and workspace               | Non-strategic     |
| <del> </del>                               | Policy EC4            | Low-cost and affordable workspace                         | Strategic         |
| <del> </del>                               | Policy EC5            | Strategic Industrial Locations (SIL)                      | Non-strategic     |
|  | Policy EC6            | Locally Significant Industrial Sites (LSIS)               | Non-strategic     |
|  | Policy EC7            | Mixed-use Employment Locations (MEL)                      | Non-strategic     |
|  | Policy EC8            | Non-designated employment sites                           | Non-strategic     |
|  | Policy EC9            | Railway arches  | Non-strategic     |
|  |                       |   |                   |
| <u> </u>                                   | Policy EC10           | Workplace training and job opportunities                  | Non-strategic     |

| 1 | TT= ==       | T=  | T = :            |
|---|--------------|---|------------------|
|   | Policy EC11  | Town centres at the heart of our communities    | <u>Strategic</u> |
|   | Policy EC12  | Town Centre network and hierarchy               | <u>Strategic</u> |
|   | Policy EC13  | Optimising the use of town centre land and      | <u>Strategic</u> |
|   |              | floorspace                                      |                  |
|   | Policy EC14  | Major and District Centres                      | Non-strategic    |
|   | Policy EC15  | Local Centres                                   | Non-strategic    |
|   | Policy EC16  | Shopping parades, corner shops and other        | Non-strategic    |
|   |              | service points                                  |                  |
|   | Policy EC17  | Concentration of uses                           | Non-strategic    |
|   | Policy EC18  | Culture, creative industries and the night-time | Strategic        |
|   |              | economy   |                  |
|   | Policy EC19  | Public houses                                   | Non-strategic    |
|   | Policy EC20  | Markets   | Non-strategic    |
|   | Policy EC21  | Visitor accommodation                           | Non-strategic    |
|   | Policy CI1   | Safeguarding and securing community             | Strategic        |
|   | 1 Olicy Oli  | infrastructure                                  | Strategic        |
|   | Policy CI2   | High quality community infrastructure           | Non-strategic    |
|   |              |   |                  |
|   | Policy CI3   | Sports, recreation and play                     | Non-strategic    |
|   | Policy CI4   | Nurseries and childcare facilities              | Non-strategic    |
|   | Policy CI5   | Burial space                                    | Non-strategic    |
|   | Policy GR1   | Green infrastructure and Lewisham's Green       | <u>Strategic</u> |
|   |              | <u>Grid</u>                                     |                  |
|   | Policy GR2   | Open space                                      | <u>Strategic</u> |
|   | Policy GR3   | Biodiversity and access to nature               | <u>Strategic</u> |
|   | Policy GR4   | Lewisham Links                                  | Strategic        |
|   | Policy GR5   | Urban greening and trees                        | Non-strategic    |
|   | Policy GR6   | Food growing                                    | Non-strategic    |
|   | Policy GR7   | Geodiversity                                    | Non-strategic    |
|   | Policy SD1   | Responding to the climate emergency             | Strategic        |
|   | Policy SD2   | Sustainable design and retrofitting             | Strategic        |
|   | Policy SD3   | Minimising greenhouse gas emissions             | Strategic        |
|   | Policy SD4   | Energy infrastructure                           | Strategic        |
|   | Policy SD5   | Managing heat risk                              | Strategic        |
|   | Policy SD6   | Improving air quality                           | Strategic        |
|   | Policy SD7   | Minimising and managing flood risk              | Strategic        |
|   |              | -   |                  |
|   | Policy SD8   | Sustainable drainage                            | Strategic        |
|   | Policy SD9   | Lewisham's waterways                            | Strategic        |
|   | Policy SD10  | Water supply and wastewater                     | Strategic        |
|   | Policy SD11  | Ground conditions                               | <u>Strategic</u> |
|   | Policy SD12  | Reducing and sustainably managing waste         | <u>Strategic</u> |
|   | Policy SD13  | Design to support the circular economy          | <u>Strategic</u> |
|   | Policy TR1   | Sustainable transport and movement              | <u>Strategic</u> |
|   | Policy TR2   | Bakerloo line extension                         | Strategic        |
|   | Policy TR3   | Healthy streets as part of healthy              | Strategic        |
|   |              | neighbourhoods                                  |                  |
|   | Policy TR4   | Parking   | Non-strategic    |
|   | 1 0110, 1101 | , smary   | . ton onatogio   |

| Policy TR5  | Deliveries, servicing and construction           | Non-strategic               |
|-------------|--|-----------------------------|
| Policy TR6  | Taxis and private hire vehicles                  | Non-strategic               |
| Policy TR7  | Digital connectivity                             | Strategic                   |
| Policy LCA1 | Central Area place policies                      | Strategic                   |
| Policy LCA2 | Lewisham major centre and surrounds              | Strategic                   |
| Policy LCA3 | Catford major centre and surrounds               | Strategic                   |
| Policy LCA4 | A21 corridor                                     | Strategic                   |
| LCA SA1     | Lewisham Gateway                                 | Non-strategic               |
| LCA SA2     | Lewisham Shopping Centre                         | Non-strategic               |
| LCA SA3     | Land at Engate Street                            | Non-strategic               |
| LCA SA4     | Conington Road                                   | Non-strategic               |
| LCA SA5     | Land at Conington Road and Lewisham Road         | Non-strategic               |
| LOA SAS     | (Tesco)  | <u>Mon-strategic</u>        |
| LCA SA6     | Thurston Road Bus Station                        | Non-strategic               |
| LCA SA7     | Lewisham Retail park, Loampit Vale               | Non-strategic               |
| LCA SA8     | 100-114 Loampit Vale                             | Non-strategic               |
| LCA SA6     | Silver Road and Axion House                      |                             |
|             |  | Non-strategic               |
| LCA SA10    | House on the Hill at Slaithewaite Road           | Non-strategic               |
| LCA SA11    | Church Grove Self-Build                          | Non-strategic               |
| LCA SA12    | Ladywell Play Tower                              | Non-strategic               |
| LCA SA13    | PLACE/Ladywell (former Ladywell Leisure Centre)  | Non-strategic               |
| LCA SA14    | Driving Test Centre, Nightingale Grove           | Non-strategic               |
| LCA SA15    | Land at Nightingale Grove and Maythorne Cottages | Non-strategic               |
| LCA SA16    | Land at Rushey Green and Bradgate Road (Aldi)    | Non-strategic               |
| LCA SA17    | Catford Shopping Centre and Milford Towers       | Non-strategic               |
| LCA SA18    | Catford Island                                   | Non-strategic               |
| LCA SA19    | Laurence House and Civic Centre                  | Non-strategic               |
| LCA SA20    | South Circular                                   | Non-strategic               |
| LCA SA21    | Wickes and Halfords, Catford Road                | Non-strategic               |
| LCA SA22    | Ravensbourne Retail Park                         | Non-strategic               |
| Policy LNA1 | North Area place principles                      | Strategic                   |
| Policy LNA2 | New Cross Road/A2 corridor                       | Strategic                   |
| Policy LNA3 | Creative Enterprize Zone                         | Strategic                   |
| Policy LNA4 | Thames Policy Area and Deptford Creekside        | Strategic                   |
| LNA SA1     | Convoys Wharf MEL                                |                             |
| LNA SA1     | Deptford Landings MEL and Scott House            | Non-strategic Non-strategic |
|             |  |                             |
| LNA SA4     | Evelyn Court at Surrey Canal Road SIL            | Non-strategic               |
| LNA SA4     | Neptune Wharf MEL                                | Non-strategic               |
| LNA SA5     | Surrey Canal Road and Trundleys Road LSIS        | Non-strategic               |
| LNA SA6     | Apollo Business Centre LSIS                      | Non-strategic               |
| LNA SA7     | Silwood Street                                   | Non-strategic               |
| LNA SA8     | Bermondsey Dive Under                            | Non-strategic               |
| LNA SA9     | Surrey Canal Triangle MEL                        | Non-strategic               |

| LNA SA10    | Besson Street (Kender Triangle)   | Non-strategic    |
|-------------|---|------------------|
| LNA SA11    | Former Hatcham Works, New Cross Road  | Non-strategic    |
| LNA SA12    | Goodwood Road and New Cross Road  | Non-strategic    |
| LNA SA13    | Achilles Street   | Non-strategic    |
| LNA SA14    | Former Deptford Green School (Upper School  | Non-strategic    |
|             | Site)   |                  |
| LNA SA15    | Albany Theatre  | Non-strategic    |
| LNA SA16    | Land north of Reginald Road and south of Frankham Street (former Tidemill School) | Non-strategic    |
| LNA SA17    | Lower Creekside LSIS  | Non-strategic    |
| LNA SA18    | Sun Wharf MEL (including Network Rail Arches)                                     | Non-strategic    |
| LNA SA19    | Creekside Village East, Thanet Wharf MEL  | Non-strategic    |
| Policy LEA1 | East Area place principles  | Strategic        |
| Policy LEA2 | Lee Green district centre and surrounds   | Strategic        |
| Policy LEA3 | Strategic Area for Regeneration, Grove Park                                       | Strategic        |
|             | Linear network of green infrastructure  |                  |
| Policy LEA4 |   | Strategic        |
|             | Heathside and Lethbridge Estate   | Non-strategic    |
| LEA SA2     | Blackheath Hill LSIS  | Non-strategic    |
| LEA SA3     | Leegate Shopping Centre   | Non-strategic    |
| LEA SA4     | Sainsbury's Lee Green   | Non-strategic    |
| LEA SA5     | Land at Lee High Road and Lee Road  | Non-strategic    |
| LEA SA6     | Southbrook Mews   | Non-strategic    |
| LEA SA7     | Mayfields Hostel, Burnt Ash Hill  | Non-strategic    |
| LEA SA8     | Sainsbury Local and West of Grove Park Station                                    | Non-strategic    |
| Policy LSA1 | South Area place principles   | Strategic        |
| Policy LSA2 | Strategic Area for Regeneration   | Strategic        |
| Policy LSA3 | Bell Green and Lower Sydenham   | Strategic        |
| Policy LSA4 | A21 corridor/Bromley Road   | Strategic        |
| LSA SA1     | Former Bell Green Gas Holders and Livesey Memorial Hall                           | Non-strategic    |
| LSA SA2     | Bell Green Retail Park  | Non-strategic    |
| LSA SA3     | Sainsbury's Bell Green  | Non-strategic    |
| LSA SA4     | Stanton Square LSIS   | Non-strategic    |
| LSA SA5     | Sydenham Green Group Practice   | Non-strategic    |
| LSA SA6     | Worsley Bridge Road LSIS  | Non-strategic    |
| LSA SA7     | Lidl, Southend Lane   | Non-strategic    |
| LSA SA8     | Land at Pool Court  | Non-strategic    |
| LSA SA9     | Catford Police station  | Non-strategic    |
| LSA SA9     | Homebase/ Argos, Bromley Road   |                  |
|             |   | Non-strategic    |
| LSA SA11    | Beadles Garage  | Non-strategic    |
| LSA SA12    | Downham Co-op   | Non-strategic    |
| LSA SA13    | Excalibur Estate  | Non-strategic    |
| LSA SA14    | Bestway Cash and Carry  | Non-strategic    |
| Policy LWA1 | West Area place principles  | <u>Strategic</u> |
| Policy LWA2 | Connected network of town centres   | <u>Strategic</u> |

|       |            | Delievi I M/A 2                         | Forest Hill district a                       | antra and alleranda           | Ctrotogio        |   |   |
|-------|------------|---|--|-------------------------------|------------------|---|---|
|       |            | Policy LWA3                             |  | centre and surrounds          | Strategic        |   |   |
|       |            | LWA SA1                                 | 111-115 Endwell F                            | <u>koad</u>                   | Non-strategic    |   |   |
|       |            | LWA SA2                                 | 6 Mantle Road                                |                               | Non-strategic    |   |   |
|       |            | LWA SA3                                 | Jenner Health Cer                            |                               | Non-strategic    |   |   |
|       |            | LWA SA4                                 | -  | Station east (Waldram Place   | Non-strategic    |   |   |
|       |            | and Perry Vale)                         |  | 0                             |                  |   |   |
|       |            | LWA SA5                                 | Land at Forest Hill Station west (Devonshire |                               | Non-strategic    |   |   |
|       |            | 1 |  | and Dartmouth Roads)          |                  |   |   |
|       |            | LWA SA6                                 |  | Perry Vale LSIS               |                  |   |   |
|       |            | LWA SA7                                 |  | Clyde Vale LSIS               |                  |   |   |
|       |            | LWA SA8                                 | Featherstone Lodg                            | ge, Eliot Bank                | Non-strategic    |   |   |
|       |            | LWA SA9                                 | Willow Way LSIS                              |                               | Non-strategic    |   |   |
|       |            | LWA SA10                                | 74 to 78 Sydenhar                            |                               | Non-strategic    |   |   |
|       |            | LWA SA11                                |  | n Road and Loxley Close       | Non-strategic    |   |   |
|       |            | LWA SA12                                | 113-157 Sydenhar                             |                               | Non-strategic    |   |   |
|       |            | Policy DM1                              | Working with stake Plan                      | eholders to deliver the Local | <u>Strategic</u> |   |   |
|       |            | Policy DM2                              | Infrastructure fund                          | ling and planning obligations | Strategic        |   |   |
|       |            | Policy DM3                              | Masterplans and c                            | omprehensive development      | Strategic        |   |   |
|       |            | Policy DM4                              | Land assembly                                |                               | Non-strategic    |   |   |
|       |            | Policy DM5                              | Meanwhile uses                               |                               | Non-strategic    |   |   |
|       |            | Policy DM6                              | Health impact asse                           | <u>essments</u>               | Non-strategic    | <u>tegic</u>                                  |   |
|       |            | Policy DM7                              | Monitoring and rev                           | <u>view</u>                   | Non-strategic    |   |   |
|       |            |   |  |                               |                  |   |   |
|       |            |   |  |                               |                  |   |   |
| MM332 | Appendix 4 |   | •  | d deleted and new policies.   |                  |   | Modification to provide further clarity on whether policies |
|       |            |   | ·  | by the Lewisham Local Plan a  |                  | deleted.                                      | have been replaced, deleted or newly added.                 |
|       |            |   |  | ed by the Lewisham Local Plan | 1                |   |   |
|       |            |   | LAN DOCUMENTS                                |                               |                  |   |   |
|       |            | Lewisham Core Stra                      |  | Deleted                       |                  |   |   |
|       |            | Site Allocations Loc                    |  | Deleted                       |                  |   |   |
|       |            | Development Manag<br>(2014)             | gement Local Plan                            | Deleted                       |                  |   |   |
|       |            | Lewisham Town Ce (2014)                 | entre Local Plan                             | Deleted                       |                  |   |   |
|       |            | GUIDANCE DOCUM                          | MENTS  | STATUS                        |                  |   |   |
|       |            | Bromley Road, Sout                      | thend Village                                | Deleted                       |                  |   |   |
|       |            | Supplementary Plan                      |  |                               |                  |   |   |
|       |            |   |  |                               |                  |   |   |
|       |            |   |  |                               | ts have been del | eted or replaced. It also identifies policies |   |
|       |            | that have been newly                    | <u>y introduced in the Le</u>                | wisham Local Plan.            |                  |   |   |
|       |            | N                                       |  |                               |                  |   |   |
|       |            | Notes:<br>*Policy has be                | een deleted as the site                      | has now been delivered.       |                  |   |   |

^Policy has been deleted as it relates specifically to the adopted plan(s) and no longer correlates with the content of the new Lewisham Local Plan.

~ Policy has been deleted as the new Local Plan does not make specific reference to it, but it is subsumed within the general policies in the new Lewisham Local Plan.

Adopted policies that have been replaced with new policies may no longer be the same as before. The wording within the new policy may have altered or evolved throughout the preparation of the new Local Plan to be substantially different from the previous, adopted versions.

Table 20.4: Table of replacement, deleted or new policies

| Table 20.4: Table of re   | eplacement, de  | leted or new policies   |
|---|---|---|
| ADOPTED<br>POLICY   | POLICY HAS<br>BEEN<br>DELETED,<br>REPLACED<br>OR NEWLY<br>ADDED | NEW LOCAL<br>PLAN POLICY  |
| CORE STRATEGY   |   |   |
| Spatial Policy 1: Lewisham Spatial Strategy Spatial Policy 2: Regeneration and Growth Areas | replaced by   | OL1: Delivering an Open Lewisham (spatial strategy) OL1: Delivering an Open Lewisham (spatial strategy) HO1: Meeting Lewisham's housing needs EC12: Town Centre network and hierarchy LCA2: Lewisham major centre and surrounds LCA3: Catford major centre and surrounds LCA4: A21 corridor LNA2: New cross Road/A2 corridor LNA3: Creative Enterprize Zone LNA4: Thames Policy Area and Deptford Creekside LEA2: Lee Green district centre and surrounds LEA3: Strategic Area for Regeneration, Grove Park LSA2: Strategic Area for Regeneration LSA3: Bell Green and Lower Sydenham |
|   | 1   | Lorto. Don Orcon and Lower Cydennam   |

|   |                          | Ţ   |
|---|--------------------------|---|
|   |                          | LSA4: A21 corridor/Bromley Road   |
|   |                          | LWA2: Connected network of town centres                                     |
|   |                          | LWA3: Forest Hill district centre and surrounds                             |
|   |                          | Schedule 11: Regeneration nodes growth nodes and growth corridors           |
| Spatial Policy 3: District Hubs                                   | replaced by              | EC14: Major and District Centres  |
| Spatial Policy 4: Local<br>Hubs                                   | replaced by              | EC15: Local Centres   |
| Spatial Policy 5: Areas of Stability and Managed Change           | partially<br>replaced by | HO4: Housing estate maintenance, renewal and regeneration                   |
| Core Strategy Policy 1:<br>Housing provision, mix and             | replaced by              | HO1: Meeting Lewisham's housing needs                                       |
| affordability Core Strategy Policy 2:                             | replaced by              | HO3: Genuinely affordable housing HO10: Gypsy and traveller accommodation   |
| Gypsy and travellers Core Strategy Policy 3: Strategic Industrial | replaced by              | EC5: Strategic Industrial Locations (SIL)                                   |
| locations and Local Employment Locations                          |                          | EC2: Protecting employment land and delivering new workspace                |
|   |                          | EC6: Locally Significant Industrial Sites (LSIS)                            |
| Core Strategy Policy 4:   | replaced by              | Schedule 4: Designated employment land  EC2: Protecting employment land and |
| Mixed Use Employment Locations                                    | iepiaceu by              | delivering new workspace  |
| <u> </u>  |                          | EC7: Mixed-use Employment Locations (MEL)                                   |
|   |                          | DM3: Masterplans and comprehensive development                              |
| Core Strategy Policy 5:   | replaced by              | Schedule 4: Designated employment land  EC2: Protecting employment land and |
| Other employment locations  | 10pidoca by              | delivering new workspace  |
| 1.554.15.15   |                          | EC8: Non-designated employment sites  |
|   |                          | Schedule 4: Designated employment land                                      |

