

Monthly Management Report

March 2017

Contents

Key

•	On	track	to	achieve	our	outcomes

Slightly behind and requires improvement

▲ Not on track but taking corrective action

Improving

No change

Declining

Missing actual data

Missing target

Missing target and actual data

Foreword	03
Overall Summary: Performance	04
Areas for Management Attention	05
Areas of Good Performance	06
Overall Summary: Projects & Programmes	07
Overall Summary: Risk	08
Overall Summary: Finance	11
Hot Topics	12
2. Young People's Achievement and Involvement	13
3. Clean, Green and Liveable	16
4. Safety, Security and Visible Presence	19
5. Strengthening the Local Economy	21
6. Decent Homes for All	22
7. Protection of Children	25
8. Caring for Adults and Older People	28
9. Active, Healthy Citizens	30
10. Inspiring Efficiency, Effectiveness and Equity	32
Appendix A: Methodology - performance	40
Appendix B: Methodology - projects, risk,	41

Foreword

The purpose of the Management Report is to place on record each month, in a consistent format, our performance against priorities. Each month we attempt to give a full account of what is being done, what has been achieved and which areas require additional management attention to secure future achievements. The report gives some coverage to the effectiveness of our partnership working. Reporting on performance is always double-edged. We have high ambitions and targets which are set to stretch management and staff effort. So, there are areas where the need for greater management attention is highlighted.

The report focuses on the Council's performance in line with our corporate priorities, drawing data from performance indicators (PIs), project monitoring information, risk register assessments and financial reports.

Performance:

Performance is being reported for February 2017. There are 15 performance indicators (75 per cent) reported as green or amber against target, and 10 (50 per cent) are showing an upward direction of travel. There are 5 performance indicators (25 per cent) reported as red against target and 10 performance indicators (50 per cent) which have a Red direction of travel. There are 3 indicators that have missing performance data.

Projects:

Projects are being reported for February 2017. There are no red projects this month.

Risks:

Risks are being reported for December 2016. There are nine red corporate risks - ICT infrastructure is not fit for purpose and/or does not meet business needs; noncompliance with Health & Safety legislation; financial failure and inability to maintain service delivery within a balanced budget; loss of income to the Council; failure of child safeguarding arrangement; serious adult safeguarding concern; information governance failure; failure to maintain sufficient management capacity and capability to deliver business as usual and implement transformational change; and strategic programme to develop and implement transformational change does not deliver. There are 13 amber risks and no risks are green.

Finance:

The financial outturn report as at 28th February 2017 is as follows: There is a forecast overspend of £10m (a decrease of £2.3m compared to the last reported) against the directorates' net general fund revenue budget which is £236.218m, This compares with a final outturn of £3.1m for 15/16 which resulted after applying £3.2m of funding for 'risks and other budget pressures' against directorates' year end overspend of £6.3m for the year. The Housing Revenue Account (HRA) is currently projecting a surplus of £1.6m. The Dedicated Schools Grant (DSG) is expected to balance at the year end. It is expected that there will be nine schools that require a licensed deficit. It is also expected that following the academy conversion order for Sedgehill School the school's deficit will be written off against the schools contingency.

Barry Quirk, Chief Executive 11 April 2017

- 4

Overall Summary: Performance

Summary of performance indicators in this report.

				People's volvement	,	Priority 2 Achieveme			
	Ov	erall F	erform	ance	Dire	ction of Tr	avel		
		*		Total	9	•		₩.	Total
2	0	0		2	2	0		0	2
Pr	iority 3 -	Clear	ı, Gree	n andLiveable	Prio	rity 3 - Cle	ean, Gr	een and	l Liveable
	Ov	erall F	erform	ance	Dire	ction of Tr	avel		
		*	?!	Total	9	•	*	?	Total
1	0	2	2	5	2	0	1	2	5
	Priority (6 - De	cent Ho	omes for All	Pı	riority 6 -	Decent	Homes	for All
	Ov	erall F	erform	ance	Dire	ction of Tr	avel		
		*		Total	9	•		*	Total
1	1	0		2	2	0		0	2
	Priority 7	7 - Pro	tection	of Children	Pr	iority 7 -	Protect	ion of C	hildren
	Ov	erall F	erform	ance	Dire	ction of Tr	avel		
		*		Total	9	•		₩	Total
1	0	2		3	1	0		2	3
	Priority 8		ring for r Peop	· Adults and le	Pı	riority 8 - O	Caring Ider Pe		lts and
	Ov	erall F	erform	ance	Dire	ction of Tr	avel	-	
		*		Total	<u> </u>	•		₩	Total
0	0	3		3	0	0		3	3
Р	riority 9	- Activ	ve, Hea	althy Citizens	Pri	ority 9 - A	ctive, I	Healthy	Citizens
	Ov	erall F	erform	ance	Dire	ction of Tr	avel		
		*		Total	9	•		₩	Total
0	1	0		1	0	0		1	1
	,			g Effciency, I Equity	Р	riority 10 Effectiv		ring Effo and Equ	
	Ov	erall F	erform	ance	Dire	ction of Tr	avel		
		*	?	Total	1	•	*	?	Total
0	3	3	1	7	3	0	3	1	7

Across all performance indicators in				Across all performance indicators in						
this report						this repo	ort			
	0	verall	Perfo	rmanc	е	Direc	tion of T	ravel		
	▲ 🔘 🖈 🟋 ? Total				Total	1	-		?	Total
5 5 10 2 1 23				10	0	10	3	23		

Performance

This report contains February 2017 performance data, and finds that 15 indicators are reported as Green or Amber against target which is an increase of 2 from last month. In February 2017, 5 indicators are reported as Red against target, which is a decrease of 2 from last month. There are 3 indicators with missing data in February 2017, which is the same as last month.

Direction of Travel

A total of 10 indicators are showing an upward trend in February 2017, which is the same as last month. There are 10 indicators with a red direction of travel, also the same as last month. In February 2017, 3 indicators had missing data, again the same as last month.

N.B. direction of travel is the change in performance and is measured against the previous year. Therefore changes to targets from one year to the next will affect this.

Areas for Management Attention

Together, we will make Lewisham the best place in London to live, work and learn

7 ii dad i dagaii ii g ii ana gain and addaii addaii	this month					
Performance Indicators - Monthly In	ndicators					
	Against Target Feb 17		17 v	Consecutive periods Red (last 12 months)	Priority No.	Page No.
LPZ940 % EHCPs issued under 20 weeks excluding exceptions to the rule		***		7	2	p14
LPZ941 % EHCPs issued under 20 weeks including exceptions to the rule		1		7	2	p15
NI062 Stability of placements of looked after children: number of moves		•	•	_	7	p27
	Against	DaT 1	ь т.	Consecutive		
	Against Target Jan 17		DoT Jan 17 v Dec 16	periods Red (last 12 months)	Priority No.	Page No.
NI192 Percentage of household waste sent for reuse, recycling and composting	Target Jan	17 v	17 v	periods Red (last 12	•	_
NI192 Percentage of household waste sent for reuse, recycling and composting Performance indicators - Quarterly in	Target Jan 17	17 v	17 v	periods Red (last 12 months)	No.	No.
	Target Jan 17	17 v	17 v Dec 16	periods Red (last 12 months)	No.	No.

