



Office of
the Schools
Adjudicator

Local Authority Report

to

The Schools Adjudicator

from

London Borough of Lewisham

to be provided by

30 June 2020

Report Cleared by: Name: Ruth Griffiths

Title: Head of Access, Inclusion and Participation

Telephone number: 020 8314 3499

Email: ruth.griffiths@lewisham.gov.uk

Date submitted: 10th September 2020

By: Name: Christine Tarrant

Title: Admissions and Fair Access Manager

Telephone number: 0208 314 6211

Email: christine.tarrant@lewisham.gov.uk

www.gov.uk/government/organisations/office-of-the-schools-adjudicator

**Please email your completed report to: osa.team@schoolsadjudicator.gov.uk
by 30 June 2020 and earlier if possible**

Contents

Section 1 - Normal point of admission	4
A. Co-ordination.....	4
B. Looked after and previously looked after children	4
C. Special educational needs and disabilities.....	5
Section 2 - In-year admissions.....	5
A. Co-ordination of in-year admissions.....	6
B. Looked after children and previously looked after children	6
C. Children with special educational needs and/or disabilities	7
D. Fair access protocol.....	7
E. General comments on in-year admissions.....	8
Section 3 - Other matters.....	8
Section 4 - Feedback.....	9

Introduction and guidance on completing the report – Revised April 2020

1. Section 88P of the School Standards and Framework Act 1998 (the Act) requires every local authority to make an annual report to the adjudicator. The Chief Adjudicator then includes a summary of these reports in her annual report to the Secretary for State for Education. The School Admissions Code (the Code) sets out the requirements for reports by local authorities in paragraph 6. Paragraph 3.23 specifies what must be included as a minimum in the report to the adjudicator and makes provision for the local authority to include any other matters. This is a revised template issued in the light of the Covid-19 pandemic.
2. This template requests local authorities only for:
 - a) information about how admission arrangements in the area of the local authority serve the interests of looked after children and previously looked after children, children with disabilities and children with special educational needs, including any details of where problems have arisen;
 - b) an assessment of the effectiveness of Fair Access Protocols and co-ordination in their area, including how many children were admitted to each school under them.
3. We would be grateful if local authorities would follow the approach used in statutory provisions and in the Department for Education Statistical First Release¹ and the Education Middle School (England) Regulations 2002².
4. Local authorities are, of course, free to comment on any other matters not specifically addressed in this template if they wish to do so under section 3. The views expressed by local authorities in previous years also remain a matter of public record.
5. The report **must** be returned to the Office of the Schools Adjudicator by **30 June 2020**.

¹ [Department for Education Statistical First Release](#)

² [The Education Middle School \(England\) Regulations 2002](#)

Information requested

Section 1 - Normal point of admission

A. Co-ordination

i. How well did co-ordination of the main admissions round work?	Not well	A large number of small problems or a major problem	Well with few small problems	Very well
Reception			√	
Year 7				√
Other relevant years of entry				
ii. Please give examples to illustrate your answer if you wish:				
<p>An technical error occurred during the allocation of reception class places for September 2020 resulting in 30 additional children being offered a place at the school. This was done with the full agreement of the governing body</p> <p>There was a further technical error that affected an all through school and the home to school distances for those applying for a place in the primary phase. Because it was not possible to honour the incorrect offers it was necessary to withdraw them on behalf of the admissions authority and re-offer to those who were wrongly refused a place on National Offer Day.</p>				

B. Looked after and previously looked after children

- i. How well does the admissions system in your local authority area serve the interests of looked after children at **normal points of admission**?

Not at all Not well Well Very well Not applicable³

- ii. How well do the admissions systems in other local authority areas serve the interests of children looked after by your local authority at **normal points of admission**?

Not at all Not well Well Very well Not applicable³

³ 'Not applicable' will only be appropriate if there are no children falling within this definition.

iii. How well does your admissions system serve the interests of children who are looked after by other local authorities but educated in your area **at normal points of admission**?

Not at all Not well Well Very well Not applicable³

iv. How well does the admissions system in your local authority area serve the interests of previously looked after children at **normal points of admission**?