| Core Strategy Policy 6: Retail hierarchy and location of retail development   Schopping Areas  |
|--|
| Core Strategy Policy 8: Sustainable design and construction and energy efficiency   SD2: Sustainable design and reducing the six of flooding to generate the school of the climate emergency SD1: Responding to the climate emergency SD2: Suntaining greenhouse gas emissions SD4: Energy infrastructure SD5: Managing and reducing the risk of flooding SD4: Energy infrastructure SD1: Water supply and wastewater TR5: Deliveries, servicing and construction SD2: Suntaining and managing flood risk SD8: Suntainable drainage SD8: Suntainable drainage SD8: Lewisham's waterways  |
| development   Core Strategy Policy 7: Climate change and adapting to the effects   SD1: Responding to the climate emergency  |
| Core Strategy Policy 7: Climate change and adapting to the effects  SD3: Minimising greenhouse gas emissions  SD4: Energy infrastructure  SD5: Managing heat risk  HO4: Housing estate maintenance, renewal and regeneration  SD2: Sustainable design and construction and energy efficiency  SD4: Energy infrastructure  SD5: Managing heat risk  HO4: Housing estate maintenance, renewal and regeneration  SD2: Sustainable design and retrofitting  SD4: Energy infrastructure  SD10: Water supply and wastewater  TR5: Deliveries, servicing and construction  SD6: Improving local air quality  Core Strategy Policy 10: Managing and reducing the risk of flooding Core Strategy Policy 11: Teplaced by Core Strategy Policy 11: Teplaced by SD8: Sustainable drainage Core Strategy Policy 11: Teplaced by SD9: Lewisham's waterways   |
| Climate change and adapting to the effects  SD3: Minimising greenhouse gas emissions  SD4: Energy infrastructure  SD5: Managing heat risk  Core Strategy Policy 8: Sustainable design and construction and energy efficiency  SD2: Sustainable design and retrofitting  SD4: Energy infrastructure  SD2: Sustainable design and retrofitting  SD4: Energy infrastructure  SD10: Water supply and wastewater  TR5: Deliveries, servicing and construction  SD6: Improving air quality  Core Strategy Policy 10: Managing and reducing the risk of flooding Core Strategy Policy 11: replaced by  SD8: Sustainable drainage Core Strategy Policy 11: replaced by SD9: Lewisham's waterways   |
| adapting to the effects  SD3: Minimising greenhouse gas emissions  SD4: Energy infrastructure  SD5: Managing heat risk  HO4: Housing estate maintenance, renewal and regeneration  SD2: Sustainable design and construction and energy efficiency  SD2: Sustainable design and retrofitting  SD4: Energy infrastructure  SD10: Water supply and wastewater  TR5: Deliveries, servicing and construction  SD6: Improving air quality  Core Strategy Policy 10: Managing and reducing the risk of flooding  Core Strategy Policy 11: replaced by  SD6: Sustainable drainage  SD7: Minimising and managing flood risk  SD8: Sustainable drainage  SD9: Lewisham's waterways   |
| SD4: Energy infrastructure  SD5: Managing heat risk  Core Strategy Policy 8: Sustainable design and construction and energy efficiency  SD2: Sustainable design and regeneration  SD2: Sustainable design and retrofitting  SD4: Energy infrastructure  SD4: Energy infrastructure  SD10: Water supply and wastewater  TR5: Deliveries, servicing and construction  SD6: Improving local air quality  Core Strategy Policy 10: Managing and reducing the risk of flooding Core Strategy Policy 11:  SD8: Sustainable drainage Core Strategy Policy 11:  SD8: Sustainable drainage SD8: Sustainable drainage SD9: Lewisham's waterways  |
| SD5: Managing heat risk  Core Strategy Policy 8: Sustainable design and construction and energy efficiency  SD2: Sustainable design and retrofitting  SD4: Energy infrastructure  SD10: Water supply and wastewater  TR5: Deliveries, servicing and construction  Core Strategy Policy 9: Improving local air quality  Core Strategy Policy 10: Managing and reducing the risk of flooding Core Strategy Policy 11: replaced by  SD5: Managing heat risk  HO4: Housing estate maintenance, renewal and regeneration  SD2: Sustainable design and retrofitting  SD4: Energy infrastructure  SD10: Water supply and wastewater  TR5: Deliveries, servicing and construction  SD6: Improving air quality  SD7: Minimising and managing flood risk  SD8: Sustainable drainage Core Strategy Policy 11: replaced by SD9: Lewisham's waterways   |
| SD5: Managing heat risk  Core Strategy Policy 8: Sustainable design and construction and energy efficiency  SD2: Sustainable design and regeneration  SD2: Sustainable design and retrofitting  SD4: Energy infrastructure  SD10: Water supply and wastewater  TR5: Deliveries, servicing and construction  Core Strategy Policy 9: Improving local air quality  Core Strategy Policy 10: Managing and reducing the risk of flooding Core Strategy Policy 11:  SD2: Sustainable design and retrofitting  SD4: Energy infrastructure  SD10: Water supply and wastewater  TR5: Deliveries, servicing and construction  SD6: Improving air quality  SD7: Minimising and managing flood risk  SD8: Sustainable drainage  SD8: Sustainable drainage  SD9: Lewisham's waterways  |
| Core Strategy Policy 8: Sustainable design and construction and energy efficiency  Example 2   |
| Core Strategy Policy 8: Sustainable design and construction and energy efficiency  Example 2  Example 2  Example 2  Example 3  Example 2  Example 3  Example 3  Example 3  Example 3  Example 4: Housing estate maintenance, renewal and regeneration  SD2: Sustainable design and retrofitting  SD4: Energy infrastructure  SD10: Water supply and wastewater  TR5: Deliveries, servicing and construction  Core Strategy Policy 9: Improving local air quality  Core Strategy Policy 10: Managing and reducing the risk of flooding Core Strategy Policy 11:  Example 4  Example 4  Example 4  Example 4  Example 5  Example 5  Example 5  Example 6  Example 6  Example 7  Example 8  Example 7  Example 8  Example 8  Example 8  Example 8  Example 9  Exampl |
| Sustainable design and construction and energy efficiency  SD2: Sustainable design and retrofitting  SD4: Energy infrastructure  SD10: Water supply and wastewater  TR5: Deliveries, servicing and construction  Core Strategy Policy 9: Improving local air quality  Core Strategy Policy 10: Managing and reducing the risk of flooding  Core Strategy Policy 11: replaced by  SD7: Minimising and managing flood risk  SD8: Sustainable drainage  SD9: Lewisham's waterways   |
| SD2: Sustainable design and retrofitting   |
| efficiency  SD2: Sustainable design and retrofitting  SD4: Energy infrastructure  SD10: Water supply and wastewater  TR5: Deliveries, servicing and construction  Core Strategy Policy 9: Improving local air quality  Core Strategy Policy 10: Managing and reducing the risk of flooding  Core Strategy Policy 11: replaced by  SD7: Minimising and managing flood risk  SD8: Sustainable drainage  SD8: Sustainable drainage  SD9: Lewisham's waterways   |
| SD4: Energy infrastructure  SD10: Water supply and wastewater  TR5: Deliveries, servicing and construction  Core Strategy Policy 9: Improving local air quality  Core Strategy Policy 10: Managing and reducing the risk of flooding  Core Strategy Policy 11: replaced by  SD6: Improving air quality  SD7: Minimising and managing flood risk  SD8: Sustainable drainage  SD9: Lewisham's waterways  |
| SD10: Water supply and wastewater  TR5: Deliveries, servicing and construction  Core Strategy Policy 9: Improving local air quality  Core Strategy Policy 10: Managing and reducing the risk of flooding  Core Strategy Policy 11: replaced by  SD7: Minimising and managing flood risk  SD8: Sustainable drainage  SD9: Lewisham's waterways  |
| SD10: Water supply and wastewater  TR5: Deliveries, servicing and construction  Core Strategy Policy 9: Improving local air quality  Core Strategy Policy 10: Managing and reducing the risk of flooding  Core Strategy Policy 11: replaced by  SD7: Minimising and managing flood risk  SD8: Sustainable drainage  SD9: Lewisham's waterways  |
| TR5: Deliveries, servicing and construction  Core Strategy Policy 9: Improving local air quality  Core Strategy Policy 10: Managing and reducing the risk of flooding  Core Strategy Policy 11: Teplaced by SD6: Improving air quality  SD7: Minimising and managing flood risk  SD8: Sustainable drainage SD8: Sustainable drainage SD9: Lewisham's waterways   |
| Core Strategy Policy 9: Improving local air quality   SD6: Improving air quality   |
| Core Strategy Policy 9:<br>Improving local air qualityreplaced by<br>SD6: Improving air qualityCore Strategy Policy 10:<br>Managing and reducing<br>the risk of floodingreplaced by<br>SD7: Minimising and managing flood riskSD8: Sustainable drainageCore Strategy Policy 11:replaced by<br>SD9: Lewisham's waterways  |
| Improving local air quality   Core Strategy Policy 10:   replaced by   SD7: Minimising and managing flood risk   Managing and reducing   the risk of flooding   SD8: Sustainable drainage   SD9: Lewisham's waterways   SD9: Lew   |
| Core Strategy Policy 10:  Managing and reducing the risk of flooding  Core Strategy Policy 11:  SD7: Minimising and managing flood risk  SD8: Sustainable drainage  SD9: Lewisham's waterways  |
| Managing and reducing the risk of flooding     SD8: Sustainable drainage       Core Strategy Policy 11:     replaced by       SD9: Lewisham's waterways  |
| the risk of flooding SD8: Sustainable drainage Core Strategy Policy 11: replaced by SD9: Lewisham's waterways  |
| Core Strategy Policy 11: replaced by SD9: Lewisham's waterways   |
|  |
|  |
| River and waterway networks  |
| Core Strategy Policy 12: replaced by GR1: Green infrastructure and Lewisham's  |
| Open space and Green Grid  |
| environmental assets   |
| GR2: Open Space  |
|  |
| GR3: Biodiversity and access to nature   |
|  |
| GR4: Lewisham Links  |
|  |
| GR5: Urban greening and trees  |
|  |
| GR6: Food growing  |
| LEA4: Linear naturals of group infrastructure  |
| LEA4: Linear network of green infrastructure   |
| Schedule 7: Designated open spaces   |
| Schodule 1. Designated open spaces   |

| <u></u> |  | T           |   |   |
|---------|--|-------------|---|---|
|         |  |             | Schedule 8: Designated Sites of Importance for Nature Conservation    |   |
|         |  |             |   |   |
|         |  |             | Schedule 9: Local nature reserves and ecological corridors            |   |
|         | Core Strategy Policy 13:                               | replaced by | SD12: Reducing and sustainably managing                               |   |
|         | Addressing Lewisham's                                  |             | <u>waste</u>  |   |
|         | waste management requirements                          |             |   |   |
|         | Core Strategy Policy 14:                               | replaced by | TR1: Sustainable transport and movement                               |   |
|         | Sustainable movement                                   |             | TDO: Use lither streets on part of healthy.                           |   |
|         | and transport  |             | TR3: Healthy streets as part of healthy neighbourhoods                |   |
|         |  |             |   |   |
|         |  |             | TR4: Parking  |   |
|         |  |             | TR5: Deliveries, servicing and construction                           |   |
|         | Core Strategy Policy 15:                               | replaced by | QD1: Delivering high quality design in                                |   |
|         | High quality design for<br>Lewisham                    |             | Lewisham  |   |
|         |  |             | QD2: Inclusive and safe design  |   |
|         |  |             | QD6: Optimising site capacity   |   |
|         |  |             |   |   |
|         | Core Strategy Policy 16:                               | replaced by | QD8: High quality housing design HE1: Lewisham's historic environment |   |
|         | Conservation areas,                                    | replaced by | THE T. Lewisham's historic environment                                |   |
|         | heritage assets and the                                |             | HE2: Designated heritage assets                                       |   |
|         | historic environment                                   |             | HE3: Non-designated heritage assets                                   |   |
|         | Core Strategy Policy 17:                               | replaced by | QD5: View management  |   |
|         | The protected vistas, the London panorama and          |             | Schedule 1: Strategic and local views, vistas                         |   |
|         | local views, landmarks and                             |             | and landmarks   |   |
|         | panoramas Core Strategy Policy 18:                     | roplaced by | OD4: Ruilding heights   |   |
|         | The location and design of                             | replaced by | QD4: Building heights   |   |
|         | tall buildings   | 1           | Schedule 12: Tall Building Suitability Zones                          |   |
|         | Core Strategy Policy 19: Provision and maintenance     | replaced by | CI1: Safeguarding and securing community infrastructure               |   |
|         | of community and                                       |             |   |   |
|         | recreational facilities                                |             | Cl2: High quality community infrastructure                            |   |
|         |  |             | CI3: Sports, recreation and play                                      |   |
|         | Core Strategy Policy 20:                               | replaced by | EC10: Workplace training and job                                      |   |
|         | <u>Delivering educational</u> achievements, healthcare |             | <u>opportunities</u>  |   |
|         |  | i           | i -   | 1 |

|   |                 | 014 0 ( ) ( ) ( )                              |  |
|---|-----------------|--|--|
| provision and promoting                     |                 | CI1: Safeguarding and securing community       |  |
| healthy lifestyles                          |                 | <u>infrastructure</u>                          |  |
|   |                 | CI2: High quality community infrastructure     |  |
|   |                 | Oiz. Fright quanty community infrastructure    |  |
|   |                 | DM6: Health impact assessments                 |  |
| Core Strategy Policy 21:                    | replaced by     | DM2: Infrastructure funding and planning       |  |
| Planning obligations                        | <u> </u>        | obligations                                    |  |
| Strategic Site Allocation 1:                | replaced by     | DM1: Working with stakeholders to deliver      |  |
| Requirements for strategic                  | <u> </u>        | the Local Plan                                 |  |
| site allocations                            |                 |  |  |
|   |                 | DM3: Masterplans and comprehensive             |  |
|   |                 | development                                    |  |
|   |                 |  |  |
|   |                 | DM4: Land assembly                             |  |
| Strategic Site Allocation 2:                | replaced by     | LNA SA1: Convoys Wharf MEL                     |  |
| Convoys Wharf                               | 1 1 1           | LNA CAC C Constational MEI                     |  |
| Strategic Site Allocation 3:                | replaced by     | LNA SA9: Surrey Canal Triangle MEL             |  |
| Surrey Canal Triangle                       | roplosed by     | INIA CADI Dontford Londings MEL and Cook       |  |
| Strategic Site Allocation 4: Oxestalls Road | replaced by     | LNA SA2: Deptford Landings MEL and Scott House |  |
| Strategic Site Allocation 5:                | deleted *       | nouse  |  |
| Plough Way                                  | <u>ueleteu</u>  |  |  |
| Strategic Site Allocation 6:                | replaced by     | LCA SA1: Lewisham Gateway                      |  |
| Lewisham Gateway                            | icplaced by     | LONG/NI Lewisham Caleway                       |  |
| Appendix 1: Evidence Base                   | Deleted ^       |  |  |
| Appendix 2: UDP policies                    | Deleted ^       |  |  |
| replaced by the Core                        |                 |  |  |
| Strategy                                    |                 |  |  |
| Appendix 3: Employment                      | replaced by     | Schedule 4: Designated employment land         |  |
| land designations                           |                 |  |  |
| Appendix 4: Sustainability                  | Deleted ^       |  |  |
| <u>objectives</u>                           |                 |  |  |
| Appendix 5: Monitoring                      | replaced by     | DM7: Monitoring and review                     |  |
| Framework                                   | wowle as all be | Appendix 2: Classes:                           |  |
| Appendix 6: Glossary                        | replaced by     | Appendix 2: Glossary                           |  |
| Appendix 7: Essential                       | replaced by     | Infrastructure Delivery Plan                   |  |
| <u>Infrastructure</u>                       |                 |  |  |
| ADOPTED                                     | POLICY          | NEW LOCAL                                      |  |
| POLICY                                      | HAS BEEN        | PLAN POLICY                                    |  |
| <u>1 OLIO I</u>                             | DELETED,        | <u>I EART OLIOT</u>                            |  |
|   | REPLACED        |  |  |
|   | OR NEWLY        |  |  |
|   | ADDED           |  |  |
| SITE ALLOCATIONS                            |                 |  |  |
| LOCAL PLAN                                  |                 |  |  |

| , | CA4. Fower are Name Comment                        | dolo+= -! *   |   |
|---|--|---------------|---|
|   | SA1: Former New Cross                              | deleted *     |   |
|   | Hospital site, Avonley                             |               |   |
|   | Road, New Cross Gate                               | 4010404 *     |   |
|   | SA2: Seager Buildings,<br>Brookmill Road, Deptford | deleted *     |   |
|   |  | nantially.    | LNA CA4C, London with at Danis and Dani   |
|   | SA3: Giffin Street                                 | partially     | LNA SA16: Land north of Reginald Road     |
|   | Redevelopment Area,                                | replaced by   | and south of Frankham Street (former      |
|   | Deptford   | 11,14         | Tidemill School)                          |
|   | SA4: Octavius                                      | deleted *     |   |
|   | Street/Deptford Station,                           |               |   |
|   | Deptford High Street                               |               |   |
|   | SA5: Site between New                              | replaced by   | LNA SA12: Goodwood Road and New           |
|   | Cross Gate Station and 23                          |               | Cross Road                                |
|   | - 27 and 29 Goodwood                               |               |   |
|   | Road, New Cross Gate                               |               |   |
|   | SA6: New Cross Gate                                | replaced by   | LNA SA11: Former Hatcham Works, New       |
|   | Retail Park/Sainsbury's                            |               | Cross Road                                |
|   | Site, New Cross Road                               |               |   |
|   | SA7: New Cross Gate                                | replaced by   | LNA SA10: Besson Street (Kender Triangle) |
|   | Trust Site, Kender Estate,                         |               | -   |
|   | New Cross Gate                                     |               |   |
|   | SA8: Childers Street MEL                           | replaced by   | EC6: Locally Significant Industrial Sites |
|   |  | <u> </u>      | (LSIS)                                    |
|   |  |               | <u>(180.0)</u>                            |
|   |  |               | EC7: Mixed-use Employment Locations       |
|   |  |               | (MEL)                                     |
|   |  |               |   |
|   |  |               | Schedule 4: Designated employment land    |
|   | CAO: Arklow Dood MEI                               | roplessed by  | <u> </u>                                  |
|   | SA9: Arklow Road MEL                               | replaced by   | EC7: Mixed-use Employment Locations       |
|   |  |               | (MEL)                                     |
|   |  |               |   |
|   |  |               | Schedule 4: Designated employment land    |
|   | SA10: Grinstead Road                               | replaced by   | LNA SA4: Neptune Wharf MEL                |
|   | <u>MEL</u>   |               |   |
|   | SA11: Sun and Kent Wharf                           | replaced by   | LNA SA18: Sun Wharf MEL (including        |
|   | MEL, Creekside                                     |               | Network Rail Arches)                      |
|   | SA12: Thanet Wharf MEL,                            | replaced by   | LNA SA19: Creekside Village East, Thanet  |
|   | Copperas Street, Deptford                          | - <del></del> | Wharf MEL                                 |
|   | SA13: Evelyn Street LEL                            | replaced by   | EC6: Locally Significant Industrial Sites |
|   |  |               | (LSIS)                                    |
|   |  |               | \   |
|   |  |               | Schedule 4: Designated employment land    |
|   | SA14: Creekside LEL                                | replaced by   | LNA SA17: Lower Creekside LSIS            |
|   | SA15: Lewisham Way LEL                             | replaced by   | EC6: Locally Significant Industrial Sites |
|   | OA 13. LEWISHAIII Way LEL                          | iehiaren ni   | -   |
|   |  |               | (LSIS)                                    |
|   |  |               | Oak adula 4. Davis asta Lasa I            |
|   |  |               | Schedule 4: Designated employment land    |

