

# London Borough of Lewisham Local Development Framework

Ref:			

For official use only

# **Core Strategy**

Development Plan Document (DPD)

**Publication Stage Representation Form** 

Please return your completed form to the London Borough of Lewisham by 5pm Tuesday 6<sup>th</sup> April 2010

 By post to FREEPOST RRZZ TLHU GKZS Planning Service London Borough of Lewisham 5<sup>th</sup> Floor, Laurence House 1 Catford Road London SE6 4RU

or

■ By e-mail to <a href="mailto:planning.policy@lewisham.gov.uk">planning.policy@lewisham.gov.uk</a>

You may also make your representation online without the need to use this form.

Online at <a href="http://consult.lewisham.gov.uk/portal">http://consult.lewisham.gov.uk/portal</a>

For further information, or to request extra representation forms please phone 020 8314 7400 or e-mail <a href="mailto:planning.policy@lewisham.gov.uk">planning.policy@lewisham.gov.uk</a>.

This form has two parts
Part A – Personal Details
Part B – Your representation(s).

Please fill in a separate sheet for each representation you wish to make.

# Part A

# 1. Personal Details\*

\*If an agent is appointed, please complete only the Title, Name and Organisation boxes below but complete the full contact details of the agent in 2.

Title	Mr	I
First Name	Malcolm	
Last Name	Souch	
Job Title (where relevant)		
Organisation	NHS London HUDU	
(where relevant)  Address Line 1	11-13 Cavendish Square	
Line 2	London	
Line 3		
Line 4		
Post Code	W1G 0AN	
Telephone	020 7307 2441	
Number		
E-mail Address (where relevant)	malcolm.souch@hudu.org.uk	

2. Agent's Details (if applicable)

## Part B – Please use a separate sheet for each representation

Name or Organisation: 3. To which part of the Core Strategy does this representation relate? Section S2, IDP Para 9.3 Paragraph Proposals Map\_\_\_\_\_ 4. Do you consider the Core Strategy is: Yes 

No 4.(1) Legally compliant 4.(2) Sound\* Yes I No I \*The considerations in relation to the DPD being 'Sound' are explained in the notes which accompany this form If you have entered **No** to 4.(2), please continue to Q5. In all other circumstances, please go to Q6. 5. Do you consider the Core Strategy is **unsound** because it is not: (1) Justified (2) Effective • (3) Consistent with national policy 6. Please give details of why you consider the DPD is not legally compliant or is unsound. Please be as precise as possible. If you wish to support the legal compliance or soundness of the DPD, please also use this box to set out your comments. (Continue on a separate sheet /expand box if necessary) Criterion 2a of Policy 20 which supports the implementation of the NHS Lewisham Commissioning Strategy Plan is strongly welcomed. Section S2, Health of the Infrastructure Delivery Plan (IDP) sets out the PCT's commissioning strategy, its polysystem approach and committed and planned projects. It is recognised that the commissioning strategy has a timescale of 5 years and that the IDP is a 'live' document which will be monitored and revised as necessary. However, it is considered at present that the Infrastructure Schedule (Appendix 3 of the IDP, January 2010) does not set out sufficient details of health infrastructure requirements needed to support the level of projected housing growth in the borough, in particular the growth in the Deptford / New Cross growth area.

7. Please set out what change(s) you consider necessary to make the DPD legally complian or sound, having regard to the test you have identified at 5 above where this relates to soundness. You will need to say why this change will make the DPD legally compliant or sound. It will be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording of any policy or text. Please be as precise as possible. (Continue on a separate sheet /expand box if necessary)
It is suggested that the Infrastructure Schedule (section S2) is revised to provide more detail of future health service requirements, particularly the development of polyclinics in the borough, the delivery timescales and the role of planning obligations (s106) to contribute towards costs. The Council is strongly encouraged to work with the PCT to update the schedule.
<b>Please note</b> your representation should cover succinctly all the information, evidence and supporting information necessary to support/justify the representation and the suggested change, as there will no normally be a subsequent opportunity to make further representations based on the original representation at publication stage.
After this stage, further submissions will be only at the request of the Inspector, base on the matters and issues he/she identifies for examination.
8. If your representation is seeking a change, do you consider it necessary to participate at the oral part of the examination?
No, I do not wish to participate at the oral examination
□ <b>Yes</b> , I wish to participate at the oral examination
9. If you wish to participate at the oral part of the examination, please outline why you consider this to be necessary:
Please note the Inspector will determine the most appropriate procedure to adopt to hear those who have indicated that they wish to participate at the oral part of the examination.
6 April 2010 Signature: Date:
Signature: Date:

# Notes to accompany Representation Form for Development Plan Documents

### 1. Introduction

- 1.1 The Core Strategy is being published in order for representations to be made prior to submission. The representations will be considered alongside the published Core Strategy when submitted, which will be examined by a Planning Inspector. The Planning and Compulsory Purchase Act 2004 (as amended)<sup>1</sup> (the 2004 Act) states that the purpose of the examination is to consider whether the Core Strategy complies with the legal requirements and is 'sound'.
  - If you are seeking to make a representation on the way in which Lewisham has prepared the published Core Strategy, it is likely that your comments or objections will relate to a matter of legal compliance.
  - If it is the actual content on which you wish to comment or object it is likely that it will relate to whether the published Core Strategy is justified, effective, or consistent with national policy.

## 2. Legal Compliance

- 2.1 The Inspector will first check that the published Core Strategy meets the legal requirements under s20(5)(a) of the 2004 Act before moving on to test for soundness. You should consider the following before making a representation on legal compliance:
  - The published Core Strategy should be within Lewisham's current Local Development Scheme (LDS) and the key stages should have been followed. The LDS is effectively a programme of work prepared by Lewisham, setting out the Local Development Documents it proposes to produce over a 3 year period. If the Core Strategy is not in the current LDS it should not have been published for representations. The LDS is available on the Lewisham website<sup>2</sup>.
  - The process of community involvement for the Core Strategy should be in general accordance with the Lewisham's Statement of Community Involvement<sup>3</sup>. The Statement of Community Involvement (SCI) is a document which sets out Lewisham's strategy for involving the community in the preparation and revision of Local Development Framework (including the Core Strategy) and the consideration of planning applications.

http://www.opsi.gov.uk/acts/acts2004/ukpga 20040005 en 1

View the amending 2008 Act at: http://www.opsi.gov.uk/acts/acts2008/pdf/ukpga 20080029 en.pdf <sup>2</sup> View the Lewisham LDS at:

http://www.lewisham.gov.uk/Environment/Planning/PlanningPolicy/LocalDevelopmentFramework/LocalDevelopm entScheme.htm

3 View the Lewisham SCI at:

http://www.lewisham.gov.uk/Environment/Planning/PlanningPolicy/StatementCommunityInvolvement.h tm

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> View the 2004 Act at:

- The published Core Strategy should comply with the Town and County Planning (Local Development) (England Regulations) 2004 (as amended)<sup>4</sup>. When publishing the Core Strategy Lewisham must also publish the documents prescribed in the regulations, and make them available at their principal offices and their website. Lewisham must also place local advertisements and notify the organisations listed in the regulations, and any persons who have requested to be notified.
- Lewisham is required to provide a Sustainability Appraisal Report when they
  publish a Core Strategy<sup>5</sup>. This should identify the process by which the
  Sustainability Appraisal has been carried out, and the baseline information used
  to inform the process and the outcomes of that process. The Sustainability
  Appraisal is a tool for appraising policies to ensure they reflect social,
  environmental, and economic factors.
- The published Core Strategy should have regard to national policy and conform generally to the London Plan<sup>6</sup>. This sets out the policies for London in relation to the development and use of land and forms part of the development plan for Lewisham.
- The published Core Strategy must have regard to Lewisham's Sustainable Community Strategy (SCS)<sup>7</sup>. The SCS was prepared by the Local Strategic Partnership which represents a range of interests in the Lewisham Borough. The SCS was subject to consultation but not to an independent examination.

#### 3. Soundness

3.1 Soundness is explained fully in Planning Policy Statement 12: Local Spatial Planning in paragraphs 4.36 – 4.47, 4.51 and 5.52 and the boxed text<sup>8</sup>. The Inspector has to be satisfied that the published Core Strategy is justified, effective and consistent with national policy. To be sound a published Core Strategy should be:

## Justified

This means that the published Core Strategy should be founded on a robust and credible evidence base involving:

- Evidence of participation of the local community and others having a stake in the area
- Research/fact finding: the choices made in the plan are backed up by facts
   The published Core Strategy should also provide the most appropriate
   strategy when considered against reasonable alternatives. These alternatives

 $\frac{http://www.lewisham.gov.uk/CouncilAndDemocracy/StrategiesPlans/StrategyDocuments/SustainableC}{ommunityStrategy.htm}$ 

