

London Borough of Lewisham Local Development Framework

Core Strategy

Development Plan Document (DPD)

Publication Stage Representation Form

Ref:
For official use only

Please return your completed form to the London Borough of Lewisham by 5pm Tuesday $6^{\rm th}$ April 2010

 By post to FREEPOST RRZZ TLHU GKZS Planning Service London Borough of Lewisham 5th Floor, Laurence House 1 Catford Road London SE6 4RU

or

By e-mail to planning.policy@lewisham.gov.uk

You may also make your representation online without the need to use this form.

Online at http://consult.lewisham.gov.uk/portal

For further information, or to request extra representation forms please phone 020 8314 7400 or e-mail planning.policy@lewisham.gov.uk.

This form has two parts
Part A – Personal Details
Part B – Your representation(s).

Please fill in a separate sheet for each representation you wish to make.

Part A

1. Personal Details*

*If an agent is appointed, please complete only the Title, Name and Organisation boxes below but complete the full contact details of the agent in 2.

Title	MISS	
First Name	Fnca	
Last Name	OMEN	
Job Title (where relevant)	PLANNING OFFICER	
Organisation (where relevant)	PORT OF LONDON AUTHORITY	
Address Line 1	LONDON RIVER HOUSE	
Line 2	ROYAL PIER ROAD	
Line 3	GRAVES END	
Line 4	KENT	
Post Code	DAI2 2B6	
Telephone Number	01474 562384	
E-mail Address (where relevant)	Lucy ower apla. co.u.	

2. Agent's Details (if applicable)

Name or Organisation: PORT OF LONDON AUTHORITY

3. To which part of the Core Strategy does this representation relate?

Paragraph <u>4.13</u> (PAG€ 32)	Policy	Proposals Map
4. Do you consider the Core	Strategy	is:
4.(1) Legally compliant		Yes ☑ No □
4.(2) Sound*	١	Yes □ No 🗹
*The considerations in relatio accompany this form	n to the l	DPD being 'Sound' are explained in the notes which
If you have entered No to 4.(2 In all other circumstances, ple	2), please ease go t	e continue to Q5. to Q6.
5. Do you consider the Core S	Strategy	is unsound because it is not:
(1) Justified	N	
(2) Effective	5.	₹.
(3) Consistent with national po	olicy 🖪	
6. Please give details of why y Please be as precise as poss	you cons ible.	ider the DPD is not legally compliant or is unsound.
If you wish to support the lega box to set out your comments	al complia . (Continu	ance or soundness of the DPD, please also use this ue on a separate sheet /expand box if necessary)
CONVOYS WHARF CONSIST SAFEGUARDED WHARF WHARF,	AND	TWO PARCELS OF LAND: (1) A 9.13 HA (2) 7.83 HA OF NON SAFEGUARDED
WHILST THE PLA IS	PLEASE	ed to see the Reference at
RIVER TRANSPORT OF	у энт Алаг	C-OPENING OF THE WHARF FOR THE O IT NEEDS TO BE MADE CLEAR
		T THE MIXED USE DEVELOPMENT
THAT IS REFERRED		

SAFEGUARDED WHARF AND THAT ANY MIXED USE

POTENTIAL FOR CONFLICTS OF USE AND ISTURBANCE

DEVELOPMENT NEEDS TO BE DESIGNED TO HIMINISE

IN LINE WITH POLICY 4C.9 OF THE LONDON PLAN

SITE THAT THE CONVOUS WHARF MAKE CLEAR PROTECTED SAFEGUARDED PART 40.9 POLICY HANDLING THERE 21 USES DEVELOPMENT SAFEGUARDED PART NEEDS 70 BE DESIGNED PART SAFEGUARDED POTENTIAL CONFLICTO THE ACCORDANCE DISTURBANCE PLAN OF THE

Please note your representation should cover succinctly all the information, evidence and supporting information necessary to support/justify the representation and the suggested change, as there will not normally be a subsequent opportunity to make further representations based on the original representation at publication stage.

After this stage, further submissions will be only at the request of the Inspector, based on the matters and issues he/she identifies for examination.

8. If your representation is seeking a change, do you consider it necessary to participate at

the ora	ll part of the examination?
	No, I do not wish to participate at the oral examination Yes, I wish to participate at the oral examination
	ou wish to participate at the oral part of the examination, please outline why you er this to be necessary:

Please note the Inspector will determine the most appropriate procedure to adopt to hear those who have indicated that they wish to participate at the oral part of the examination.

Signature: Www.

Date: 23/3/10

Name or Organisation: PORT OF LONDON AUTHORITY 3. To which part of the Core Strategy does this representation relate? CORE STRATEGY OBJECTIVE 5 Paragraph Policy Proposals Map____ (PAGE 35) 4. Do you consider the Core Strategy is: Yes ☑ No □ 4.(1) Legally compliant Yes □ No 🗸 4.(2) Sound* *The considerations in relation to the DPD being 'Sound' are explained in the notes which accompany this form If you have entered No to 4.(2), please continue to Q5. In all other circumstances, please go to Q6. 5. Do you consider the Core Strategy is unsound because it is not: (1) Justified (2) Effective (3) Consistent with national policy

6. Please give details of why you consider the DPD is not legally compliant or is unsound. Please be as precise as possible.