Areas of Good Performance

Together, we will make Lewisham the best place in London to live, work and learn

Areas of Good Performance				
Performance Indicators - Monthly ind	licators			
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	Against Target	DoT Feb 17 v	DoT Feb 17 v	Priority
	Feb 17	Mar 16	Jan 17	No.
NI063 Stability of placements of looked after children: length of placement	*			7
NI064 Child protection plans lasting 2 years or more	*			7
LPI254 1C (2) % people using social care who receive direct payments	*		•	8
NI181 Time taken to process Housing Benefit/Council Tax Benefit new claims and change				10
events		•	•	10
LPI548a %age of notifiable incidents occurring on non-school sites reported to the HSE	*			10
Performance Indicators - Monthly Indicators (repor	ted 1 month behind)		
, , , , , ,	Against Target	DoT Jan 17 v	DoT Jan 17 v	Priorit
	Jan 17	Mar 15	Dec 16	No.
NI191 Residual household waste per household (KG)	*	- A		3
LPI264 2C (1) Delayed transfers of care from hospital per 100,000 population (NHS only)	*		•	8
LPI265 2C (2) Delayed transfers of care from hospital which are attributable to ASC per	→			Q
100,000 pop		•	•	0

Programmes and Projects

Project Performance - February 2017

Together, we will make Lewisham the best place in London to live, work and learn

	This month			One month ago			Two months ago					
	Status			Status				Status				
			*	Total			*	Total			<u> </u>	Total
0	9		5	14	0	9	5	14	0	9	5	14

Estimated completion	n dates
Project	Date
PMSCUS Lewisham Homes Capital Programme 2016/17	March 2017
PMSCUS New Homes, Better Places	Phase 2 completion - July 2017
PMSRGN Sydenham Park Footbridge	September 2017
PMSCYP Building Schools for the Future	September 2017
PMSCUS Excalibur Regeneration	January 2018
PMSCUS Bampton and Shifford Estate Development	Spring 2018
PMSRGN Southern Site Housing - Deptf TC Prog	November 2018
PMSCUS Beckenham Place Park Regeneration and Flood Scheme	June 2019
PMSCYP Primary Places Programme 2016/17	September 2019
PMSRGN Milford Towers Decant	2019
PMSCUS Besson Street Development	2021
PMSRGN New Bermondsey Regeneration Scheme	2026
PMSRGN Catford Centre Redevelopment	2026
PMSCYP Developing 2 Year Old Childcare Provision	TBC

Movements in project status since December 2016

Upgrades: None

Downgrades:

None

Removals:


None

Additions:

None

Overall Performance: Risk

Together, we will make Lewisham the best place in London to live, work and learn



Risk can be defined as uncertainty of outcome due to an event or an action in the future that could adversely affect an organisation's ability to achieve its business objectives and meet its strategies.

Good risk management allows an organisation to have increased confidence in achieving its desired outcomes; effectively constrain threats to acceptable levels; and take informed decisions about exploiting opportunities. Good risk management also allows stakeholders to have increased confidence in the organisation's corporate governance and ability to deliver.

In accordance with the Council's current Risk Management Strategy, risk is monitored by way of risk registers. Risks are scored in terms of likelihood and impact, with a range from 1 to 5 (with 5 being the highest) and the result is plotted on a matrix (as shown) to produce the RAG rating. A target is also set and the risk registers contain action plans to manage the risks to target and these are subject to regular review by Directorate Management Teams. The risk registers are reported to the Executive Management Team/Heads of Service (instead of the Risk Management Working Party that has now been disbanded) and the Internal Control Board on a quarterly basis and quarterly updates are provided in this report. The previous quarter's data will be routinely carried forward until the next quarterly update is made, unless there are matters of significance that need to specifically be brought to management's attention.

The Corporate Risk register has been refreshed to ensure that all risks are more clearly defined and accurately reflect the underlying risks. All of the action plans within the registers now have clear deadlines for completion. There are 22 risks in total on the Corporate Risk register (9 Red, 13 Amber, and 0 Green). The status of risk 28 failure to agree with partners integrated delivery models for local health and care services has been upgraded from 4 green to 12 amber whilst work continues to review and assess the impact of proposals to reconfigure health and care services and defined savings schemes are fully developed.

Alignment of directorate to corporate risks is regularly analysed and reported to the Internal Control Board. Analysis of the alignment of risks identified in business plans to the directorate registers will be strengthened following completion of the 2017/18 business planning process.

A Risk Maturity Assessment, undertaken by Internal Audit, reported in July 2016. It assesses the Council as 'Risk Managed'. This is the fourth highest of a five point scale. The definition of 'Risk Managed' is 'Enterprise-wide approach to risk management developed and communicated'.

There are six recommendations arising from the Assessment and an action plan is in place to implement these by the due dates. The Risk Management Strategy will be refreshed during 2017.

Overall Performance: Risk

Together, we will make Lewisham the best place in London to live, work and learn

	Red (Corporate Register)	
Corporate priority	Risk name	Current status
10	2. ICT infrastructure is not fit for purpose and/or does not meet business needs	
	y is in development with support from SOCITM and Public Accounts Committee approval for implementation. New desktop of the phases, with ongoing work to improve the infrastructure under the LBL/Brent shared service.	environment is
10	4. Non-compliance with Health & Safety Legislation	
Cross directora	ate monitoring meetings are taking place. Lessons learnt from CYP audits to be reported to CYP Directorate Management Te	am.
10	6. Financial Failure and inability to maintain service delivery within a balanced budget	
Savings proposa	orecast overspend is £7.7M against the directorates' net general fund budget with £3.8M of corporate provisions held for risuls of £35M to $17/18$ are being progressed. Tecast overspend is £6M against the directorates' net general fund revenue budget with £3.2M of corporate provisions held for the corporate pr	
	ngs proposals of £35M to 17/18 are being progressed.	
10	9. Loss of income to the Council	
	e with Oracle 12 and the system is hampering debt collection and fund allocation. All issues with Oracle 12 are subject to Sirector, Resources and Regeneration.	crutiny overseen b
8	17. Serious Adult Safeguarding Concerns	
Continue enga	gement with staff and partners as direction of travel becomes clearer. Expand Financial Implications in decision reports to i	nclude
7	18. Failure of child safeguarding arrangement	
	ngoing management action and review continues in respect of safeguarding. However, the risk of avoidable death or serious ontinually be rated red due to the potential severity should an event occur.	injury to client or
10	21. Information governance failure.	
	n Management policies to be refreshed in line with new Data Protection legislation coming from the EU that will replace the ondered and to ensure alignment with Brent. Refresh of Information Governance policies to follow.	current Data
10	24. Failure to maintain sufficient management capacity & capability to deliver business as usual and implement transformational changes.	A
working. Declini in flexibility and	inises the risk of strain on management capacity and capability with continuing headcount reductions and significant change ng budgets, changing demand and pressures, new technologies and a different community role under the Localism Act drive duality of service due to insufficient time or resource. Consideration of capacity and capability and succession planning are lanning model. Organisational shape, direction and delivery strategy being continually reviewed.	e risk of a decline
10	30. Strategic programme to develop and implement transformational change does not deliver	
	s key services to implement transformational changes in current climate of austerity. Exploring further potential for shared mercialisation and income generation as a means of delivering savings.	services,