|                          |                     | 1  |
|--------------------------|---------------------|--|
| SA16: Prendergast Vale   | Deleted ~           |  |
| College, Elmira Street   |                     |  |
| SA17: Deptford Green     | replaced by         | LNA SA14: Former Deptford Green School   |
| Secondary School         |                     | (Upper School Site)  |
| (Edward Street and       |                     |  |
| Amersham Vale)           |                     |  |
| SA18: East of Forest Hil | I replaced by       | LWA SA4: Land at Forest Hill Station east  |
| Railway Line & West Sig  |                     | (Waldram Place and Perry Vale)   |
| of Waldram Place/Perry   |                     | \(\frac{1}{2} \cdot \frac{1}{2} \cdot \frac{1}{2 |
| Vale                     |                     |  |
| SA19: Site West of Fore  | st replaced by      | LWA SA5: Land at Forest Hill Station west  |
| Hill Station             | iepiaceu by         | (Devonshire and Dartmouth Roads)   |
|                          | dolotod *           | (Devonsinie and Dartinouth Roads)  |
| SA20: Fairway House,     | deleted *           |  |
| Rear of 53               |                     |  |
| Dartmouth Road, Forest   | <u> </u>            |  |
| Hill                     |                     |  |
| SA21: O'Rourke Transp    |                     |  |
| Sivyer Transport, 154-16 | <u>60</u>           |  |
| Sydenham Road            |                     |  |
| SA22:113 to 157          | replaced by         | LWA SA12: 113-157 Sydenham Road  |
| Sydenham Road            |                     |  |
| SA23: Leegate Centre L   | ee replaced by      | LEA SA3: Leegate Shopping Centre   |
| Green                    |                     |  |
| SA24: Clyde Vale LEL,    | replaced by         | LWA SA7: Clyde Vale LSIS   |
| Forest Hill              | <u>10014004 5 y</u> | ETTT OTT OTT OTT OTT OTT OTT OTT OTT OTT   |
| SA25: Perry Vale LEL,    | replaced by         | LWA SA6: Perry Vale LSIS   |
| Forest Hill              | replaced by         | EVVI ONO. I City Vale Lolo   |
| SA26: Former Bell Gree   | n replaced by       | LSA SA1: Former Bell Green Gas Holders   |
|                          |                     |  |
| Gas Works (Phases 2 &    |                     | and Livesey Memorial Hall  |
| 3), Perry Hill, Sydenhan | <u>11</u>           | LCA CAO, Dall Craan Datail Dark  |
| 0.007.00                 | 11414               | LSA SA2: Bell Green Retail Park  |
| SA27: Site at Coulgate   | deleted *           |  |
| Street                   |                     |  |
| SA28: Site at Mantle Ro  |                     | LWA SA2: 6 Mantle Road   |
| SA29: 5 St Norbert Roa   |                     |  |
| SA30: 111 & 115 Endwe    | ell replaced by     | LWA SA1: 111-115 Endwell Road  |
| Road (Timber Yard and    |                     |  |
| Community College)       |                     |  |
| SA31: 72-78 Nightingale  | e deleted *         |  |
| Grove                    |                     |  |
| SA32: Nightingale Mew    | s deleted *         |  |
| Estate and adjoining     | <u> </u>            |  |
| works, 80-84 Nightingal  | _                   |  |
| Grove                    | <u>-</u>            |  |
|                          | ranlaged by         | LCA CA14: Driving Test Centre Nightingele  |
| SA33: Driving Centre,    | replaced by         | LCA SA14: Driving Test Centre, Nightingale   |
| Nightingale Grove        |                     | Grove  |

|          |                            |             | T   |  |
|----------|----------------------------|-------------|---|--|
|          | SA34: 35 Nightingale       | replaced by | LCA SA15: Land at Nightingale Grove and   |  |
|          | <u>Grove</u>               |             | Maythorne Cottages                        |  |
| <u>9</u> | SA35: 37 to 43 Nightingale | deleted *   |   |  |
|          | <u>Grove</u>               |             |   |  |
| <u>9</u> | SA36: 9 Staplehurst Road   | deleted *   |   |  |
| <u>a</u> | and rear of Leahurst Road  |             |   |  |
|          | (Former Plumb Trade        |             |   |  |
|          | Centre)                    |             |   |  |
|          | SA37: Endwell Road LEL     | replaced by | EC6: Locally Significant Industrial Sites |  |
|          |                            |             | (LSIS)                                    |  |
|          |                            |             |   |  |
|          |                            |             | Schedule 4: Designated employment land    |  |
|          | SA38: 16a Algernon Road    | deleted *   |   |  |
|          | SA39: Former United        | deleted *   |   |  |
|          | Dairies Depot, Baring      |             |   |  |
|          | Road, Lee                  |             |   |  |
|          | SA40: Land off Tyson       | deleted *   |   |  |
|          | Road and Honor Oak         |             |   |  |
|          | Road (Rear of Christian    |             |   |  |
|          | Fellowship                 |             |   |  |
|          | Centre) Forest Hill        |             |   |  |
|          | SA41: 120, 122a, 136 &     | deleted *   |   |  |
|          | 136a Tanners Hill, St      |             |   |  |
|          | Johns                      |             |   |  |
|          | SA42: Former Green Man     | deleted *   |   |  |
|          | Public House, 355 - 357    |             |   |  |
|          | Bromley Road, Downham      |             |   |  |
|          | SA43: Former Tiger's       | deleted *   |   |  |
|          | Head Public House, 350     |             |   |  |
|          | Bromley Road, Downham      |             |   |  |
|          | SA44: The Former Courts    | deleted *   |   |  |
|          | Site, 335-337 Bromley      |             |   |  |
|          | Road, Downham              |             |   |  |
|          | SA45: Blackheath Hill LEL  | replaced by | LEA SA2: Blackheath Hill LSIS             |  |
|          | SA46: Manor Lane LEL       | replaced by | EC6: Locally Significant Industrial Sites |  |
|          |                            |             | (LSIS)                                    |  |
|          |                            |             |   |  |
|          |                            |             | Schedule 4: Designated employment land    |  |
|          | SA47: Stanton Square LEL   | replaced by | LSA SA4: Stanton Square LSIS              |  |
|          | SA48: Willow Way LEL       | replaced by | LWA SA9: Willow Way LSIS                  |  |
|          | SA49: Worsley Bridge       | replaced by | LSA SA6: Worsley Bridge Road LSIS         |  |
|          | Road LEL                   | <del></del> |   |  |
|          | SA50: Malham Road LEL      | replaced by | EC6: Locally Significant Industrial Sites |  |
|          |                            | <del></del> | (LSIS)                                    |  |
|          |                            |             |   |  |
|          |                            |             | Schedule 4: Designated employment land    |  |

| SA51: Bonus Pastor<br>Catholic College / | <u>deleted ~</u> |  |
|--|------------------|--|
| Secondary School,                        |                  |  |
| <u>Downham</u>                           |                  |  |
| <u>SINC 1 – SINC 18</u>                  | replaced by      | GR3: Biodiversity and access to nature     |
|  |                  |  |
|  |                  | Schedule 8: Designated Sites of Importance |
|  |                  | for Nature Conservation                    |
| Waste Site 1: South East                 | replaced by      | SD12: Reducing and sustainably managing    |
| London Combined Heat                     |                  | <u>waste</u>                               |
| and Power (SELCHP)                       |                  |  |
| Waste Site 2: Hinkcroft                  | replaced by      | SD12: Reducing and sustainably managing    |
|  |                  | <u>waste</u>                               |
| Waste Site 3: Landmann                   | replaced by      | SD12: Reducing and sustainably managing    |
| <u>Way</u>                               |                  | <u>waste</u>                               |
| Appendix 1: Glossary                     | replaced by      | Appendix 2: Glossary                       |
| Appendix 2: UDP Schedule                 | deleted ^        |  |
| 1: Proposals Removed                     |                  |  |

| ADOPTED<br>POLICY                               | POLICY<br>HAS BEEN<br>DELETED, | <u>NEW LOCAL</u><br><u>PLAN POLICY</u>                        |
|---|--------------------------------|---|
|   | REPLACED                       |   |
|   | OR NEWLY                       |   |
|   | <u>ADDED</u>                   |   |
| DEVELOPMENT MANAGE                              | MENT LOCAL                     | <u>PLAN</u>   |
| DM Policy 1: Presumption                        | <u>deleted ~</u>               |   |
| in favour of sustainable                        |                                |   |
| development                                     |                                |   |
| DM Policy 2: Prevention of                      | replaced by                    | HO1: Meeting Lewisham's housing needs                         |
| loss of existing housing                        |                                |   |
| DM Policy 3: Conversion of                      | replaced by                    | HO1: Meeting Lewisham's housing needs                         |
| a single family house to                        |                                | LICO. On the initial and the country of a small be considered |
| two or more dwellings                           |                                | HO2: Optimising the use of small housing                      |
| DM Dalias A. Carasariana                        | -1-1-41 4                      | sites   |
| DM Policy 4: Conversions                        | deleted ^                      |   |
| of office space and other B                     |                                |   |
| Use Class space into flats                      | randa a a d b.v                | LIOT. A commodation for alder a comba                         |
| DM Policy 5: Specialist accommodation for older | replaced by                    | HO5: Accommodation for older people                           |
| people  |                                | HO6: Supported and specialised                                |
| реоріе  |                                | accommodation   |
| DM Policy 6: Houses in                          | replaced by                    | HO8: Housing with shared facilities (Houses                   |
| Multiple Occupation (HMO)                       | iopiacea by                    | in Multiple Occupation)                                       |
| DM Policy 7: Affordable                         | replaced by                    | HO1: Meeting Lewisham's housing needs                         |
| rented housing                                  | <u> </u>                       |   |
|   |                                | HO3: Genuinely affordable housing                             |

|               | 8: Student replace      | <u>d by</u> | HO7: Purpose built student accommodation  | 1 |
|---------------|-------------------------|-------------|---|---|
| housing       |                         |             |   |   |
|               | 9: Mixed use replace    | <u>d by</u> | EC2: Protecting employment land and       |   |
|               | ent locations           |             | delivering new workspace                  |   |
| ( <u>MEL)</u> |                         |             |   |   |
|               |                         |             | EC3: High quality employment areas and    |   |
|               |                         |             | <u>workspace</u>                          |   |
|               |                         |             |   | 1 |
|               |                         |             | EC7: Mixed-use Employment Locations       |   |
|               |                         |             | (MEL)                                     | 1 |
|               |                         |             |   |   |
|               |                         |             | Schedule 4: Designated employment land    | 1 |
| DM Policy     | 10: Local replace       | <u>d by</u> | EC2: Protecting employment land and       |   |
|               | ent locations           |             | delivering new workspace                  |   |
| <u>(LEL)</u>  |                         |             |   |   |
|               |                         |             | EC3: High quality employment areas and    | 1 |
|               |                         |             | <u>workspace</u>                          | 1 |
|               |                         |             |   |   |
|               |                         |             | EC6: Locally Significant Industrial Sites |   |
|               |                         |             | (LSIS)                                    |   |
|               |                         |             |   |   |
|               |                         |             | Schedule 4: Designated employment land    |   |
| DM Policy     | 11: Other replace       | d by        | EC2: Protecting employment land and       |   |
| employme      | ent locations           |             | delivering new workspace                  |   |
|               |                         |             | -   | 1 |
|               |                         |             | EC3: High quality employment areas and    |   |
|               |                         |             | workspace                                 |   |
|               |                         |             |   |   |
|               |                         |             | EC8: Non-designated employment sites      | 1 |
|               |                         |             |   |   |
|               |                         |             | EC10: Workplace training and job          |   |
|               |                         |             | opportunities                             | 1 |
| DM Policy     | 12: Hotels replace      | d by        | EC21: Visitor accommodation               |   |
|               | 13: Location of replace | d by        | EC11: Town centres at the heart of our    |   |
| main town     | centre uses             |             | communities                               |   |
|               |                         |             | <del></del>                               |   |
|               |                         |             | EC13: Optimising the use of town centre   |   |
|               |                         |             | land and floorspace                       |   |
| DM Policy     | 14: District replace    | d by        | EC14: Major and District Centres          |   |
|               | opping frontages        |             |   |   |
|               |                         |             | Schedule 5: Town Centres and Primary      |   |
|               |                         |             | Shopping Areas                            |   |
| DM Policy     | 15: replace             | d by        | EC15: Local Centres                       |   |
|               | rhood local             |             |   |   |
| centres       |                         |             | Schedule 5: Town Centres and Primary      |   |
|               |                         |             | Shopping Areas                            |   |
|               |                         |             | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·     |   |

| DM Delieu 40-11             | manda a a al III.  | FC46. Channing paradas assessed to the second |
|-----------------------------|--------------------|---|
| DM Policy 16; Local         | replaced by        | EC16: Shopping parades, corner shops and      |
| shopping parades and        |                    | other service points                          |
| corner shops                |                    | 5047.0  |
| DM Policy 17: Restaurants   | replaced by        | EC17: Concentration of uses                   |
| and cafes (A3 uses) and     |                    |   |
| drinking establishments     |                    |   |
| (A4 uses)                   |                    |   |
| DM Policy 18: Hot food      | replaced by        | EC17: Concentration of uses                   |
| take-away shops (A5 uses)   |                    |   |
| DM Policy 19: Shopfronts,   | replaced by        | QD11: Shopfronts                              |
| signs and hoardings         |                    |   |
|                             |                    |   |
|                             |                    | QD12: Outdoor advertisements, digital         |
|                             |                    | displays and hoardings                        |
| DM Policy 20: Public        | replaced by        | EC19: Public houses                           |
| houses                      | <u>icpiacea by</u> | EC 10.1 abile frod ses                        |
| l llouses                   |                    | Appendix 5: Further information on            |
|                             |                    | proposals for public houses                   |
| DM Dalla Od Mistaglas       |                    | <del></del>                                   |
| DM Policy 21: Mini cab or   | replaced by        | TR6: Taxis and private hire vehicles          |
| taxi offices                |                    |   |
| DM Policy 22: Sustainable   | replaced by        | SD1: Responding to the climate emergency      |
| design and construction     |                    |   |
|                             |                    | SD2: Sustainable design and retrofitting      |
|                             |                    |   |
|                             |                    | SD3: Minimising greenhouse gas emissions      |
|                             |                    | <u> </u>                                      |
|                             |                    | SD4: Energy infrastructure                    |
| DM Policy 23: Air quality   | replaced by        | SD6: Improving air quality                    |
| DM Policy 24: Biodiversity, |                    |   |
|                             | replaced by        | SD8: Sustainable drainage                     |
| living roofs and artificial |                    | CD2: Biodiversity and access to materia       |
| playing pitches             |                    | GR3: Biodiversity and access to nature        |
|                             |                    | 0.007 0 11 11                                 |
|                             |                    | GR7: Geodiversity                             |
|                             |                    |   |
|                             |                    | Schedule 10: Sites of geodiversity interest   |
| DM Policy 25:               | replaced by        | GR5: Urban greening and trees                 |
| Landscaping and trees       |                    |   |
| DM Policy 26: Noise and     | replaced by        | QD7: Amenity and agent of change              |
| vibration                   |                    |   |
| DM Policy 27: Lighting      | replaced by        | QD7: Amenity and agent of change              |
| Divi 1 Oney 21. Lighting    | ispiaced by        | Apr. Amenity and agent of change              |
| DM Policy 29:               | roplosed by        | SD11: Cround conditions                       |
| DM Policy 28:               | replaced by        | SD11: Ground conditions                       |
| Contaminated land           |                    | TD 4 B 4                                      |
| DM Policy 29: Car parking   | replaced by        | TR4: Parking                                  |
| DM Policy 30: Urban         | replaced by        | QD1: Delivering high quality design in        |
| design and local character  |                    | Lewisham                                      |

| DM Policy 31: Alterations           | replaced by        | QD9: Building alterations, extensions and    |
|-------------------------------------|--------------------|--|
| and extensions to existing          | -                  | basement development                         |
| buildings including                 |                    |  |
| residential extensions              |                    |  |
| DM Policy 32: Housing               | replaced by        | QD8: High quality housing design             |
| design, layout and space            | <u> </u>           | are over agreement grace agree               |
| standards                           |                    |  |
| DM Policy 33: Infill,               | replaced by        | QD10: Infill and backland sites, garden land |
| backland, back garden and           | <u>replaced by</u> |  |
|                                     |                    | and amenity areas                            |
| amenity area development            |                    | 000 1 1 1 1                                  |
| DM Policy 34: Thames                | replaced by        | SD9: Lewisham's waterways                    |
| Policy Area and Deptford            |                    |  |
| <u>Creekside</u>                    |                    | LNA 4: Thames Policy Area and Deptford       |
|                                     |                    | <u>Creekside</u>                             |
| DM Policy 35: Public realm          | replaced by        | QD3: Public realm and connecting places      |
| DM Policy 36: New                   | replaced by        | HE1: Lewisham's historic environment         |
| development, changes of             | -                  |  |
| use and alterations                 |                    | HE2: Designated heritage assets              |
| affecting designated                |                    |  |
| heritage assets and their           |                    | Schedule 2                                   |
| setting                             |                    | Designated heritage assets                   |
| DM Policy 37: Non                   | replaced by        | HE3: Non-designated heritage assets          |
| · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | <u>replaced by</u> | 1123. Non-designated fremage assets          |
| designated heritage assets          |                    | Cohodulo 2                                   |
|                                     |                    | Schedule 3                                   |
|                                     |                    | Non-designated heritage assets               |
| DM Policy 38: Demolition            | replaced by        | HE1: Lewisham's historic environment         |
| or substantial harm to              |                    |  |
| designated and non-                 |                    | HE2: Designated heritage assets              |
| designated heritage assets          |                    |  |
|                                     |                    | HE3: Non-designated heritage assets          |
|                                     |                    |  |
|                                     |                    | Schedule 2                                   |
|                                     |                    | Designated heritage assets                   |
| DM Policy 39: Domestic              | replaced by        | TR7: Digital connectivity                    |
| satellite dishes and                |                    |  |
| telecommunications                  |                    |  |
| equipment                           |                    |  |
| DM Policy 40: Public                | replaced by        | QD3: Public realm and connecting places      |
| conveniences                        | replaced by        | wbb. I ubile realiff and confidenting places |
| Conveniences                        |                    | GR4: Lewisham Links                          |
| DM Deliev 41: In a systima          | roplosed by        |  |
| DM Policy 41: Innovative            | replaced by        | CI1: Safeguarding and securing community     |
| community facility                  |                    | <u>infrastructure</u>                        |
| <u>provision</u>                    |                    |  |
|                                     |                    | Cl2: High quality community infrastructure   |
| DM Policy 42: Nurseries             | replaced by        | CI4: Nurseries and childcare facilities      |
| and childcare                       |                    |  |

| DM Policy 43: Art, culture   | replaced by        | EC18: Culture, creative industries and the    |
|------------------------------|--------------------|---|
| and entertainment facilities |                    | night-time economy                            |
|                              |                    | ingin unio oconomy                            |
|                              |                    | Schedule 6: Creative enterprise zone,         |
|                              |                    | cultural quarters and night-time economy      |
|                              |                    | hubs  |
| DM Policy 44: Places of      | deleted ~          |   |
| worship                      | <u> </u>           |   |
| Appendix 1: Core Strategy    | deleted ^          |   |
| strategic objectives         | <u> </u>           |   |
| Appendix 2: Sustainability   | deleted ^          |   |
| Objectives                   |                    |   |
| Appendix 3: Areas of         | replaced by        | HE3: Non-designated heritage assets           |
| Archaeological Priority      |                    |   |
|                              |                    | Schedule 3: Non-designated heritage assets    |
| Appendix 4: Lewisham         | deleted ^          |   |
| UDP policies superseded      |                    |   |
| policies                     |                    |   |
| Appendix 5: Glossary         | replaced by        | Appendix 2: Glossary                          |
|                              |                    |   |
| Appendix 6: Local Views      | replaced by        | Schedule 1: Strategic and local views, vistas |
| and Landmarks                |                    | and landmarks                                 |
| Appendix 7: List of          | deleted ^          |   |
| Evidence Documents           |                    |   |
| Appendix 8: London Plan      | replaced by        | TR4: Parking                                  |
| Car Parking Standards        | 100000000          |   |
| Annex 1: Open Spaces         | replaced by        | Schedule 7: Designated Open Spaces            |
| and Open Space               | 100000000          | <u> </u>                                      |
| Designations in Lewisham     |                    | Schedule 8: Designated Sites of Importance    |
|                              |                    | for Nature Conservation                       |
|                              |                    |   |
|                              |                    | Schedule 9: Local nature reserves and         |
|                              |                    | ecological corridors                          |
|                              |                    |   |
| ADOPTED                      | POLICY             | NEW LOCAL                                     |
| POLICY                       | HAS BEEN           | PLAN POLICY                                   |
|                              | DELETED,           |   |
|                              | REPLACED           |   |
|                              | OR NEWLY           |   |
|                              | ADDED              |   |
| LEWISHAM TOWN CENTR          | RE LOCAL PLA       | N   |
| Policy LTC0: Presumption     | deleted ~          |   |
| in Favour of Sustainable     |                    |   |
| <u>Development</u>           |                    |   |
| Policy LTC1: Plan            | deleted ~          |   |
| boundaries                   |                    |   |
|                              | <u>uoiotou ···</u> |   |