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> View the 2004 Regulations at: <a href="http://www.opsi.gov.uk/si/si2004/20042204.htm">http://www.opsi.gov.uk/si/si2004/20042204.htm</a> View the 2008 amending Regulations at: <a href="http://www.opsi.gov.uk/si/si2008/pdf/uksi">http://www.opsi.gov.uk/si/si2008/pdf/uksi</a> 20081371 en.pdf View the 2009 amending Regulations at: <a href="http://www.opsi.gov.uk/si/si2009/pdf/uksi">http://www.opsi.gov.uk/si/si2009/pdf/uksi</a> 20090401 en.pdf

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> View the Sustainability Appraisal at: http://consult.lewisham.gov.uk/portal

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> View the London Plan at: http://www.london.gov.uk/thelondonplan/

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> View the Lewisham SCS at:

<sup>8</sup> View at http://www.communities.gov.uk/publications/planningandbuilding/pps12lsp

should be realistic and subject to sustainability appraisal. The published Core Strategy should show how the policies and proposals help to ensure that the social, environmental, economic and resource use objectives of sustainability will be achieved.

#### Effective

This means the published Core Strategy should be deliverable, embracing:

- Sound infrastructure delivery planning
- Having no regulatory or national planning barriers to delivery
- Delivery partners who are signed up to it
- Coherence with the strategies of neighbouring authorities.

The published Core Strategy should also be flexible and able to be monitored.

The published Core Strategy should indicate who is to be responsible for making sure that the policies and proposals happen and when they will happen.

The plan should be flexible to deal with changing circumstances, which may involve minor changes to respond to the outcome of the monitoring process or more significant changes to respond to problems such as lack of funding for major infrastructure proposals. Although it is important that policies are flexible, the published Core Strategy should make clear that major changes may require a formal review including public consultation. Any measures which the Lewisham has included to make sure that targets are met should be clearly linked to an Annual Monitoring Report<sup>9</sup>. This report is produced each year by Lewisham and will show whether the Core Strategy needs amendment.

### Consistent with national policy

The published Core Strategy should be consistent with national policy. Where there is a departure, Lewisham must provide clear and convincing reasoning to justify their approach. Conversely, you may feel that Lewisham should include a policy or policies which would depart from national or regional policy to some degree in order to meet a clearly identified and fully justified local need, but they have not done so. In this instance it will be important for you to say in your representations what the local circumstances are that justify a different policy approach to that in national or regional policy and support your assertion with evidence.

3.2 If you think the content of the published Core Strategy is not sound because it does not include a policy where it should do, you should go through the following steps before making representations:

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>9</sup> View Lewisham's Annual Monitoring Reports at: http://www.lewisham.gov.uk/Environment/Planning/PlanningPolicy/LocalDevelopmentFramework/AnnualMonitoringLDF.htm

- Is the issue with which you are concerned already covered specifically by any national planning policy or in the London Plan? If so it does not need to be included.
- Is what you are concerned with covered by any other policies in the published Core Strategy on which you are seeking to make representations or in any other document in the Lewisham's Local Development Framework (LDF). If so, there is no need for repetition between documents in the LDF.
- If the policy is not covered elsewhere, in what way is the published Core Strategy unsound without the policy?
- If the published Core Strategy is unsound without the policy, what should the policy say?

### 4. General advice

- 4.1 If you wish to make a representation seeking a change to the published Core Strategy you should make clear in what way the published Core Strategy is not sound having regard to the legal compliance check and three tests set out above. You should try to support your representation by evidence showing why the published Core Strategy should be changed. It will be helpful if you also say precisely how you think the published Core Strategy should be changed. Representations should cover succinctly all the information, evidence and supporting information necessary to support/justify the representation and the suggested change, as there will not normally be a subsequent opportunity to make further submissions based on the original representation made at publication. After this stage, further submissions will be only at the request of the Inspector, based on the matters and issues he/she identifies for examination.
- 4.2 Where there are groups who share a common view on how they wish to see the published Core Strategy changed, it would be very helpful for that group to send a single representation which represents the view, rather than for a large number of individuals to send in separate representations which repeat the same points. In such cases the group should indicate how many people it is representing and how the representation has been authorised.
- 4.3 Further detailed guidance on the preparation, publication and examination of Core Strategies is provided in *PPS12* and in *The Plan Making Manual*<sup>10</sup>.

8

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>10</sup> View at http://www.pas<u>.gov.uk/pas/core/page.do?pageId=51391</u>