Overall Performance: Risk

Together, we will make Lewisham the best place in London to live, work and learn

Corporate Risk					
	Current Status	Current status against targe	Source Date	Direction of Travel	Priority
1. Failure to effectively manage the impacts of an emergency affecting the public, business,		*	Dec 16	i 📥	10
environment and/or organisation.					
2. ICT infrastructure is not fit for purpose and/or does not meet business needs			Dec 16		10
4. Non-compliance with Health & Safety Legislation			Dec 16		10
5. Failure to anticipate and respond appropriately to legislative change.			Dec 16	>	10
6. Financial Failure and inability to maintain service delivery within a balanced budget			Dec 16		10
7. Adequacy of Internal Control.			Dec 16	>	10
8. Lack of provision for unforeseen expenditure or loss of income in respect of Council's liabilities or funding streams.	•		Dec 16	>	10
9. Loss of income to the Council			Dec 16	•	10
10. Failure to manage performance leads to service failure.			Dec 16	•	10
12. Multi-agency governance failure leads to ineffective partnership working			Dec 16	>	10
13. Failure to manage strategic suppliers and related procurement programmes.			Dec 16	>	10
15. Loss of a strategic asset or premises through failure to maintain it in a safe and effective condition			Dec 16	•	10
17. Serious Adult Safeguarding Concerns			Dec 16	>	8
18. Failure of child safeguarding arrangement			Dec 16	>	7
19. Loss of constructive employee relations			Dec 16	>	10
21. Information governance failure.			Dec 16	>	10
24. Failure to maintain sufficient management capacity & capability to deliver business as usual and implement transformational changes.	<u> </u>	A	Dec 16	>	10
27 Governance failings in the implementation of service changes			Dec 16		10
28. Failure to agree with partners integrated delivery models for local health and care services.			Dec 16	a	9
29 Move to IER impacts work of boundary commission			Dec 16	>	10
30. Strategic programme to develop and implement transformational change does not deliver		0	Dec 16	>	10
32. Election/Referendum not conducted efficiently.	0		Dec 16	•	10

Overall Performance: Finance

Together, we will make Lewisham the best place in London to live, work and learn

Performance

	January 2016	%	February 2017	%
*	3	30	4	40
•	0	0	1	10
A	7	70	5	50
Totals	10	100	10	100

The financial outturn report as at 28th February 2017 is as follows: There is a forecast overspend of £10m (a decrease of £2.3m compared to the last reported) against the directorates' net general fund revenue budget which is £236.218m, This compares with a final outturn of £3.1m for 15/16 which resulted after applying £3.2m of funding for 'risks and other budget pressures' against directorates' year end overspend of £6.3m for the year. The Housing Revenue Account (HRA) is currently projecting a surplus of £1.6m. The Dedicated Schools Grant (DSG) is expected to balance at the year end. It is expected that there will be nine schools that require a licensed deficit. It is also expected that following the academy conversion order for Sedgehill School the school's deficit will be written off against the schools contingency.

Finance by	Priorities (£000s)						
Latest projected year							
-			% variance				
		Feb 17					
01. NI Community Leadership and Empowerment	5,434	-240.00	-4.42				
02. NI Young People's Achievement and Involvement	5,800	1,500.00	25.86				
03. NI Clean, Green and Liveable	18,900	1,900.00	10.05				
04. NI Safety, Security and Visible Presence	9,900	-900.00	-9.09				
05. NI Strengthening the Local Economy	2,700	-500.00	-18.52				
06. NI Decent Homes for All	5,500	-600.00	-10.91				
07. NI Protection of Children	41,900	3,500.00	8.35				
08. NI Caring for Adults and Older People	72,100	4,300.00	5.96				
09. NI Active, Healthy Citizens	5,666	639.00	11.28				
10. NI Inspiring Efficiency, Effectiveness, and Equity	68,318	400.00	0.59				
Corporate priorities	236,218	10,000.00	4.23				

Hot Topics

Together, we will make Lewisham the best place in London to live, work and learn

There following 'Hot Topics' are being reported this month:

Lewisham Council scoops major housing award

Lewisham beat off strong competition from councils around the UK to win 'Best Housing Initiative' at the Local Government Chronicle (LGC) 2017 awards for the PLACE/Ladywell temporary housing scheme.

PLACE/Ladywell is a housing scheme for 24 homeless families, affordable work space for small businesses and a community café.

It uses modern building methods in an entirely new way, creating a building that can be moved from site to site, enabling us to make use of land that may only be temporarily available in order to provide temporary homes for homeless families.

PLACE/Ladywell won best housing scheme at the London Planning Awards on 27 February 2017 and the Mayor's Prize at the New London Architecture Awards in July 2016.

We were shortlisted for the Local Government Chronicle 'Best Housing Initiative' award alongside: Brighton & Hove City Council, Exeter and East Devon, Gateshead Council, Leeds City Council, Rochdale Borough Council, Telford & Wrekin Council, Wakefield Council.

The Local Government Chronicle awards took place on Wednesday 8 March 2017.

2. Young People's Achievement and Involvement

Raising educational attainment and improving facilities for young people through partnership working


Priority 2 - Monthly Performance								
	Unit	YTD Feb 17	Target Feb 17	Against Target Feb 17	DoT Last year	Against Target Jan 17	Against Target Dec 16	15/16
LPZ940 % EHCPs issued under 20 weeks excluding exceptions to the rule	Percentage	68.90	100.00	A	*			_
LPZ941 % EHCPs issued under 20 weeks including exceptions to the rule	Percentage	67.60	95.00	A	*		A	

Р	riority 2 - Projects	5		
	Directorate	Budget	Est. completion date	Current Status
PMSCYP Building Schools for the Future	CYP	£230m	September 2017	*
PMSCYP Developing 2 Year Old Childcare Provision	CYP	£2.562m	TBC	
PMSCYP Primary Places Programme 2016/17	СҮР	Budget 2016 - 2019: £36 M	September 2019	

Net Expenditure Priority 02 (£000s) $ 2016/17 \qquad \text{Projected year-end variance} \\ \text{Budget} \qquad \text{as at Feb 17} \qquad \text{Variance} \\ \text{Variance} \qquad \text{Comments} $									
	2016/17 Budget	Projected year-end variance as at Feb 17	Variance	% Variance	Comments				
02. NI Young People's Achievement and Involvement	5,800				Finance Overspend Schools' transport within partnership and targeted services area is predicting an overspend of £0.7m. The saving proposals from Attendance and Welfare, Occupational therapy, Education Psychologists and Multi agency planning are not being delivered in full this year this has led to shortfall of £0.4m. In addition, the short break budget is expected to overspend by £0.3m. The remaining overspend £0.1m is from Education Standards and Inclusion.				