|   |   | replaced by        | EC12: Town centre network and hierarchy     |  |
|---|---|--------------------|---|--|
| bounda                                  | lary  |                    |   |  |
|   |   |                    | LCA2: Lewisham major centre and             |  |
|   |   |                    | surrounds                                   |  |
|   |   |                    |   |  |
|   |   |                    | DM3: Masterplans and comprehensive          |  |
|   |   |                    | development                                 |  |
| Dalland                                 | LTOO: CO Kin no Lloll                         | - - -4  *          | development                                 |  |
|   | 9   | deleted *          |   |  |
|   | Lewisham Gateway                              |                    |   |  |
| Policy                                  | LTC4: Loampit Vale                            | replaced by        | LCA SA7: Lewisham Retail Park, Loampit      |  |
| Policy A                                | <u>Area</u>                                   |                    | <u>Vale</u>                                 |  |
| Policy                                  | LTC5: Conington                               | replaced by        | LCA SA4: Conington Road                     |  |
|   | Policy Area                                   |                    |   |  |
| 1 |   |                    | LCA SA5: Land at Conington Road and         |  |
|   |   |                    | Lewisham Road (Tesco)                       |  |
| Dalland                                 | LTC6: Loo High                                | roplessed by       | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·       |  |
|   |   | replaced by        | LEA SA5: Land at Lee High Road and Lee      |  |
|   | Policy Area                                   |                    | Road  |  |
|   | -   | replaced by        | LCA SA13: PLACE/Ladywell                    |  |
| Policy A                                | <u>Area</u>                                   |                    |   |  |
| Policy                                  | LTC8: Lewisham                                | replaced by        | LCA SA2: Lewisham Shopping Centre           |  |
| Centra                                  | al Policy Area                                |                    |   |  |
|   |   | replaced by        | EC11: Town centres at the heart of our      |  |
|   | economy                                       | <u></u>            | communities                                 |  |
|   | , doi:10111y                                  |                    | <u>seminamaco</u>                           |  |
|   |   |                    | EC12: Town centre network and hierarchy     |  |
|   |   |                    | EC 12. Town centile network and meralchy    |  |
|   |   |                    | E040 0 (1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 |  |
|   |   |                    | EC13: Optimising the use of town centre     |  |
|   |   |                    | land and floorspace                         |  |
|   |   |                    |   |  |
|   |   |                    | LCA2: Lewisham major centre and             |  |
|   |   |                    | surrounds                                   |  |
| Policy                                  | LTC10: Mixed use                              | replaced by        | EC11: Town centres at the heart of our      |  |
|   | 21010. WIIXGG GGG                             | <u>ropiacoa by</u> | communities                                 |  |
|   |   |                    | 3011111011100                               |  |
|   |   |                    | EC12: Optimising the use of town centre     |  |
|   |   |                    | EC13: Optimising the use of town centre     |  |
|   |   |                    | land and floorspace                         |  |
|   |   |                    |   |  |
|   |   |                    | LCA2: Lewisham major centre and             |  |
|   |   |                    | surrounds                                   |  |
| Policy                                  | LTC11: Employment                             | replaced by        | EC2: Protecting employment land and         |  |
| uses                                    | <u>, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , </u> |                    | delivering new workspace                    |  |
|   |   |                    |   |  |
|   |   |                    | EC21: Visitor accommodation                 |  |
|   |   |                    | LOZI. VISIOI accommodation                  |  |
|   |   |                    | LCA CARL and at Financia Cina at            |  |
|   | 1.7040.0                                      |                    | LCA SA3: Land at Engate Street              |  |
|   |   | replaced by        | EC13: Optimising the use of town centre     |  |
| of exist                                | sting buildings                               |                    | land and floorspace                         |  |

|                | 1.7040.00              |             | 1107 D                                      |  |
|----------------|------------------------|-------------|---|--|
|                | cy LTC13: Student      | replaced by | HO7: Purpose built student accommodation    |  |
| hous           |                        |             |   |  |
|                |                        | replaced by | EC13: Optimising the use of town centre     |  |
|                | ity and viability      |             | land and floorspace                         |  |
| Polic          | cy LTC15: Lewisham     | replaced by | EC20: Markets                               |  |
| mark           | ket                    |             |   |  |
|                |                        |             | LCA2: Lewisham major centre and             |  |
|                |                        |             | surrounds                                   |  |
| Polic          | cy LTC16: Retail areas | replaced by | EC11: Town centres at the heart of our      |  |
|                | by ETOTO: Notali areas | replaced by | communities                                 |  |
|                |                        |             | <u>communities</u>                          |  |
|                |                        |             | EC12: Town centre network and hierarchy     |  |
|                |                        |             | EC 12. Town centile network and meralchy    |  |
|                |                        |             | EC42. Ontimining the use of town contro     |  |
|                |                        |             | EC13: Optimising the use of town centre     |  |
|                |                        |             | land and floorspace                         |  |
|                |                        |             |   |  |
|                |                        |             | LCA2: Lewisham major centre and             |  |
|                |                        |             | surrounds                                   |  |
| Polic          | cy LTC17: Evening      | replaced by | EC18: Culture, creative industries and the  |  |
| econ           | nomy uses              |             | night-time economy                          |  |
|                |                        |             |   |  |
|                |                        |             | Schedule 6: Creative enterprise zone,       |  |
|                |                        |             | cultural quarters and night-time economy    |  |
|                |                        |             | hubs  |  |
| Polic          | cy LTC18: Public realm | replaced by | EC11: Town centres at the heart of our      |  |
|                |                        |             | communities                                 |  |
|                |                        |             |   |  |
|                |                        |             | EC20: Markets                               |  |
|                |                        |             | <u>20201 Mantolo</u>                        |  |
|                |                        |             | QD3: Public realm and connecting places     |  |
|                |                        |             | QDO. 1 abile realiff and confricting places |  |
|                |                        |             | LCA2: Lewisham major centre and             |  |
|                |                        |             | surrounds                                   |  |
| Polit          | ov I TC10: Tall        | roplaced by |   |  |
|                | cy LTC19: Tall         | replaced by | QD4: Building Heights                       |  |
|                | <u>dings</u>           |             | LCA2: Lowish am major control and           |  |
|                |                        |             | LCA2: Lewisham major centre and             |  |
|                | I TO00 D I II          |             | surrounds                                   |  |
|                | cy LTC20: Public and   | replaced by | TR4: Parking                                |  |
|                | oper parking spaces    |             |   |  |
|                | cy LTC21: Sustainable  | replaced by | TR1: Sustainable transport and movement     |  |
| <u>  trans</u> | <u>sport</u>           |             |   |  |
|                |                        |             | TR3: Healthy streets as part of healthy     |  |
|                |                        |             | <u>neighbourhoods</u>                       |  |
|                |                        |             |   |  |
| i              |                        |             | LCA1, Control Area place principles         |  |
|                |                        |             | LCA1: Central Area place principles         |  |

|  |             | LCA2: Lewisham major centre and  |
|--|-------------|--|
|  |             | surrounds  |
| Policy LTC22: Social                   | replaced by | Cl1: Safeguarding and securing community   |
| <u>infrastructure</u>                  |             | <u>infrastructure</u>  |
|  |             |  |
|  |             | Cl2: High quality community infrastructure   |
|  |             | CI4: Nurseries and childcare facilities  |
|  |             |  |
|  |             |  |
|  |             |  |
|  |             | DM2: Infrastructure funding and planning obligations                               |
| Policy LTC23: Heritage                 | replaced by | HE1: Lewisham's historic environment   |
| assets                                 | replaced by | TIL 1. Lewisitatii s mistoric environment  |
| <u> </u>                               |             | HE2: Designated heritage assets  |
|  |             |  |
|  |             | HE3: Non-designated heritage assets  |
| Policy LTC24: Carbon                   | replaced by | SD1: Responding to the climate emergency.  |
| dioxide emission reduction             |             | SD3: Minimising groonhouse gas emissions   |
| Policy LTC25: Adapting to              | replaced by | SD3: Minimising greenhouse gas emissions SD1: Responding to the climate emergency. |
| climate change                         | replaced by | OD 1. Responding to the climate emergency.   |
| <u></u>                                |             | SD3: Minimising greenhouse gas emissions   |
| Policy LTC26:                          | replaced by | DM1: Working with stakeholders to deliver  |
| <u>Implementation</u>                  |             | the Local Plan   |
| Policy LTC27: Monitoring               | replaced by | DM7: Monitoring and review   |
| Appendix 1: Policy,                    | deleted ^   |  |
| guidance and evidence<br>base linkages |             |  |
| Appendix 2: Heritage                   | replaced by | Schedule 2: Designated heritage assets   |
| assets                                 | <u></u>     | Some same 21 2 congruence memage accord  |
|  |             | Schedule 3: Non-designated heritage assets   |
| Appendix 3: Delivery                   | replaced by | DM7: Monitoring and review   |
| strategy and monitoring                |             |  |
| framework Appendix 4: Lewisham         | raplaced by | Infrastructure Delivery Plan   |
| town centre infrastructure             | replaced by | Innastructure Delivery Flan  |
| schedule                               |             |  |
| Appendix 5: UDP                        | deleted ^   |  |
| proposals replaced by the              |             |  |
| LTCLP                                  |             |  |
| Appendix 6: Glossary                   | replaced by | Appendix 2: Glossary   |
|  | POLICY      | NEW LOCAL  |
|  | HAS BEEN    | PLAN POLICY  |
|  | DELETED,    | <u>1 E/WY GEIGT</u>  |
|  |             |  |

| REPLAC<br>OR NEV |   |  |
|------------------|---|--|
| ADDE             |   |  |
| newly            | HO9: Self-build and custom-build housing                |  |
| added            | EC4: Low-cost and affordable workspace                  |  |
| newly added      | EC4. Low-cost and anoldable workspace                   |  |
| newly            | EC9: Railway arches                                     |  |
| added            | Cl5: Burial space                                       |  |
| newly added      | CIS. Bullal space                                       |  |
| newly            | SD13: Design to support the circular                    |  |
| added newly      | economy TR2: Bakerloo line extension                    |  |
| added            | TIVE. Bakerioo iirie exterisiori                        |  |
| newly            | Lewisham's Central Area:                                |  |
| <u>added</u>     | LCA SA6: Thurston Road Bus Station                      |  |
|                  | LCA SA8: 100-114 Loampit Vale                           |  |
|                  | LCA SA9: Silver Road and Axion House                    |  |
|                  | LCA SA10: House on the Hill at Slaithewaite Road        |  |
|                  | LCA SA11: Church Grove Self-Build                       |  |
|                  | LCA SA12: Ladywell Play Tower                           |  |
|                  | LCA SA16: Land at Rushey Green and Bradgate Road (Aldi) |  |
|                  | LCA SA17: Catford Shopping Centre and Milford Towers    |  |
|                  | LCA SA18: Catford Island                                |  |
|                  | LCA SA19: Laurence House and Civic Centre               |  |
|                  | LCA SA20: South Circular                                |  |
|                  | LCA SA21: Wickes and Halfords, Catford Road             |  |
|                  | LCA SA22: Ravensbourne Retail Park                      |  |

| Leavishamis North Area:  LNA1: North Area place principles  LNA2: Survey Count at Survey Canal Road Sit.  LNA SA3: Survey Canal Road and Tundlevs Road ISIS  LNA SA6: Survey Canal Road and Tundlevs Road ISIS  LNA SA6: Apollo Business Centre LSIS  LNA SA6: Apollo Business Centre LSIS  LNA SA7: Silwood Street  LNA SA13: Achilles Street  LNA SA13: Achilles Street  Leavishamis East Area  Leavishamis East Area  LEA SA6: Sal Area place principles  LEA SA6: Sainsbury's Lee Green  LEA SA6: Southbrook Maws  LEA SA7: Meyfrields Hostel, Burnt Ash Hill  LEA SA6: Southbrook Maws  LEA SA7: Meyfrields Hostel, Burnt Ash Hill  LEA SA6: Southbrook Maws  LEA SA7: Meyfrields Hostel, Burnt Ash Hill  LEA SA6: Southbrook Maws  LEA SA7: Subh Area  LSA1: South Area place principles  LSA1: South Area place principles  LSA1: South Area place principles  LSA2: South Area place principles  LSA3-SA5: Sydenham Green Group Practice  LSA SA6: Cartord Police station  |                |   |  |
|---|----------------|---|--|
| LNA1. North Area place principles  LNA SA5: Evelvin Court at Surrey Canal Road Sit.  LNA SA5: Surrey Canal Road and Trundieva Road LSIS  LNA SA6: Apollo Business Centre LSIS  LNA SA6: Land at Pool Court  LNA SA6: LSaford Pollice station  LNA SA6: Homebase/ Argoe, Bromley | newly<br>added | Lewisham's North Area:                    |  |
| Read Sil.  LNA SA6: Surrey Canal Road and Trundleys Road LSIS  LNA SA6: Apollo Business Centre LSIS  LNA SA7: Silwood Street  LNA SA8: Bermondsey Dive Under  LNA SA13: Achilles Street  LNA SA13: Achilles Street  LNA SA15: Albany Theatre  Lewisham's East Area;  LEA1: East Area place principles  LEA SA1: Hearhside and Lethbridge Estate  LEA SA6: Southbrook Mews  LEA SA6: Southbrook Mews  LEA SA7: Mayriteids Hostel, Burnt Ash Hill  LEA SA8: Sainsbury Local and West of Grove Park Station  nextly added  Lewisham's South Area  LSA1: South Area place principles  LSA1: South Area place principles  LSA1: South Area place principles  LSA2: South Area place principles  LSA3: South Area place principles  LSA5: Sydenham Green Group Practice  LSA SA7: Lidl, Southend Lane  LSA SA8: Land at Pool Court  LSA SA9: Catford Police station  LSA SA9: Catford Police station  LSA SA9: Catford Police station   |                | LNA1: North Area place principles         |  |
| Trundleys Road LSIS  LNA SA6: Apollo Business Centre LSIS  LNA SA7: Silwood Street  LNA SA13: Achilles Street  LNA SA13: Achilles Street  LNA SA13: Achilles Street  Lewisham's East Area:  Leat: East Area place principles  LEA SA1: Healthside and Lethbridge Estate  LEA SA4: Sainsbury's Lee Green  LEA SA6: Southbrook Mews  LEA SA7: Mayfields Hostel, Burnt Ash Hill  LEA SA8: Sainsbury Local and West of Grove Park Station  newly added  Lewisham's South Area  LSA1: South Area place principles  LSA3: South Area place principles  LSA5: Sydenham Green Group Practice  LSA SA5: Sydenham Green Group Practice  LSA SA6: Land at Pool Court  LSA SA9: Catford Police station  LSA SA10: Hornebase/ Argos. Bromley   |                |   |  |
| LNA SA7: Silwood Street  LNA SA8: Bermondsey Dive Under  LNA SA15: Albany Theatre  LNA SA15: Albany Theatre  Lewisham's East Area:  LEA1: East Area place principles  LEA SA1: Heathside and Lethbridge Estate  LEA SA4: Sainsbury's Lee Green  LEA SA6: Southbrook Mews  LEA SA7: Mavfields Hostel, Burnt Ash Hill  LEA SA8: Sainsbury Local and West of Grove Park Station  newly added  LSA1: South Area place principles  LSA SA5: Sydenham Green Group Practice  LSA SA7: Lidi, Southend Lane  LSA SA8: Land at Pool Court  LSA SA9: Catford Police station  LSA SA1: Homebase/ Argos, Bromley   |                |   |  |
| LNA SA13: Achilles Street LNA SA13: Achilles Street LNA SA15: Albany Theatre  newly added  LEA1: East Area place principles LEA SA1: Heathside and Lethbridge Estate. LEA SA1: Heathside and Lethbridge Estate. LEA SA6: Southbrook Mews LEA SA7: Mayfields Hostel, Burnt Ash Hill LEA SA8: Sainsbury Local and West of Grove Park Station  newly added  LSA1: South Area place principles LSA SA5: Sydenham Green Group Practice LSA SA7: Lidl, Southend Lane LSA SA9: Carford Police station LSA SA9: Carford Police station LSA SA10: Homebase/ Argos, Bromley   |                | LNA SA6: Apollo Business Centre LSIS      |  |
| LNA SA13: Achilles Street  LNA SA15: Albany Theatre Lewisham's East Area:  LEA1: East Area place principles  LEA SA1: Heathside and Lethbridge Estate  LEA SA4: Sainsbury's Lee Green  LEA SA6: Southbrook Mews  LEA SA7: Mayfields Hostel, Burnt Ash Hill  LEA SA8: Sainsbury Local and West of Grove Park Station  newly added  newly added  LSA1: South Area place principles  LSA SA5: Sydenham Green Group Practice  LSA SA7: Lidl, Southend Lane  LSA SA8: Land at Pool Court  LSA SA9: Catford Police station  LSA SA10: Homebase/ Argos, Bromley  |                | LNA SA7: Silwood Street                   |  |
| LNA SA15: Albany Theatre    newly added   Lewisham's East Area;   |                | LNA SA8: Bermondsey Dive Under            |  |
| Lewisham's East Area:  LEA1: East Area place principles  LEA SA4: Sainsbury's Lee Green  LEA SA6: Southbrook Mews  LEA SA7: Mayfields Hostel, Burnt Ash Hill  LEA SA8: Sainsbury Local and West of Grove Park Station  newly added  Lewisham's South Area  LSA1: South Area place principles  LSA SA5: Sydenham Green Group Practice  LSA SA7: Lidl, Southend Lane  LSA SA8: Land at Pool Court  LSA SA9: Catford Police station  LSA SA1: Heathside and Lethbridge Estate  LSA SA1: Heathside Estate  LEA SA1: South Area place principles  LSA SA6: Catford Police station  LSA SA9: Catford Police station   |                | LNA SA13: Achilles Street                 |  |
| LEA1: East Area place principles  LEA SA1: Heathside and Lethbridge Estate  LEA SA4: Sainsbury's Lee Green  LEA SA6: Southbrook Mews  LEA SA7: Mayfields Hostel, Burnt Ash Hill  LEA SA8: Sainsbury Local and West of Grove Park Station  newly added  LEA1: South Area place principles  LSA1: South Area place principles  LSA SA5: Sydenham Green Group Practice  LSA SA7: Lidl, Southend Lane  LSA SA8: Land at Pool Court  LSA SA9: Catford Police station  LSA SA10: Homebase/ Argos, Bromley   |                |   |  |
| LEA SA1: Heathside and Lethbridge Estate  LEA SA4: Sainsbury's Lee Green  LEA SA6: Southbrook Mews  LEA SA7: Mayfields Hostel, Burnt Ash Hill  LEA SA6: Sainsbury Local and West of Grove Park Station  newly added  Lewisham's South Area  LSA1: South Area place principles  LSA SA5: Sydenham Green Group Practice  LSA SA7: Lidl, Southend Lane  LSA SA6: Land at Pool Court  LSA SA9: Catford Police station  LSA SA1: Homebase/ Argos, Bromley  |                | Lewisham's East Area:                     |  |
| LEA SA4: Sainsbury's Lee Green  LEA SA6: Southbrook Mews  LEA SA7: Mayfields Hostel, Burnt Ash Hill  LEA SA8: Sainsbury Local and West of Grove Park Station  newly added  Lewisham's South Area  LSA1: South Area place principles  LSA SA5: Sydenham Green Group Practice  LSA SA7: Lidl, Southend Lane  LSA SA8: Land at Pool Court  LSA SA9: Catford Police station  LSA SA10: Homebase/ Argos, Bromley   |                | LEA1: East Area place principles          |  |
| LEA SA6: Southbrook Mews  LEA SA7: Mayfields Hostel, Burnt Ash Hill  LEA SA8: Sainsbury Local and West of Grove Park Station  newly added  Lewisham's South Area  LSA1: South Area place principles  LSA SA5: Sydenham Green Group Practice  LSA SA7: Lidl, Southend Lane  LSA SA8: Land at Pool Court  LSA SA9: Catford Police station  LSA SA10: Homebase/ Argos, Bromley   |                | LEA SA1: Heathside and Lethbridge Estate  |  |
| LEA SA7: Mayfields Hostel, Burnt Ash Hill  LEA SA8: Sainsbury Local and West of Grove Park Station  newly added  Lewisham's South Area  LSA1: South Area place principles  LSA SA5: Sydenham Green Group Practice  LSA SA7: Lidl, Southend Lane  LSA SA8: Land at Pool Court  LSA SA9: Catford Police station  LSA SA10: Homebase/ Argos, Bromley   |                | LEA SA4: Sainsbury's Lee Green            |  |
| LEA SA8: Sainsbury Local and West of Grove Park Station  newly added  Lewisham's South Area  LSA1: South Area place principles  LSA SA5: Sydenham Green Group Practice  LSA SA7: Lidl, Southend Lane  LSA SA8: Land at Pool Court  LSA SA9: Catford Police station  LSA SA10: Homebase/ Argos, Bromley  |                | LEA SA6: Southbrook Mews                  |  |
| Carove Park Station     Dewisham's South Area     Lewisham's South Area     LSA1: South Area place principles     LSA SA5: Sydenham Green Group Practice     LSA SA7: Lidl, Southend Lane     LSA SA8: Land at Pool Court     LSA SA9: Catford Police station     LSA SA10: Homebase/ Argos, Bromley  |                | LEA SA7: Mayfields Hostel, Burnt Ash Hill |  |
| Lewisham's South Area     Lewisham's South Area     LSA1: South Area place principles     LSA SA5: Sydenham Green Group Practice     LSA SA7: Lidl, Southend Lane     LSA SA8: Land at Pool Court     LSA SA9: Catford Police station     LSA SA10: Homebase/ Argos, Bromley  |                |   |  |
| LSA1: South Area place principles  LSA SA5: Sydenham Green Group Practice  LSA SA7: Lidl, Southend Lane  LSA SA8: Land at Pool Court  LSA SA9: Catford Police station  LSA SA10: Homebase/ Argos, Bromley   | newly          |   |  |
| LSA SA5: Sydenham Green Group Practice  LSA SA7: Lidl, Southend Lane  LSA SA8: Land at Pool Court  LSA SA9: Catford Police station  LSA SA10: Homebase/ Argos, Bromley  | added          |   |  |
| LSA SA7: Lidl, Southend Lane  LSA SA8: Land at Pool Court  LSA SA9: Catford Police station  LSA SA10: Homebase/ Argos, Bromley  |                |   |  |
| LSA SA8: Land at Pool Court  LSA SA9: Catford Police station  LSA SA10: Homebase/ Argos, Bromley  |                | LSA SA5: Sydenham Green Group Practice    |  |
| LSA SA9: Catford Police station  LSA SA10: Homebase/ Argos, Bromley   |                | LSA SA7: Lidl, Southend Lane              |  |
| LSA SA10: Homebase/ Argos, Bromley  |                | LSA SA8: Land at Pool Court               |  |
|   |                | LSA SA9: Catford Police station           |  |
| Road Road   |                |   |  |
|   |                | <u>Road</u>                               |  |

