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## Total Employees By Directorate 2019/2020

The Council's total workforce includes 6725 people as at 31/03/2020. The tables below break this down and makes comparisons with the previous financial year. It should be noted that there has been a re-alignment of services within 2 directorates during 2019/20. These 2 Directorates have also been re-named as Corporate Services (was previously Resources \& Regeneration) and Housing, Regeneration and Environment (previously Customer Services). Please note that the Chief Executive is included within the headcount for Corporate Services.

| Total Employees Headcount By Directorate 2019/20 |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Directorate | Lewisham Headcount | Casuals | Claims | Agency Headcount* | Total Headcount |
| Community Services Directorate | 680 | 78 | 71 | 155 | 984 |
| Corporate Services Directorate | 537 | 15 | 32 | 94 | 678 |
| Children \& Young People Directorate | 468 | 27 | 56 | 161 | 712 |
| Housing, Regeneration \& Environment | 690 | 0 | 10 | 232 | 932 |
| Excluding Schools | 2375 | 120 | 169 | 642 | 3306 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Schools | 4350 |  |  |  | 4350 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total Including Schools : | 6725 | 120 | 169 | 1284 | 8298 |

*Agency headcount is as at March 2020

| Total Employees Headcount By Directorate 2018/19 |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Directorate | Lewisham Headcount | Casuals | Claims | Agency Headcount* | Total Headcount |
| Community Services Directorate | 696 | 5 | 19 | 175 | 895 |
| Customer Services Directorate | 809 | 13 |  | 234 | 1056 |
| Children \& Young People Directorate | 450 | 18 | 3 | 161 | 632 |
| Resources \& Regeneration Directorate | 408 | 66 |  | 82 | 556 |
| Excluding Schools | 2363 | 102 | 22 | 652 | 3139 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Schools | 4446 |  |  | * | 4446 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total Including Schools : | 6809 | 102 | 22 | 652 | 7585 |

The agency headcount and FTE listed only include agency staff who are employed via the Council's agency managed service (reed). Lewisham Council does not record FTE for casuals and claims based employees as they do not have regular hours; these include Electoral Canvassers, Life Models, Exam Invigilators

* No data is held on the numbers of agency workers as schools are not required to commission supply cover through the Council's agency managed service. There is an existing contract with the 'Lewisham Supply Service' for the supply of agency teachers and teaching assistants that exists for the benefit of schools. Also, many schools use a variety of other agencies by choice.

| Total Employees FTE By Directorate 2019/20 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Directorate | Lewisham FTE | Agency FTE | Total FTE |
| Community Services Directorate | 557.01 | 111 | 668.01 |
| Corporate Services Directorate | 506.44 | 87 | 593.44 |
| Children \& Young People Directorate | 429.05 | 137 | 566.05 |
| Housing Regeneration \& Environment | 655.13 | 199 | 854.13 |
| Total Excluding Schools | 2147.63 | 534 | 2681.63 |
|  |  |  |  |
| Schools | 3486.94 |  |  |
| Total Including Schools : | 5634.57 |  |  |


| Total Employees FTE By Directorate 2018/19 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Directorate | Lewisham FTE | Agency FTE | Total FTE |
| Community Services Directorate | 568.45 | 113.00 | 681.45 |
| Customer Services Directorate | 772.46 | 234.00 | 1006.46 |
| Children \& Young People Directorate | 422.21 | 130.00 | 552.21 |
| Resources \& Regeneration Directorate | 375.41 | 82.00 | 457.41 |
| Total Excluding Schools | 2138.53 | 559.00 | 2697.53 |
|  |  |  |  |
| Schools | 3532.80 |  |  |
| Total Including Schools : | 5671.32 |  |  |


| Total No of employees at 1.04.2019 | 2363 |
| :--- | ---: |
| No. of employees leaving on <br> redundancy terms | 28 |
| Less Total Leavers 19/20 (Inc 257 <br> Redundancies) 269 <br> Add New Starters 19/20 NB: New starters are exter <br> Total No of employees at 31.03 .20 2375 $\mathbf{l}$ |  |

## PAY FOR NON-SCHOOLS EMPLOYEES 2018/19

Employers with more than 250 employees are now required by the Government to publish information on the gender pay gap in their organisation. The table below outlines the Council's gender pay gap outlining both the mean and median salaries for both genders. Female employees are, on average, paid more than male employees at Lewisham Council and the percentage pay gap difference is 10.6\% (mean) and -12.6\% (median).

| Statutory part of template (data that must be provided under the Equalities Act) |  | Possible extra data for local collection by <br> London Councils for local benchmarking* |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Pay rates | Gender pay gap - the difference between women's <br> pay and men's pay as a percentage of men's pay <br> (minus \% means women have higher pay, positive <br> \% means men have higher pay) | Gender <br> pay gap - <br> women's <br> pay as a <br> percentag <br> e of <br> men's <br> pav | Hourly <br> rate of <br> women | Hourly <br> rate of <br> men | Difference <br> £ |
| Mean hourly rate <br> (Male hrly rate - Female <br> hrly rate) / Male hrly rate x <br> 100 | $-10.6 \%$ | $110.6 \%$ | $£ 20.78$ | $£ 18.78$ | $£ 2.00$ |
| Gender pay gap <br> comparison figure Median <br> hourly rate <br> (as above calc but for <br> median hourly rates) | $-12.6 \%$ | $112.6 \%$ | $£ 19.60$ | $£ 17.40$ | $£ 2.20$ |


| Pay Quartile Information |  |  |  | Workforce composition |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Pay quartiles | Women | Men | Total | Women headcoun t | Men headco unt | Total headc ount |  |
| Proportion of women and men in the upper quartile (paid above the 75th percentile point) | 66\% | 34\% | 100\% | 390 | 199 | 589 |  |
| Proportion of women and men in the upper middle quartile (paid above the median and at or below the 75th percentile point) | 70\% | 30\% | 100\% | 420 | 183 | 603 |  |
| Proportion of women and men in the lower middle quartile (paid above the 25th percentile point and at or below the median) | 68\% | 32\% | 100\% | 399 | 192 | 591 |  |
| Proportion of women and men in the lower quartile (paid below the 25th percentile point) | 41\% | 59\% | 100\% | 236 | 344 | 580 |  |

## PAY FOR NON-SCHOOLS EMPLOYEES 2019/20

Employers with more than 250 employees are now required by the Government to publish information on the gender pay gap in their organisation. The table below outlines the Council's gender pay gap outlining both the mean and median salaries for both genders. Female employees are, on average, paid more than male employees at Lewisham Council and the percentage pay gap difference is $-8.6 \%$ (mean) and $-10.7 \%$
(median).

| Statutory part of template (data that must be provided under the Equalities Act) |  | Possible extra data for local collection by London Councils for local benchmarking* |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Pay rates | Gender pay gap - the difference between women's pay and men's pay as a percentage of men's pay (minus \% means women have higher pay, positive \% means men have higher pay) | Gender pay gap women's pay as a percentage of men's pay | Hourly rate of women | Hourly rate of men | Difference £ |
| Mean hourly rate (Male hrly rate - Female hrly rate) / Male hrly rate $\times 100$ | -8.6\% | 108.6\% | £21.15 | £19.47 | £1.68 |
| Gender pay gap comparison figure Median hourly rate (as above calc but for median hourly rates) | -10.7\% | 110.7\% | £19.99 | £17.85 | £2.14 |


| Pay Quartile Information |  |  | Workforce composition |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Pay quartiles | Women | Men | Total | Women <br> headcount | Men <br> headcount | Total <br> headcount |  |
| Proportion of women <br> and men in the upper <br> quartile (paid above the <br> 75 ph percentile point) | $67 \%$ | $33 \%$ | $100 \%$ | 397 | 197 | 594 |  |
| Proportion of women <br> and men in the upper <br> middle quartile (paid <br> above the median and <br> at or below the 75th <br> percentile point) | $68 \%$ | $32 \%$ | $100 \%$ | 402 | 191 | 593 |  |
| Proportion of women <br> and men in the lower <br> middle quartile (paid <br> above the 25th | $69 \%$ | $31 \%$ | $100 \%$ | 407 | 186 | 593 |  |
| percentile point and at <br> or below the median) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Proportion of women <br> and men in the lower <br> quartile (paid below the <br> 25th percentile point) | $43 \%$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Reorganisations 2019/20