LPZ940 - % EHCPs issued under 20 weeks excluding exceptions to the rule

LP2940			cluding exceptions to the rule							
	Percentage									
	Actual (YTD)	Target (YTD)	Performance (YTD)							
Feb 2016	70.50	100.00								
Mar 2016	70.20	100.00								
Apr 2016	56.50	100.00								
May 2016	63.80	100.00								
Jun 2016	73.00	100.00								
Jul 2016	67.50	100.00								
Aug 2016	67.20	100.00								
Sep 2016	64.90	100.00								
Oct 2016	63.90	100.00								
Nov 2016	64.20	100.00								
Dec 2016	69.20	100.00								
Jan 2017	68.30	100.00								
Feb 2017	68.90	100.00								



LPZ940 - comment

Performance Comments

Performance

Cumulative performance is now showing improvement as a result of an increase in monthly performance since October - the February stats are showing an increase again from the January stats where there was a slight decrease which was due to a significant number of EHCP conversions having been completed in December, slightly increasing the percentage. However the completion within EHCPs remains vulnerable to changes within staffing across the system alongside the increase These additional alerts help monitor the 20 week in demand. The SEN team is still having to manage the backlog which was created by these historic issues and the backlog in related services. Since September, when the SEN team was at full capacity, two members of staff have left and we are looking to recruit to fill those vacancies. The backlog in Educational Psychology due to staffing capacity has been addressed by the successful recruitment of additional staff, who are due to start in September 2017 once they have completed their training. There remains a backlog of cases, but additional locum Educational Psychologists have training. Additional locum staff have now been recruited now been recruited to address the issue. Published data shows that in 2015/16, 59.2% nationally were completed on time in England (excluding exceptions) and 79.6% by Lewisham's 'statistical neighbours'.

Action Plan Comments


Performance Action Plan

The performance of the SEN team is being monitored on a monthly basis. Additional alerts have been put in place (since October 2016) to support case officers to meet their PIs throughout the assessment process. process and will support officers to keep on target. The backlog in the related services is being monitored. Additional EP staff have now been recruited to prevent future capacity issues who are due to start in September 2017 once they have completed their to address the current backlog. However, the current backlog is likely to impact on the performance against the 20 week target for the next three months at least.

LPZ941 - % EHCPs issued under 20 weeks including exceptions to the rule

LPZ941 % EHCPs issued under 20 weeks including exceptions to the rule

	LPZ941 % EHCPs issued under 20 weeks including exceptions to the rule								
		Percentage							
	Actual (YTD)	Target (YTD)	Performance (YTD)						
Feb 2016	69.90	95.00	A						
Mar 2016	69.80	95.00	A						
Apr 2016	56.50	95.00	<u> </u>						
May 2016	63.80	95.00	<u> </u>						
Jun 2016	73.00	95.00	<u> </u>						
Jul 2016	66.80	95.00	<u> </u>						
Aug 2016	66.70	95.00	<u> </u>						
Sep 2016	64.00	95.00	<u> </u>						
Oct 2016	62.60	95.00	<u> </u>						
Nov 2016	62.70	95.00	<u> </u>						
Dec 2016	67.80	95.00	<u> </u>						
Jan 2017	66.90	95.00	<u> </u>						
Feb 2017	67.60	95.00	<u> </u>						



LPZ941 - comment

Performance Comments

Performance

Cumulative performance is now showing improvement as a result of an increase in monthly performance since October - the February stats are showing an increase again from the January stats where there was a slight decrease which was due to a significant number of EHCP conversions having been completed in December, slightly increasing the percentage. However the completion within EHCPs remains vulnerable to changes within staffing across the system alongside the increase in demand. The SEN team is still having to manage the backlog which was created by these historic issues and the backlog in related services. Since September, when the SEN team was at full capacity, two members of staff have left and we are looking to recruit to fill those vacancies. The backlog in Educational Psychology due to staffing capacity has been addressed by the successful recruitment of additional staff, who are due to start in September 2017 once they have completed their training. There remains a backlog of cases, but additional locum Educational Psychologists have now been recruited to address the issue. Published data shows that in 2015/16, 55.5% nationally were completed on time in England (including exceptions) and 63.4% by Lewisham's 'statistical neighbours'.

Action Plan Comments

Performance Action Plan

The performance of the SEN team is being monitored on a monthly basis. Additional alerts have been put in place (since October 2016) to support case officers to meet their PIs throughout the assessment process. These additional alerts help monitor the 20 week process and will support officers to keep on target. The backlog in the related services is being monitored. Additional EP staff have now been recruited to prevent future capacity issues who are due to start in September 2017 once they have completed their training. Additional locum staff have now been recruited to address the current backlog. However, the current backlog is likely to impact on the performance against the 20 week target for the next three months at least.

3. Clean, Green & Liveable
Improving environmental management, the cleanliness and care of roads and pavements, and promoting a sustainable environment

	Prior	ity 3 - Mo	nthly Perforr	nance				
	Unit		_	Against Target Feb 17	DoT Last year	Against Target Jan 17	Against Target Dec 16	15/16
LPZ751 Percentage of land and highways inspected that are of acceptable cleanliness (graffiti)	Percentage	?	?	?!	?	?!	?!	?
LPZ749 Percentage of land and highways inspected that are of acceptable cleanliness (litter)	Percentage	?	?	?!	?	?!	?!	?
Priority 3	3 - Monthly P	erforman	ce (reported	one month in arr	ears)			
	Unit	YTD Jai	_	5		5	Against Target	15/16
		1/	17	Jan 17	year	Dec 16	Nov 16	-
NI191 Residual household waste per household (KG)	Kg/Househo	ld 56.0	58.7	5 👚	₩	*	*	**
NI192 Percentage of household waste sent for reuse, recycling and composting	Percentage	17.8	20.0	0	•			
NI193 Percentage of municipal waste land filled	Percentage	0.0	34 2.0	0 🖈	1	*	*	*


3. Clean, Green & Liveable
Improving environmental management, the cleanliness and care of roads and pavements, and promoting a sustainable environment

Pri	ority 3 Projects			
	Directorate	Budget	Est. completion date	Current Status
PMSRGN Sydenham Park Footbridge	Resources & Regeneration	£775k	September 2017	
PMSCUS Beckenham Place Park Regeneration and Flood Scheme	Customer Services	£8.87M	June 2019	*

			Р	riority 3 -	Finance Net Expenditure (£000s)
	2016/17 Budget	Projected year-end variance as at Feb 17	Variance	% variance	Comments
03. NI Clean, Green and Liveable	18,900	1,900	A	10.05	Finance Overspend The Environment Division is forecasting an overspend of £1.9m no change since the last report. £0.5m relates to planned savings in respect of transport provision across the council. Before the savings can be achieved an overspend on the provision of transport needs to be addressed. Another significant proportion of the overspend, £0.5m, relates to additional vehicle hire costs as a result of vehicles coming to the end of their operational life. Domestic refuse tonnage is expected to overspend by £0.3m. This is due to an increase in the number of properties in the borough. Bereavement services is projecting an overspend of £0.3m largely arising from increased crematorium maintenance costs. Green scene budgets are projecting an overspend of £0.1m as a result of income from the former Foxgrove Club. A shortfall in commercial waste income of £0.1m is expected, partly as a result of the reduction in the number of properties in the corporate estate. The £0.1m overspend in the Street Management budget is the result of public conveniences which are no longer funded as part of the JC Decaux Highways contract.