|                | LSA SA11: Beadles Garage                               |  |
|----------------|--|--|
|                | LSA SA12: Downham Co-op                                |  |
|                | LSA SA13: Excalibur Estate                             |  |
| newly          | LSA SA14: Bestway Cash and Carry Lewisham's West Area: |  |
| added          | LWA1: West Area place principles                       |  |
|                | LWA SA3: Jenner Health Centre                          |  |
|                | LWA SA8: Featherstone Lodge, Eliot Bank                |  |
|                | LWA SA10: 74 to 78 Sydenham Road                       |  |
|                | LWA SA11: Land at Sydenham Road and Loxley Close       |  |
| newly<br>added | DM5: Meanwhile uses                                    |  |
|                |  |  |

| MM333 Appendix 6 | Appendix 6: Hous  | sing Trajectory and Five-Year Housing Land Supply  | Modifications to update  |
|------------------|---|--|--|
|                  | how sites are protein the site capacity and Plan progresses | s been updated prior to Submission, informed by the latest 2023 Starts and Completions Survey and information on gressing, either at pre-app / application stage or during construction. This update provides an opportunity to re-align and phasing and ensure site delivery is more realistic than before. The trajectory will continue to be updated as the towards adoption. | Appendix 6 with latest information to provide an upto-date position on the delivery of housing sites and to align with the final proposed housing trajectory. (AP20) |
|                  | PD18 Housing Tr   | t contained in the Housing Trajectory relates to the capacity and phasing of sites as shown in Submission Document rajectory Update to Appendix 6, as this was submitted to the examination in place of the original Appendix 6 the Regulation 19 Submission Document  |  |
|                  | Site progress colu  | umn:   |  |
|                  | None  | No progress made so far  |  |
|                  | A21, NX, CTCF   | Masterplan/Framework has been prepared   |  |
|                  | Adopted SA  | Site was adopted in the Site Allocations Local Plan  |  |
|                  | Арр   | Application submitted or advanced pre-application discussions have started   |  |
|                  | Lapsed  | Planning consent has recently lapsed   |  |
|                  | NS  | Planning permission or resolution to approve has been granted but construction has not yet started   |  |
|                  | UC  | Planning permission has been granted and the site is under construction  |  |
|                  | Comp  | Site has been completed  |  |
|                  | Trajectory and Fire   | ar supply start from <del>2023/24 to 2024/25</del> - <u>2025/26</u> , to coincide with the anticipated adoption of the Appendix 6:Housing ve Year Housing Land Supply Plan of the Plan. Years before and after the 15-year supply are also shown, in order to y against different the London Plan 10-year targets.   |  |
|                  | •   | e Bell Green sites represents the additional units that could be delivered if a higher growth scenario was adopted, ease in PTAL levels resulting from the Bakerloo Line Extension and/or Bell Green/Lower Sydenham becoming an  |  |
|                  | Key findings  |  |  |
|                  | The site allocation of:                                     | ns will supply <del>24,928</del> <u>26,017</u> (74%) new homes throughout the 20 year Plan period (2020/21 – 2039/40), consisting  |  |
|                  | • <del>7,085</del> <u>7,794</u> ( <del>28</del>             | § 30%) in the Central Area   |  |
|                  | • <del>12,948</del> - <u>13,093</u> ( <del>5</del>          | 52 50%) in the North Area  |  |
|                  | • <del>1,400</del> <u>1,444</u> (6%                         | %) in the East Area  |  |
|                  | • <del>2,594</del> <u>2,718</u> (10                         | %) in the South Area   |  |
|                  | • <del>901</del> <u>968</u> (4%) in                         | n the West Area.   |  |

Other large, consented sites and a large windfall site will supply 1,797 2,255 (5 6%) new homes throughout the 20 year Plan period.

Small site windfalls will supply 7,033 (24 20%) new homes throughout the 20 year Plan period. The small sites will form an important contribution in being able to meet the housing supply targets.

The majority of the supply comes from the North Area, followed by the Central Area. This is because the site allocations are focussed towards the north and central parts of the borough, where the Opportunity Area is located and in other highly accessible locations, such as major town centres and along the A21 corridor.

Overall, there will be a baseline supply of 33,758 35,305 new homes throughout the 20 year Plan period, consisting of site allocations, other large, consented sites, a large windfall site and small site windfalls. This rises to 36,232 37,436 new homes if growth from an additional 2,474 2,131 new homes in the Bell Green area is taken into account.

The baseline supply of housing has been assessed against the 10-year London Plan target as well as the 5 and 15-year NPPF targets, as shown in the tables below.

This demonstrates that sufficient homes can be delivered within the 5 and 15-year period.

The NPPF does not require the Local Plan housing supply to be assessed beyond the 15 year target period. However, the Local Plan runs for 20 years and the housing trajectory demonstrates that there will continue to be a supply of housing provided in the longer term.

The Council will keep under review the Local Plan's housing targets and performance against the delivery of these targets. In particular, the longer term housing supply will be reassessed when the next London wide SHLAA becomes available, as part of the review of the London Plan. Where changes to the London Plan borough-level housing targets are made the local plan review process will be used to ensure Lewisham's Local Plan remains in general conformity with the London Plan.

Table 20.5: Baseline supply of housing

| TYPES OF TARGET                   | RELEVAN<br>T YEARS                           | TARGET  | ASSESSMENT  |
|-----------------------------------|--|---|---|
| London<br>Plan -10<br>year target | 2019/20 –<br>2028/29                         | Lewisham's target for a 10 year period is 16,670 (1,667 p.a.), incorporating a small sites target of 379 p.a. | The site allocations, other large, consented sites, a large windfall site and small site windfalls will supply 15,802 14,934 new homes between 2019/20 – 2028/29. The supply of housing during the 10 years falls short of does not meet the target, due to a variety of external factors such as stalled sites, applications being re-submitted for consented schemes and an under supply of 3,471 housing completions during years 2020/21 to 2022/23 compared to the London Plan annual target. To rectify this, the backlog associated with the latter will be catered for by the end of the first 5 year period (2028/29). |
| NPPF - 5<br>year target           | 2024/25 —<br>2028/29<br>2025/26 —<br>2029/30 | Lewisham's target is 2,358 2,278 p.a. or 11,790 11,390 over the 5 year period. This consists of 3 components: | The site allocations, other large, consented sites, a large windfall site and small site windfalls will provide specific deliverable sites amounting to 11,863 11,384 new homes between 20224/25 - 2028/29 2025/26 -  |

|  | • London Plan housing target: 1,66 p.a.   | 7 2029/30. The supply of housing during the 5 years exceeds meets the target.  |  |
|--|---|--|--|
|  | • A backlog: completions during 2020/21 to 2022/23 amounted to 1,530 compared to the London Pla   | n  |  |
|  | target of 5,001 over the same perio<br>This creates an under supply of  |  |  |
|  | 3,471 housing completions compared to the London Plan annutarget new homes as at the end of   | ıal  |  |
|  | 2021/22. This backlog will be catered for by the end of the first 5 years   | ed   |  |
|  | (2027/28) during the remaining Pla<br>period (2039/40) and is equivalent  | <del></del>  |  |
|  | 4 <del>62</del> 231 p.a.  • An appropriate buffer: 5% 20% (o  |  |  |
|  | 83 380 units p.a.) has been added the 1,667 annual target, given Lewisham's 87% 51% performance in the last Housing Delivery Test.  |  |  |
| NPPF -<br>Number of<br>deliverable           | 2024/25 — Lewisham's 5 year supply is assessed against the annual target of 2,358 2,278 p.a. (see above)  | 11,863 11,384 new homes between 2024/25 –  |  |
| years  | 2029/30 (1,667 p.a. plus 231 p.a. backlog ar 20% buffer of 380 units p.a.), to demonstrate more than 5 years' worth of supply.  | 2028/29 2025/26-2029/30. When divided by 2,358 2,278 p.a. there will be 5.03 5.00 deliverable years, exceeding meeting the target. |  |
| NPPF - 15<br>year target                     | 2024/25 — Lewisham's target is 28,460 30,376 over the 15 year period. This consists of 2,358-2,278 p.a. for yea 2039/40 1-5, then the target of 1,667 1,898                         | large windfall site and small site windfalls will supply   |  |
|  | p.a. (1,667 p.a. plus 231 p.a. backlog) has been rolled forward for years 6-15. A 5% 20% buffer does not need to be applied for Years 6-15, just the deliverable sites in Year 1-5. |  |  |
| NPPF -<br>Delivery<br>through<br>small sites | 2020/21 - Land to accommodate at least 10% of the target on sites no larger than hectare.   | =, -= = <u>=, -= =                        </u>   |  |
|  |   | • 3,426 3,965 or 12% 13% of the 15 year target (28,460) will be provided on site allocations and other                             |  |

| large-consented sites of 1 hectare or less. This rises to 9,111-9,650 (32%) if small site windfalls are taken into account.   |  |
|---|--|
| <ul> <li>5,086-5,285 or 14% 15% of the supply across the 20 year plan period (36,795-35,305) will be on site allocations and other large consented sites of 1 hectare or less. This rises to 12,119 12,318 (33% 35%) if small site windfalls are taken into account.</li> <li>Any additional small site windfalls will increase this supply further.</li> </ul> |  |

#### January 2025

<u>Underlined</u> text shows the most up-to-date version of the housing trajectory. Strikethrough text shows the housing trajectory as shown in PD18 – as this was included in an updated Appendix 6 that superseded the Regulation 19 version and was submitted to the Examination in November 2023.

|            |      |  | Site size              |           | Pre Plan      | noriod   |     |     |                |                       |                        |            |                        |                     |                              | 20-year Pla                  | an period             |                        |                       |                              |                |                              |                              |             |             |           |
|------------|------|--|------------------------|-----------|---------------|----------|-----|-----|----------------|-----------------------|------------------------|------------|------------------------|---------------------|------------------------------|------------------------------|-----------------------|------------------------|-----------------------|------------------------------|----------------|------------------------------|------------------------------|-------------|-------------|-----------|
| Site       | Site | Site   | (ha)<br>Italicised     | Site      | Fre Flan      | period   |     | Pre | 15-year supply | у                     |                        |            |                        |                     |                              |                              |                       | 15-                    | -year supp            | ly                           |                |                              |                              |             |             |           |
| status     | ref  | name   | = sites                | progress  | Net units     | 19/      | 20/ | 21/ |                | 23/                   | 24/                    | 25/        | 26/                    | 27/                 | 28/                          | 29/                          | 30/                   | 31/                    | 32/                   | 33/                          | 34/            | 35/                          | 36/                          | 37/         | 38/         | 39/       |
|            |      |  | less than<br>1 hectare |           | prior to      | 20       | 21  | 22  | 23             | 24                    | 25                     | 26<br>Yr 1 | 27<br>Yr 2             | 28<br>Yr 3          | 29<br>Yr 4                   | 30<br>Yr 5                   | 31<br>Yr 6            | 32<br>Yr 7             | 33<br>Yr 8            | 34<br>Yr 9                   | 35<br>Yr 10    | 36<br>Yr 11                  | 37<br>Yr 12                  | 38<br>Yr 13 | 39<br>Yr 14 | 40<br>Y15 |
|            | LAR  | RGE SITES 25 units or more or 0.25 he  | ctatres or             | more      | April 2019    |          |     |     |                |                       |                        |            |                        | •                   |                              |                              | •                     |                        | 0                     | •                            |                |                              |                              |             |             |           |
|            |      | * less than 25 units but contributes to  |                        |           | self-containe | d supply |     |     |                |                       |                        |            |                        |                     |                              |                              |                       |                        |                       |                              |                |                              |                              |             |             |           |
|            |      | NTRAL AREA ral Area site allocations   |                        |           |               |          |     |     |                |                       |                        |            |                        |                     |                              |                              |                       |                        |                       |                              |                |                              |                              |             |             |           |
| oi         |      | Lewisham Gateway   | 1.53 U                 | JC        | 362           |          |     |     | Г              |                       | 649                    |            |                        |                     | I                            |                              |                       |                        |                       |                              |                |                              |                              |             |             | l         |
| eiii       |      | Lewisham Shopping Centre (Includes Lewisham House, 25 Molesworth Street)           | 6.38 A                 |           |               |          |     |     |                |                       |                        |            |                        | 160                 | <del>157</del><br><u>369</u> | <del>157</del>               | <del>157</del><br>206 | 158<br>703             | <del>158</del><br>251 | <del>158</del><br><u>142</u> | <del>158</del> | <del>158</del>               | <del>158</del><br><u>474</u> |             |             |           |
| ii         |      | Land at Engate Street  | 0.81 A                 |           |               |          |     |     |                |                       |                        |            |                        |                     |                              |                              |                       |                        |                       |                              |                |                              |                              |             |             | 11        |
| i          |      | 4 Conington Road   | 1.11 U                 |           |               |          |     |     |                | <del>365</del>        | <u>365</u>             |            |                        |                     |                              |                              |                       |                        |                       |                              |                |                              |                              |             |             |           |
| eiii       |      | Land at Conington Road and Lewisham Road (Tesco)                                   | 1.53 A                 |           |               |          |     |     |                |                       |                        |            | <del>107</del>         | <del>150</del>      | <del>150</del>               | <u>226</u>                   | 225                   |                        |                       |                              |                |                              |                              |             |             |           |
| eiii       |      | 7 Lewisham Retail Park, Loampit Vale   | 1.13 A                 |           |               |          |     |     |                |                       |                        |            |                        |                     | <del>200</del>               | <del>200</del>               | 129                   | <u>400</u>             |                       |                              |                |                              |                              |             |             |           |
| iii        |      | 3 110-114 Loampit Vale   | 0.12 N                 |           |               |          |     |     |                |                       |                        |            |                        |                     |                              |                              |                       |                        |                       |                              | 30             |                              |                              |             |             |           |
| i          |      | 9 Silver Road and Axion House  | 0.48 U                 |           |               |          |     |     |                |                       | 141                    |            |                        |                     |                              |                              |                       |                        |                       |                              |                |                              |                              |             |             |           |
| eiii       |      | House on the Hill, Slaithwaite Road  | 0.31 A                 |           |               |          |     |     |                |                       |                        |            |                        |                     | 52                           |                              |                       |                        |                       |                              |                |                              |                              |             |             |           |
| ı iii      |      | 1 Church Grove Self-Build  | 0.35 U                 |           |               |          |     |     |                | 36                    |                        |            |                        |                     |                              |                              |                       |                        |                       |                              |                |                              |                              |             |             |           |
| e i        |      | 2 Ladywell Play Tower  | 0.32 N                 |           |               |          |     |     |                |                       |                        |            |                        |                     | 33                           |                              |                       |                        |                       |                              |                |                              |                              |             |             |           |
| oii<br>    |      | B PLACE/Ladywell (Former Ladywell Leisure Centre)                                  | 0.93 N                 |           |               |          |     |     |                |                       |                        |            | 102                    |                     |                              |                              |                       | 73                     |                       |                              |                |                              |                              |             |             |           |
|            |      | Driving Test Centre, Nightingale Grove   |                        | dopted SA |               |          |     |     |                |                       |                        |            |                        |                     |                              |                              |                       |                        |                       |                              |                |                              | 40                           |             |             |           |
| oii        |      | Land at Nightingale Grove and Maythorne Cottages *                                 | 0.43 N                 |           |               |          |     |     |                |                       |                        |            |                        | <del>22</del><br>27 |                              |                              |                       |                        |                       |                              |                |                              |                              |             |             |           |
| ii         | 4    | 6 Land at Rushey Green and Bradgate Road (Aldi)                                    | 0.50 A                 |           |               |          |     |     |                |                       |                        |            |                        |                     |                              |                              |                       |                        |                       |                              |                |                              |                              |             |             | 8         |
| eiii       | 17   | 7 Catford Shopping Centre and Milford Towers                                       | 3.42 C                 | CTCF/App  |               |          |     |     |                |                       |                        |            |                        | <del>102</del>      | <del>200</del><br>102        | <del>200</del>               |                       | 200                    | <u>200</u>            | 145                          | 145            | 146                          | 146                          |             |             |           |
| eiii       | 18   | 3 Catford Island   | 2.28<br>2.30           | CTCF/App  |               |          |     |     |                |                       |                        |            | <del>250</del>         | <del>102</del>      | 100                          | <del>150</del><br><u>250</u> | <u>102</u>            | 100                    | <u>150</u>            |                              |                |                              |                              |             |             |           |
| ii         | 19   | 9 Laurence House and Civic Centre  | 2.21 C                 |           |               |          |     |     |                |                       |                        |            |                        |                     |                              |                              |                       | 87                     | 87                    | 88                           |                |                              |                              |             |             |           |
| ii         | 21   | 1 Wickes and Halfords, Catford Road  | 2.06 C                 |           |               |          |     |     |                |                       |                        |            |                        |                     |                              | 170                          | 170                   | 172                    |                       |                              |                |                              |                              |             |             |           |
| eiii       | 22   | 2 Ravensbourne Retail Park   | 2.46 A                 | 21/App    |               |          |     |     |                |                       |                        |            |                        |                     |                              |                              | <del>220</del><br>314 | 147                    |                       |                              |                |                              |                              |             |             |           |
|            |      | Total: Central Area site a   | allocations            |           | 362           | O        | 0   | 0   | 0              | 4 <del>01</del><br>36 | <del>790</del><br>1155 | 0          | 4 <del>59</del><br>102 | 536<br>27           | <del>892</del><br><u>556</u> | 877<br>646                   | 676<br>1146           | <del>637</del><br>1882 | <del>245</del><br>688 | <del>391</del><br><u>375</u> | 333<br>175     | <del>304</del><br><u>146</u> | 344<br>660                   | 0           | 0           | 20        |
|            | Cent | ral Area other large consented/pre app sites                                       |                        |           | 1             |          |     |     | L              |                       |                        |            | L                      |                     |                              |                              |                       |                        |                       |                              |                |                              |                              |             |             |           |
| ı/a        |      | Land to rear of Chiddingstone House, Lewisham                                      | 0.50 C                 | Comp      |               | 53       | i   |     |                |                       |                        |            |                        |                     |                              |                              |                       |                        |                       |                              |                |                              |                              |             |             |           |
| ./-        |      | Park   | 0.00                   | `         |               | 20       |     |     |                |                       |                        |            |                        |                     |                              |                              |                       |                        |                       |                              |                |                              |                              |             |             |           |
| n/a<br>n/a |      | St Mungos, Spring Gardens, Arlington Close Pheobes Garden Centre                   | 0.96 C                 |           |               | 28       |     |     |                |                       |                        |            |                        |                     |                              |                              |                       |                        |                       |                              |                |                              |                              |             |             |           |
| ı i        |      | Lewisham Exchange (former Carpetright) (student                                    | 0.42 C                 |           |               | 21       | 370 |     |                |                       |                        |            |                        |                     |                              |                              |                       |                        |                       |                              |                |                              |                              |             |             |           |
| ı i        |      | housing with ratio 2.5:1 applied) 320 Brownhill Road (NSC care home with 1:1 ratio | 0.11 C                 | Comp      |               |          |     | 26  |                |                       |                        |            |                        |                     |                              |                              |                       |                        |                       |                              |                |                              |                              |             |             |           |
|            | 1    | applied)*  | 0.10                   | \         |               |          |     |     |                |                       |                        |            |                        |                     |                              |                              |                       |                        |                       |                              |                |                              |                              |             |             |           |
| ı i        | 1    | 9-19 Rushey Green, (former job centre)   | 0.13                   |           |               |          |     |     | 45             |                       |                        |            |                        |                     |                              |                              |                       |                        |                       |                              |                |                              |                              |             |             |           |
| 1 i        |      | 223-225 Lewisham High Street (127 hotel rooms with 1.8:1 ratio applied) *          | 0.03 C                 | omp       |               |          |     |     | 71             |                       |                        |            |                        |                     |                              |                              | 1.22                  |                        |                       |                              |                |                              |                              |             |             |           |
|            |      | Lewisham House, 25 Molesworth Street   | 0.96                   |           |               |          |     |     |                |                       |                        |            |                        |                     |                              |                              | 109                   | <del>109</del>         |                       |                              |                |                              |                              |             |             |           |
| oii        |      | Catford Timber Yard  | 0.17 N                 |           |               |          |     |     |                |                       |                        |            |                        |                     | 52                           |                              |                       |                        |                       |                              |                |                              |                              |             |             |           |
| oii<br>    | 1    | 73 Lewisham High Street *  |                        | IS        |               |          |     |     |                |                       |                        | 23         |                        |                     |                              |                              |                       |                        |                       |                              |                |                              |                              |             |             |           |
| oii        |      | Colfe and Hatcliffe Glebe (NSC over 60s sheltered units with 1:1 ratio applied ) * | 0.12 N                 | IS        |               |          |     |     |                |                       |                        | 22         |                        |                     |                              |                              |                       |                        |                       |                              |                |                              |                              |             |             |           |
| eiii       |      | 27 Fordmill Road   | <u>0.20</u> A          | <u>da</u> |               |          |     |     |                |                       |                        |            |                        |                     | <u>59</u>                    |                              |                       |                        |                       |                              |                |                              |                              |             |             |           |
|            |      | Total: Central Area other large conse  | ented sites            |           | 0             | 108      | 370 | 26  | 116            | 0                     | 0                      | 45         | 0                      | 0                   | <del>52</del><br>111         | 0                            | 109<br>0              | <del>109</del><br>0    | 0                     | 0                            | 0              | 0                            | 0                            | 0           | 0           |           |
|            |      | Total: Ce  | entral Area            |           | 362           | 108      | 370 | 26  | 116            | 401                   | 790                    | 45         | 459                    | 536                 | 944                          | 877                          | 785                   | 746                    | 245                   | 391                          | 333            | 304                          | 344                          | 0           | 0           | 20        |