The Council continues to assess the impact of redundancies using all the protected characteristics. There were 11 reorganisations in the last financial year which resulted in 28 employees being made redundant, none of whom were successfully redeployed into another role. The chart below outlines the number of reorganisations and redundancies over the last 5 years


| In 2019/20 the largest proportion of redundancies occurred in the Customer Services Directorate, followed by the Children and Young People Directorate |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Reorganisations Breakdown |  |  |  |
| The table below outlines the numbers of redundancies by Directorate following the 11 reorganisations during 2019/20. Please note the reorganisation data outlined below is listed against the former names of the Directorates before re-alignment took place during the last year. |  |  |  |
| Directorate | Total redundancies | \% Total Staff Redundant | Total number of Reorganisations |
| Community Services Directorate | 5 | 17.9\% | 3 |
| Customer Directorate | 22 | 78.6\% | 5 |
| Children \& Young People Directorate | 0 | 0.0\% | 0 |
| Housing, Regeneration \& Environment | 1 | 3.6\% | 3 |
| Total | 28 | 100\% | 11 |
| Breakdown of Redundancies by Gender |  |  |  |
| Gender | Total Redundancies | \% Total Staff Redundant |  |
| Male | 8 | 28.6\% |  |
| Female | 20 | 71.4\% |  |
| Total | 28 | 100\% |  |

The percentage of women made redundant in 2019/20 $71.4 \%$ was higher than the workforce profile $61.6 \%$. This could be attributed to the proportionately higher numbers of female employees working in the Benefits Service which was subject to a reorganisation.


| Breakdown of Redundancies by Age |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Age | Total <br> Redundancies | \% of Total staff <br> redundant |
| $16-20$ | 0 | $0.0 \%$ |
| $21-25$ | 1 | $3.6 \%$ |
| $31-35$ | 2 | $7.1 \%$ |
| $36-40$ | 4 | $14.3 \%$ |
| $46-50$ | 7 | $25.0 \%$ |
| $51-55$ | 0 | $0.0 \%$ |
| $55+$ | 14 | $50.0 \%$ |
| Total | 28 | $100 \%$ |

The highest percentage of redundancies was in the age band ' $55+$ ' which is not unexpected given the numbers of employees in that age band (698).
Breakdown of Redundancies by Ethnicity

| Ethnic Origin | Total <br> Redundancies | \% Total Staff <br> Redundant |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| BAME | 12 | $42.9 \%$ |
| White | 14 | $50.0 \%$ |
| Not disclosed | 2 | $7.1 \%$ |
| Total | 28 | $100 \%$ |

BAME redundancy at $42.9 \%$ compares to a BAME workforce ethnicity of $43.7 \%$ and white redundancy percentage of $50 \%$ compares to a white workforce representation of $51.5 \%$. The Council continues to monitor the impact of reorganisations on all staff and will take appropriate action as necessary.

## Reorganisations 2019/20 (continued)

| Breakdown of Redundancies by Ethnicity and Gender |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ethnic Origin | Male | $\%$ of staff made <br> redundant | Female | \% of staff made <br> redundant |
| BAME | 3 | $10.7 \%$ | 9 | $32.15 \%$ |
| White | 4 | $14.3 \%$ | 10 | $35.71 \%$ |
| Not disclosed | 1 | $3.6 \%$ | 1 | $3.57 \%$ |
| Total | 8 | $28.6 \%$ | 20 | $71.4 \%$ |

The table above gives further breakdown of redundancies by both gender and ethnicity which shows all employees made redundant. The percentage of female employees made redundant last year $71.4 \%$ is higher than the female workforce representation $61.6 \% .32 .2 \%$ of employees made redundant were BAME female which is lower than the BAME female workforce $67.9 \%$.

| Breakdown of Redundancies by Grade |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Grade | Total <br> Redundancies | \%of Total staff <br> redundant |
| SC1-2 | 0 | $0.00 \%$ |
| SC3-5 | 5 | $17.86 \%$ |
| SC6-SO2 | 16 | $57.14 \%$ |
| PO1-PO5 | 6 | $21.43 \%$ |
| PO6-PO8 | 1 | $3.57 \%$ |
| SMG1-SMG3 | 0 | $0.00 \%$ |
| Soulbury | 0 | $0.00 \%$ |
| Total | 28 | $100.0 \%$ |


| Breakdown of Redundancies by Grade and Gender |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Grade | Male | \% of staff made <br> redundant | Female | \% of staff made <br> redundant |
| SC1-2 | 0 | $0.00 \%$ | 0 | $0.00 \%$ |
| SC3-5 | 4 | $14.29 \%$ | 1 | $3.57 \%$ |
| SC6-SO2 | 2 | $7.14 \%$ | 14 | $50.00 \%$ |
| PO1-PO5 | 1 | $3.57 \%$ | 5 | $17.86 \%$ |
| PO6-PO8 | 1 | $3.57 \%$ | 0 | $0.00 \%$ |
| SMG1-SMG3 | 0 | $0.00 \%$ | 0 | $0.00 \%$ |
| Soulbury | 0 | $0.00 \%$ | 0 | $0.00 \%$ |
| Total | 8 | $28.6 \%$ | 20 | $\mathbf{7 1 . 4 \%}$ |


| Breakdown by Grade and Ethnicity |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Grade | BAME | \% of staff made redundant | White | \% of staff made redundant | Not disclosed | \% of staff made redundant |
| SC1-2 | 0 | 0.00\% | 0 | 0.00\% | 0 | 0.00\% |
| SC3-5 | 2 | 7.14\% | 1 | 3.57\% | 2 | 7.14\% |
| SC6-SO2 | 7 | 25.00\% | 9 | 32.15\% | 0 | 0.00\% |
| PO1-PO5 | 3 | 10.71\% | 3 | 10.71\% | 0 | 0.00\% |
| PO6-PO8 | 0 | 0.00\% | 1 | 3.57\% | 0 | 0.00\% |
| SMG1 - SMG3 | 0 | 0.00\% | 0 | 0.00\% | 0 | 0.00\% |
| Soulbury | 0 | 0.00\% | 0 | 0.00\% | 0 | 0.00\% |
| Total | 12 | 42.9\% | 14 | 50.0\% | 2 | 7.1\% |

## TOTAL EMPLOYEES BY OCCUPATIONAL GROUP (including Schools)

The trend chart below demonstrates that since 2010/11 the number of officer staff has generally decreased apart from during 2017/18 when there was an increase of 704 employees. The number of teachers and school support staff have remained broadly similar.

TOTAL EMPLOYEES BY OCCUPATIONAL GROUP 2010-2020 (INCLUDING SCHOOLS)


TOTAL EMPLOYEES TREND 2010 TO 2020 (INCL SCHOOLS)


The above graph shows the total employee trend (both non-schools and schools employees) across the Council since 2010. The trend outlines that non-schools employees have dropped significantly whereas schools employees levels have remained broadly similar.