If you wish to support the legal compliance or soundness of the DPD, please also use this box to set out your comments. (Continue on a separate sheet /expand box if necessary)

GNON THE RIPARIAN NATURE OF THE BOLOUGH IT 15 CONEIDERED THAT THE COUNCIL COULD GO FURTHER WITH CORE STRATEGY OBJECTIVE S BA PROMOTING THE USE RIVER FOR THE TRANSPORT αF REOPLE AND FREIGHT THIS SHOULD INCLUDE THE USE OF THE RIVER FOR THE TRANSPORT OF CONSTRUCTION MATERIAL AND WASTE MATERIALS FROM DEVELOPMENT SITES WHERE PRACTICABLE. APPROACH WOULD ACCORD WITH PPGI3 WHICH PROMOTES MORE SUSTAINABLE TRANSPORT CHOICES FOR BOTH PEOPLE AND FREIGHT AND LONDON PLAN POLICIES INCLUDING POLICIES 4A.28 40.7 AND 40.8 IT SHOULD BE ENSURED THAT AND RENEWABLE ENERBY DOES

NOT HAVE A DETRIMENTAL IMPACT ON THE PLAIS
NAUIGATIONAL AIDS WHICH ARE UITAL FOR THE SAFETY3
OF VESSELS NAUIGATING ON THE THAMES

TO INCLUDE A REFERENCE TO SUPPORTING 5.8 AMEND CHOICES PARTICULARLY MORE SUSTAINABLE TRANSPORT SHIFT TO PEOPLE TRANSPORT OF RIVER FOR THE USE OF THE RIVER FOR THE FREIGHT MOIN CLUDING THE MATERIALS TO OF CONSTRUCTION DEVELOPMENT APPROACH WOULD ACCORD WITH THE CATECTIVES OF 4A.28, 4C.7 AND 4C.8 OF POLICIES PLAN. AT 5.8 (b) TO MAXIMISING GENERATION INCLUDE A REFERENCE IN APPROPRIATE ENERBY RENEWABLE HAVE A DETRIMENTAL DOES MOT LOCATIONS 17 WHERE PLAYS MANIBATIONAL AIDS. IMPACT ON THE

Please note your representation should cover succinctly all the information, evidence and supporting information necessary to support/justify the representation and the suggested change, as there will not normally be a subsequent opportunity to make further representations based on the original representation at publication stage.

After this stage, further submissions will be only at the request of the Inspector, based on the matters and issues he/she identifies for examination.

our representation is seeking a change, do you consider it necessary to participate at all part of the examination?
No, I do not wish to participate at the oral examination Yes, I wish to participate at the oral examination
ou wish to participate at the oral part of the examination, please outline why you ler this to be necessary:
4. II. I have to recill data mine the most appropriate procedure to adopt to hear those who

Please note the Inspector will determine the most appropriate procedure to adopt to hear those who have indicated that they wish to participate at the oral part of the examination.

Signature: Date: 23/3/10

Name or Organisation: For a	F LONDON ANTHORITY
•	page 36 Proposals Map
4. Do you consider the Core Strateg	yy is:
4.(1) Legally compliant	Yes v No □
4.(2) Sound*	Yes □ No 🗹
accompany this form	e DPD being 'Sound' are explained in the notes which
lf you have entered No to 4.(2), plea In all other circumstances, please g	o to Q6.
5. Do you consider the Core Strateg	y is unsound because it is not:
(1) Justified (2) Effective	∀
(3) Consistent with national policy	
6. Please give details of why you co Please be as precise as possible.	nsider the DPD is not legally compliant or is unsound.

If you wish to support the legal compliance or soundness of the DPD, please also use this box to set out your comments. (Continue on a separate sheet /expand box if necessary)

WHILST THE COUNCIL IS BROADLY SUPPORTIVE OF CORE STRATEGY OBJECTIVE IT IS CONSIDERED THAT THE COUNCIL COULD GO MAKE SPECIFIC REFERENCE TO PROMOTING THE USE FURTHER FOR THE TRANSPORT OF PEOPLE AND FREIGHT OF THE RIVER THIS SHOULD INCLUDE A REFERENCE TO THE USE OF THE RIVER TRANSPORT OF CONSTRUCTION MATERIALS TO AND FOR THE DEUE COPMENT SITES WHERE PRACTICABLE WASTE MATERIALS FROM THIS APPROACH WOULD ACCORD WITH PPGIS WHICH PROMOTES MORE SUSTAINABLE TRANSPORT CHOICES FOR BOTH PEOPLE AND FREIGHT PUN POLICIES INCLUDING POLICIES 4A.28 AND CONDON 4C.7 AND 4C.8. . 1.