|           |            |  | Site size                  | 20-year Plan period |                                    |           |           |           |                            |           |                        |                              |                       |                                  |                                  |                       |                              |                              |                              |                              |                |                              |            |                              |                              |                              |
|-----------|------------|--|----------------------------|---------------------|------------------------------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|----------------------------|-----------|------------------------|------------------------------|-----------------------|----------------------------------|----------------------------------|-----------------------|------------------------------|------------------------------|------------------------------|------------------------------|----------------|------------------------------|------------|------------------------------|------------------------------|------------------------------|
| Site      | Site       | Site   | (ha)                       | Site                | Pre Plan                           | period    |           | Pre       | 15-year supp               | ly        |                        |                              |                       |                                  |                                  |                       |                              | 15-                          | year suppl                   | ly                           |                |                              |            |                              |                              |                              |
| status    | ref        | name   | = sites<br>less than       | progress            | Net units<br>completed<br>prior to | 19/<br>20 | 20/<br>21 | 21/<br>22 | 22/<br>23                  | 23/<br>24 | 24/<br>25              | 25/<br>26                    | 26/<br>27             | 27/<br>28                        | 28/<br>29                        | 29/<br>30             | 30/<br>31                    | 31/<br>32                    | 32/<br>33                    | 33/<br>34                    | 34/<br>35      | 35/<br>36                    | 36/<br>37  | 37/<br>38                    | 38/<br>39                    | 39/<br>40                    |
|           |            |  | 1 hectare                  |                     | April 2019                         |           |           |           |                            |           |                        | Yr 1                         | Yr 2                  | Yr 3                             | Yr 4                             | Yr 5                  | Yr 6                         | Yr 7                         | Yr 8                         | Yr 9                         | Yr 10          | Yr 11                        | Yr 12      | Yr 13                        | Yr 14                        | Y15                          |
|           | NOR        | TH AREA  |                            |                     |                                    |           |           |           |                            |           |                        |                              |                       |                                  |                                  |                       |                              |                              |                              |                              |                |                              |            |                              |                              |                              |
| bii and c | North<br>1 | Convoys Wharf MEL  | 20.46                      | uc                  | <u> </u>                           | l         |           |           |                            | T         | 1                      |                              | 124                   | 228                              | 228                              | 260                   | 260                          | 260                          | 260                          | 260                          | 260            | 260                          | 367        | 367                          | 366                          |                              |
|           |            |  |                            |                     |                                    |           |           |           |                            |           |                        |                              |                       |                                  | <u>580</u>                       |                       |                              |                              |                              |                              |                |                              |            |                              |                              |                              |
| bi        |            | Deptford Landings MEL (formerly known as<br>Oxestalls Road) and Scott House                              | 4.71                       |                     |                                    | 203       |           |           |                            |           |                        | 251                          | 189                   | <del>279</del><br>344            | 416<br>481                       | <del>112</del><br>300 | <del>100</del><br><u>105</u> | 100                          | <del>100</del>               | 100                          | 90             |                              |            |                              |                              |                              |
| eiii      | 3          | Evelyn Court LSIS  | 0.27                       | Арр                 |                                    |           |           |           |                            |           |                        |                              |                       |                                  | <del>102</del><br>161            |                       |                              |                              |                              |                              |                |                              |            |                              |                              |                              |
| bi        | 4          | Neptune Wharf MEL  | 1.14                       | UC                  |                                    |           |           |           |                            |           | 199                    |                              |                       |                                  | 101                              |                       |                              |                              |                              |                              |                |                              |            |                              |                              |                              |
| bi        |            | Surrey Canal Road and Trundleys Road LSIS (NSC 393 402 student beds with 2.5:1 ratio applied + 58 units) | 0.55                       |                     |                                    |           |           |           |                            |           |                        |                              |                       |                                  | <del>161</del><br>219            | <del>58</del>         |                              | <u>60</u>                    |                              |                              |                |                              |            |                              |                              |                              |
| bii       | 6          | Apollo Business Centre LSIS (NSC 484 student beds with 2.5:1 ratio applied + 42 units)                   | 0.42                       | App NS              |                                    |           |           |           |                            |           |                        |                              |                       | <del>193</del>                   | 4 <del>2</del><br>235            |                       |                              |                              |                              |                              |                |                              |            |                              |                              |                              |
| bi        | 7          | Silwood Street   | 0.25                       | UC                  |                                    |           |           |           |                            |           | <del>61</del>          | <u>61</u>                    |                       |                                  |                                  |                       |                              |                              |                              |                              |                |                              |            |                              |                              |                              |
| c and ei  | 9          | Surrey Canal Triangle MEL  | 10.59                      | NS                  |                                    |           |           |           |                            |           |                        |                              | 300                   | 300                              | 300                              | 300                   | 300                          | 300                          | 300                          | 300                          | 300            | 300                          | 300        | <del>218</del><br><u>266</u> | <del>286</del><br><u>266</u> | <del>285</del><br>265        |
| bii       | 10         | Corner of Besson and Briant Street   | 1.01                       | NS                  |                                    |           |           |           |                            |           | 114                    | <del>210</del>               |                       | <u>114</u>                       | <u>210</u>                       |                       |                              |                              |                              |                              |                |                              |            |                              |                              |                              |
| fi        | 11         | Former Hatcham Works, New Cross Road   |                            | Adopted SA, NX      |                                    |           |           |           |                            |           |                        |                              |                       |                                  |                                  |                       |                              | 100                          | <del>175</del>               | <del>175</del>               | <del>175</del> | <del>175</del>               |            | <u>267</u>                   | <u>267</u>                   | <u>266</u>                   |
| fi        |            | Goodwood Road and New Cross Road   |                            | Adopted SA, NX      |                                    |           |           |           |                            |           |                        |                              |                       |                                  |                                  |                       |                              |                              |                              |                              | 84             | 83                           |            | <u>84</u>                    | <u>83</u>                    |                              |
| eiii      |            | Achilles Street  |                            | Арр                 |                                    |           |           |           |                            |           |                        |                              |                       | <del>150</del><br><u>141</u>     | <del>150</del><br><u>141</u>     | <del>63</del>         |                              |                              |                              |                              |                |                              | <u>21</u>  |                              |                              |                              |
| bi        |            | 333-337 New Cross Road (student housing with ratio 2.5:1 applied) (part of LNA SA 13)                    | <u>0.07</u>                | UC                  |                                    |           |           |           |                            |           | <u>25</u>              |                              |                       |                                  |                                  |                       |                              |                              |                              |                              |                |                              |            |                              |                              |                              |
| ai        | 14         | Amersham Vale, Former Deptford Green School (Upper School Site)  | 0.68                       | Comp                |                                    |           |           | 120       |                            |           |                        |                              |                       |                                  |                                  |                       |                              |                              |                              |                              |                |                              |            |                              |                              |                              |
| fiii      |            | Albany Theatre   | 0.61                       | App-None            |                                    |           |           |           |                            |           |                        |                              |                       |                                  | <del>119</del>                   |                       |                              | <u>119</u>                   |                              |                              |                |                              |            |                              |                              |                              |
| bi        | 16         | Land North of Reginald Road and South of Frankham Street (Former Tidemill School)                        | 1.26                       | UC                  |                                    |           |           |           |                            |           | 83                     | <del>193</del><br><u>110</u> |                       |                                  |                                  |                       |                              |                              |                              |                              |                |                              |            |                              |                              |                              |
| ai and bi | 17         | Lower Creekside LSIS<br>(Includes 1 Creekside)   | 1.00                       | Comp + UC           |                                    |           |           |           | <u>56</u>                  |           |                        |                              |                       | <del>55</del><br><u>92</u>       | <u>61</u>                        | <del>52</del>         |                              |                              | <u>18</u>                    |                              |                |                              |            |                              |                              |                              |
| bii       | 18         | Sun Wharf MEL (including Network Rail Arches)  | 1.00                       | NS                  |                                    |           |           |           |                            |           |                        |                              | <del>180</del>        | 40                               | 220                              |                       |                              |                              |                              |                              |                |                              |            |                              |                              |                              |
| ei        | 19         | Creekside Village East, Thanet Wharf MEL   | 0.61                       | NS                  |                                    |           |           |           |                            |           |                        |                              |                       | 197                              | 196                              |                       |                              |                              |                              |                              |                |                              |            |                              |                              |                              |
|           | Manth      | Total: North Area site   | allocations                |                     | 0                                  | 203       | 0         | 120       | <del>0</del><br><u>56</u>  | 0         | 374<br>307             | 654<br>422                   | <del>793</del><br>489 | <del>1,442</del><br><u>1,188</u> | <del>1,714</del><br><u>2,804</u> | 845<br>860            | 665<br>665                   | <del>760</del><br><u>739</u> | <del>835</del><br><u>578</u> | <del>835</del><br><u>560</u> |                | 818<br>560                   |            |                              | <del>652</del><br>982        | <del>285</del><br><u>531</u> |
| n/a       | NOTH       | Anthology, Deptford Foundry  | 1.12                       | Comp                |                                    | 316       |           |           |                            | Т         |                        |                              |                       |                                  |                                  |                       |                              |                              | I                            |                              |                |                              |            |                              |                              |                              |
| n/a       |            | Bond House   |                            | Comp                |                                    | 89        |           |           |                            |           |                        |                              |                       |                                  |                                  |                       |                              |                              |                              |                              |                |                              |            |                              |                              |                              |
| n/a       |            | 19 Yeoman Street   | 0.27                       | Comp                |                                    | 72        |           |           |                            |           |                        |                              |                       |                                  |                                  |                       |                              |                              |                              |                              |                |                              |            |                              |                              |                              |
| n/a       |            | 43-49 Pomoeroy Street  | 0.22                       | Comp                |                                    | 65        |           |           |                            |           |                        |                              |                       |                                  |                                  |                       |                              |                              |                              |                              |                |                              |            |                              |                              |                              |
| ai        |            | Astra House, 23-25 Arklow Road   |                            | Comp                |                                    |           | 33        |           |                            |           |                        |                              |                       |                                  |                                  |                       |                              |                              |                              |                              |                |                              |            |                              |                              |                              |
|           |            | <del>1 Creekside</del>   | <del>0.16</del>            |                     |                                    |           |           |           | <del>56</del>              |           |                        |                              |                       |                                  |                                  |                       |                              |                              |                              |                              |                |                              |            |                              |                              |                              |
| a i       |            | Haulage Yard, Hereford Place   | 0.09                       | Comp                |                                    |           |           |           | 26                         |           |                        |                              |                       |                                  |                                  |                       |                              |                              |                              |                              |                |                              |            |                              |                              |                              |
| bi        |            | 1 White Post Street *  | 0.28                       |                     |                                    |           |           |           |                            |           | 21                     |                              |                       |                                  |                                  |                       |                              |                              |                              |                              |                |                              |            |                              |                              |                              |
| bi        |            | Shaftsbury Christian Centre, Frankham Street   | 0.10                       |                     |                                    |           |           |           |                            |           | 33                     |                              |                       |                                  |                                  |                       |                              |                              |                              |                              |                |                              |            |                              |                              |                              |
| bi<br>    |            | Safa House, 28 Arklow Road *   | 0.03                       |                     |                                    |           |           |           |                            |           | 14                     | 0.5                          | 0.5                   |                                  |                                  |                       |                              |                              |                              |                              |                |                              |            |                              |                              |                              |
| IIO       |            | 52-54 New Cross Road<br>333-337 New Cross Road (student housing with ratio                               | 0.07<br><del>0.07</del>    |                     | -                                  |           |           |           |                            |           | <del>25</del>          | <u>35</u>                    | <del>35</del>         |                                  |                                  |                       |                              |                              |                              |                              |                |                              |            |                              |                              |                              |
|           |            | <del>2.5:1 applied)</del>  |                            |                     |                                    |           |           |           |                            |           | <del>∠0</del>          |                              |                       |                                  |                                  |                       |                              |                              |                              |                              |                |                              |            |                              |                              |                              |
| DII       |            | Former Deptford Green Sports Pitch, Edward Street  | 0.23                       |                     |                                    |           |           |           |                            |           |                        |                              |                       |                                  | 34                               |                       |                              |                              |                              |                              |                |                              |            |                              |                              |                              |
| eiii      |            | Blundell House, Goodwood Road Akwaaba Centre   | <u>0.10</u><br><u>0.13</u> |                     | -                                  |           |           |           |                            | +         |                        |                              |                       |                                  | <u>72</u><br>38                  |                       |                              |                              |                              |                              |                |                              |            |                              |                              |                              |
| em        |            | Total: North Area other large conse  |                            |                     | 0                                  | 542       | 33        | 0         | 8 <del>2</del>             | 0         | 93                     | 0                            | 35                    | 0                                | 38<br>34                         | 0                     | 0                            | 0                            | 0                            | 0                            | 0              | 0                            | 0          | 0                            | 0                            | 0                            |
|           |            | Total. North Area other large collect  |                            |                     |                                    | 342       |           | J         | <u>26</u>                  | J.        | <u>68</u>              | <u>35</u>                    | <u>0</u>              |                                  | 144                              | J                     |                              |                              |                              |                              | 3              |                              |            |                              |                              | U                            |
|           |            | Area large windfall site   |                            | 4                   |                                    |           |           |           |                            |           |                        |                              |                       |                                  |                                  |                       | 1                            | . = . 1                      | . = . T                      |                              |                |                              |            |                              |                              |                              |
|           |            | Lewisham College   | 1.67                       |                     | 0                                  | 745       | - 00      | 400       |                            |           | 407                    | 05.4                         | 000                   | 1 110                            | 1.740                            | 0.45                  | <u>150</u>                   | <u>150</u>                   | <u>150</u>                   | 005                          | 000            | 040                          | 007        | 505                          | 050                          | 205                          |
|           |            | lotai:   | North Area                 |                     |                                    | 745       | 33        | 120       | <del>82</del><br><u>82</u> | 0         | 4 <del>67</del><br>375 | <del>654</del><br><u>457</u> | 828<br>489            | <del>1,442</del><br><u>1,188</u> |                                  | 845<br>860            | 660<br>815                   | <del>760</del><br><u>889</u> | <del>835</del><br>728        | <del>835</del><br><u>560</u> |                | <del>818</del><br><u>560</u> | 667<br>688 |                              |                              | <del>285</del><br><u>531</u> |