TOTAL EMPLOYEES BY GRADE BAND AND BY DIRECTORATE 2019/2020

| BY HEADCOUNT | Lecturer | Soulbury | SC1/2 | SC3-5 | SC6-SO2 | PO1-5 | P06-P08 | SMG1-SMG3 | JNC | TOTAL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Children \& Young People Directorate | 0 | 13 | 7 | 19 | 84 | 216 | 71 | 56 | 2 | 468 |
| Community Services Directorate | 97 | 1 | 11 | 103 | 144 | 249 | 40 | 31 | 5 | 681 |
| Corporate Services Directorate | 0 | 0 | 7 | 38 | 213 | 169 | 54 | 48 | 7 | 536 |
| Housing Regeneration \& Enviroment Direc | 0 | 0 | 42 | 225 | 170 | 175 | 36 | 37 | 5 | 690 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 19/20 Total | 97 | 14 | 67 | 385 | 611 | 809 | 201 | 172 | 19 | 2375 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| BY PERCENTAGE | Lecturer | Soulbury | SC1/2 | SC3-5 | SC6-SO2 | PO1-5 | P06-P08 | SMG1-SMG3 | JNC | TOTAL |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Children \& Young People Directorate | 0.0\% | 2.8\% | 1.5\% | 4.1\% | 17.9\% | 46.2\% | 15.2\% | 12.0\% | 0.4\% | 100\% |
| Community Services Directorate | 14.2\% | 0.1\% | 1.6\% | 15.1\% | 21.1\% | 36.6\% | 5.9\% | 4.6\% | 0.7\% | 100\% |
| Corporate Services Directorate | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 1.3\% | 7.1\% | 39.7\% | 31.5\% | 10.1\% | 9.0\% | 1.3\% | 100\% |
| Housing Regeneration \& Enviroment Direc | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 6.1\% | 32.6\% | 24.6\% | 25.4\% | 5.2\% | 5.4\% | 0.7\% | 100\% |


| $19 / 20$ Total | $4.1 \%$ | $0.6 \%$ | $2.8 \%$ | $16.2 \%$ | $25.7 \%$ | $34.1 \%$ | $8.5 \%$ | $7.2 \%$ | $0.8 \%$ | $100.0 \%$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |



In grade band Sc1-2 numbers have decreased over the last 12 months which could be attributed to a lower number of apprenticeship opportunites. Generally the trend in bands Sc6-SO2 and PO1-PO5 have shown an increase which could be explained by employees being promoted.

| Grades | Employees <br> 18/19 | Employees <br> 19/20 | No. Full <br> time | No. Part <br> time |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Lecturers | 114 | 110 | 7 | 103 |
| Soulbury | 20 | 18 | 11 | 7 |
| SC1A | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| SC1B | 25 | 32 | 27 | 5 |
| SC1C | 0 | 2 | 0 | 2 |
| SC2 | 180 | 33 | 0 | 33 |
| SC3 | 121 | 13 | 3 | 10 |
| SC4 | 73 | 278 | 226 | 52 |
| SC5 | 180 | 94 | 78 | 16 |
| SC6 | 188 | 187 | 140 | 47 |
| SO1 | 273 | 192 | 164 | 28 |
| SO2 | 67 | 232 | 195 | 37 |
| PO1 | 146 | 14 | 14 | 0 |
| PO2 | 244 | 292 | 271 | 21 |
| PO3 | 198 | 234 | 195 | 39 |
| PO4 | 139 | 186 | 158 | 28 |
| PO5 | 94 | 80 | 75 | 5 |
| PO6 | 89 | 89 | 79 | 10 |
| PO7 | 52 | 95 | 82 | 13 |
| PO8 | 51 | 13 | 11 | 2 |
| SMG1 | 32 | 89 | 82 | 7 |
| SMG2 | 24 | 25 | 21 | 4 |
| SMG3 | 31 | 43 | 36 | 7 |
| DIR1 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 0 |
| DIR2 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 1 |
| DIR3 | 16 | 17 | 17 | 0 |
| DIR4 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 0 |
| CEO | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| Total | 2363 | 2375 | 1898 | 477 |

Pay award and new National Joint Council pay scales 2019-20 - The second part of a pay agreement between the National Employers and the National Joint Council (NJC) Trade Union in April 2018 led to the movement of employees onto new pay spine points (referred to as assimilation). This means that some employees moved onto different pay grades during this year which accounts for the changes in numbers of employees in different grades.

*Prior to 2018/19 the category 'others' has included IRO, CPC, and other qualified SW roles hence the high numbers. Categories of roles in CSC were then changed so that 'others' now only includes those in non-qualified SW roles (including admin roles) which explains the decrease in those numbers


In Adults Social Care the numbers in the "other" category have increased as in the last 2 years these figures have included Senior OT's and OT's and the Business Support Officers moved back into the service. Categories of workers have also been changed - SSW and SW used to be recorded together.

5 YEAR TREND OF AGENCY WORKERS IN ADULTS SOCIAL CARE


## TOTAL AMOUNT SPENT BY THE COUNCIL ON AGENCY SOCIAL WORKERS

We do not use agencies to recruit permanent Social Work staff, However for agency staff we have a managed service contract with Reed Talent Solutions. They supply agency workers via a network of 3rd party suppliers. For a list of these providers contact Reed Talent Solutions. The figures below are for those in all SW qualified roles (including Advanced Practitioners, Managers etc)

| 2019-2020 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Apr-19 | May-19 | Jun-19 | Jul-19 | Aug-19 | Sep-19 |  |
| Children Social Worker | $£ 406,847$ | $£ 449,152$ | $£ 620,009$ | $£ 515,428$ | $£ 486,866$ | $£ 556,598$ |  |
| Adult Social Worker | $£ 247,101$ | $£ 259,330$ | $£ 331,431$ | $£ 273,333$ | $£ 277,157$ | $£ 351,745$ |  |
|  | Oct-19 | Nov-19 | Dec-19 | Jan-20 | Feb-20 | Mar-20 |  |
| Children Social Worker | $£ 451,654$ | $£ 484,903$ | $£ 610,450$ | $£ 396,873$ | $£ 473,039$ | $£ 616,855$ |  |
| Adult Social Worker | $£ 289,758$ | $£ 267,950$ | $£ 347,306$ | $£ 202,284$ | $£ 280,479$ | $£ 387,170$ |  |


| 2018-2019 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Apr-18 | May-18 | Jun-18 | Jul-18 | Aug-18 | Sep-18 |
| Children Social Worker | $£ 400,668$ | $£ 436,227$ | $£ 464,676$ | $£ 387,007$ | $£ 386,726$ | $£ 469,659$ |
| Adult Social Worker | $£ 228,003$ | $£ 230,339$ | $£ 279,564$ | $£ 260,376$ | $£ 234,167$ | $£ 299,276$ |
|  | Oct-18 | Nov-18 | Dec-18 | Jan-19 | Feb-19 | Mar-19 |
| Children Social Worker | $£ 440,641$ | $£ 403,784$ | $£ 492,495$ | $£ 341,324$ | $£ 459,072$ | $£ 532,874$ |
| Adult Social Worker | $£ 263,964$ | $£ 261,900$ | $£ 354,056$ | $£ 229,595$ | $£ 300,576$ | $£ 360,020$ |


| 2017-2018 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Apr-17 | May-17 | Jun-17 | Jul-17 | Aug-17 | Sep-17 |
| Children Social Worker | $£ 319,115$ | $£ 349,638$ | $£ 461,126$ | $£ 311,996$ | $£ 415,313$ | $£ 466,449$ |
| Adult Social Worker | $£ 243,750$ | $£ 243,789$ | $£ 261,912$ | $£ 195,795$ | $£ 180,962$ | $£ 283,751$ |
|  | Oct-17 | Nov-17 | Dec-17 | Jan-18 | Feb-18 | Mar-18 |
| Children Social Worker | $£ 346,285$ | $£ 421,106$ | $£ 534,030$ | $£ 355,250$ | $£ 418,073$ | $£ 569,063$ |
| Adult Social Worker | $£ 202,396$ | $£ 236,853$ | $£ 268,018$ | $£ 195,305$ | $£ 244,754$ | $£ 309,758$ |


| 2016-2017 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Apr-16 | May-16 | Jun-16 | Jul-16 | Aug-16 | Sep-16 |
| Children Social Worker | $£ 319,115$ | $£ 349,638$ | $£ 461,126$ | $£ 311,996$ | $£ 415,313$ | $£ 466,449$ |
| Adult Social Worker | $£ 243,750$ | $£ 243,789$ | $£ 261,912$ | $£ 195,795$ | $£ 180,962$ | $£ 283,751$ |
|  | Oct-16 | Nov-16 | Dec-16 | Jan-17 | Feb-17 | Mar-17 |
| Children Social Worker | $£ 284,833$ | $£ 268,054$ | $£ 457,333$ | $£ 308,694$ | $£ 406,810$ | $£ 527,576$ |
| Adult Social Worker | $£ 218,022$ | $£ 208,290$ | $£ 266,138$ | $£ 212,814$ | $£ 253,582$ | $£ 327,120$ |


| 2015-2016 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Apr-15 | May-15 | Jun-15 | Jul-15 | Aug-15 | Sep-15 |
| Children Social Worker | $£ 182,576$ | $£ 238,026$ | $£ 307,287$ | $£ 263,594$ | $£ 250,718$ | $£ 321,127$ |
| Adult Social Worker | $£ 289,641$ | $£ 323,277$ | $£ 422,888$ | $£ 334,608$ | $£ 344,996$ | $£ 409,406$ |
|  | Oct-15 | Nov-15 | Dec-15 | Jan-16 | Feb-16 | Mar-16 |
| Children Social Worker | $£ 182,576$ | $£ 238,026$ | $£ 307,287$ | $£ 263,594$ | $£ 250,718$ | $£ 321,127$ |
| Adult Social Worker | $£ 357,454$ | $£ 363,477$ | $£ 462,985$ | $£ 359,985$ | $£ 342,525$ | $£ 420,015$ |