SHIFT TO MORE SUSTAINABLE TRANSPORT CHOICES PARTICUARLY THE USE OF THE RIVER FOR THE TRANSPORT OF PEOPLE AND FREIGHT AND INCLUDING THE USE OF THE RIVER FOR THE TRANSPORT OF CONSTRUCTION MATERIALS TO AND WASTE MATERIALS FROM DEVELOPMENT SITES WHERE PRACTICABLE. SUCH AN APPROACH WOULD ACCORD WITH THE OBJECTIVES OF THE LONDON PUNN. Please note your representation should cover succinctly all the information, evidence and supporting information necessary to support/justify the representation and the suggested change, as there will not normally be a subsequent opportunity to make further representations based on the original representation at publication stage.
AND FREIGHT AND INCLUDING THE USE OF THE RIVER FOR THE TRANSPORT OF CONSTRUCTION MATERIALS TO AND WASTE MATERIALS FROM DEVELOPMENT SITES WHERE PRACTICABLE. SUCH AN APPROACH WOULD ACCORD WITH THE OBJECTIVES OF PPGIS AND POLICIES LA.28, 40.7 AND 40.8 OF THE LONDON PLAN. Please note your representation should cover succinctly all the information, evidence and supporting information necessary to support/justify the representation and the suggested change, as there will not normally be a subsequent opportunity to make further representations based on the original representation at publication stage.
THE TRANSPORT OF CONSTRUCTION MATERIALS TO AND WASTE MATERIALS FROM DEVELOPMENT SITES WHERE PRACTICABLE. SUCH AN APPROACH WOULD ACCORD WITH THE OBJECTIVE! OF PPGIS AND POLICIES LAR.28, 4C.7 AND 4C.8 OF THE LONDON PLAN. Please note your representation should cover succinctly all the information, evidence and supporting information necessary to support/justify the representation and the suggested change, as there will not normally be a subsequent opportunity to make further representations based on the original representation at publication stage.
THE TRANSPORT OF CONTROL TO THE WHERE WASTE MATERIALS FROM DEVELOPMENT SITES WHERE PRACTICABLE. SUCH AN APPROACH WOULD ACCORD WITH THE OBJECTIVE! OF PPGIS AND POLICIES LA.28, 40.7 AND 40.8 OF THE LONDON PLAN. Please note your representation should cover succinctly all the information, evidence and supporting information necessary to support/justify the representation and the suggested change, as there will not normally be a subsequent opportunity to make further representations based on the original representation at publication stage.
PRACTICABLE. SUCH AN APPROACH WOULD ACCORD WITH THE OBJECTIVES OF PPGIS AND POLICIES LA.28, 40.7 AND 40.8 of THE LONDON PUNI. Please note your representation should cover succinctly all the information, evidence and supporting information necessary to support/justify the representation and the suggested change, as there will not normally be a subsequent opportunity to make further representations based on the original representation at publication stage.
Such an Approach would accord with the objectives OF PPGIS AND POLICIES LA.28, 4c.7 And 4c.8 of The London Pinn Please note your representation should cover succinctly all the information, evidence and supporting information necessary to support/justify the representation and the suggested change, as there will not normally be a subsequent opportunity to make further representations based on the original representation at publication stage.
Please note your representation should cover succinctly all the information, evidence and supporting information necessary to support/justify the representation and the suggested change, as there will not normally be a subsequent opportunity to make further representations based on the original representation at publication stage.
Please note your representation should cover succinctly all the information, evidence and supporting information necessary to support/justify the representation and the suggested change, as there will not normally be a subsequent opportunity to make further representations based on the original representation at publication stage.
Please note your representation should cover succinctly all the information, evidence and supporting information necessary to support/justify the representation and the suggested change, as there will not normally be a subsequent opportunity to make further representations based on the original representation at publication stage.
Please note your representation should cover succinctly all the information, evidence and supporting information necessary to support/justify the representation and the suggested change, as there will not normally be a subsequent opportunity to make further representations based on the original representation at publication stage.
information necessary to support/justify the representation and the suggested change, as there will not normally be a subsequent opportunity to make further representations based on the original representation at publication stage.
information necessary to support/justify the representation and the suggested change, as there will not normally be a subsequent opportunity to make further representations based on the original representation at publication stage.
information necessary to support/justify the representation and the suggested change, as there will not normally be a subsequent opportunity to make further representations based on the original representation at publication stage.
information necessary to support/justify the representation and the suggested change, as there will not normally be a subsequent opportunity to make further representations based on the original representation at publication stage.
information necessary to support/justify the representation and the suggested change, as there will not normally be a subsequent opportunity to make further representations based on the original representation at publication stage.
are the second of the Inspector hased
After this stage, further submissions will be only at the request of the Inspector, based on the matters and issues he/she identifies for examination.
8. If your representation is seeking a change, do you consider it necessary to participate at the oral part of the examination?
No, I do not wish to participate at the oral examination
□ Yes, I wish to participate at the oral examination
9. If you wish to participate at the oral part of the examination, please outline why you consider this to be necessary:

Please note the Inspector will determine the most appropriate procedure to adopt to hear those who have indicated that they wish to participate at the oral part of the examination.

Signature: _______ Date: 23/3/10

Name or Organisation: Part	LONDON AUTHORITY
3. To which part of the Core Strategy	•
Paragraph Policy_	FIGURE 6.1 Proposals Map <u>(PAGE 4</u> 1)
4. Do you consider the Core Strategy	
4.(1) Legally compliant	Yes ☑ No ☐ Yes □ No ☑
4.(2) Sound*	Yes □ No 🗹
*The considerations in relation to the accompany this form	e DPD being 'Sound' are explained in the notes which
If you have entered No to 4.(2), pleas In all other circumstances, please go	
5. Do you consider the Core Strategy	y is unsound because it is not:
(1) Justified	✓.
(2) Effective	
(3) Consistent with national policy	
6. Please give details of why you con Please be as precise as possible.	nsider the DPD is not legally compliant or is unsound.
If you wish to support the legal compl box to set out your comments. <i>(Contir</i>	liance or soundness of the DPD, please also use this nue on a separate sheet /expand box if necessary)
	EASED THAT THE COUNCIL MAS SHOWN
CONVOYS WHARF ON TH	18 KEY DIAGRAM IT IS CONSIDERED
OT 2093N TI TAHT	BE MORE ACCURATELY SHOWN
	PROPERLY PLOTTED AND THE
SAFEGUARDED AND NOW	SAFEGUARDED AREAS SHOWN

RE-DRAW THE KEY DIAGRAM TO SHOW THE PROPER BOUNDARY OF CONVOYS WHARF AND DIFFERENTIATE BETWEEN THE SAFEGUARDED AND NON SAFEGUARDED AREAS.
Please note your representation should cover succinctly all the information, evidence and supporting information necessary to support/justify the representation and the suggested change, as there will no normally be a subsequent opportunity to make further representations based on the original representation at publication stage.
After this stage, further submissions will be only at the request of the Inspector, base on the matters and issues he/she identifies for examination.
8. If your representation is seeking a change, do you consider it necessary to participate at the oral part of the examination?
No, I do not wish to participate at the oral examination
☐ Yes, I wish to participate at the oral examination
9. If you wish to participate at the oral part of the examination, please outline why you consider this to be necessary:
Please note the Inspector will determine the most appropriate procedure to adopt to hear those who have indicated that they wish to participate at the oral part of the examination.
Signature: Date: 23/3/10

Name or Organisation: Part 。	of LONDON AUTHORITY
To which part of the Core Strateg Paragraph Policy	gy does this representation relate? באר
4. Do you consider the Core Strateզ	gy is:
4.(1) Legally compliant 4.(2) Sound*	Yes ☑ No ☐ Yes ☐ No ☑
*The considerations in relation to th accompany this form	e DPD being 'Sound' are explained in the notes which
lf you have entered No to 4.(2), plea In all other circumstances, please g	
5. Do you consider the Core Strateg	gy is unsound because it is not:
(1) Justified(2) Effective(3) Consistent with national policy	

6. Please give details of why you consider the DPD is not legally compliant or is unsound. Please be as precise as possible.