NI 192 Percentage of household waste sent for reuse, recycling and composting.

		composting.	
	NI192 Percentage and composting	e of household waste s	ent for reuse, recycling
		Percentage	
	Actual (YTD)	Target (YTD)	Performance (YTD)
Jan 16	18.26	20.00	A
Feb 16	18.18	20.00	<u> </u>
Mar 16	18.06	20.00	<u> </u>
Apr 16	15.96	20.00	<u> </u>
May 16	16.53	20.00	
Jun 16	17.05	20.00	A
Jul 16	17.21	20.00	A
Aug 16	17.50	20.00	<u> </u>
Sep 16	17.72	20.00	<u> </u>
Oct 16	18.06	20.00	<u> </u>
Nov 16	18.06	20.00	A
Dec 16	18.01	20.00	<u> </u>
Jan 17	17.89	20.00	



	NI1	92 - comment
Responsible Officer	Performance Comments	Action Plan Comments
Head of Environment	January. The recycling contamination rate has also increased to 15.19% from 13.02% in December. The increase in contamination and the reduction of green waste collected (due to winter) have recycling.	Performance Action Plan A comprehensive communications plan is being developed which will be implemented when rolling out new services. New stickers are being developed for recycling bins so that households know the correct items to recycle. The new service is due to go live in June/July 2017. The new stickers will be provided prior to the launch date.

4. Safety, Security and Visible Presence Improving Partnership working with the police and others and using the Council's powers to combat anti-social behaviour

4.1 Performance

- Improving where smaller is better
- > Declining where smaller is better

				Violence with injury (ABH)		
	Unit	YTD Feb 17	YTD Jan 17	Change since last month	YTD Feb 16	Change since same period last year
Lewisham	Number	?	1,613.00	?	1,631.00	?
Outer London	Number	?	1,104.00	?	1,276.00	?
Inner London	Number	?	1,430.00	?	1,552.00	?
				Robbery		
	Unit	YTD Feb 17	YTD Jan 17	Change since last month	YTD Feb 16	Change since same period last year
Lewisham	Number	?	735.00	?	777.00	?
Outer London	Number	?	439.00	?	486.00	?
Inner London	Number	?	818.00	?	822.00	?
				Burglary		
	Unit	YTD Feb 17	YTD Jan 17	Change since last month	YTD Feb 16	Change since same period last year
Lewisham	Number	?	1,709.00	?	1,960.00	?
Outer London	Number	?	1,496.00	?	1,821.00	?
Inner London	Number	?	2,005.00	?	2,194.00	?
				Criminal Damage		
	Unit	YTD Feb 17	YTD Jan 17	Change since last month	YTD Feb 16	Change since same period last year
Lewisham	Number	?	2,024.00	?	2,233.00	?
Outer London	Number	?	1,497.00	?	1,726.00	?
Inner London	Number	?	1,775.00	?	1,906.00	?

4. Safety, Security and Visible Presence Improving Partnership working with the police and others and using the Council's powers to combat anti-social behaviour

4.1 Performance

- Improving where smaller is better
- > Declining where smaller is better

				Theft of vehicle		
	Unit	YTD Feb 17	YTD Jan 17	Change since last month	YTD Feb 16	Change since same period last year
Lewisham	Number	?	779.00	?	832.00	?
Outer London	Number	?	602.00	?	552.00	?
Inner London	Number	?	839.00	?	758.00	?
				Theft from vehicle		
	Unit	YTD Feb 17	YTD Jan 17	Change since last month	YTD Feb 16	Change since same period last year
Lewisham	Number	?	1,194.00	?	1,380.00	?
Outer London	Number	?	1,183.00	;	1,348.00	;
Inner London	Number	?	1,521.00	?	1,497.00	?
				Theft from person		
	Unit	YTD Feb 17	YTD Jan 17	Change since last month	YTD Feb 16	Change since same period last year
Lewisham	Number	?	495.00	;	496.00	?
Outer London	Number	?	429.00	?	448.00	?
Inner London	Number	?	1,782.00	?	1,851.00	?

5. Strengthening the Local EconomyGaining resources to regenerate key localities, strengthen employment skills and promote public transport

Priority 5 - Monthly Contextual Performance											
	Unit	YTD Feb 17	YTD Jan 17	YTD Dec 16	YTD Nov 16	YTD Oct 16	15/16				
LPI472 Job Seekers Allowance claimant rate	Percentage	2.50	2.40	2.40	2.40	2.50	2.60				
LPI474 The no.of JSA claimants aged 18-24yrs	Number	755	730	775	805	850	875				
LPI475 Average house price(Lewisham)	£	?	421,434.00	413,999.00	409,539.00	412,858.00	399,893.00				
Priority 5	- Quarterly Cont	textual Perfo	rmance								
	Unit	YTD Dec 16	5 YTD Sep 1	.6 YTD Jun 1	L6 YTD Mar	16 YTD De	c 15 15/16				
LPI423 Local employment rate	Percentage		? 73.	70 73.	50 74	1.90 7	75.90 74.90				

Priority 5 Projects									
	Directorate Budget Est. completion of			Current Status					
PMSRGN Catford Centre Redevelopment	Resources & Regeneration	£350m	2026						
PMSRGN New Bermondsey Regeneration Scheme	Resources & Regeneration	£500m	2026	0					

6. Decent Homes for All

Investment in social and affordable housing to achieve the Decent Homes standard, tackle homelessness and supply key worker housing

	Prio	rity 6 - Moi	nthly Indicate	ors					
	Unit	YTD Feb 17	Target Feb 17	Against Target Feb 17	DoT Last year	Against Targe Jan 17	t Against Target Dec 16	15/16	
NI156 Number of households living in Temporary Accommodation	Number	1,812.00	1,750.00		•				
Priority 6 - Quarterly Indicator									
	Unit	YTD Dec 16	_			Against Target / Sep 16	Against Target Jun 16	5/16	
LPZ705 Number of homes made decent	Number	255.00	375.00		•		* 1	,364.00	
Priority 6 - Contextual Performance									
					Unit	Feb 17 Jan 1	7 Dec 16 Nov 16	15/16	
LPI794 Number of families in non self contained nightly	paid accor	mmodation	for more tha	n 6 weeks	Numb	er 22.00 34.0	0 0.00 41.00	0.00	