5 year trend of Agency Spend in Childrens and Adults Social Care as at 31 March each year


As can be seen in the chart above, generally agency spend on qualified social work roles has increased in Childrens Social Care over the last 5 years. Spend in Adult Social Care decreased until 2017/18 but the last 2 years has seen an increase.

| Pay rates Adults Social Care with effect from 1.4.2020 |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Adults Social Care | Grade | Spinal <br> points | Salary | Additional Payments |
| Newly Qualified Social Worker | NQSW | 26 | 34,986 |  |
| Social Worker | SW | 31 to 33 | 36,486 to 38,403 |  |
| Senior Social Worker | SSW | 35 to 37 | 40,491 to 42,432 |  |
| Operations Manager | PO6 | 42 to 44 | 47,274 to 49,203 |  |
| Lead Operations Manager | PO8 | 48 to 50 | 53,133 to 55,233 |  |

## Pay rates Childrens Social Care with effect from 1.4.2020

| Childrens Social Care | Grade | Spinal <br> points | Salary | Additional Payments |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Newly Qualified Social Worker | NQSW | 26 | 33,162 |  |
| Social Worker | SW | 31 to 33 | 36,486 to 38,403 | £500 pa parking |
| Senior Social Worker | SSW | 35 to 37 | 40,491 to 42,432 |  |
| Child Protection Co-ordinator | CPC | 41 to 42 | 46,293 to 47,274 |  |
| Independent Reviewing Officer | IRO | 41 to 43 | 46,293 to 48,252 |  |
| Advanced Practitioner | AP | 41 to 42 | 46,293 to 47,274 |  |
| Team Manager | TM | 45 to 47 | 50,184 to 52,140 |  |

## Social Work Services and Teams

| Children \& Young People, Children's Social Care, Laurence <br> House , Catford SE6 4RU - services outlined below |
| :---: |
| Director of Children's Social Care |
| Business Strategy \& Fostering |
| Early Help, Referral \& Assessment |
| Family Social Work Service |
| LAC \& LCS |
| Quality \& Improvement |
| Integrated Services SEND |

Adult Assessment \& Care Management, Laurence House, Catford, SE6 4RU

| Director of Adult's Social Care |
| :---: |
| Adult Mental Health |
| Adults with Learning Disabilities |
| Integrated Neighbourhoods |
| Internal Provider Service |
| Joint Health SC \& Prevention |
| Safeguarding \& Quality |
| Service Development and Improvement |

[^0]
## PART-TIME EMPLOYEES 2019/2020

The Council continues to encourage the take up of flexible working. Part time staff represent 20\% of the Council's workforce. This figure represents a sharp decrease in the last 12 months. The percentage of male and female part time workers is similar to the percentage last year.

| Part - Time <br> Employees |  |  |  |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 477 | $20.1 \%$ | 2375 | $100 \%$ |


| Gender | Children \& Young <br> People | Community <br> Services |  | Corporate <br> Services |  | Housing <br>  <br> Enviroment | Total | \% |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Female | 79 | $20 \%$ | 173 | $36 \%$ | 66 | $19 \%$ | 74 | $30 \%$ |
| Male | 6 | $8 \%$ | 40 | $20 \%$ | 14 | $7 \%$ | 25 | $6 \%$ |
| All | 85 | $18 \%$ | 213 | $31 \%$ | 80 | $15 \%$ | 99 | $14 \%$ |

The table above and the chart below outline the \% of part time workers as a percentage of all employees in each Gender. For example of all women employees in the Children \& Young People Directorate, $18 \%$ are PT employees.

PART TIME EMPLOYEES BY GENDER 2019/20


10 YEAR TREND OF PART TIME WORKING


The Council encourages part time working but as can be seen in the trend chart above, the percentage of part time workers across the Council has decreased from $27 \%$ to $20 \%$ over the past 10 years. The biggest decreases have been in Community and CYP where the percentages of part time workers have dropped by 12 and 11 percentage points, respectively, over the 10 years. There has been a slight drop in the percentage of part time workers in Resources and Regen ( 6 percentage points) and a slight increase of 4 percentage points in Customer Services.

$42 \%$ of the staff live within the Borough. The Catford South /Lewisham/Rushey Green are the main area for staff locations. $58 \%$ of staff live outside the London Borough of Lewisham.

## Representation 2019/2020

The Council's workforce continues to be broadly representative of the community in terms of both the ethnicity and gender makeup of the local population. $43.7 \%$ of the Council's workforce is from a BAME background; which is consistent wth the median across London Councils of 44\% BAME employees (source London Councils Human Capita Matrix 2019/2020).
Of the $5.7 \%$ of employees ( 136 employees) promoted during 2019/20, 47.1\% of those promoted were BAME staff. The percentage of top $5 \%$ of earners who are BAME employees is
$15 \%$ and continues to remain a priority within recruitment and management development activities. By comparison the median for London Councils percentage of top 5\% earners BAME
is $18 \%$ (source London Councils Human Capital Metrics 2019/20)

The Council's proportion of female staff is $61.6 \%$, a similar percentage to last year and compares to the median figure of $63 \%$ for all London Boroughs. Women are well represented at all grades including senior levels, with women making up $61 \%$ of top $5 \%$ of earners, an increase of $2 \%$ age points on the previous year's figure. This compares to a median figure pan London Councils of $50 \%$ (source London Councils Human Capital Metrics 2019/20)

42\% of the Council's staff live in the Borough and so are both Council employees and users of the Council's services. This figure has decreased by $8 \%$ age points compared to the 2018/19
figure of $50 \%$.

The age profile of the Council shows that $48 \%$ of employees are aged over 50. The average age of the workforce in Lewisham is 48 years, compared to a pan London Councils figure of 46 years. The percentage of the workforce aged under 25 is $2.3 \%$ compared to a median across London Boroughs of 3.4\%. (source HCM London Councils 2019/20).

> A total of 3.8\% of non-schools' employees have declared that they consider themselves to have a disability. This is based on a response rate of $52 \%$ of the employee workforce. The rate compares to a median of $5.6 \%$ disabled employees across all London Councils (source HCM London Councils 2019/20)

The Council reported its third Gender Pay Gap report for 2019/20. This showed a pay gap in favour of women at $-8.6 \%$, compared to a difference of $-10.6 \%$ in 2018/19. This is due to having a predominantly high level of female employees ( $61.6 \%$ during $2019 / 20$ ). This means that women earn $108.6 \%$ of the average (mean) pay of men which equates to a mean hourly rate of $£ 1.68$ more than men.