If you wish to support the legal compliance or soundness of the DPD, please also use this box to set out your comments. (Continue on a separate sheet /expand box if necessary)

CONVOYS WHARF 15 LOCATED WITHIN THE DEPTFORD GROWTH AREA. 9.13 HA OF THE WHARF REGENERATION AND 13 SAFEBUARDED MINISTERIAL DIRECTION AND POLICY BA 40.9 OF THE LONDON PLAN PROTECTS SAFEGUARDED WHARVES FOR CARGO HANDLING USES. OF THE ABOVE IS MENTIONED IN SPATIAL POLICY 2 OR THE SUPPERTING TEXT AND THE APPROACH SET OUT DOES NOT CONFORM WITH LONDON PLAN POLICE IN RELATION TO CLIMATE CHANGE THERE WOULD APPEAR TO BE THE OPPORTUNITY TO USE THE RIVER TO DELIVER THE MATERIALS TO FUEL THE BIOMASS CHP IN RELATION TO SUSTAINABLE MOVEMENT THERE IS NO REFERENCE TO THE USE OF THE RIVER FOR THE TRANSPORT OF PEOPLE AND FREIGHT INCLUDING THE USE OF THE RIVER FOR THE TRANSPORT OF

CONSTRUCTION MATERIALS TO AND WHETE MATERIAL FROM DEVELOPMENT SITES WHERE PRACTICABLE.

SUCH AN APPROACH LOULD ACCORD WITH THE OBJECTIVES OF PPGIB AND POLICIES 4A.28, 4C.7 AND 4C.8 OF THE CONDOL PLAN.

THE SUPPORTING TEXT TO RE-WORD SPATIAL POLICY 2 AND 9.13 MA OF MAUE IT CLEAR CONVOYS WHARF safeg uarded MINISTERIAL PROTECTS THE SAFEGUARDED CARGO HANDLING. DEVELOPMENT ON SAFEGUARDED PART SHOUD DESIGNED THE POTENTIAL MINIMISE CONFLICTS 06 DISTURBANCE IN osePOLICY CONDON PUM. 40-9 OF MAKE REFERENCE TO USING THE RIVER TO FUEL THE BIOMASS CHP WHERE PRACTICABLE MANE REFERENCE TO SUPPORTING MORE SUSTAINABLE TRANSPORT CHOICES
PARTICULARLY THE USE OF THE RIVER FOR THE TRANSPORT OF PEOPLE AND
FREIGHT INCLUDING THE USE OF THE RIVER FOR THE TRANSPORT OF CONSTRUCTION
MATERIALS TO AND WASTE MATERIALS FROM DEVELOPMENT SITES WHERE PRACTICABLE

Please note your representation should cover succinctly all the information, evidence and supporting information necessary to support/justify the representation and the suggested change, as there will not normally be a subsequent opportunity to make further representations based on the original representation at publication stage.

After this stage, further submissions will be only at the request of the Inspector, based on the matters and issues he/she identifies for examination.

8. If your representation is seeking a change, do you consider it necessary to participate at

the oral part of the examination?

No, I do not wish to participate at the oral examination

Yes, I wish to participate at the oral examination

9. If you wish to participate at the oral part of the examination, please outline why you consider this to be necessary:

Please note the Inspector will determine the most appropriate procedure to adopt to hear those who have indicated that they wish to participate at the oral part of the examination.

Signature: Date: 23/3/10

Name or Organisation : Port of	E LONDON	AUTHORITY
3. To which part of the Core Strateg	gy does this repr CoR€ STRATEG	
Paragraph Policy	POUCY 4 (PAGE 83)	Proposals Map
4. Do you consider the Core Strate	gy is:	
4.(1) Legally compliant	Yes ☑ No □	
4.(2) Sound*	Yes □ No 🔽	
*The considerations in relation to th accompany this form	e DPD being 'So	ound' are explained in the notes which
If you have entered No to 4.(2), plea In all other circumstances, please g		Q <i>5.</i>
5. Do you consider the Core Strateg	gy is unsound b	ecause it is not:
(1) Justified		
(2) Effective		
(3) Consistent with national policy	☑∕	
6. Please give details of why you co Please be as precise as possible.	nsider the DPD	is not legally compliant or is unsound.
If you wish to support the legal combox to set out your comments. (Com		ness of the DPD, please also use this e sheet /expand box if necessary)

WHILST IT IS NOTED THAT CONUOY'S WHARF IS DISCOSSED SEPARATELY IN SECTION 8 (SEE THE PLAIS COMMENTS ON THIS STRATEGIC SITE ALLOCATION) IT IS QUESTIONNED WHETHER THE PROPER PLANNING APPROACH TO CONVOYS WHARF IS REFLECTED IN CORE STRATEBY POLICY 4. THERE WOULD APPEAR TO BE CONFLICT BETWEEN THE TWO.