6. Decent Homes for All


Investment in social and affordable housing to achieve the Decent Homes standard, tackle homelessness and supply key worker housing

		Priority 6 Pro	jects	
	Directorate	Budget	Est. completion date	Current Status
PMSCUS New Homes, Better Places	Customer Services	£1.5m	Phase 2 completion - July 2017	*
PMSCUS Lewisham Homes Capital Programme 2016/17	Customer Services	£49m	March 2017	*
PMSCUS Besson Street Development	Customer Services	£1.02M	2021	*
PMSCUS Bampton and Shifford Estate Development	Customer Services	£300k	Spring 2018	•
PMSCUS Excalibur Regeneration	Customer Services	£2.011m	January 2018	•
PMSRGN Milford Towers Decant	Resources & Regeneration	£6m	2019	•
PMSRGN Southern Site Housing - Deptf TC Prog	Resources & Regeneration	£1m	November 2018	•

Priority 6 - Finance Net Expenditure (£000s)									
2016/17 Budget Projected year-end variance as at Feb 17 Variance % variance Comments									
06. NI Decent Homes for All	5,500	-600	*	-10.91					

LPZ705 - Number of homes made decent

	, _, .		onico made decent
	l	_PZ705 Numb	er of homes made decent
			Number
	Actual (YTD)	Target (YTD)	Performance (YTD)
Jun 2016	125	125	*
Sep 2016	173	250	A
Dec 2016	255	375	A



	LPZ705 - comment									
Responsible Officer	Performance Comments	Action Plan Comments								
Head of Strategic Housing	meet expectations for the year. This will continue to be	Performance Action Plan The delivery against the quarterly targets are lower than programmed as a result of determining one of our main contracts. New arrangements are now in place and it is expected that performance will be back on track over the next reporting period.								

7. Protection of Children

Better safe-guarding and joined-up services for children at risk

Priority 7 - Monthly Performance									
	Unit	YTD Feb 17	Target Feb 17	Against Target Feb 17	DoT Last year	Against Target Jan 17	Against Target Dec 16	15/16	
NI062 Stability of placements of looked after children: number of moves	Percentage	10.70	10.00	A	•	0	*		
NI063 Stability of placements of looked after children: length of placement	Percentage	79.10	72.00	*	**	*	*		
NI064 Child protection plans lasting 2 years or more	Percentage	6.90	7.00	*					

	Priority 7 -	Contextual P	erformance						
	Unit	England 14/15	Statistical Neighbours 14/15	Feb 17	Jan 17	Dec 16	Nov 16	Oct 16	15/16
LPI302 No. of LAC 'as at'	Number	386.00	445.00	458.00	457.00	458.00	472.00	440.00	463.00
LPI309a Number of Referrals per month	Number	294.00	252.00	262.00	247.00	152.00	237.00	216.00	213.00

		Prio	rity 7 - Finan	ce Net Expenditur	re (£000s)
	2016/17 Budget	Projected year-end variance as at Feb 17	Variance	% variance	Comments
07. NI Protection of Children	41,900	3,500		8.35	Finance Overspend There are cost pressures amounting to £3.5m in Children's Social Care (this includes an underspend of £0.2m on the no recourse to public funds) which are in the following areas: the placement budget for looked after children is currently forecast to overspend by £2.1m; children leaving care is currently forecast to overspend by £0.3m; and additional pressure on the Section 17 unrelated to No Recourse of £0.5m and on salaries and wages which show a forecast overspend of £0.8m.


7. Protection of Children

Better safe-guarding and joined-up services for children at risk

		Current Status	Current Status against target		Direction of Travel	What are we planning to do?	When is it going to be completed
18. Failure of child safeguarding arrangement	Corporate			Dec 16	•	1. Implement improvement plans for Children's Social Care and Lewisham Safeguarding Children Board, in particular improvements to front door/MASH and QA strategy. 2. Data information and performance management regularly reviewed at Children's Social Care Service Management Team in light of Ofsted Action Plan. 3. Implement Early Help Strategy 4. Care Study Approach at DMT	1. Mar 2017 2. Monthly Review

NI062- Stability of placements of looked after children: number of moves

NI062 Stability of placements of looked after children: number of moves Percentage Actual (YTD) Target (YTD) Statistical (YTD) Performance (YTD) 9.50 9.00 12.50 Feb 2016 9.50 9.00 12.50 Mar 2016 9.60 10.00 10.40 Apr 2016 10.00 10.00 10.40 May 2016 * 9.20 10.00 10.40 Jun 2016 9.40 10.00 10.40 Jul 2016 10.30 10.00 10.40 Aug 2016 10.00 10.60 10.40 Sep 2016 10.20 10.00 10.40 Oct 2016 9.30 10.00 10.40 Nov 2016 9.80 10.00 10.40 Dec 2016 10.50 10.00 10.40 Jan 2017 10.70 10.00 10.40



NI062 - comments

Responsible Officer

Feb 2017

Performance Comments

Performance

Director of Children's Social Care

The children and young people with 3 or more placement moves in a year are predominately teenagers who display a number of complex and challenging behaviours as a result of earlier childhood experiences. The moves for this group focus on the early identification and tracking of fragile have been both planned to accommodate their complex needs and unplanned due to relationship breakdown and risk of/from offending. In all cases individual care plans are reviewed and agreed by independent reviewing officers. Individual care plans are devised to meet the needs of our most challenging looked after children; these include multi agency work with partners including YOS and CAMHS.

Action Plan Comments

Performance Action Plan

Placement support meetings are arranged with carers/residential units to develop placement stability. These placements, and the provision of multi-agency & multidisciplinary support to carers/staff to prevent breakdown. This support includes the diversion from exclusion from school by additional assistance in class from the Virtual school and direct Child and Adolescent Mental Health Service (CAMHS) in consultation with carers.

8. Caring for Adults and Older People

Working with Health Services to support older people and adults in need of care

	Pri	ority 8 - M	onthly Indic	ators					
	Unit	YTD Feb 17	Target Feb 17	Against Target Feb 17	DoT Last year	Against Target Jan 17	Against Target Dec 16	15/16	
LPI254 1C (2) % people using social care who receive direct payments	Percentage	32.53	30.00	*	X	*	*		
Priority 8 - Monthly indicators (reported 1 month in arrears)									
	Unit	YTD J 17	an Target J 17	an Against Targe Jan 17	t DoT Last year	Against Target Dec 16	Against Target Nov 16	15/16	
LPI264 2C (1) Delayed transfers of care from hospital per 100,000 population (NHS only)	Number pe 100,000	r 3.	92 4.	40 🛊	*	*	A	*	
LPI265 2C (2) Delayed transfers of care from hospital which are attributable to ASC per 100,000 pop	Number pe 100,000	r 0.	44 0.	80 🛊	**	A	A		

Priority 8 - Monthly Contextual Performance									
Unit Feb 17 Jan 17 Dec 16 Nov 16 Oct 16 15/1									
LPI250 ASC total service users	Number	3,144.00	3,099.00	3,123.00	3,122.00	3,124.00	1,920		

Priority 8 - Finance Net Expenditure (£000s)											
		Projected year-end variance as at Feb 17	Variance	% variance	Comments						
08. NI Caring for Adults and Older People	72,100	4,300	A	5.96	Finance Overspend The Adult Services Division is forecast to overspend by £4.4m. Placement budgets, which has a projected overspend of £3m, remains volatile in particular. The increase prior to previous month's reflects increased costs of residential care in older adults placements and changes associated with the reletting of contracts for home care. The greatest pressures remain on learning disability where the cost of transition clients has been identified as financial risk but has not been funded. The underspend arising from staff vacancies in Strategy and performance has reduced the overall overspend by $(£0.1m)$.						