## ETHNIC ORIGIN OF EMPLOYEES 2019/2020



There has been a slight increase of $0.8 \%$ in the BAME workforce compared to last year, a slight reduction in the white workforce and also in the 'unknown' category

| Grade | Lecturer | Soulbury | SC1 - 2 | SC3-5 | SC6-SO2 | PO1 - 5 | PO6-PO8 | SMG1-SMG3 | JNC | TOTAL |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Headcount 19/20 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

\% BME EMPLOYEES BY GRADE 2019/20


[^1]|  | Community Services Directorate |  | Corporate Services Directorate |  | Children \& Young People Directorate |  | Housing Regeneration \& Enviroment Direc |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Asian Bangladeshi | 3 | 0.44\% | 2 | 0.37\% | 8 | 1.71\% | 2 | 0.29\% | 15 | 0.63\% |
| Asian Chinese | 5 | 0.74\% | 2 | 0.37\% | 0 | 0.00\% | 0 | 0.00\% | 7 | 0.29\% |
| Asian Indian | 9 | 1.32\% | 11 | 2.05\% | 4 | 0.85\% | 9 | 1.30\% | 33 | 1.39\% |
| Asian Other | 12 | 1.76\% | 9 | 1.68\% | 7 | 1.50\% | 2 | 0.29\% | 30 | 1.26\% |
| Asian Pakistani | 0 | 0.00\% | 2 | 0.37\% | 3 | 0.64\% | 1 | 0.14\% | 6 | 0.25\% |
| Black African | 83 | 12.21\% | 61 | 11.36\% | 56 | 11.97\% | 61 | 8.84\% | 261 | 10.99\% |
| Black Caribbean | 130 | 19.12\% | 102 | 18.99\% | 112 | 23.93\% | 146 | 21.16\% | 490 | 20.63\% |
| Black Other | 18 | 2.65\% | 15 | 2.79\% | 16 | 3.42\% | 16 | 2.32\% | 65 | 2.74\% |
| Mixed Other | 7 | 1.03\% | 5 | 0.93\% | 9 | 1.92\% | 5 | 0.72\% | 26 | 1.09\% |
| Mixed White and Asian | 4 | 0.59\% | 4 | 0.74\% | 4 | 0.85\% | 4 | 0.58\% | 16 | 0.67\% |
| Mixed White and Black African | 4 | 0.59\% | 3 | 0.56\% | 3 | 0.64\% | 3 | 0.43\% | 13 | 0.55\% |
| Mixed White and Black Caribbean | 15 | 2.21\% | 10 | 1.86\% | 7 | 1.50\% | 15 | 2.17\% | 47 | 1.98\% |
| Other Arab | 1 | 0.15\% | 0 | 0.00\% | 0 | 0.00\% | 2 | 0.29\% | 3 | 0.13\% |
| Other Ethnic group | 10 | 1.47\% | 6 | 1.12\% | 5 | 1.07\% | 5 | 0.72\% | 26 | 1.09\% |
| Prefer not to say | 12 | 1.76\% | 2 | 0.37\% | 7 | 1.50\% | 25 | 3.62\% | 46 | 1.94\% |
| White <br> British/Eng/Welsh/Scot/Nirish | 282 | 41.47\% | 263 | 48.98\% | 184 | 39.32\% | 327 | 47.39\% | 1056 | 44.46\% |
| White Irish | 7 | 1.03\% | 9 | 1.68\% | 9 | 1.92\% | 12 | 1.74\% | 37 | 1.56\% |
| White Other | 37 | 5.44\% | 22 | 4.10\% | 20 | 4.27\% | 50 | 7.25\% | 129 | 5.43\% |
| White Turkish / Turkish Cypriot | 1 | 0.15\% | 1 | 0.19\% | 0 | 0.00\% | 0 | 0.00\% | 2 | 0.08\% |
| Not Known | 40 | 5.88\% | 8 | 1.49\% | 14 | 2.99\% | 5 | 0.72\% | 67 | 2.82\% |
| Total all employees | 680 | 100.0\% | 537 | 100.0\% | 468 | 100.0\% | 690 | 100.0\% | 2375 | 100.0\% |
|  | Community Services Directorate |  | Corporate Services Directorate |  | Children \& Young People Directorate |  | Housing Regeneration \& Enviroment Direc |  | Total |  |
| Total all minority employees | 301 | 29.00\% | 232 | 22.35\% | 234 | 22.54\% | 271 | 26.11\% | 1038 | 43.71\% |


| Women |  | Men |  | Total employees |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1464 | $61.6 \%$ | 911 | $38.4 \%$ | 2375 |


| BY DIRECTORATE |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Gender | Children \& Young People Directorate |  | Community Services Directorate |  | Customer Services <br> Directorate |  |  <br> Env Directorate |  | Total |  |
| Women | 391 | 83.5\% | 480 | 70.6\% | 348 | 64.8\% | 245 | 35.5\% | 1464 | 61.6\% |
| Men | 77 | 16.5\% | 200 | 29.4\% | 189 | 35.2\% | 445 | 64.5\% | 911 | 38.4\% |
| Total | 468 | 100.0\% | 680 | 100.0\% | 537 | 100.0\% | 690 | 100.0\% | 2375 | 100.0\% |


| BY GRADE |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lecturers |  | Soulbury |  | Sc1-2 |  | Sc3-5 |  | Sc6-SO2 |  | PO1-5 |  |
| 87 | 76.3\% | 17 | 85.0\% | 50 | 24.4\% | 141 | 37.2\% | 381 | 71.9\% | 554 | 67.6\% |
| P06-8 |  | SMG1-3 |  | JNC |  | Total |  |  |  |  |  |
| 126 | 65.6\% | 98 | 110.1\% | 10 | 45.5\% | 1464 | 61.6\% |  |  |  |  |


| BY LENGTH OF SERVICE |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0-4.99 years |  | 5-9.99 years |  | 10-19.99 years |  | 20+ years |  | Total |  |  |  |
| 635 | 66.7\% | 186 | 55.9\% | 401 | 58.6\% | 242 | 61.4\% | 1464 | 61.6\% |  |  |
| BY AGE |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 16-20 |  | 21-25 |  | 26-30 |  | 31-35 |  | 36-40 |  | 41-45 |  |
| 2 | 22.2\% | 35 | 64.8\% | 79 | 54.5\% | 117 | 54.2\% | 163 | 55.4\% | 180 | 72.9\% |
| 46-50 |  | 51-55 |  | $55+$ |  | Total |  |  |  |  |  |
| 191 | 63.2\% | 270 | 61.4\% | 427 | 64.9\% | 1464 | 61.6\% |  |  |  |  |


| BY ETHNICITY |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| BAME | Unknown |  | White | Total |  |  |  |
| 689 | $67.9 \%$ | 66 | $57.4 \%$ | 709 | $57.5 \%$ | 1464 | $61.6 \%$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Disabled |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 53 | $3.6 \%$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |



The majority of the Council's staff are women (61.6\%) - an increase of $0.4 \%$ age points on last years figure which is broadly similar to last years figures. Women are generally well represented at all grades, with women making up $45.5 \%$ of senior grades (top $5 \%$ of earners) which is an decrease of 13.6 \%age points on the $2018 / 19$ figure.

## DISABLED EMPLOYEES 2019/2020



BY LENGTH OF SERVICE

| BY LENGTH OF SERVICE |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $0-4.99$ years | $5-9.99$ years | $10-19.99$ years | $20+$ years | Total |  |  |  |  |  |
| 36 | $3.8 \%$ | 6 | $1.8 \%$ | 28 | $4.1 \%$ | 20 | $5.1 \%$ | 90 | $3.8 \%$ |


| BY AGE |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 16-20 |  | 21-25 |  | 26-30 |  | 31-25 |  | 36-40 |  | 41-45 |  |
| 0 | 0.0\% | 3 | 5.6\% | 5 | 3.4\% | 4 | 1.9\% | 4 | 1.4\% | 8 | 3.2\% |
| 46-50 |  | 51-55 |  | $55+$ |  | Total |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10 | 3.3\% | 23\| | 5.2\% | 33 | 5.0\% | 90 | 3.8\% |  |  |  |  |

BY ETHNICITY

| BY ETHNICITY |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| BAME |  | Unknown | White | Total |  |  |  |
| 32 | $3.2 \%$ | 4 | $3.5 \%$ | 54 | $4.4 \%$ | 90 | $3.8 \%$ |

Disabled employees in grade band 2019/2020


The chart demonstrates percentages of disabled staff within each of the grade bands. A total of $3.8 \%$ of non-schools employees have declared that they consider themselves to have a disability, this compares to a median across all London Councils of 5.6\% (source London Councils Human Capital Matrix 2019/20).