9.13 HA OF THE WHARF IS SAFEGUARDED BY MINISTERIAL DIRECTION AND POLICY 4C.9 OF THE LONDON PLAN PROTECTS SAFEGUARDED

AND POLICY 4C.9 OF THE LONDON PLAN PROTECTS SAFEGUARDED WHARVES FOR CARGO HANDLING USES. THEREFORE THE HOUSING AND OTHER USES SOUGHT IN CORE STRATEGY POLICY & WOULD NOT BE APPROPRIATE ON THE SAFEGUARDED WHARF

AMEND THE WORDING IN CORE STRATEGY POLICY 4 TO MAKE IT CLEAR THAT THE USES SET OUT IN CORE
STRATEGY POLICY 4 DO NOT APPLY TO THE SAFEGUARDED WHARF PART OF THE CONVOYS WHARF SITE

THIS WOULD ASSIST CS POLICY 4 IN ACCORDING WITH

THE LONDON PLAN AND IN PARTICULAR POLICY

40.9.

Please note your representation should cover succinctly all the information, evidence and supporting information necessary to support/justify the representation and the suggested change, as there will not normally be a subsequent opportunity to make further representations based on the original representation at publication stage.

After this stage, further submissions will be only at the request of the Inspector, based on the matters and issues he/she identifies for examination.

8. If your representation is seeking a change, do you consider it necessary to participate at

tne ora	al part of the examination?
	No, I do not wish to participate at the oral examination Yes, I wish to participate at the oral examination
	ou wish to participate at the oral part of the examination, please outline why you ler this to be necessary:
L	

Please note the Inspector will determine the most appropriate procedure to adopt to hear those who have indicated that they wish to participate at the oral part of the examination.

Signature: Date: 23/3/10

Name or Organisation : PORT OF LONDON AUTHORITY

(2) Effective

(3) Consistent with national policy

3. To which part of the Core Strategy does this representation relate?

Paragraph ______ Policy 8 _____ Proposals Map______

4. Do you consider the Core Strategy is:

4.(1) Legally compliant Yes No _____

4.(2) Sound* Yes ___ No ____

*The considerations in relation to the DPD being 'Sound' are explained in the notes which accompany this form

If you have entered No to 4.(2), please continue to Q5.

In all other circumstances, please go to Q6.

5. Do you consider the Core Strategy is unsound because it is not:

(1) Justified

6. Please give details of why you consider the DPD is not legally compliant or is unsound. Please be as precise as possible.

If you wish to support the legal compliance or soundness of the DPD, please also use this box to set out your comments. (Continue on a separate sheet /expand box if necessary)

THE BORDUGH IT IS GIVEN THE RIPARIAN NATURE OF 20 THERE IS SURPRISING THAT REFERENCE IN CS POLICY 8 THE RIVER FOR THE TRANSPORT OF CONSTRUCTION MATERIALS TO AND WASTE MATERIALS AN APPROACH WOULD FROM SITES DEVELOPMENT SUCH ACCOR D WITH POLICIES 4A.28 AND 4C.8 OF THE LONDON PLAN.

TO SUPPORTING cs Poucy 8 INCLUDE A REFERENCE TRANSPORT OF CONSTRUCTION THE WE FOR FROM DEVELOPMENT MATERIALS MATERIALS WASTE PRACTICABLE. THIS APPROACH WOULD SITES WHERE ACCORD 4A.28 Policies WITH LOWDON

Please note your representation should cover succinctly all the information, evidence and supporting information necessary to support/justify the representation and the suggested change, as there will not normally be a subsequent opportunity to make further representations based on the original representation at publication stage.

After this stage, further submissions will be only at the request of the Inspector, based on the matters and issues he/she identifies for examination.

8. If your representation is seeking a change, do you consider it necessary to participate at

me ora	a part of the examination?
	No, I do not wish to participate at the oral examination
	Yes, I wish to participate at the oral examination
•	ou wish to participate at the oral part of the examination, please outline why you ler this to be necessary:
-	

Please note the Inspector will determine the most appropriate procedure to adopt to hear those who have indicated that they wish to participate at the oral part of the examination.

Name or Organisation: PORT OF LONDON AUTHORITY

3. To which part of the Core Strategy does this representation relate?

CORE STRATEGY

Paragraph Policy Policy II AND Proposals Map_______

SUPPOLTING TEXT

4. Do you consider the Core Strategy is:

4.(1) Legally compliant

Yes ☑ No ☐

4.(2) Sound*

Yes ☑ No ☑

*The considerations in relation to the DPD being 'Sound' are explained in the notes which accompany this form

If you have entered **No** to 4.(2), please continue to Q5. In all other circumstances, please go to Q6.

5. Do you consider the Core Strategy is unsound because it is not:

(1) Justified
(2) Effective
(3) Consistent with national policy

6. Please give details of why you consider the DPD is not legally compliant or is unsound. Please be as precise as possible.

If you wish to support the legal compliance or soundness of the DPD, please also use this box to set out your comments. (Continue on a separate sheet /expand box if necessary)

AROUND 45 MILLION TOWNES OF CAREO, WAS HANDLED ON THE TIDAL THAMES IN 2009 AND BETWEEN APRIL 2006 AND MARCH 2007 OVER 2.5 MILLION JOURNEYS OCCURRED ON BOATS USING TEL LONDON RIVER SERVICES PIERS AND THE THAMES CLIPPERS SAVOY TO WOOLWICH ARSENAL SERVICE. DESPITE THIS, THERE IS NO REFERENCE IN THE INTRODUCTORY TEXT TO THE RIVER FOR THE TRANSPORT OF PEOPLE AND THE IMPORTANCE OF FREIGHT CORE STRATEGY POLICY II MAKES NO REFERENCE TO THE ROLE OF THE PLA OR TO WORKING WITH US. THERE IS CONCERN ABOUT THE REFERENCE TO IMPROVING ACCESS TO THE FORESMORE. THERE ARE HEALTH AND SAFETY 1310ES ASSOCIATED WITH PUBLIC ACCESS TO THE FORESHORE INCLUDING RAPIDLY RISING TIDES, SOFT HUD AND WASH FROM VESSELS. THERE ARE ALSO ENVIRONMENTAL 185VES ASSOCIATED WITH PEOPLE ON THE FORESHORE. BE MADE CLEAR THAT DEVELOPMENT ON THE BLUE IT NEEDS TO RIBBOH NETWORK NEEDS TO HAVE A RIVER ROLATED USE AND THERE SHOULD BE cross REFERENCING TO OTHER POLICIES SUCH AS CS POLICY 14 AND STRATEGIC SITE ALLOCATION