8. Caring for Adults and Older People

Developing opportunities for the active participation and engagement of people in the life of the community

		Current Status	Current Status against target	Risk	Direction of Travel	What are we planning to do?	When is it going to be completed
17. Serious Adult Safeguarding Concerns	Corporate			Dec 16	•	 Risk - What are we planning to do? ISAB Chair to confirm the parameters of performance data for regular review. Organisational Alert Tool to be rolled out across ASC and joint commissioning following migration of data. 	Risk - When is it going to be completed? 1. Mar 17

9. Active, Healthy CitizensLeisure, sporting, learning and creative activities for everyone

	Priority 9 - Monthly Performance									
Unit YTD Feb Target Against Target DoT Last Against Target Against										
	LPI202 Library visits per 1000 pop	Number per 1000	583.91	590.00		₽	*	*		

Priority 9 - Finance Net Expenditure (£000s)											
	2016/17 Budget	Projected year-end variance as at Feb 17	Variance	% variance	Comments						
09. NI Active, Healthy Citizens : Net Expenditure	5,666	639	A	11.28	Finance Overspend Public Health have to identify savings in excess of £4m over $16/17 \& 17/18$, resulting from a combination of saving targets and grant funding reductions. However, it will not be possible to reduce the spend in the current financial year by the full amount of the funding reduction and an overspend of £1.0m is projected (a small reduction on the previous month reflecting reduced spend on National Health check budgets). The overspend has been slightly offset by an underspend in Cultural and Community services.						

9. Active, Healthy Citizens

Developing opportunities for the active participation and engagement of people in the life of the community

		Current Status	Current Status against target	Risk	Direction of Travel	What are we planning to do?	When is it going to be completed
28. Failure to agree with partners integrated delivery models for local health and care services.	orporate		A	Dec 16	•	Risk - What are we planning to do? Progress London devolution pilot that Lewisham is leading with update to M&C	Risk - When is it going to be completed? Dec 16

	Priority 10 -	Monthly P	erformance	2				
	Unit	YTD Feb 17	Target Feb 17	Against Target Feb 17	DoT Last year	Against Target Jan 17	Against Target Dec 16	15/16
BV008 Invoices paid within 30 days	Percentage	?	100.00	?	?	?	?	?
BV012b Days/shifts lost to sickness (excluding Schools)	Number	7.61	7.50		₩			
LPI031 NNDR collected	Percentage	98.72	99.00		•		*	*
LPI032 Council Tax collected	Percentage	94.87	96.00		<u>*</u>			
LPI548a %age of notifiable incidents occurring on non-school sites reported to the HSE	Percentage	3.15	4.00	*	₩	*	*	
LPI755 % of customers with appointments arriving on time seen within 10min of their appointed time	Percentage	93.78	91.00	*	•	*	*	*
NI181 Time taken to process Housing Benefit/Council Tax Benefit new claims and change events	Days	7.28	7.50	*	₩	A	A	*

		Current Status	Current Status against target		Risk Direction of Travel	What are we planning to do?	When is it going to be completed
1. Failure to effectively manage the impacts of an emergency affecting the public, business, environment and/or organisation.	Corporate		*	Dec		 Large scale exercise planned for early 2017 covering both emergency and business continuity response. Lessons learnt database under development to capture and monitor the implementation of learning arising from incidents and exercises. 	Risk - When is it going to be completed? 1. Mar 17 2. Mar 17
2. ICT infrastructure is not fit for purpose and/or does not meet business needs	Corporate	A		Dec 16		Risk - What are we planning to do? 1. Digital work programme agreed and monitoring by Digital & Transformation Board 2. Focus on supporting new ways of working for Adults and Children social worker teams to improve efficacy and efficiency 3. Monitoring IT Support arrangements to ensure quality service via shared service.	Risk - When is it going to be completed? 1. Monthly monitoring 2. Dec 16 3. Mar 17

		Current Status	Current Status against target		Risk Direction of Travel	What are we planning to do?	When is it going to be completed
4. Non-compliance with Health & Safety Legislation	Corporate		A	Dec 16		Risk - What are we planning to do? Review of H & S risks and audit plan for 2017/8	Risk - When is it going to be completed? Jan 2017
5. Failure to anticipate and respond appropriately to legislative change.	Corporate		A	Dec 16		 Risk - What are we planning to do? Reports to Council on changes necessary to reflect legislation. Significant work ongoing to assess the impact of Dilnott, Care Act and Better Care Fund for further integration of social care work with health. Responding to Govt consultations & lobbying in various areas of political change (e.g. business rates, schools funding, improved better care fund, London devolution). 	Risk - When is it going to be completed? 1. Quarterly for CWP 2. Quarterly for H&WB 3. As dictated by government agenda

					Risk		
		Current Status	Current Status against target		Direction of Travel	What are we planning to do?	When is it going to be completed
6. Financial Failure and inability to maintain service delivery within a balanced budget	Corporate		A	Dec 16		 Lewisham Future Programme to focus on transformation options - savings target to be refreshed once budget finalised. M&C line by line review of budgets with heads of service. Lewisham Future Programme to bring forward further savings proposals with the budget (if possible). 	Risk - When is it going to be completed? 1 Mar 17 2. Jan 17 3. Feb 17
7. Adequacy of Internal Control.	Corporate			Dec 16	The state of the s	Risk - What are we planning to do? 1. Address results of core financial internal audits & any recs from external audit and report to Audit Panel 2. Implemented solution for procurement support with neighbouring borough but new ways of working/control to be examined	Risk - When is it going to be completed?
8. Lack of provision for unforeseen expenditure or loss of income in respect of Council's liabilities or funding streams.	Corporate			Dec 16		 Risk - What are we planning to do? Receive and finalise pension fund, triannual valuation and set future contribution rates Receive business rates 2015 valuation and re-assess appeals risk. Annual review of Council tax Reduction Scheme 	Risk - When is it going to be completed? 1. Nov 16 done 2. Mar 17