|                     |         |  |                                    |                           |                        |           |           |           |            |                |            |           | 20-year Plan period        |                    |                             |                      |           |           |             |           |           |           |           |                     |  |           |
|---------------------|---------|--|------------------------------------|---------------------------|------------------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|------------|----------------|------------|-----------|----------------------------|--------------------|-----------------------------|----------------------|-----------|-----------|-------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|---------------------|--|-----------|
| Site                | Site    | Site   | Site size<br>(ha)                  | Site                      | Pre Plan               | period    |           | Pre       | 15-year su | pply           |            |           |                            |                    |                             |                      |           | 1         | 5-year supp | oly       |           |           |           |                     |  |           |
| status              | ref     | name   | ltalicised<br>= sites<br>less than | progress                  | Net units completed    | 19/<br>20 | 20/<br>21 | 21/<br>22 | 22/<br>23  | 23/<br>24      | 24/<br>25  | 25/<br>26 | 26/<br>27                  | 27/<br>28          | 28/<br>29                   | 29/<br>30            | 30/<br>31 | 31/<br>32 | 32/<br>33   | 33/<br>34 | 34/<br>35 | 35/<br>36 | 36/<br>37 | 37/<br>38           | 38/<br>39  | 39/<br>40 |
|                     |         |  | 1 hectare                          |                           | prior to<br>April 2019 |           |           |           |            |                |            | Yr 1      | Yr 2                       | Yr 3               | Yr 4                        | Yr 5                 | Yr 6      | Yr 7      | Yr 8        | Yr 9      | Yr 10     | Yr 11     | Yr 12     | Yr 13               | Yr 14  | Y15       |
|                     | EAST    | AREA   | •                                  | •                         | ·                      | •         |           | ,         | •          | •              |            |           |                            |                    | •                           |                      |           |           | •           |           |           | •         | •         |                     |  |           |
| :                   |         | ea site allocations  | 6.07                               | luc                       | 700                    | ı         | T         | T         | T          | 284            | 150        | I         | 1                          |                    | I                           |                      |           | I         | ı           | I         | T         | ı         | T         | 1                   |  |           |
| И                   |         | eathside and Lethbridge Estate   | 0.07                               | 000                       | 782                    |           |           |           |            | 204            | 159<br>443 |           |                            |                    |                             |                      |           |           |             |           |           |           |           |                     |  |           |
| oii                 | 2 B     | lackheath Hill LSIS  | 0.31                               | <del>UC</del> - <u>NS</u> |                        |           |           |           |            |                |            |           |                            | 63                 |                             |                      |           |           |             |           |           |           |           |                     |  |           |
| ei                  | 3 L     | eegate Shopping Centre   | 1.90                               | App-NS                    |                        |           |           |           |            |                |            |           |                            | 250                | <del>250</del>              | <del>62</del>        |           |           |             |           |           |           |           |                     |  |           |
| iii                 | 4 S     | ainsbury's Lee Green   | 1.05                               | None                      |                        |           |           |           |            |                |            |           |                            |                    |                             | <u>312</u>           |           |           |             |           |           |           |           | 100                 | <del>111</del><br>56                             |           |
| iii                 | 5 L     | and at Lee High Road and Lee Road  | 0.43                               | None                      |                        |           |           |           |            |                |            |           |                            |                    |                             |                      |           |           |             |           |           |           |           |                     |  | 5         |
| fiii                | 6 S     | outhbrook Mews *   | 0.24                               | None                      |                        |           |           |           |            |                |            |           |                            |                    |                             |                      |           |           |             |           |           |           |           |                     |  | 2:        |
| oii                 | 7 M     | ayfields Hostel, Burnt Ash Road *  | 0.49                               | Lapsed <del>/App</del>    |                        |           |           |           |            |                |            |           | <del>65</del><br><u>64</u> |                    |                             |                      |           |           |             |           |           |           |           |                     |  |           |
| iii                 | 8 S     | ainsbury Local and West of Grove Park Station                            |                                    | None                      |                        |           |           |           |            |                |            |           |                            |                    |                             |                      |           |           |             |           |           |           |           |                     |  | 78        |
|                     |         | Total: East Area site  | allocations                        |                           | 782                    | C         |           | 0         | 0          | 284<br>0       | 159<br>443 | 0         | <del>65</del><br><u>64</u> | 313                | <del>250</del><br><u>0</u>  | <del>62</del><br>312 | 0         | 0         | 0           | C         | 0         | 0         | 0         | <del>0</del><br>100 | 1  |           |
|                     |         | ea other large consented/pre-app sites                                   |                                    |                           | *                      |           |           | <u> </u>  |            |                |            |           |                            |                    |                             |                      |           |           |             |           |           |           |           |                     |  |           |
| n/a                 |         | tephen James BMW, Lee Terrace  | 1                                  | Comp                      |                        | 30        | )         |           |            |                |            |           |                            |                    |                             |                      |           |           |             |           |           |           |           |                     | igwdown  |           |
| 01<br>a i           |         | 52 Lee High Road * aven and Betuna, Grove Park Road (NSC care            | 0.09                               | Comp                      |                        |           |           |           | 46         |                | 17         |           |                            |                    |                             |                      |           |           |             |           |           |           |           |                     |  |           |
| nii                 | h       | ome with 1:1 ratio applied) * 4-40 Eastdown Park *                       | 0.13                               |                           |                        |           |           |           | 40         |                |            | 21        |                            |                    |                             |                      |           |           |             |           |           |           |           |                     |  | -         |
| eiii                |         | lackheath Station Car Park   | <u>0.50</u>                        |                           |                        |           |           |           |            |                |            | 21        |                            |                    | <u>45</u>                   |                      |           |           |             |           |           |           |           |                     |  |           |
|                     |         | Total: East Area other large cons  |                                    |                           | 0                      | 30        | ) (       | 0 0       | 46         | 0              | 17         | 21        | 0                          | 0                  | 0                           | 0                    | 0         | 0         | 0           | C         | 0         | 0         | 0         | 0                   | 0  |           |
|                     |         |  |                                    |                           |                        |           |           |           |            |                |            |           |                            |                    | <u>45</u>                   |                      |           |           |             |           |           |           |           |                     |  |           |
|                     |         | Tota   | I: East Area                       |                           | 782                    | 30        |           | 0         | 46         | <del>284</del> | 176<br>460 | 21        | 65<br>64                   | 313                | <del>250</del><br><u>45</u> | <del>62</del><br>312 | 0         | 0         | 0           | C         | 0         | 0         | 0         | 0<br>100            | 111<br>56  |           |
|                     | SOUT    | H AREA   |                                    |                           |                        |           |           |           |            |                | 400        |           | J 34                       |                    | ===                         | <u> </u>             |           |           |             |           |           |           |           | 100                 |  |           |
|                     | South A | Area site allocations  |                                    |                           |                        |           |           |           |            |                |            |           |                            |                    |                             |                      |           |           |             |           |           |           |           |                     |  |           |
| eii <b>and eiii</b> |         | ormer Bell Green Gas Holders and Livesey                                 | 1.66                               | Арр                       |                        |           |           |           |            |                |            |           |                            |                    | <del>260</del>              | <u>72</u>            |           |           |             |           |           |           |           |                     |  |           |
| fi                  |         | emorial Hall<br>ell Green Retail Park                                    | 7 37                               | Adopted SA                |                        |           | 1         |           |            |                |            |           |                            |                    | <u>262</u>                  |                      | 48        | 100       | 148         | 150       | 150       | 150       | 150       | 1                   | $\vdash$   |           |
| ii<br>fiii          |         | ainsbury's Bell Green  |                                    | None                      |                        |           |           |           |            |                |            |           |                            |                    |                             |                      |           | 100       | 140         | 130       | 137       | 137       |           |                     | $\vdash$   |           |
| fiii                |         | tanton Square LSIS   |                                    | None                      |                        |           |           |           |            |                |            |           |                            |                    |                             |                      |           |           |             |           |           | 50        |           |                     |  |           |
|                     |         |  |                                    |                           |                        |           |           |           |            |                |            |           |                            |                    |                             |                      |           |           |             |           |           |           | 44        |                     |  |           |
| fiii                | 5 S     | ydenham Green Group Practice (remainder site)                            | 0.46                               | None                      |                        |           |           |           |            |                |            |           |                            |                    |                             |                      |           |           |             |           |           | 36<br>33  |           |                     |  |           |
| bi                  | 5 8     | 6-92 Bell Green * (part of LSA SA 05)                                    | 0.03                               | <u>UC</u>                 |                        |           |           |           |            |                | 22         |           |                            |                    |                             |                      |           |           |             |           |           |           | -         |                     |  |           |
| fiii                | 6 W     | orsley Bridge Road LSIS  |                                    | None                      |                        |           |           |           |            |                |            |           |                            |                    |                             |                      |           |           |             |           | 113       |           |           | <u>113</u>          |  | 1         |
| fiii                |         | dl, Southend Lane *  |                                    | None                      |                        |           |           |           |            |                |            |           |                            |                    |                             |                      |           |           |             |           |           |           |           |                     | 17   |           |
| eii                 | 8 L     | and at Pool Court *  | 0.30                               | Арр                       |                        |           |           |           |            |                |            |           |                            | <del>6</del><br>7  |                             |                      |           |           |             |           |           |           |           |                     |  |           |
| eiii                | 9 C     | atford Police Station *  | 0.32                               | A21/ App                  |                        |           |           |           |            |                |            |           |                            | <u> </u>           | <del>24</del><br><u>54</u>  |                      |           |           |             |           |           |           |           |                     |  |           |
| eiii                |         | omebase / Argos, Bromley Road  | 1.70                               |                           |                        |           |           |           |            |                |            |           |                            |                    |                             |                      |           |           | 141         |           |           |           |           | <u>141</u>          |  |           |
| fii                 |         | eadles Garage  | 0.33                               |                           |                        |           |           |           |            |                |            |           |                            |                    |                             |                      |           |           |             |           |           |           |           |                     |  | 25        |
| fiii                |         | ownham Co-op   | 1                                  | None                      |                        |           |           |           |            |                | 100        |           |                            | 200                | 000                         |                      |           |           |             |           |           |           |           |                     | igwdown  | 42        |
| fiii                | 1       | xcalibur Estate<br>estway Cash and Carry                                 | 6.14                               | None                      | 57                     |           |           |           |            |                | 102        |           |                            | 300                | 300                         |                      |           |           |             |           |           |           | 68        | 68                  | <del>                                     </del> |           |
|                     | 14 0    | Total: South Area site   |                                    | None                      | 57                     | 0         | ) (       | 0 0       | 0 0        | 0              | 102        | 0         | 0                          | 306                | <del>28</del> 4             | 0                    | 48        | 100       | 141         | 150       | 400       | 323       |           |                     |  | 67        |
|                     |         |  |                                    |                           |                        |           |           |           |            |                | 124        |           |                            | <u>7</u>           | <u>616</u>                  | <u>72</u>            | 0         | 0         | 148         |           | 287       |           | 400       | 460                 |  |           |
| a i                 | South A | Area other large consented/pre-app sites enton Court, 132 Adamsrill Road | 0.21                               | Comp                      |                        |           |           | 25        | :1         |                |            |           |                            |                    |                             |                      |           |           |             |           |           |           |           |                     |  |           |
| ai                  |         | Melfield Gardens*  |                                    | Comp                      |                        | 1         | +         | 16        |            | 1              |            |           |                            |                    |                             |                      |           |           |             |           |           |           |           |                     |  |           |
| bii                 |         | 6-60 Farmstead   | 0.32                               |                           |                        |           | 1         | 1         |            |                |            | 24        | 24                         |                    |                             |                      |           |           |             |           |           |           |           |                     |  |           |
| oi                  | 1-      | -3 Bellingham Road *   | 0.02                               | <u> </u>                  |                        |           |           |           |            |                | 18         |           |                            |                    |                             |                      |           |           |             |           |           |           |           |                     |  |           |
| oi                  |         | ome Park Housing Office, 129 Winchfield Road                             | 0.24                               |                           |                        |           |           |           |            |                |            |           |                            | 31                 |                             | <u>31</u>            |           |           |             |           |           |           |           |                     |  |           |
| bi                  | 84      | 6-92 Bell Green *  | 0.03                               |                           |                        |           |           |           |            |                | 23         |           |                            |                    |                             |                      |           |           |             |           |           |           |           |                     |  |           |
|                     |         | Total: South Area other large cons                                       | ented sites                        |                           | 0                      | C         |           | 0 41      | 0          | 0              | 41<br>18   |           | 0<br>24                    | <del>31</del><br>0 | 0                           | <del>0</del><br>31   | 0         | 0         | 0           |           | 0         | 0         | 0         | 0                   | 0  | O         |
|                     |         | Total:   | South Area                         |                           | 57                     | 0         |           | 0 41      | 0          | 0              | 143        |           |                            | 337                | <del>28</del> 4             | 9                    | 48        | 100       | 141         | 150       | 400       | 323       | 450       | 206                 | 17   | 67        |
|                     |         | Total.   |                                    |                           |                        |           |           |           |            |                | 142        |           | 24                         | 7                  | 616                         | 103                  | 0         | 0         | 148         |           | 287       |           | 400       |                     |  |           |

|        |      |   |                      |                |                        |           |                                   |           |            |            |           |                              |                            |                           |                       | 20-vear P    | lan neriod                 |                    |              |                    |                    |                            |                     |                             |              |                       |
|--------|------|---|----------------------|----------------|------------------------|-----------|-----------------------------------|-----------|------------|------------|-----------|------------------------------|----------------------------|---------------------------|-----------------------|--------------|----------------------------|--------------------|--------------|--------------------|--------------------|----------------------------|---------------------|-----------------------------|--------------|-----------------------|
|        |      | s   |                      |                | Pre Plan period        |           | Pre 15-year supply 15-year supply |           |            |            |           |                              |                            |                           |                       |              |                            |                    |              |                    |                    |                            |                     |                             |              |                       |
| Site   | Site | Site  | (ha)<br>Italicised   | Site           | Natomita               | 1         |                                   | 1         |            |            |           |                              |                            |                           |                       |              |                            |                    |              | -                  |                    |                            |                     |                             |              |                       |
| status | ref  | name  | = sites<br>less than | progress       | Net units<br>completed | 19/<br>20 | 20/<br>21                         | 21/<br>22 | 22/<br>23  | 23/<br>24  | 24/<br>25 | 25/<br>26                    | 26/<br>27                  | 27/<br>28                 | 28/<br>29             | 29/<br>30    | 30/<br>31                  | 31/<br>32          | 32/<br>33    | 33/<br>34          | 34/<br>35          | 35/<br>36                  | 36/<br>37           | 37/<br>38                   | 38/<br>39    | 39/<br>40             |
|        |      |   | 1 hectare            |                | prior to<br>April 2019 |           |                                   |           |            |            |           | Yr 1                         | Yr 2                       | Yr 3                      | Yr 4                  | Yr 5         | Yr 6                       | Yr 7               | Yr 8         | Yr 9               | Yr 10              | Yr 11                      | Yr 12               | Yr 13                       | Yr 14        | Y15                   |
|        | WES  | ST AREA   | ļ                    |                | April 2019             | ļ         |                                   |           |            |            |           |                              |                            |                           |                       |              |                            |                    |              |                    |                    |                            |                     |                             |              |                       |
|        | West | Area site allocations   |                      |                |                        |           |                                   |           |            |            |           |                              |                            |                           |                       |              |                            |                    |              |                    |                    |                            |                     |                             |              |                       |
| fi     |      | 111 - 115 Endwell Road  |                      | Adopted SA     |                        |           |                                   |           |            |            |           |                              |                            |                           |                       |              |                            | 57                 |              |                    |                    | <u>57</u>                  |                     |                             |              |                       |
| eii    |      | 6 Mantle Rd *   |                      | Adopted SA App |                        |           |                                   |           |            |            |           |                              |                            |                           | <u>46</u>             |              |                            | <del>20</del>      |              |                    |                    |                            |                     |                             |              |                       |
| fiii   | 3    | Jenner Health Centre  | 0.41                 | None           |                        |           |                                   |           |            |            |           |                              |                            |                           |                       |              |                            |                    |              |                    |                    | <del>30</del><br><u>36</u> |                     |                             |              |                       |
| fi     | 4    | Land at Forest Hill Station East (Waldram Place and Perry Vale)                             | 0.21                 | Adopted SA     |                        |           |                                   |           |            |            |           |                              |                            |                           |                       |              |                            |                    |              |                    |                    |                            | 41<br>20            | <u>21</u>                   |              |                       |
| fi     | 5    | Land at Forest Hill Station West (Devonshire and Dartmouth Roads)                           | 0.44                 | Adopted SA     |                        |           |                                   |           |            |            |           |                              |                            |                           |                       |              |                            |                    |              |                    |                    |                            | <del>80</del><br>25 | <u>25</u>                   | <u>30</u>    |                       |
| fiii   | 6    | Perry Vale LSIS   | 0.72                 | None           |                        |           |                                   |           |            |            |           |                              |                            |                           |                       |              |                            |                    |              |                    |                    |                            | 73                  | 73                          |              |                       |
| fiii   |      | Clyde Vale LSIS *   |                      | None           |                        |           |                                   |           |            |            |           |                              |                            |                           |                       |              |                            |                    |              |                    |                    |                            | 70                  | 70                          | 21           | 21                    |
| fiii   |      | Featherstone Lodge, Eliot Bank  |                      | None           |                        |           |                                   |           |            |            |           |                              |                            |                           |                       |              |                            |                    |              |                    | 33                 |                            | <u>33</u>           |                             |              |                       |
| bii    |      | Willow Way LSIS   |                      | NS + App       |                        |           |                                   |           |            |            |           |                              |                            | 60                        | <del>175</del>        |              |                            |                    |              | 16                 |                    |                            | <u> </u>            |                             |              | 19                    |
| J      | Ů    | ,   |                      | . <u></u>      |                        |           |                                   |           |            |            |           |                              |                            | <u>55</u>                 | <u>115</u>            |              |                            |                    |              | <u></u>            |                    |                            |                     |                             |              | <u></u>               |
| fiii   | 10   | 74-78 Sydenham Road *   | 0.09                 | None           |                        |           |                                   |           |            |            |           |                              |                            |                           |                       |              |                            |                    |              |                    |                    |                            |                     |                             |              | 16                    |
| fiii   | 11   | Land at Sydenham Road and Loxley Close  | 0.64                 | None           |                        |           |                                   |           |            |            |           |                              |                            |                           |                       |              |                            |                    |              |                    |                    |                            |                     |                             |              | 125                   |
| fi     | 12   | 113 to 157 Sydenham Road  | 0.86                 | Adopted SA     |                        |           |                                   |           |            |            |           |                              |                            |                           |                       |              |                            |                    |              |                    | <del>53</del>      | <del>52</del>              | <del>52</del><br>53 | <u>52</u>                   | <u>52</u>    |                       |
|        |      | Total: West Area site   | allocations          | 3              | 0                      | 0         | ) 0                               | 0         | 0          | 0          | 0         | 0                            | 0                          | 0                         | <del>175</del>        | 0            | 0                          | 77                 | 0            | 0                  | 86                 | <del>82</del>              | <del>246</del>      | 73                          | θ            | <del>162</del>        |
|        |      |   |                      |                |                        |           |                                   |           |            |            |           |                              |                            | <u>60</u>                 | <u>161</u>            |              |                            | <u>0</u>           |              | <u>16</u>          | <u>0</u>           | <u>93</u>                  | <u>204</u>          | <u>171</u>                  | <u>103</u>   | <u>160</u>            |
| n/a    | west | Land rear of 15-17a Tyson Road  | 0.92                 | Comp           | 1                      | 68        | 2                                 | I         | T T        |            |           | 1                            |                            |                           |                       |              |                            |                    |              |                    | T                  | <u> </u>                   |                     | <u> </u>                    |              |                       |
| n/a    |      | Fairway House, rear of Dartmouth Road   |                      | Comp           |                        | 27        |                                   |           |            |            |           |                              |                            |                           |                       |              |                            |                    |              |                    |                    |                            |                     |                             |              |                       |
| n/a    |      | Longfield Crescent Estate   |                      | Comp           |                        | 27        |                                   |           |            |            |           |                              |                            |                           |                       |              |                            |                    |              |                    |                    |                            |                     |                             |              |                       |
| ai     |      | Former Sydenham Police Station  |                      | Comp           |                        |           |                                   | 33        | 1          |            |           |                              |                            |                           |                       |              |                            |                    |              |                    |                    |                            |                     |                             |              |                       |
| a i    |      | 154-158 Sydenham Road   |                      | Comp           |                        |           |                                   |           | 33         |            |           |                              |                            |                           |                       |              |                            |                    |              |                    |                    |                            |                     |                             |              |                       |
| ai     |      | Welllington Close, Somerville Estate*   |                      | Comp           |                        |           |                                   |           | 23         |            |           |                              |                            |                           |                       |              |                            |                    |              |                    |                    |                            |                     |                             | <del></del>  |                       |
| a iii  |      | Our Lady and St Philip Neri RC Primary School   | 0.33                 |                |                        |           |                                   |           | <u> </u>   | 59         |           |                              |                            |                           |                       |              |                            |                    |              |                    |                    |                            |                     |                             |              |                       |
| bi     |      | Waldram Crescent, Rear of 1-17 Stanstead Road *   | 0.11                 |                |                        |           |                                   |           |            | 22         | 22        |                              |                            |                           |                       |              |                            |                    |              |                    |                    |                            |                     |                             |              |                       |
| a iii  |      | Mayow Park Warehouse (6 C2 supported living and 26 temporary C3 with ratio 1.8:1 applied) * | 0.10                 |                |                        |           |                                   |           |            | 18         |           |                              |                            |                           |                       |              |                            |                    |              |                    |                    |                            |                     |                             |              |                       |
| a i    |      | Garages at Knapdale Close *   | 0.72                 | Comp           |                        |           |                                   |           | 17         |            |           |                              |                            |                           |                       |              |                            |                    |              |                    |                    |                            |                     |                             |              |                       |
| bii    |      | 96a Sydenham Road *   | 0.02                 |                |                        |           |                                   |           |            |            | 10        |                              |                            |                           |                       |              |                            |                    |              |                    |                    |                            |                     |                             |              |                       |
| bi     |      | Bampton Estate  | 1.85                 |                |                        |           |                                   |           |            | θ          | 39        |                              |                            |                           |                       |              |                            |                    |              |                    |                    |                            |                     |                             |              |                       |
| bii    |      | Regent Business Centre, 291-301 Kirkdale  | 0.24                 |                |                        |           |                                   |           |            |            |           | 35                           |                            |                           |                       |              |                            |                    |              |                    |                    |                            |                     |                             |              |                       |
| bi     |      | Mais House  | 1.35                 | UC             |                        |           |                                   |           |            |            |           | 110                          |                            |                           |                       |              |                            |                    |              |                    |                    |                            |                     |                             |              |                       |
| eiii   |      | Martin's Yard, Drakefell Yard   |                      | Арр            |                        |           |                                   |           |            |            |           |                              |                            |                           | 71                    |              |                            |                    |              |                    |                    |                            |                     |                             |              |                       |
| bii    |      | Valentine Court   |                      | App NS         |                        |           |                                   |           |            |            |           | 41                           |                            |                           |                       |              |                            |                    |              |                    |                    |                            |                     |                             |              |                       |
| bii    |      | Green Bank Cottage, Taymount Rise   | 0.14                 |                |                        |           |                                   |           |            |            |           |                              | <u>14</u>                  |                           |                       |              |                            |                    |              |                    |                    |                            |                     |                             |              |                       |
| eiii   |      | Taymount Grange   | 0.75                 | <u>App</u>     |                        |           |                                   |           |            |            |           |                              |                            |                           | <u>20</u>             |              |                            |                    |              |                    |                    |                            |                     |                             |              |                       |
| bii    |      | Fairlawn Primary School   | 0.10                 | NS NS          |                        |           |                                   |           |            |            |           | <u>12</u>                    |                            |                           |                       |              |                            |                    |              |                    |                    |                            |                     |                             |              |                       |
| bii    |      | 34 Sydenham Hill  | 0.96                 | NS NS          |                        |           |                                   |           |            |            |           |                              | <u>11</u>                  |                           |                       |              |                            |                    |              |                    |                    |                            |                     |                             |              |                       |
| bii    |      | 27 Inglemere Road   | <u>0.23</u>          | NS NS          |                        |           |                                   |           |            |            |           |                              | <u>20</u>                  |                           |                       |              |                            |                    |              |                    |                    |                            |                     |                             |              |                       |
|        |      | Total: West Area other large cons   | ented sites          | 3              | 0                      | 122       | 2 0                               | 33        | 73         | 99<br>77   |           | <del>186</del><br><u>198</u> | 0<br><u>45</u>             | 0                         | <del>71</del><br>91   | 0            | 0                          | 0                  | 0            | 0                  | 0                  | 0                          | 0                   | 0                           | 0            | 0                     |
|        |      | Total:  | : West Area          | 1              | 0                      | 122       | 2 0                               | 33        | 73         | 99<br>77   |           | <del>186</del><br>198        | <del>0</del><br>45         | <del>0</del><br><u>60</u> | 246<br>252            | 0            | 0                          | <del>77</del><br>0 | 0            | <del>0</del><br>16 | <del>86</del><br>0 | <del>82</del><br>93        |                     | <del>73</del><br><u>171</u> |              | <del>162</del><br>160 |
|        |      |   |                      |                |                        |           |                                   |           |            |            |           |                              | _                          |                           |                       |              |                            | _                  |              |                    |                    |                            |                     |                             |              |                       |
|        |      | Total for Site  | Allocations          | 3              | 1,201                  | 203       | 3 0                               | 120       |            | 685        | 1,425     | 654                          | 1,317                      |                           |                       |              | 1,384                      |                    | 1,221        | 1,376              | 1,728              | 1,527                      | 1,707               | 864                         |              | 870                   |
|        |      |   |                      |                |                        |           |                                   |           | <u>56</u>  |            |           | <u>422</u>                   | <u>655</u>                 |                           |                       | <u>1,890</u> |                            | 2,621              | <u>1,414</u> | <u>1,101</u>       | <u>1,022</u>       | <u>1,169</u>               | <u>1,952</u>        | <u>1,715</u>                | <u>1,158</u> | <u>1,114</u>          |
|        |      | Total for other large cons  | ented sites          | 3              | 0                      | 802       | 403                               | 100       | 317<br>261 |            |           | <del>276</del><br>299        | <del>35</del><br><u>69</u> |                           | <del>157</del><br>391 |              | <del>109</del><br><u>0</u> | 109<br>0           | 0            | 0                  | 0                  | 0                          | 0                   | 0                           | 0            | 0                     |
|        |      | Total for large v   | vindfall site        |                |                        |           |                                   |           |            |            |           |                              |                            |                           |                       |              | <u>150</u>                 | <u>150</u>         | <u>150</u>   |                    |                    |                            |                     |                             |              |                       |
|        |      | TOTAL for   | Large Sites          | 3              | 1,201                  | 1,005     | 403                               | 220       |            |            |           | 930                          | <del>1,352</del>           | <del>2,628</del>          |                       |              |                            |                    |              | <del>1,376</del>   | <del>1,728</del>   | <del>1,527</del>           |                     |                             |              | 870                   |
|        |      |   |                      |                |                        |           |                                   |           | 317        | <u>113</u> | 2,203     | <u>721</u>                   | <u>724</u>                 | <u>1,595</u>              | 4,528                 | <u>1,921</u> | <u>1,961</u>               | <u>2,771</u>       | <u>1,564</u> | <u>1,101</u>       | 1,022              | <u>1,169</u>               | 1,952               | <u>1,715</u>                | <u>1,158</u> | 1,114                 |