TO MORE ADEQUATELY REFLECT THE SUPPORTING TEXT REWORD WATERWAY NETWORK. uscrs uses NEED TO HAVE A RIVER CLEAR DEVELOPMENTS WITH POLICY 4C.G OF THE LONDON PLAN RELATED us€ THE FORESHORE ACCESS REMOVE THE PLA AND TO INCLUDE REFERENCE WORKING WITH COUNCIL TO CORE STRATEGY POLICY CROSS REFERENCE STRATEGIC SITE ALLOCATION

Please note your representation should cover succinctly all the information, evidence and supporting information necessary to support/justify the representation and the suggested change, as there will not normally be a subsequent opportunity to make further representations based on the original representation at publication stage.

After this stage, further submissions will be only at the request of the Inspector, based on the matters and issues he/she identifies for examination.

8. If your representation is seeking a change, do you consider it necessary to participate at

the ora	I part of the examination?
	No, I do not wish to participate at the oral examination Yes, I wish to participate at the oral examination
	u wish to participate at the oral part of the examination, please outline why you er this to be necessary:

Please note the Inspector will determine the most appropriate procedure to adopt to hear those who have indicated that they wish to participate at the oral part of the examination.

Signature: Date: 23/5/10

Name or Organisation: PORT of CONDON AUTHORITY

3. To which part of the Core S		entation relate?
Paragraph	Policy POLICY 13 (PAGE 106).	Proposals Map
4. Do you consider the Core S	Strategy is:	
4.(1) Legally compliant	Yes ☑ No ☐ Yes ☐ No	
4.(2) Sound*	Yes □ No 🗹	
*The considerations in relation accompany this form	n to the DPD being 'Sou	nd' are explained in the notes which
lf you have entered No to 4.(2 In all other circumstances, ple		5.
5. Do you consider the Core S	Strategy is unsound bed	ause it is not:
(1) Justified		
(2) Effective	u v	
(3) Consistent with national po	olicy 🗹	
3. Please give details of why y Please be as precise as possi	ou consider the DPD is ble.	not legally compliant or is unsound.
f you wish to support the lega	I compliance or soundne	ess of the DPD, please also use this

box to set out your comments. (Continue on a separate sheet /expand box if necessary)

THIS POLICE IS CONCERNED WITH LEWISHAMS WASTE REQUIREMENTS IT MAKES NO REFERENCE TO THE POTENTIAL ROLE OF THE SAFEEUARDED CONVOY'S WHAKE AS A POTENTIAL WASTE MANAGEMENT SITE. THIS IS CONTRARY TO POLICY 44.22 OF PLAN WHICH SEEKS FOR DEVELOPMENT PLAN POLICIES TO SAFEGUARD WASTE SITES INCLUDING WHARVES WITH AN EXISTING OR FUTURE POTENTIAL FOR WASTE 21 THOMPOJOUGH THAT FAHT OT CHA THOMPOHAM DESIGNED ACCORDINGLY TO MINIMISE THE POTENTIAL FOR CONFLICTS OF USE AND DISTURBANCE.

RE-W	DRD	Poul	(<i>A</i> 1	3 το	INCL	A 36∪.	REFEREN	JCE TO	•
CON	zbou	ص	HARF	17	LINE	WITH	Pocied	4A.22	of
THE	LON	DON	PLA	7					
normal represe After a on the 8. If yo	lly be a entation this standard matte our rep	subsequ a at publi age, fui ers and	ent opp cation s rther s issues tion is	oortunity to stage. ubmissic s he/she seeking a	make fur ons will l identifie	ther repres be only at s for exar	entations bas the reques nination.	ed on the o	, as there will not riginal spector, based participate at
	No, /	do not	wish to	participa	te at the	oral exam	ination		
	Yes,	I wish to	o partic	ipate at ti	he oral e	xaminatioı	7		
		to part to be n			al part of	the exami	nation, pleas	se outline v	why you
-									
Please have in	e note t ndicated	the Inspe d that the	ector wil ey wish	ll determin to particip	e the mos ate at the	st appropria oral part o	te procedure f the examina	to adopt to tion.	hear those who
Signa	ture: _	M	vel				Date:	23/3/10	

Name or Organisation: POLT OF CONDON AUTHORITY
3. To which part of the Core Strategy does this representation relate?
Paragraph Policy 14 Proposals Map
4. Do you consider the Core Strategy is:
4.(1) Legally compliant Yes ☑ No □
4.(2) Sound* Yes □ No 🗹
*The considerations in relation to the DPD being 'Sound' are explained in the notes which accompany this form
If you have entered No to 4.(2), please continue to Q5. In all other circumstances, please go to Q6.
5. Do you consider the Core Strategy is unsound because it is not:
(1) Justified
(2) Effective
(3) Consistent with national policy
6. Please give details of why you consider the DPD is not legally compliant or is unsound. Please be as precise as possible.
If you wish to support the legal compliance or soundness of the DPD, please also use this box to set out your comments. (Continue on a separate sheet /expand box if necessary)
THE PLA IS PLEASED TO SEE THE REFERENCES IN POLICY 14 TO
T. THE USE OF THE RIVER THAMES WILL BE JUPPORTED AS FREIGHT TRANSPORT CORRIDORS 8. USE OF THE RIVER THAMES FOR PASSENGER TRANSPORT WILL BE JUPPORTED.
THE USE OF THE RIVER FOR THE TRANSPORT OF PEOPLE AND FREIGHT IS A SUSTAINABLE METHOD OF TRANSPORT WHICH HAS POLICY SUPPORT FROM THE NATIONAL LEVEL DOWNWARDS. HOWEVER IT IS CONSIDERED THAT POLICY 14 COULD GO FURTHER AND SUPPORT THE USE OF
THE RIVER FOR THE TRANSPORT OF CONSTRUCTION MATERIALS TO AND WASTE MATERIALS FROM DEVELOPMENT SITES WHERE PRACTICABLE, SUCH AN APPROACH WOULD ACCORD WITH POLICIES IN THE LONDON