Not at all Not well Well Very well Not applicable³

v. Priority in admission arrangements for 2021 for adopted children previously in care abroad. Please comment on the use of a priority in admission arrangements for a child adopted who was previously in care abroad if you wish.

vi. Until there is a change in primary legislation that defines such children as those in 'public care', schools in this administrative area do not include those who were previously in care abroad in the definition of a child looked after.

vi. If you wish to please give any examples of good or poor practice or difficulties which exemplify your answers about the admission to schools of looked after and previously looked after children at the **normal points of admission**:

Social workers are not always aware that for Catholic school admission arrangements, those who are looked after who are not of the faith are not given first priority

C. Special educational needs and disabilities

i. Please provide any comments you wish to make on the admission of children with special educational needs and/or disabilities at the normal points of admission:

Section 2 - In-year⁴ admissions

⁴ By in-year we mean admission at the start of any school year which is not a normal point of entry for the school concerned (for example at the beginning of Year 2 for a five to eleven primary school) and admission

A. Co-ordination of in-year admissions

Please provide any comments on the co-ordination of **in year admissions** if you wish.

Unless there is central coordination it is not possible to operate a robust and fair process for all applicants. This results in children being out of provision for an extended period of time, the risk of vulnerable children falling through the net and an unfair admissions process for those schools who are required to admit a disproportionate number of in year applicants.

B. Looked after children and previously looked after children

- i. How well does the **in-year admissions** system serve children who are looked after by your local authority and who are being educated in your area?

Not at all Not well Well Very well Not applicable⁵

- ii. How well do the **in-year admission** systems in other local authority areas serve the interests of your looked after children?

Not at all Not well Well Very well Not applicable⁶

- iii. How well does your **in-year admissions** system serve the interests of children who are looked after by other local authorities but educated in your area?

Not at all Not well Well Very well Not applicable⁶

- iv. How well does your **in-year admissions** system serve the interests of previously looked after children?

Not at all Not well Well Very well Not applicable⁶

- v. If you wish please give examples of any good or poor practice or difficulties which support or exemplify your answers about **in-year admissions** for looked after and previously looked after children:

during the course of any school year after the end of the statutory waiting list period (ie 31 December) in normal years of admission.

⁵ 'Not applicable' will only be appropriate if there are no children falling within this definition.

⁶ 'Not applicable' will only be appropriate if there are no children falling within this definition.

Social workers often do not understand local admission arrangements and limitations when placing a looked after child especially in Key Stage 4. Clear and concise social and educational history is lacking and schools are not always able to put essential support packages in place.

C. Children with special educational needs and/or disabilities

- i. How well served are children with special educational needs and/or disabilities who have an education health and care plan that names a school when they need to be **admitted in-year**?

Not at all Not well Well Very well Not applicable⁶

- ii. How well served are children with special educational needs and/or disabilities who do not have an education health and care plan when they need to be **admitted in-year**?

Not at all Not well Well Very well Don't know

- iii. Please give examples of good or poor practice or difficulties which support or exemplify your answers about **in-year admissions** for children with special educational needs and/or disabilities: A member of the Admissions Team now sits on the SEN panel, therefore providing greater cohesion in decision making for children with special education needs and/or disabilities.

- iv. If you wish please provide any comments about **in-year admissions** in respect of other children:

D. Fair access protocol

- i. Has your fair access protocol been agreed⁷ with the majority of state-funded mainstream schools in your area?

Yes for primary
 Yes for secondary

⁷ An existing protocol remains binding on all schools up until the point at which a new one is adopted.

ii. If you have not been able to tick both boxes above, please explain why:

iii. How many children were admitted to schools in your area under the fair access protocol between 1 April 2019 and 31 March 2020?

Type of school	Number of children admitted	
	Primary aged children	Secondary aged children
Community and voluntary controlled	4	14
Foundation, voluntary aided and academies	4	27
Total	8	41

iv. How well do you consider hard to place children are served by the fair access protocol in your area?

- Not at all Not well Well Very well Not applicable⁸

v. Please make any relevant comment on the protocol not covered above if you wish.

E. Any other comments on the admission of children **in-year** not previously raised if you wish.

Section 3 - Other matters

Are there any other matters that the local authority would like to raise that have not been covered by the questions above?

⁸ 'Not applicable' would mean that there were no hard to place children for which the protocol was required.

Section 4 - Feedback

We would be grateful if you could provide any feedback on completing this report to inform our practice for 2021.

Thank you for completing this template.

Please return to Lisa Short at OSA.Team@schoolsadjudicator.gov.uk by 30 June 2020