Other Protected Characteristics 2019/2020

| Religion |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | ---: |
| Any other | $1.1 \%$ | Muslim | $1.9 \%$ |
| Buddhist | $0.5 \%$ | None | $20.7 \%$ |
| Christian (all denominations) | $34.0 \%$ | Prefer not to say | $7.3 \%$ |
| Hindu | $0.3 \%$ | Sikh | $0.3 \%$ |
| Jewish | $0.1 \%$ | Unknown | $33.9 \%$ |
|  |  | Total all employees | $100.0 \%$ |

A large proportion of employees have not declared their religion. Of the employees who declared, most stated that they were Christian.

| Sexual Orientation |  | Marital Status |  |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | ---: |
| Bisexual | $0.2 \%$ | Married/Civil Partner | $26.9 \%$ |
| Gay/lesbian | $2.0 \%$ | Not married/Not Civil <br> Partner | $20.4 \%$ |
| Other | $0.1 \%$ | Prefer not to say | $19.9 \%$ |
| Prefer not to say | $5.8 \%$ | Other | $0.3 \%$ |
| Straight/Heterosexual | $57.4 \%$ | Unknown | $32.6 \%$ |
| Unknown | $34.5 \%$ | Total all employees | $100.0 \%$ |
| Total all employees | $100.0 \%$ |  |  |
| A large proportion of employees did not declare |  |  |  |
| their sexual orientation. | High number of employees did not respond <br> to the question about their marital status. |  |  |



## AGE PROFILE 2019/20

## BY DIRECTORATE

|  | 16-20 | 21-25 | 26-30 | 31-35 | 36-40 | 41-45 | 46-50 | 51-55 | 56-60 | 61-65 | 66-70 | 71+ | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Children \& Young People Directorate | 1 | 14 | 45 | 51 | 62 | 58 | 62 | 85 | 63 | 17 | 10 | 0 | 468 |
| Community Services Directorate | 1 | 11 | 27 | 50 | 67 | 85 | 92 | 131 | 117 | 77 | 17 | 5 | 680 |
| Corporate Services Directorate | 2 | 21 | 32 | 49 | 48 | 68 | 59 | 99 | 103 | 38 | 14 | 4 | 537 |
| Housing Regeneration \& Enviroment Direc | 0 | 13 | 39 | 53 | 81 | 62 | 90 | 119 | 141 | 63 | 24 | 5 | 690 |
| Total | 4 | 59 | 143 | 203 | 258 | 273 | 303 | 434 | 424 | 195 | 65 | 14 | 2375 |

AGE PROFILE 20192020


The age profile of the Council is outlined below, demonstrating that $48 \%$ of employees are aged over 50 ; a slight increase on the previous year's figure. The average age of the workforce in Lewisham is 47.8 years, compared to a pan London Councils figure of 46 years. The percentage of the workforce aged under 25 is $2.7 \%$ which is the same figure as $2018 / 19$. The median figure for employees aged under 25 across London Boroughs is $3.4 \%$. (comparator data source HCM data 2019/20).

| BY ETHNICITY |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 16-20 | 21-25 | 26-30 | 31-35 | 36-40 | 41-45 | 46-50 | 51-55 | 56-60 | 61-65 | 66-70 | 71+ | Total |
| BAME | 0.3\% | 3.2\% | 6.4\% | 9.2\% | 11.9\% | 12.3\% | 13.3\% | 18.1\% | 17.9\% | 5.9\% | 1.4\% | 0.1\% | 100.0\% |
|  | 3 | 33 | 66 | 95 | 124 | 128 | 138 | 188 | 186 | 61 | 15 | 1 | 1038 |
| Unknown | 0.0\% | 0.9\% | 6.2\% | 5.3\% | 5.3\% | 15.9\% | 12.4\% | 25.7\% | 16.8\% | 8.8\% | 1.8\% | 0.9\% | 100.0\% |
|  | 0 | 1 | 7 | 6 | 6 | 18 | 14 | 29 | 19 | 10 | 2 | 1 | 113 |
| White | 0.1\% | 2.0\% | 5.7\% | 8.3\% | 10.5\% | 10.4\% | 12.3\% | 17.7\% | 17.9\% | 10.1\% | 3.9\% | 1.0\% | 100.0\% |
|  | 0 | 25 | 70 | 102 | 128 | 127 | 151 | 217 | 219 | 124 | 48 | 12 | 1224 |
| Total | 0.2\% | 2.5\% | 6.0\% | 8.5\% | 10.9\% | 11.5\% | 12.8\% | 18.3\% | 17.9\% | 8.2\% | 2.7\% | 0.6\% | 100.0\% |
|  | 4 | 59 | 143 | 203 | 258 | 273 | 303 | 434 | 424 | 195 | 65 | 14 | 2375 |
| BY DISABILITY |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 16-20 | 21-25 | 26-30 | 31-35 | 36-40 | 41-45 | 46-50 | 51-55 | 56-60 | 61-64 | 65-70 | 71+ | Total |
| Disabled | 0 | 3 | 5 | 4 | 4 | 8 | 10 | 23 | 17 | 11 | 5 | 0 | 90 |
|  | 0.0\% | 3.3\% | 5.6\% | 4.4\% | 4.4\% | 8.8\% | 11.1\% | 25.6\% | 18.9\% | 12.0\% | 6.0\% | 0.0\% | 100\% |

## AGE PROFILE 2019-2020



AGE BREAKDOWN BY LENGTH OF SERVICE


AGE PROFILE - 10 YEAR TREND

)ver the past 10 years the percentage of staff in age band '21-25' rose from $2.5 \%$ to $4.2 \%$ during 2014/15 and has reduced since that year to $2.5 \%$ in $2019 / 20$. This could be explained because of the increased number of apprentices working at the Council during that time, but apprentice numbers have fallen and the cheme age limits have been lifted which could explain the drop in numbers. The percentages of employees in the age group $55+$ have steadily increased over the past 10 years from a low of $18.4 \%$ in 2011/12 to a high of $29.4 \%$ in 2019/20.

| BY DIRECTORATE | $\begin{gathered} 0-4.99 \\ \text { years } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | \% | $\begin{gathered} 5-9.99 \\ \text { years } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | \% | $\begin{gathered} 10-19.99 \\ \text { years } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | \% | 20+ years | \% | Total | Total \% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Children \& Young People Directorate | 264 | 56.4\% | 77 | 16.5\% | 90 | 19.2\% | 37 | 7.9\% | 468 | 19.7\% |
| Community Services Directorate | 262 | 38.5\% | 107 | 15.7\% | 224 | 32.9\% | 87 | 12.8\% | 680 | 28.6\% |
| Corporate Services Directorate | 194 | 36.1\% | 53 | 9.9\% | 151 | 28.1\% | 139 | 25.9\% | 537 | 22.6\% |
| Housing Regeneration \& Enviroment Direc | 278 | 40.3\% | 80 | 11.6\% | 199 | 28.8\% | 133 | 19.3\% | 690 | 29.1\% |
| Total | 998 | 42.0\% | 317 | 13.3\% | 664 | 28.0\% | 396 | 16.7\% | 2375 | 100.0\% |

Children and Young People Directorate have the highest proportion of employees with less than 5 years service at $56.4 \%$. This could be explained by increased numbers of Newly Qualified Social Workers joining the Directorate. By comparison, Children and Young People percentage of employees with over 20 years service $(7.9 \%)$ is lower than the other Directorates.