|                  |      |   | Site size            |                  | D. Die                       |           |           |           |                              |                               |                |                                  |                                  |               |               | 20-year Pla      | an period                 |                  |             |                                  |                           |              |           |           |           |                           |
|------------------|------|---|----------------------|------------------|------------------------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|------------------------------|-------------------------------|----------------|----------------------------------|----------------------------------|---------------|---------------|------------------|---------------------------|------------------|-------------|----------------------------------|---------------------------|--------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|---------------------------|
| Site             | Site | Site  | (ha)<br>Italicised   | Site             | Pre Plan p                   | perioa    |           | Pre 1     | 15-year sup                  | pply                          |                |                                  |                                  |               |               |                  |                           | 15               | 5-year supp | ly                               |                           |              |           |           |           |                           |
| status           | ref  | name  | = sites<br>less than | progress         | Net units completed prior to | 19/<br>20 | 20/<br>21 | 21/<br>22 | 22/<br>23                    | 23/<br>24                     | 24/<br>25      | 25/<br>26                        | 26/<br>27                        | 27/<br>28     | 28/<br>29     | 29/<br>30        | 30/<br>31                 | 31/<br>32        | 32/<br>33   | 33/<br>34                        | 34/<br>35                 | 35/<br>36    | 36/<br>37 | 37/<br>38 | 38/<br>39 | 39/<br>40                 |
|                  |      |   | 1 hectare            |                  | April 2019                   |           |           |           |                              |                               |                | Yr 1                             | Yr 2                             | Yr 3          | Yr 4          | Yr 5             | Yr 6                      | Yr 7             | Yr 8        | Yr 9                             | Yr 10                     | Yr 11        | Yr 12     | Yr 13     | Yr 14     | Y15                       |
|                  | SMA  | LL SITES  |                      |                  |                              |           |           |           |                              |                               |                |                                  |                                  |               |               |                  |                           |                  |             |                                  |                           |              |           |           |           |                           |
|                  |      | gh-wide small site completions  |                      |                  |                              | 241       | 133       | 196       | 261                          |                               |                |                                  |                                  |               |               |                  |                           |                  |             |                                  |                           |              |           |           |           |                           |
| <u>aiv and</u> g |      | gh-wide small sites windfall allowance based on<br>on Plan target of 379 p.a. |                      |                  |                              |           |           |           |                              | 379                           | 379            | 379                              | 379                              | 379           | 379           | 379              | 379                       | 379              | 379         | 379                              | 379                       | 379          | 379       | 379       | 379       | 379                       |
|                  |      | TOTAL for   | Small Sites          |                  | 0                            | 241       | 133       | 196       | 261                          | 379                           | 379            | 379                              | 379                              | 379           | 379           | 379              | 379                       | 379              | 379         | 379                              | 379                       | 379          | 379       | 379       | 379       | 379                       |
|                  |      | TOTAL for Pla   | n Period             |                  | 1,201                        | 1,246     | 536       | 416       | <del>578</del>               | 1163                          | 1,965          | 1,309                            | 1,731                            | 3,007         | 3,851         | <del>2,163</del> | 1,872                     | <del>2,062</del> | 1,600       | <del>1,755</del>                 | 2,107                     | 1,906        | 2,086     | 1,243     | 1,159     | <del>1,24</del> 9         |
|                  |      |   |                      |                  |                              |           |           |           | <u>578</u>                   | 492                           | 2,582          | <u>1,100</u>                     | <u>1,103</u>                     | 1,974         | 4,907         |                  | 2,340                     |                  |             |                                  | 1,401                     | <u>1,548</u> | 2,331     | 2,094     | 1,537     | <u>1,493</u>              |
|                  | UPL  | IFT FROM BELL GREEN SITES   |                      |                  |                              |           |           |           |                              |                               |                |                                  |                                  |               |               |                  |                           |                  |             |                                  |                           |              |           |           |           |                           |
|                  |      | Bell Green Gas Holders and Livesey  |                      |                  |                              |           |           |           |                              |                               |                |                                  | <del>85</del>                    | <del>85</del> | <del>85</del> | 88               |                           |                  |             |                                  |                           |              |           |           |           |                           |
|                  |      | Bell Green Retail Park  |                      |                  |                              |           |           |           |                              |                               |                |                                  |                                  |               |               |                  |                           |                  |             |                                  |                           | 216          | 216       | 216       | 216       | 216                       |
|                  |      | Sainsbury's Bell Green  |                      |                  |                              |           |           |           |                              |                               |                |                                  |                                  |               |               |                  |                           |                  |             |                                  |                           | 159          | 159       | 159       | 159       | 161                       |
|                  |      | Stanton Square LSIS   |                      |                  |                              |           |           |           |                              |                               |                |                                  |                                  |               |               |                  |                           |                  |             |                                  |                           |              |           | 68        | 69        |                           |
|                  |      | Sydenham Green Group Practice   |                      |                  |                              |           |           |           |                              |                               |                |                                  |                                  |               |               |                  |                           |                  |             |                                  |                           |              |           |           |           | 51                        |
|                  |      | Worsley Bridge Road LSIS  |                      |                  |                              |           |           |           |                              |                               |                |                                  |                                  |               |               |                  |                           |                  |             |                                  |                           |              |           | 66        |           |                           |
|                  |      | Tot   | al for uplift        |                  | 0                            | 0         | 0         | 0         | 0                            | 0                             | 0              | 0                                | <del>85</del>                    | <del>85</del> | <del>85</del> | 88               | 0                         | 0                | 0           | 0                                | 0                         | 375          | 375       | 509       | 444       | 428                       |
|                  |      |   |                      |                  |                              |           |           |           |                              |                               |                |                                  | 의                                | 니             | 되             | 의                |                           |                  |             |                                  |                           |              |           |           |           |                           |
|                  |      | TOTAL for Plan Period v   | vith uplift          |                  | 1,201                        | 1,246     | 536       | 416       | <del>578</del><br><u>578</u> | <del>1163</del><br><u>492</u> | 1,965<br>2,582 | <del>1,309</del><br><u>1,100</u> | <del>1,816</del><br><u>1,103</u> |               |               |                  | <del>1,872</del><br>2,340 |                  |             | <del>1,755</del><br><u>1,480</u> | <del>2,107</del><br>1,401 |              |           |           |           | <del>1,677</del><br>1,921 |
|                  |      | a Completions since 2020  |                      |                  |                              |           |           |           |                              |                               |                |                                  |                                  |               |               |                  |                           |                  |             |                                  |                           |              |           |           |           |                           |
|                  |      | b i Sites with detailed/reserved permission und                               | ler constru          | ction with 10+ u | ınits                        |           |           |           |                              |                               |                |                                  |                                  |               |               |                  |                           |                  |             |                                  |                           |              |           |           |           |                           |
|                  |      | b ii Sites with detailed/reserved permission yet                              | to start co          | nstruction with  | 10+ units                    |           |           |           |                              |                               |                |                                  |                                  |               |               |                  |                           |                  |             |                                  |                           |              |           |           |           |                           |
|                  |      | c Sites with outline permission with 10+ units                                |                      |                  |                              |           |           |           |                              |                               |                |                                  |                                  |               |               |                  |                           |                  |             |                                  |                           |              |           |           |           |                           |
|                  |      | d Sites with detailed/reserved or outline permi                               | ssion with           | less than 9 unit | :S                           |           |           |           |                              |                               |                |                                  |                                  |               |               |                  |                           |                  |             |                                  |                           |              |           |           |           |                           |
|                  |      | e i Other sources, sites with detailed/reserved                               | permission           | with 10+ units   | that have a re               | esolution | to approv | e         |                              |                               |                |                                  |                                  |               |               |                  |                           |                  |             |                                  |                           |              |           |           |           |                           |
|                  |      | e ii Other sources, applications and pre-apps                                 |                      |                  |                              |           |           |           |                              |                               |                |                                  |                                  |               |               |                  |                           |                  |             |                                  |                           |              |           |           |           |                           |
|                  |      | f i Site allocations carried forward from adopted                             | l Local Plan         | ıs               |                              |           |           |           |                              |                               |                |                                  |                                  |               |               |                  |                           |                  |             |                                  |                           |              |           |           |           |                           |
|                  |      | f ii Site allocation considered in masterplan stud                            | dies                 |                  |                              |           |           |           |                              |                               |                |                                  |                                  |               |               |                  |                           |                  |             |                                  |                           |              |           |           |           |                           |
|                  |      | f iii Site allocations where no progress towards                              | a planning           | consent has be   | en made                      |           |           |           |                              |                               |                |                                  |                                  |               |               |                  |                           |                  |             |                                  |                           |              |           |           |           |                           |
|                  |      | g windfall allowance  |                      |                  |                              |           |           |           |                              |                               |                |                                  |                                  |               |               |                  |                           |                  |             |                                  |                           |              |           |           |           |                           |

| Chapter | 21 – Schedules |   |  |                                       |
|---------|----------------|---|--|---------------------------------------|
| MM334   | Schedule 12    | Schedule 12: Tall Building Suitability Zones  |  | Modification to Schedule 12 to        |
|         | Table 21.12    | Table 21.12: Table showing Tall Building Suitability Zones  |  | align with Figures 5.3-5.10           |
|         |                | COVERAGE MAXIMUM BUILDING HEIGHT (STOREYS)  | COVERAGE MAXIMUM BUILDING HEIGHT (STOREYS)   | Modifications to correct place names. |
|         |                | NORTH DEPTFORD  |  |                                       |
|         |                | Surrey Canal Triangle Mixed-use Employment Location   | 45   |                                       |
|         |                | Apollo Business Centre Locally Significant Industrial Site and Surrey Canal Road and Trundleys Road Locally Significant Industrial Site                     | 25   |                                       |
|         |                | Deptford Landings Mixed-Use Employment Location (previously known as Timberyards, Oxestalls Road) and Evelyn Court Locally Significant Industrial Site      | 35   |                                       |
|         |                | Convoy's Wharf Mixed-Use Employment Location  | 48   |                                       |
|         |                | Neptune Wharf MEL   | <u>25</u>  |                                       |
|         |                | Evelyn Court LSIS   | <u>35</u>  |                                       |
|         |                | DEPTFORD CREEKSIDE  |  |                                       |
|         |                | Land overlooking Deptford Creek adjacent Laban Theatre and new development of Union Warf Creekside Village East, Thanet Wharf Mixed-Use Employment Location | 30   |                                       |
|         |                | Sun Wharf Mixed-Use Employment Location (including Network Rail Arches)   | 20   |                                       |
|         |                | LEWISHAM  |  |                                       |
|         |                | Conington Road brownfield site, Land at Conington Road and  | 35   |                                       |
|         |                | Lewisham Road, Thurston Road Bus Station and Lewisham   |  |                                       |
|         |                | Gateway Lewisham Retail Park, Lewisham Gateway, Conington   |  |                                       |
|         |                | Road (south)  |  |                                       |
|         |                | Lewisham Gateway  | 35 with 16 storeys in south eastern corner   |                                       |
|         |                | Silver Road and Axion House   | <u>16</u>  |                                       |
|         |                | Lewisham Retail Park  | <u>35</u>  |                                       |
|         |                | Lewisham Shopping Centre  | 25   |                                       |
|         |                | Triangular site used as a depot adjacent to River Ravensbourne.   | 16   |                                       |
|         |                | Land at Conington Road and Lewisham Road (Tesco)  | <u>16</u>  |                                       |
|         |                | Church Grove self-build site  | 16   |                                       |
|         |                | CATFORD   |  |                                       |
|         |                | Wickes and Halfords big box retail, Catford Road  | 20 at centre and 12 at periphery   |                                       |
|         |                | Catford Shopping Centre and Milford Towers  | 20 storeys at centre, with 12 storeys in discreet locations subject to detailed testing. |                                       |
|         |                | Laurence House and Civic Centre with Rushey Green telephone exchange  | 12 storeys   |                                       |

| Catford Retail Park Island  | 20 at centre, with 16 storeys in discreet |  |
|---|---|--|
|   | locations subject to detailed testing.    |  |
| NEW CROSS AND NEW CROSS GATE  |   |  |
| Besson Street (Kender Triangle)   | 12  |  |
| Former Hatcham Works, New Cross Gate Retail Park, 12 Goodwood Road and New Cross Road       | 15  |  |
| Clifton Rise and Achilles Street  | 12 to 15                                  |  |
| Amersham Vale parking lot and 'Metro New Cross'   | <del>12 to 15</del> <u>10 to 12</u>       |  |
| BELLINGHAM  |   |  |
| Ravensbourne Retail Park  | 10 to 12                                  |  |
| LEE   |   |  |
| Sainsbury's Site Lee Green and Leegate Shopping Centre                                      | 10 to 12                                  |  |
| BELL GREEN / LOWER SYDENHAM   |   |  |
| Southern corner of former Gas Works site, Bell Green Retail Park and Sainsbury's Bell Green | 16  |  |
| Stanton Square LSIS   | 12  |  |
| Worsley Bridge Road Locally Significant Industrial Site                                     | 12  |  |
|   |   |  |
|   |   |  |
|   |   |  |
|   |   |  |