		Current Status	Current Status against target		Risk Direction of Travel	What are we planning to do?	When is it going to be completed
9. Loss of income to the Council	Corporate	A	A	Dec 16	and the same of th	Risk - What are we planning to do? 1. New AIMS Programme and FISCAL reporting tool implemented to support income collection scheme 2. Independent review of accounts payable & receivable and wider financial control environment to maximise efficiency and efficacy of processes and procedures for using the Council's systems	Risk - When is it going to be completed? 1. Oct 16 2. Jan 17
10. Failure to manage performance leads to service failure.	Corporate		A	Dec 16		Risk - What are we planning to do? Following creation of a single corporate policy and performance team, revisit service data & performance priorities and update performance reports & quality assurance practices.	Risk - When is it going to be completed? Mar 17
12. Multi-agency governance failure leads to ineffective partnership working	Corporate		A	Dec 16		Risk - What are we planning to do? Adult Integrated Care Programme to improve services and provide better value for money.	Risk - When is it going to be completed? 4 year programme to 2017/18

				F	Risk		
		Current Status	Current Status against target		Direction of Travel	What are we planning to do?	When is it going to be completed
13. Failure to manage strategic suppliers and related procurement programmes.	Corporate		A	Dec 16		Risk - What are we planning to do? 1. Revised corporate arrangements to include SLA with neighbouring authority for specialist support) 2. Meet requirements of transparency code	Risk - When is it going to be completed? 1. Nov 16 done 2. Mar 17
15. Loss of a strategic asset or premises through failure to maintain it in a safe and effective condition	Corporate	•	A	Dec 16		Risk - What are we planning to do? Monitor & report on the performance of recently introduced facilities management & statutory maintenance contracts.	Risk - When is it going to be completed? April 17
19. Loss of constructive employee relations	Corporate			Dec 16		Risk - What are we planning to do? 1. Implement actions from talkback survey 2. Rollout manager training suite 3. Continue to explore forum to improve collaborative work in support of culture changes- starting with Heads of Service	Risk - When is it going to be completed? 1. Dec 16 2. Dec 16 3. Mar 17

				R	isk		
		Current Status	Current Status against target		Direction of Travel	What are we planning to do?	When is it going to be completed
21. Information governance failure.	Corporate	A	A	Dec 16	*	 Risk - What are we planning to do? Refresh all of the Information Management policies. Review information sharing guidance and processes Align IT policies with Brent with Information Governance policies to follow. 	Risk - When is it going to be completed? 1. Dec 16 2. Dec 16 3. Mar 17
24. Failure to maintain sufficient management capacity & capability to deliver business as usual and implement transformational changes.	Corporate	A	A	Dec 16	•	 Risk - What are we planning to do? Review level of agency staff/recruitment and success as part of retendering contract. Roll out corporate managers training. See also risk re financial savings & gap for management & corporate overheads. 	completed?
27 Governance failings in the implementation of service changes	Corporate	•	A	Dec 16	•	Risk - What are we planning to do? Savings proposal monitoring and legal implications for 2017/18 budget	Risk - When is it going to be completed? Feb 17

					Risk		
		Current Status	Current Status against target		Direction of Travel	What are we planning to do?	When is it going to be completed
29 Move to IER impacts work of boundary commission	Corporate		<u> </u>	Dec 16		Risk - What are we planning to do? Continue lobbying work at electoral commission and via LGA and respond to consultation.	Risk - When is it going to be completed? Dec 16
30. Strategic programme to develop and implement transformational change does not deliver	Corporate			Dec 16	Lange Control	Risk - What are we planning to do? Lewisham Futures programme continues to work to identify new proposals to close savings gap for 19/20 estimated at £45m, on top of £17m for 17/18. 1. £23m of savings agreed for 17/18 with £9m gap. Looking to bring forward more proposals if possible. 2. Working on £14m of outline proposals for 18/19 and 19/20, leaving a gap of £25m.	Risk - When is it going to be completed? Jan 17
32. Election/Referendum not conducted efficiently.	Corporate		A	Dec 16		Risk - What are we planning to do? Monitor resourcing for elections	Dec 17 Risk - When is it going to be completed? Dec 16 done

Appendix A - Performance Scoring Methodology

Together we will make Lewisham the best place in London to live, work and learn

Performance

Performance can be measured using two methods. Firstly, current performance is appraised against past performance to assess "direction of travel" – is it improving or worsening? Secondly, performance can be measured against a norm, standard or target.

Areas for management attention are determined by considering performance against the following 2 elements - Against target and Direction of Travel (DoT) against the previous years outturn (in this case March 2015). If both of these elements are red we consider that the indicator should be flagged as an area for management attention.

The Council has aims and objectives as an organisation responsible for securing local public services. But it also has wider aims to work in partnership with other organisations (in the public, private and community sectors) to improve Lewisham as a place to live. It is therefore essential that our Pls not only measure our organisational and service performance against the Council's corporate priorities but also evaluate our efforts to achieve improvements through partnership working. These wider aims are described in Lewisham's Sustainable Community Strategy. A summary on performance can be found in the 'Overall Summary: Performance' at front of the Executive Summary report.

Data Quality Policy

The Council has a Data Quality Policy which is adhered to and sets out the corporate data quality objectives. Directorates also have a statement of data quality and a data quality action plan.

Appendix B - Projects, Risk & Finance Scoring Methodology

Projects

Together we will make Lewisham the best place in London to live, work and learn

Project status is recorded using a red / amber / green traffic light reporting system.

Red: Projects considered to be at significant risk of late delivery, of overspending or of not achieving their primary objectives. Project likely to be facing issues or uncertainties e.g. funding concerns, lack of clarity over scope / costs, other significant risks not yet under effective control. Sheer scale of a project, its complexity and overall risk level can also attract a red rating.

Amber: Projects considered to be at moderate risk of late delivery, of overspending or of not achieving some objectives. Issues may have been escalated outside the project team, but likely that these can be resolved e.g. resources will be identified to deal with moderate changes to costs or scope.

Green: Project considered to be on time, on budget, with current risks being managed effectively within the project structure.

Risk

Risks are scored in terms of likelihood and impact, with a range from 1 to 5 (with 5 being the highest) and the result is plotted on a matrix (as shown on the Overall Performance: Risk page) to produce the RAG rating. A target is also set and the risk registers contain action plans to manage the risks to target and these are subject to regular review by Directorate Management Teams. The risk registers are reported to the Risk Management Working Party and Internal Control Board on a quarterly basis and quarterly updates are provided in this report.

Finance

Financial monitoring is recorded using a red/amber/green traffic light reporting system.

Net expenditure on the priority is forecast to vary from budget by either:-

Red - more than £0.5m or 2.5% overspent or more than £10m or 50% underspent

Amber - more than £0.1m and less than £0.5m or by more than 1% and less than 2.5% overspent or more than £5m and less than £10m or by more than 25% and less than 50% underspent

Green - up to £0.1m or up to 1% overspent or up to £5m or up to 25% underspent

The Executive Management Team will take into account:-

- (i)The performance of the housing part of the Capital Programme in assessing the traffic light for Decent Homes;
- (ii) The overall financial position on revenue and capital in assessing the traffic light for 'Inspiring Efficiency, Effectiveness & Equity'.

The methodologies for Projects, Risk and Finance outlined above will be reviewed annually at the end of the financial year as part of the review of this report and the target setting process for performance indicators. The text above will be subject to change at this point.