| BY GRADE | 0-4.99 years | \% | $\begin{aligned} & 5-9.99 \\ & \text { years } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | \% | $10-19$ <br> years | \% | 20+ years \% |  | Total | Total \% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| JNC | 10 | 52.6\% | 2 | 10.5\% | 4 | 21.1\% | 3 | 15.8\% | 19 | 0.8\% |
| PO1-PO5 | 383 | 47.5\% | 105 | 13.0\% | 205 | 25.4\% | 113 | 14.0\% | 806 | 33.9\% |
| PO6-PO8 | 78 | 39.6\% | 48 | 24.4\% | 46 | 23.4\% | 25 | 12.7\% | 197 | 8.3\% |
| SC1-2 | 49 | 73.1\% | 5 | 7.5\% | 11 | 16.4\% | 2 | 3.0\% | 67 | 2.8\% |
| SC3/5 | 173 | 44.9\% | 33 | 8.6\% | 114 | 29.6\% | 65 | 16.9\% | 385 | 16.2\% |
| SC6-SO2 | 212 | 34.7\% | 70 | 11.5\% | 196 | 32.1\% | 133 | 21.8\% | 611 | 25.7\% |
| SMG1-SMG3 | 57 | 35.2\% | 24 | 14.8\% | 48 | 29.6\% | 33 | 20.4\% | 162 | 6.8\% |
| Soulbury | 9 | 50.0\% | 1 | 5.6\% | 5 | 27.8\% | 3 | 16.7\% | 18 | 0.8\% |
| Teacher/Lecturer | 27 | 24.5\% | 29 | 26.4\% | 35 | 31.8\% | 19 | 17.3\% | 110 | 4.6\% |
| Total | 998 | 42.0\% | 317 | 13.3\% | 664 | 28.0\% | 396 | 16.7\% | 2375 | 100.0\% |



[^2] Conversely, the high proportion of those with less than 5 years service within this grade band could be explained by the number of NQSW's who also fall within

Recruitment by Gender 2019/2020
RECRUITMENT BY GENDER 2019/20


■ Applicants Interviewed Hired

Although the requirement to provide protected characteristics as part of the recruitment and selection process is not mandatory, once candidates are at offer stage, they are asked to provide this information as part of their 'new starter' information. This explains why, once at hire stage, we have lower percentages of undisclosed inforamation.

## Recruitment by Ethnicity 2019/2020

RECRUITMENT BY ETHNICITY 2019/20


During 2019/20, 65.9\% of applications were made by applicants who identify as BAME, which was broadly similar to the figures for 2018/19. $51.7 \%$ of appointments made during 2019/20 were to BAME candidates which compares to $53.3 \%$ during 2018/19.

RECRUITMENT BY SEXUAL ORIENTATION 2019/20

$3.5 \%$ of all job applications made during 2019/20 were from applicants who identify as LGBTQ+ which is similar to the rate of $3.45 \%$ during 2018/19. 4.7\% of total appointments made during 2019/20 were candidates who identify as LGBTQ+ which is slightly higher than last year's figure of $3.75 \%$. This compares to $2.2 \%$ of the workforce declaring that they identify as LGBTQ+. Although new starters are more willing to provide data on other protected characteristics, they are less willing to provide this non-mandatory information on sexual orientation. There is no comparator data from London Councils for this reason as figures are so low.

RECRUITMENT BY DISABILITY 2019/20


Applications from candidates who identify as having a disability or long term illness comprised 5\% of all applications made during 2019/20. Appointments of those who identify as having a disability or long term illness comprised $4 \%$ of all appointments made during 2019/20 which is similar to the proportion of disabled employees in the Council (3.8\%)

## OVERTIME/ADDITIONAL HOURS 2019/2020

| Due to a change in the HR/Payroll system in March 2020, overtime information was not available for the last month of the financial year (March2020). |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Overtime 2018/19 | Overtime 2019/20 |  |
| Children and Young People | £36,541 | £64,230 |  |
| Community Services | £183,625 | £160,454 |  |
| Corporate Services | £93,058 | £66,953 |  |
| Housing, Regen \& Environment | £698,108 | £659,683 |  |
| Total | £1,011,332 | £951,320 | NB: This total is for 11 months |


$\left.$|  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Directorate | Days sick <br> FTE |  | Average FTE | | FTE Avg Days |
| :---: |
| Lost 19/20 | \right\rvert\,

AVERAGE DAYS LOST PER EMPLOYEE 2019/20


The average days lost per employee has decreased since 2018/19 by 1.22 of a day to 6.97 days in 2019/20 which is lower than the median number of days lost per employee across London Councils at 8.3 days. (source London Councils Human Capital Matrix 2019/20). The average number of days lost has increased in both Children \& Young People and HRE from the 2018/19 figure but has decreased in the other two Directorates. Coporate Services and Children and Young People Directorate have a lower average days lost than the other two Directorates.

Trend of avg FTE sickness absence days lost per employee


The chart above outlines the trend of average days lost to sickness over the last 10 years

| Promoted <br> Employees |  | Total Employees |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 136 | $5.7 \%$ | 2375 | $100 \%$ |


| BY DIRECTORATE |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Children \& Young People | Community Services |  | Corporate Services |  | Housing, Regen \& Env |  | Total |  |
| 31 22.79\% | 40 | 29.41\% | 37 | 27.21\% | 28 | 20.59\% | 136 | 100.00\% |

## BY GENDER

| BY GENDER |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Male |  | Female |  | Total Employees |  |
| 43 | $31.6 \%$ | 93 | $68.4 \%$ | 136 | $100 \%$ |


| BY GRADE |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lecturer |  | Soulbury |  | SC1-2 |  | SC3-5 |  | SC6-SO2 |  | P01-5 |  |
| 2 | 1.5\% | 2 | 1.5\% | 0 | 0\% | 18 | 13.2\% | 18 | 13.2\% | 73 | 53.7\% |
| P06-8 |  | SMG1-SMG3 |  | JNC |  | Total |  |  |  |  |  |
| 16 | 11.8\% | 6 | 4.4\% | 1 | 0.7\% | 136 | 100\% |  |  |  |  |


| BY LENGTH OF SERVICE |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $0-4.99$ years | $5-9.99$ years |  | $10-19.99$ years | $20+$ years |  | Total |  |  |  |
| 55 | $40.4 \%$ | 25 | $18.4 \%$ | 40 | $29.4 \%$ | 16 | $11.8 \%$ | 136 | $100 \%$ |


| BY AGE |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 16-20 |  | 21-25 |  | 26-30 |  | 31-35 |  | 36-40 |  | 41-45 |  |
| 0 | 0.0\% | 6 | 4.4\% | 13 | 9.6\% | 21 | 15.4\% | 24 | 17.6\% | 19 | 14.0\% |
| 46-50 |  | 51-55 |  | $55+$ |  | Total |  |  |  |  |  |
| 18 | 13.2\% | 19 | 14.0\% | 16 | 11.8\% | 136 | 100\% |  |  |  |  |

## BY ETHNICITY

| BY ETHNICITY |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| BAME |  | Unknown |  | White |  | Total |  |
| 64 | $47.1 \%$ | 4 | $2.9 \%$ | 68 | $50.0 \%$ | 136 | $100 \%$ |


\section*{BY DISABILITY <br> Disabled <br> | 4 | $2.9 \%$ |
| :--- | :--- |}

The percentage of promoted non-schools employees during 2019/20 is $5.7 \%$ ( 136 employees) which is lower than the rate for last year ( $7.2 \%$ or 171 employees). Promotion is defined as those employees who have had their post re-graded or achieved promotion through appointment to a more senior position and it also includes employees appointed to higher grades as a result of the restructures.


Agency Profile 2019/2020

| Agency Gender Profile 2019/2020* |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: |
| Gender |  <br> Young People | Community |  |  |  |  | | Corporate |
| :---: |
| Services | | Housing, |
| :---: |
| Regen \& Env |$\quad$ Total | ( 62 |
| :--- |

The high percentage of agency workers having an "unknown" ethnic origin can be explained by the fact that although Reed (who have the contract for the Agency Managed Service (AMS)) require that their agency workers provide this information, third party suppliers to the contract do not require that their workers provide this information.


The numbers of agency workers in the bands '16-20'; '21-25' and '26-30' are higher than the numbers of employees in the Council. In the next 4 age bands, numbers of employees and agency workers generally correlate. In the age bands ' 51 to 55 ' and ' $55+$ ' permanent employee rates are higher which could be due to the fact that Lewisham has an experienced workforce.


The age bands of agency workers generally correlate to the age bands of permanent staff up to age band ' 46 to 50 '. In age bands ' 51 to 55 ' and ' $55+$ ' permanet staff rates are higher which could be due to the fact that Lewisham has an experienced workforce.