PLAN, WHICH INCLUDE POLICIES 4A.28 AND 4C.8

14 WHICH READS! POLICY POINT INCLUDE TRANSPORT OF THE RIVER THAMES USE OF WASTE MATERIALS 10 CONSTRUCTION PRACTICABLE WILL BE WHERE DEUELOPHENT SUPPORTED LON DON PLAN ACCORD WITH APPROACH WOULD TRANSPORT FOR BULK WATER MAXIMISE DEMOLITION AND CONSTRUCTION MATERIALS, PARTICULARLY DURING ALSO SEEUS FOR WASTE TO BE REMOVED FROM SITES AND BROUGHT TO SITES BY WATER TRANSPORT WHEREVER THAT IS PRACTICABLE.

Please note your representation should cover succinctly all the information, evidence and supporting information necessary to support/justify the representation and the suggested change, as there will not normally be a subsequent opportunity to make further representations based on the original representation at publication stage.

After this stage, further submissions will be only at the request of the Inspector, based on the matters and issues he/she identifies for examination.

8. If yo the ora	8. If your representation is seeking a change, do you consider it necessary to participate at the oral part of the examination?				
	No, I do not wish to participate at the oral examination Yes, I wish to participate at the oral examination				
9. If you wish to participate at the oral part of the examination, please outline why you consider this to be necessary:					

Please note the Inspector will determine the most appropriate procedure to adopt to hear those who have indicated that they wish to participate at the oral part of the examination.

Signature: Date: 23/03/10

Name or Organisation: 3. To which part of the Core Strategy does this representation relate? Policy 18 Proposals Map___ 4. Do you consider the Core Strategy is: 4.(1) Legally compliant Yes ₩ No □ 4.(2) Sound* *The considerations in relation to the DPD being 'Sound' are explained in the notes which accompany this form If you have entered No to 4.(2), please continue to Q5. In all other circumstances, please go to Q6. 5. Do you consider the Core Strategy is unsound because it is not: (1) Justified (2) Effective

6. Please give details of why you consider the DPD is not legally compliant or is unsound. Please be as precise as possible.

(3) Consistent with national policy

If you wish to support the legal compliance or soundness of the DPD, please also use this box to set out your comments. (Continue on a separate sheet /expand box if necessary)

PLEASED TO SEE THE REFERENCE TO TALL BUILDINGS CLOSE TO THE THAMES BEING ASSESSED IN CONSULTATION WITH THE PORT OF LONDON AUTHORITY TO GAUGE POSSIBLE INTERFERENCE WITH NAVIGATIONAL COMMUNICATIONS WHICH OPERATE ON A LINE OF SIGHT BASIS

THE PLA FULLY SUPPORTS THIS APPROACH AS THE COUNCIL IS AWARE TALL BUILDINGS HAVE THE POTENTIAL TO INTERFERE WITH THE PURIS NAVIGATIONAL COMMUNICATIONS WHICH ARE ESSENTIAL TO THE SAFETY OF VESSELS NAVIGATING ON THE RIVER THAMES

7. Please set out what change(s) you consider necessary to make the DPD legally compliant or sound, having regard to the test you have identified at 5 above where this relates to soundness. You will need to say why this change will make the DPD legally compliant or sound. It will be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording of any policy or text. Please be as precise as possible. (Continue on a separate sheet /expand box if necessary)
NO CHANGES NECESSARY
Please note your representation should cover succinctly all the information, evidence and supporting information necessary to support/justify the representation and the suggested change, as there will not normally be a subsequent opportunity to make further representations based on the original representation at publication stage.
After this stage, further submissions will be only at the request of the Inspector, based on the matters and issues he/she identifies for examination.
8. If your representation is seeking a change, do you consider it necessary to participate at the oral part of the examination?
No, I do not wish to participate at the oral examination
☐ Yes, I wish to participate at the oral examination
9. If you wish to participate at the oral part of the examination, please outline why you consider this to be necessary:
Please note the Inspector will determine the most appropriate procedure to adopt to hear those who have indicated that they wish to participate at the oral part of the examination.
Signature: Date: Date:

Name or Organisation: PORT OF LONDON AUTHORITY

3. To which part of the Core Strateg	y does this representation relate?					
Paragraph Policy						
FIGURE 8.1	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,					
4. Do you consider the Core Strateg	y is:					
• • • •	Yes v No □					
4.(2) Sound*	Yes □ No 🗹					
*The considerations in relation to the DPD being 'Sound' are explained in the notes which accompany this form						
If you have entered No to 4.(2), please continue to Q5. In all other circumstances, please go to Q6.						
5. Do you consider the Core Strateg	y is unsound because it is not:					
(1) Justified	\checkmark					
(2) Effective	✓					
(3) Consistent with national policy						
Please be as precise as possible.	nsider the DPD is not legally compliant or is unsound.					