## AGENCY STAFF EXPENDITURE

 April 2019 to March 2020| ANNUAL SPEND ON AGENCY STAFF BY DIRECTORATE |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: |
|  | $2019 / 2020$ |  |  | $2018 / 19$ |  |
| Directorate | $£ 7,825$ | $16.6 \%$ | $£ 7,628$ | $16.6 \%$ |  |
| Children \& Young <br> People | $£ 5,594$ | $14.7 \%$ | $£ 5,249$ | $23.2 \%$ |  |
| Community Services | $£ 4,462$ | $24.2 \%$ | $£ 6,871$ | $23.5 \%$ |  |
| Corporate Services | $£ 6,486$ | $23.3 \%$ | $£ 4,158$ | $17.9 \%$ |  |
|  <br> Environment | $£ 24,367$ | $19.9 \%$ | $£ 23,906$ | $20.7 \%$ |  |
| TOTAL |  |  | AWR\% |  |  |

## Agency worker rate definition is "agency FTE as a percentage of permanent staff FTE + agency FTE" as per London council human capital metrix



Looking at the trend above, the percentage of agency workers as a \% of employees peaked in 2016/17 at $21.9 \%$. This rate has decreased over the last 3 years to $19.9 \%$ in 2019/20 and compares to a median of $13 \%$ across London Councils (source Human Capital Metrics 2019/20). Agency spend decreased by almost $2 \%$ during the last financial year. 53 former agency workers moved off agency contracts into permanent roles during 2019/20. The Council continues to review the use of agency workers.

| AVERAGE NUMBER FOR THE "TOP JOB ROLES" AGENCY STAFF PER <br> MONTH 2019/2020 |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | ---: |
| Directorate |  | Job Role |
| Community Services | Adult Social Worker +2 yrs exp | No. |
|  | Bar Seward | 35 |
|  | Adults Senior Social Worker +5 yrs exp | 17 |
|  <br> Environment | Cleansing Loader/Sweeper | 13 |
|  | Recycling days Loader/Sweeper | 60 |
|  | Refuse Loader/Sweeper | 29 |
| Children \& Young People | Senior Social Worker +5 yrs exp | 25 |
|  | Business Support Officer | 40 |
|  | SW Team manager | 21 |
| Corporate Services | Financial Transactional Officers | 8 |
|  | Payroll/Senior Payroll Officer | 10 |
|  | Customer Service Officer | 9 |

Agency staff are used for a variety of reasons, but the main reasons for agency usage over the last years has been for additional staffing/flexible resourcing.

## Voluntary Leavers between April 2019 - March 2020

Voluntary leavers are employees who have chosen to leave the authority for personal reasons so do not include redundancies, dismissals, TUPE transfer and voluntary severance

| Directorate | Employed <br> April 2020 | Employed <br> March 2020 | Net Voluntary <br> Leavers | Directorate <br> Turnover |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Children \& Young People | 450 | 680 | 60 | $10.6 \%$ |
| Community Services | 696 | 537 | 54 | $8.8 \%$ |
| Corporate Services | 809 | 468 | 35 | $5.5 \%$ |
| Housing, Regen and Env | 408 | 690 | 44 | $8.0 \%$ |
| Total | $\mathbf{2 3 6 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 3 7 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 3}$ | $\mathbf{8 . 1 \%}$ |



All Leavers between April 2019 - March 2020

| BY GENDER | Employed <br> April 2019 | Employed <br> March 2020 | Leavers Count | Turnover |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Female | 1445 | 1464 | 165 | $11.3 \%$ |
| Male | 918 | 911 | 92 | $10.1 \%$ |
| Total | 2363 | 2375 | $\mathbf{2 5 7}$ | $10.8 \%$ |


| BY ETHNICITY | Employed <br> April 2019 | Employed <br> March 2020 | Leavers Count | Turnover |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| BAME | 1014 | 1038 | 114 | $11.1 \%$ |
| Unknown | 115 | 113 | 13 | $11.4 \%$ |
| White | 1234 | 1224 | 130 | $10.6 \%$ |
| Total | 2363 | 2375 | 257 | $10.8 \%$ |


| BY LENGTH OF SERVICE | Employed <br> April 2019 | Employed <br> March 2020 | Leavers Count | Turnover |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $0-4.99$ Years | 952 | 998 | 135 | $13.8 \%$ |
| $5-9.99$ Years | 333 | 317 | 37 | $11.4 \%$ |
| $10-19.99$ Years | 684 | 664 | 49 | $7.3 \%$ |
| $20+$ Years | 394 | 396 | 36 | $9.1 \%$ |
| Total | $\mathbf{2 3 6 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 3 7 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 5 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 . 8 \%}$ |
| T |  |  |  |  |

Turnover is highest amongst those with less than 5 years service which could be explained by the Apprenticeship Scheme, which lasts for 14 months.

| BY AGE | Employed <br> April 2019 | Employed March 2020 | Leavers Count | Turnover |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 16-20 | 9 | 4 | 4 | 61.5\% |
| 21-25 | 54 | 59 | 12 | 21.2\% |
| 26-30 | 145 | 143 | 30 | 20.8\% |
| 31-35 | 216 | 203 | 30 | 14.3\% |
| 36-40 | 292 | 258 | 31 | 11.3\% |
| 41-45 | 247 | 273 | 21 | 8.1\% |
| 46-50 | 302 | 303 | 31 | 10.2\% |
| 51-55 | 440 | 434 | 18 | 4.1\% |
| $55+$ | 658 | 698 | 80 | 11.8\% |
| Total | 2363 | 2375 | 257 | 10.8\% |

Again turnover by age band is the highest amongst those in 21-25 age band which could be explained by the number of young people on the Apprenticeship Scheme, although there is a "prior consideration" scheme in place for those who successfully complete their trainee placement

| BY Grade | Employed <br> April 2019 | Employed <br> March 2020 | Leavers Count | Turnover |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Lect | 114 | 110 | 12 | $10.7 \%$ |
| Soulbury | 20 | 18 | 3 | $15.8 \%$ |
| Sc1-2 | 205 | 67 | 10 | $7.4 \%$ |
| Sc3-5 | 374 | 385 | 59 | $15.5 \%$ |
| Sc6-SO2 | 528 | 611 | 39 | $6.8 \%$ |
| PO1-5 | 819 | 806 | 89 | $11.0 \%$ |
| PO6 - 8 | 192 | 197 | 26 | $13.4 \%$ |
| SMG1-3 | 89 | 162 | 14 | $11.2 \%$ |
| JNC | 22 | 19 | 5 | $24.4 \%$ |
| Total | $\mathbf{2 3 6 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 3 7 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 5 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 . 8 \%}$ |

## LEAVERS 2019/2020

In 2018/19 the number of non-schools employees increased from 2363 at the beginning of the year to 2375 by the year's end, a net increase of 12 ( $0.51 \%$ ) employees.

Total number of employees at March 2020-2375
Less number of employees leaving (including on redundancy terms) - 257
Plus number of new starters - 269 (NB: New starters are external appointments and doesn't include internal promotions)
Total number of employees at April 2019-2363

Analysis of the 193 voluntary leavers represent a $8.1 \%$ turnover, which is less than the $8.4 \%$ turnover figure in 2018/19

| Total No of employees at April 2019 | 2363 |
| :---: | :---: |
| No. of employees leaving on redundancy terms | 28 |
| Voluntary Severance | 0 |
| Less Total Leavers 19/20 | 257 |
| Add New Starters 19/20 | 269 |
| Total No of employees at March 2020 | 2375 |


[^0]:    structure charts can be found here

[^1]:    2019/20 saw a 16\% point increase of BAME employees in grade band 'Sc1 to Sc2' due to a number of apprentices recruited within the borough. There was a 3\% point increase in grade band 'PO1 to PO5' which could be explained by the increase of promoted BAME staff within the grade band.

[^2]:    The table above demonstrates that there is a direct correlation between seniority and length of service. The high percentage of employees in the PO1 to PO5 grade band can be partly attributed to different grades of Social Workers within both Childrens and Adults Social Care who fall within this grade band.