If you wish to support the legal compliance or soundness of the DPD, please also use this box to set out your comments. (Continue on a separate sheet /expand box if necessary)

CONVOY'S WHARF CONSISTS OF 2 PARCELS OF LAND (1) A 9.13 HA SAFEGUARDED WHARF AND (2) 7.83 HA OF NOW SAFEGUARDED WHARF. THERE IS I DIRECTION, NOT 2. POLICY 40.9 OF THE LONDON PLAN PROTECTS SAFEGUARDED WHARVES FOR CARGO HANDLING USES. IT IS QUESTIONNED WHY THIS IMPORTANT PART OF THE Policy has been omitted from the supporting text. IT NEEDS TO BE MADE CLEAR THAT THE SAFEGUARDING DIRECTION IS IN PLACE AND POLICY 40.9 OF THE CONDON PLAN PROTECTS THE SAFEGUARDED PART OF THE CONVOYS WHARF SITE FOR CARGO HANDLING. AS SUCH IN ORDER TO BE IN GENERAL CONFORMITY WITH THE LONDON PLAN THE COUNCIL SHOULD BE SEELING TO RE-ACTIVATE THE WHOLE OF THE SAFEGUARDED WHARF SITE FOR CARGO HAMDLING USES AND FOR AND DEVELOPMENT THAT IS PROPOSED ON THE NOW SAFEGUARDED PART OF THE WHARF TO BE DESIGNED TO MINIMI SE THE POTENTIAL FUR CONFLICTS OF USE AND DISTURBANCE. POLICY 40.9 SETS OUT HOW THE RE-DEVELOPMENT OF A SAFEBUARDED WHARF SHOULD ONLY BE ACCEPTED IF THE WHARF IS NO LONGER VIABLE OR CAPABLE OF BEING MADE VIABLE FOR CARGO HANDLING - THIS NEEDS TO BE MADE CLEAR.

THE BOUNDARY OF THE SAFEGUARDED WHARF SHOULD BE SHOWN ON FIGURE 8.1

SPLIT SSA2 INTO TWO PARTS:
PART I - WHICH SETS OUT THE APPROACH TO THE SAFEGUARDED PART OF THE WHARF: RE-ACTIVATION FOR CARGO HANDUNG
PART 2 - WHICH SETS OUT THE APPROACH TO THE NON
SAFEBUREDED PART OF THE WHARF AND HIGHLIGHTS
HOW AND DEVELOPMENT ON THIS PART OF THE WHARF NEEDS
TO BE DESIGNED TO MINIMISE THE POTENTIAL FOR
CONFLICTS OF USE AND DISTURBANCE IN LINE WITH
POLICY 40.8 OF THE CONDON PLAN.
UPDATE THE SUPPORTING TEXT ACCORDINGLY
Please note your representation should cover succinctly all the information, evidence and supporting information necessary to support/justify the representation and the suggested change, as there will not normally be a subsequent opportunity to make further representations based on the original representation at publication stage.
After this stage, further submissions will be only at the request of the Inspector, based on the matters and issues he/she identifies for examination.
3. If your representation is seeking a change, do you consider it necessary to participate at the oral part of the examination?
No, I do not wish to participate at the oral examination
☐ Yes , I wish to participate at the oral examination
9. If you wish to participate at the oral part of the examination, please outline why you consider this to be necessary:
Please note the Inspector will determine the most appropriate procedure to adopt to hear those who have indicated that they wish to participate at the oral part of the examination.

Signature: Burel

Date: 23/3/10

Name or Organisation :						
3. To which part of the Core Strateg Paragraph <u>APPをいいく</u> Policy	y does this representation relate? אדרפהוכ פאספבדיטב אסאוזטג Proposals Map					
4. Do you consider the Core Strateg	y is:					
4.(1) Legally compliant	Yes □ No □					
4.(2) Sound*	Yes □ No □					
*The considerations in relation to the DPD being 'Sound' are explained in the notes which accompany this form						
lf you have entered No to 4.(2), plea In all other circumstances, please go						
5. Do you consider the Core Strateg	y is unsound because it is not:					
(1) Justified						
(2) Effective						

6. Please give details of why you consider the DPD is not legally compliant or is unsound. Please be as precise as possible.

(3) Consistent with national policy

If you wish to support the legal compliance or soundness of the DPD, please also use this box to set out your comments. (Continue on a separate sheet /expand box if necessary)

SUPPORT USE OF THE RIVER τ o DESIRE IN FREIGHT **CURPRISING** THERE TO MONITOR 15 success THIS PART of THE POLICY. THE COUNCIL WILL BE AWARE THE LONDON PLAN SETS 5% INCREASE IN PASSENGERS AND FREIGHT THE BLUE RIBBON NETWORK FROM 2001-2011

or soun soun polic	ound, hav idness. <u>Y</u> i <u>d.</u> It will b y or text.	ing reg <u>ou will</u> oe help Please	ard to the tes	st you hav why this c able to pu se as poss	re identified at hange will mal ut forward your sible.	5 abov ke the	e wh DPD	ere this <u>legally</u>	legally complia relates to <u>compliant or</u> I wording of any	
IN	CLUBE	AN	INDICATO	R TO	MONITOR	use	o/	THE	RIVER	
infori norm	mation ned ally be a s	cessary subsequ	to support/ius	tify the rep		the sug	ggest	ed chan	ce and supportinge, as there will a original	
Afte on t	r this sta he matte	ge, fu rs and	rther submis issues he/s	ssions wi he identi	II be only at the	he req ination	uest 1.	of the	Inspector, bas	ed
			tion is seekin xamination?	ig a chanç	ge, do you con	sider if	t nece	essary	to participate at	t
	No, / c	do not	wish to partic	ipate at th	ne oral examin	ation				
	Yes, /	wish to	o participate i	at the ora	l examination					
			icipate at the ecessary:	oral part	of the examina	ation, p	oleas	e outlin	e why you	
Plea have	Please note the Inspector will determine the most appropriate procedure to adopt to hear those who have indicated that they wish to participate at the oral part of the examination.									
		14	2.001			.	. 49	la la		
Sign	ature:	11/				Date	e:_ <i></i>	42/10		